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Droplet-based microfluidics enables assays to be carried out at very high throughput (up to thousands of samples per second) and enables researchers to work with very limited material, such as primary cells, patient's biopsies or expensive reagents. An additional strength of the technology is the possibility to perform large-scale genotypic or phenotypic screens at the single-cell level. Here we critically review the latest developments in antibody screening, drug discovery and highly multiplexed genomic applications such as targeted genetic workflows, single-cell RNAseq and single-cell ChiPseq. Starting with a comprehensive introduction for non-experts, we pinpoint current limitations, analyze how they might be overcome and give an outlook on exciting future applications.

Introduction

Droplet microfluidics holds great potential in drug discovery and genomics because of the conceptual advantages it offers, such as consuming just a few microliters of sample and requiring only small cell numbers – fewer than what can be obtained from a single human patient biopsy – for performing large-scale studies. Furthermore, droplet technology enables a high degree of automation and facilitates high throughput screens – several hundred thousand cell-based assays can be carried out in a single experiment. These advantages make droplet microfluidics a great tool for biomedical research and single-cell studies. In this review, we focus on published research in drug discovery and genomics using droplet microfluidics. We do not take into account other technologies such as valve-based compartmentalization or digital microfluidics and also excluded other applications of droplet technology. For readers interested in these or scientists who want to dive deeper into the physics and applications of microfluidics we refer to previously published reviews.1–8

Microfluidic droplets containing just a few fLs to nLs can be generated at very high frequency (Hz–kHz).9 These droplets are usually generated by pressure-driven flow and are surrounded by an immiscible oil phase such that each droplet behaves as an individual micro-reactor. Usually, the minimum volume used for micro-titer plate assays is 1 μL. In that way, a single droplet accommodates 103–104 times less volume than conventional systems. Typically, aqueous samples containing biological material such as cells, DNA, bacteria, and molecules can be encapsulated into droplets.

Manufacturing chips for droplet microfluidics

Microfluidic chips are usually manufactured using soft lithography.10 This procedure requires specialized equipment and typically also a clean room facility. However, non-expert labs can get custom-made microfluidic devices manufactured by companies and service facilities such as Dolomite, Microfluidic Chip Shop or the Stanford Microfluidics Foundry.

A microfluidic chip normally contains at least two layers: a substrate layer, which is usually made of glass or polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), and another layer with the channel network. Using transparent materials such as glass and PDMS facilitates imaging and/or spectroscopic readouts, which is highly desirable for biological assays. Furthermore, PDMS is gas permeable, enabling the culture of live cells inside the chips. When using droplet-based microfluidics, the chip material also has to be highly hydrophobic to ensure efficient wetting of the channel walls by the carrier phase, while preventing surface interactions of the aqueous droplets. This can be achieved by coating the channel surface with hydrophobic chemicals such as silanes,11,12 Aquapel (PPG Industries),13 or Teflon.14 Begolo et al. have also shown that materials such as fluorinated polymers allow researchers to circumvent the need for surface treatment of channels.15

Droplet production

Droplets containing few fLs to nLs are produced when two immiscible liquids intersect each other. This process can be achieved either by active (on-demand droplet production
using valves or electric fields) or by passive (continuous drop-
let production using pressure-driven flow and a special geo-
metry of the channels) droplet production methods. The most
commonly used methods are passive as this allows droplet
production at very high rates (kHz). Because of the specific
design of the microfluidic channels, an aqueous phase is
sheared by an inert oil phase to produce uniform-sized drops
surrounded by oil. The specific designs can be T-junction,16
flow-focusing,17,18 or co-flowing19 geometries as shown in
Fig. 1.

Important requirements for a carrier phase are high affin-
tivity towards the channel surface and low viscosity to prevent
problems with excessive back pressure. Numerous carrier
phases were tested for various applications and the most
commonly used carrier phases include hydrocarbon oils and
fluorocarbon oils.16,20 Perfluorinated oils have specific advan-
tages such as their immiscible nature with organic solvents,
~20-times higher solubility of gases such as oxygen and car-
bon dioxide (compared to water),21 as well as physical and
chemical stability. In order to stabilize the emulsion, one has
to carefully select a suitable surfactant for the carrier phase
according to parameters such as biocompatibility, (thermo-
)stability of the resulting emulsion and potential micellar
transport.22 Surfactants are surface active agents, which
mainly act at the oil/water interface by reducing surface ten-
sion and thus increasing the stability of emulsions. In the ab-
sence of surfactants, a minimized surface area is energetically
favorable and thus the droplets coalesce. This phenomenon
can be nicely illustrated by a simple real-world example in
cooking, where oil droplets in a pan come together and
merge to reduce their surface area. Hydrocarbon oils such as
mineral oil can be stabilized by commercially available surfac-

tants, including Span 80 (sorbitone mono-oleate) and Abil
EM (cetyl dimethicone copolyol), whereas in case of
perfluorinated oils such as FC-40 and FC-70, use of surfac-
tants such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), perfluoropolyethers
(PFPEs), and dimorpholino phosphate have been demon-
strated.15,16,20 Some companies such as BioRad, Sphere Fluid-
ics, Dolomite and RainDance technologies offer different
types of oil-surfactant systems commercially.

**Laminar flow**

One of the most important parameters describing the flow in
microfluidic systems is the Reynold's number (Re), which is
defined as the ratio of inertial to viscous forces, and is given
by the equation below.

$$\text{Re} = \frac{\rho VL}{\mu}$$

where $\rho$ is the density of the fluid (kg m$^{-3}$), $V$ is the mean ve-
locity (m s$^{-1}$), $L$ is the characteristic length of the channel (m)
and $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$). Re ex-
plains different flow regimes such as ‘laminar’ and ‘turbu-

dent’ in microfluidic channels. Normally, Re for laminar flow
systems is below 2000, and the streamlines are parallel. How-

ever, Re for turbulent flow systems is above 2000, and the
streamlines are chaotic. Typically Re in a microfluidic chan-

nel is smaller than one and thus exclusively belongs to the
‘laminar’ flow category. As a direct consequence, two liquids
co-flowing in a microfluidic device (e.g. a cell suspension and
a lysis buffer) do not mix prior to droplet formation, but rap-

idly afterwards due to chaotic convection. This can be ex-

ploited to lyse cells directly upon encapsulation, while en-

suring that no cellular contents are released before single
cells have been compartmentalized.

**Cell encapsulation**

Encapsulation of single cells into droplets is a powerful tool
for single-cell studies.13,23 Usually, the cells are distributed
randomly in an aqueous suspension and upon encapsulating
them into droplets (Fig. 2A) random occupancies according to
Poisson distribution are obtained. For single-cell studies, one
can use a cell density of less than one cell per droplet volume
on average (e.g. $\lambda = 0.4$, with $\lambda = $ cell density divided by the
droplet volume), resulting in about one quarter of total drop-

ets hosting exactly one cell, while the remaining droplets

contain either no cell (~67%) or 2 or more cells (~6%). Dilut-
ing the cell suspension further (e.g. $\lambda = 0.1$) enables re-

searchers to largely avoid droplets with more than one cell
(~9%). Hence this is a tradeoff between accuracy (in terms of avoiding droplets with more than one cell) and
throughput. In order to overcome Poisson statistics, Kemna et al. designed a microfluidic device to encapsulate single cells with high yield (77%) at high throughput (2700 cells s\(^{-1}\)) using inertial ordering in a curved microchannel\(^{24}\) as shown in Fig. 2B. It should be noted that the high frequency of droplet generation also sets a lower limit for the required number of cells: according to our experience a starting amount of less than $\sim 50\,000$ cells is impracticable, since the system has to run for a couple of minutes before stable flow rates are obtained.

Cells and their expressed products can easily be detected as the volume is minimal inside the droplet.\(^{25,26}\) Moreover, the cells can be lysed using lysis buffer or some mechanical (or) optical means to analyze the intracellular components such as DNA, RNA, and other biomolecules.\(^{27-29}\) In addition, mammalian cells can also be cultivated inside the droplets for several days. We performed quantitative studies for different incubation times of human cells (Jurkat and HEK293T) inside 660 \(\mu\)L droplets and observed more than 79% viability over the first 4 days.\(^{13}\) However, longer encapsulation times turned out to be problematic as the cells ultimately run out of nutrition and accumulate toxic metabolites. It is important to note that this cannot be overcome by simply increasing the droplet volume, which also results in decreased droplet stability and lowered throughput of all droplet manipulation steps. Therefore, proliferation assays using mammalian cells are difficult to implement, but apart from this the technology can be used for almost any study that does not require incubation times of more than a few days. This even includes studies requiring the encapsulation of two different cell types, such as monitoring the effect of a plasma cell-secreted antibody on a target cell.

Due to Poisson statistics, the co-encapsulation of different cell types can be problematic and the maximum probability for encapsulating exactly one cell of two different cell types into the same droplet is just 13.5%. Nonetheless, this number can be improved up to $\sim 29\%$ using deterministic co-encapsulation procedures.\(^{30}\) Furthermore, we recently introduced an approach in which the two different cell types are stained with two different fluorescence dyes, before applying multicolor sorting. This allows to enrich the fraction of droplets with the correct occupancy up to 86.7% or even $\sim 97\%$ when considering all droplets with at least one cell of each type.\(^{31}\)

**Droplet manipulations**

There are several manipulations such as mixing,\(^{32,33}\) splitting,\(^{9,34}\) storage (incubation),\(^{35}\) droplet breakage (phase...
separation), re-injection, fusion, detection and sorting, which can be performed on the droplets based on the user requirements and design circuitry of microfluidic channels (Fig. 3A–J).

Of major importance for almost any biological assay is an incubation step, which can be implemented by either on-chip or off-chip droplet storage. In both cases the droplets typically come into contact with each other and hence have to be stabilized with surfactants. On-chip incubation can be performed by flushing droplets through long delay lines or by trapping them in arrays (Fig. 3D and E). Off-chip incubation is done by collecting emulsions in a vial or in a syringe (Fig. 3F). This is advantageous if the samples must be incubated for longer periods of time (e.g. days, which cannot be achieved in delay lines) or if millions of droplets have to be stored (more than what can be accommodated on-chip). After off-chip incubation, re-injection is necessary for performing additional microfluidic manipulations (e.g. addition...
of further reagents, detecting and sorting droplets of interest). This can be performed by re-injecting stored droplets into another microfluidic chip (Fig. 3G).36

Additional reagents can be added to the droplets (e.g. to initiate a fluorescence readout) at a later time point using droplet fusion, being the equivalent to a pipetting step on the bench. Fusion is achieved by bringing the droplets into physical contact such that the interface is destabilized. The efficiency of this process is mainly dependent on surfactant concentration and viscosity of carrier and dispersed phase. Droplet fusion can be performed either by passive or by active merging methods. Passive fusion methods mainly rely on surfactant concentration (e.g. pairing droplets where at least one of the fusion partners is not surfactant stabilized) and channel geometry. Niu et al. described the use of pillars in a widening channel to achieve efficient 1:1 droplet fusion at a rate of ~30 Hz.12 However, the above method required droplets that were produced on-chip and were not compatible with off-chip incubation steps. To overcome this limitation we developed a module (Fig. 3H) enabling the fusion of re-injected cell-laden droplets (stabilized by surfactant) with droplets produced in a pillar chamber (lacking surfactant).36 This approach allowed 1:1 droplet fusion at a frequency of 50 Hz with more than 99% efficiency. Using smaller droplets in a similar setup Mazutis et al. even achieved fusion rates in the kHz range.29 Active fusion methods include the application of electric fields37,38 and pico injection (Fig. 3I).43 In the latter method, the liquid to be added is pressurized and stored in a channel with a little orifice perpendicular to the channel with the incoming droplets. Due to the Laplace pressure (acting against the pressure of the reservoir) no liquid is ejected through the orifice and the liquid to be added forms a static meniscus. Even though the incoming droplets pass this meniscus, no fusion of the two aqueous surfactant-stabilized phases occurs unless an electric field is applied. Hence the fusion process can be well controlled electronically and performed at rates up to several kHz.

Detection of droplets is performed mainly by optical methods such as laser-induced fluorescence,44 IR spectroscopy,45 Raman spectroscopy46 and UV-visible absorption.47 Fluorescence spectroscopy is the most commonly used method as it can be carried out at high throughput (up to kHz rates) and due to the fact that many fluorescence assays for biological reactions are commercially available. Analytical methods such as liquid chromatography (LC),48,49 mass spectrometry50,51 and capillary electrophoresis (CE)52 have also been coupled with droplet microfluidic systems to characterize the droplet contents. However, these methods have limited throughput (<1 Hz) and furthermore show limited sensitivity due to the very small volumes. For example, mass spectrometry can be used to detect highly abundant metabolites or proteins, but it does not yet allow global single-cell proteomic analyses.

Similar to flow cytometry, droplets can be sorted based on their fluorescence. In contrast to FACS this not only enables researchers to sort cells or other solid particles, but rather entire assay vessels containing soluble molecules (e.g. secreted antibodies and assay reagents). This step can be performed by dielectrophoresis,53,54 valves,55 optics,56 acoustics57 or electric stream deflection.58,59 Dielectrophoresis (DEP) is the most commonly used technique for sorting droplets based on an optical readout (Fig. 3J). Baret et al. designed a fluorescence activated droplet sorting (FADS) device capable of sorting droplets at a rate of 2 kHz.54 Recently refined versions of this setup or the use of acoustic fields allowed increasing the throughput up to 30 kHz.57,60 After the sorting step, the droplet contents can be recovered, including the recovery of cells for recultivation in tissue culture flasks, by breaking the emulsion, resulting in separation of the aqueous and the oil phase. This can be achieved by adding surface-active chemicals such as perfluoro-1-octanol (PFO),13 or by applying electric fields.61

Barcoding droplets

Indexing droplets is a crucial step to keep track of their identity – for example after off-chip incubation where droplets are stored in a random order. Optical methods have been designed for this purpose, such as the use of quantum dots and fluorescent dyes as barcodes (Fig. 4A).26,62 Mixtures of different fluorescence dyes at different concentrations can be read in real-time. However, their use is limited for encoding only a few hundred samples owing to the dynamic range of the optical set up – for instance detection of a “false” low fluorescence signal in one channel due to bleed-through of a high fluorescence signal in another channel. However, encoding larger sample numbers can be achieved with DNA oligonucleotides (Fig. 4B), whose barcoding capacity corresponds to $4^n$ (with $n$ being the number of nucleotides) and is hence almost unlimited. In 2010 we conceptualized and patented (PCT/EP2010/004010) the use of oligonucleotide barcodes to label the droplet composition, with a special focus on combinatorial drug screening and the effect of

![Fig 4 Barcoding of droplets. (A) Optical encoding using two different fluorescence dyes (red and green) at different concentrations. This allows to encode for a maximum of $x$ samples, with $x = n$ number of dyes $\times$ number of dye concentrations. (B) Nucleotide sequence based barcoding. This allows to encode for a maximum of $y$ samples, with $y = 4^n$ (number of nucleotides).](image-url)
compounds on gene expression. Using a similar approach, Weitz and co-workers published three seminal papers on the barcoding of single-cell transcriptomes and genomes in 2015,63–65 which are discussed in detail further below. The application part of this review also explains more comprehensively how to add different barcodes to the droplets, either directly upon generation or at a later time point by droplet fusion. One disadvantage of oligonucleotide barcodes is the fact that, in contrast to optical barcodes, they cannot be read in real time on-chip, but rather require downstream sequencing of the samples.

Conceptual limitations of compartmentalizing samples in droplets

While there are many advantages of droplet microfluidics, there are also a few fundamental limitations: first of all, exchanging media or implementing washing steps is barely possible. This not only restricts the survival times of encapsulated cells, but also makes multi-step assays challenging. Pioneering work in overcoming this problem by using magnetic beads that can be swapped between droplets of different composition has been published,66 but this technology is still in its infancy and has not yet been integrated into high-throughput cell-based screening platforms. Another significant problem is the undesired exchange of droplet contents via micellar transport: hydrophobic molecules with high log P values (a partition coefficient defined as the logarithm of the solute concentration in octanol divided by the solute concentration in water; \( \log P = \log \left( \frac{[X \text{ in octanol}]}{[X \text{ in water}]} \right) \)) tend to exchange over time between droplets, thus making the compartmentalization of particular chemicals very challenging.67 It would be interesting to see to what extent this affects the screening of highly diverse chemical libraries; however, comprehensive data on this is still largely missing. Nonetheless, most biomolecules such as proteins and DNA are unaffected by micellar transport, for which reason applications such as antibody screening and single-cell genomics do not suffer from this limitation.

Antibody screening and drug discovery

Antibodies are widely used in basic research and gained major importance in the treatment of various infectious diseases,68–70 several cancer malignancies71–73 and inflammations.74 In fact, the worldwide sales of monoclonal antibody products in 2013 reached almost 75 billion US$,75 demonstrating a clear need for efficient screening technology. Discovering new therapeutic antibodies has been conventionally achieved in microtiter plates using immortalized hybridoma cells (required for supplying sufficient numbers of cells for macroscopic screening methods) and robotic technology. However, during the generation of hybridoma cells, the very low fusion efficiency of the antibody producing B-cells and myeloma cells and a requirement to expand fused clones over several weeks, means that the output is rather low and only a small fraction of the immune repertoire (typically less than \(1/10^4\) for murine donors and less than \(1/10^6\) for human donors) can be screened.76 This can be drastically improved by using droplet-based microfluidics, in which single cells can be assayed at very high throughput (up to several hundred thousand within a few hours). Due to the low volumes antibodies released from individual cells remain concentrated inside the droplets,77 thus bypassing clonal expansion, which is required for obtaining detectable concentrations of antibodies in microtiter plates.

We exploited these conceptual advantages in a functional screen for antibodies inhibiting the hypertension and congestive heart failure drug target angiotensin-converting enzyme 1. Droplets were generated with a volume of 660 pL hosting single antibody producing hybridoma cells co-encapsulated with the enzymatic drug target (Fig. 5A). Incubation of the droplets for six hours resulted in an antibody concentration of \(\sim 20 \, \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}\) within the droplets. The droplets were then fused 1 : 1 with smaller droplets (25 pL volume) hosting a fluorogenic substrate of the enzyme. Conversion of this substrate into a fluorescent product allowed the discrimination of cells releasing non-inhibitory antibodies (fluorescence positive droplets) from cells expressing potent inhibitors (fluorescence negative droplets). Starting with a 10 000-fold excess of cells expressing non-related antibodies, fluorescence negative droplets were selectively sorted before the cells were recovered for further downstream analysis.76 Despite the fact that the system did not account for differences in the antibody expression levels of individual cells, 17 out of 18 positively sorted cells secreted antibodies inhibiting the drug target. More importantly, 300 000 hybridoma clones could be screened in a single experiment.

Screening for binding can also be performed in droplets, using an approach similar to conventional fluorometric microvolume assay technology (FMAT,78). This type of assay was first implemented in droplets by the Yarmush lab, focusing on the detection of cytokines secreted by single T-cells.79 It can also be exploited for antibody screening, by co-encapsulating antibody-secreting cells together with antigen-coated beads and secondary fluorescently labelled antibodies (Fig. 5B). In case the primary antibody binds to the bead, then the fluorophores of the secondary antibodies also get localized, resulting in a narrow high intensity fluorescence peak. However, in absence of the primary antibody (or in absence of target binding), the secondary antibodies remain homogeneously distributed, giving rise to a broad, low intensity fluorescence peak whenever the droplet passes the detector. Mazutis et al. used this setup to separate antibody expressing cells from a 10-fold excess of non-antibody expressing cells.77 However, they used neither higher dilution ratios nor demonstrated the selection of a binder from unrelated antibodies, which might indicate limited sensitivity of the system.

Extending the above approaches directly to primary B-cells will make it possible to circumvent the long procedure of generating hybridoma cells in the first place and facilitate
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**Small molecule screening**

As illustrated above for antibody screens, the generation of genetically different droplets can be easily achieved by continuously encapsulating single cells of a cell library. In contrast, generating chemically distinct droplets is very difficult as it requires changing the composition of the aqueous phase. In the simplest case, experiments using different drugs can be done in parallel or sequentially (Fig. 6A). For example, a droplet drug library can be generated using multiple drop makers simultaneously and collecting the resulting emulsions in a single tube. However, this approach requires barcoding of the droplet composition. Brouzes et al. demonstrated such a work flow and generated a library of eight different drug samples, each one additionally labelled with a different concentration of Alexa Fluor 680 R-phycoerythrin (emitting in the red/magenta channel). Subsequent to library generation, the droplets were reinjected into a second microfluidic chip and fused with droplets hosting individual U937 cells, before incubating for 24 h to allow for the drug to take effect. Then, fluorescent live/dead stains (emitting in the green and orange channel) were added by droplet fusion, and the cytotoxicity of the drug samples was monitored by laser spectroscopy downstream of a delay line.

As well as generating droplets of different composition in parallel, they can also be generated sequentially and much effort has been put into automating this process using autosamplers or liquid handling robotic devices. The time for loading a single compound onto a microfluidic chip this way is typically in the range of seconds, as has been demonstrated using a variety of different approaches: initial studies by the Viovy lab used customized microtiter plates that were completely immersed in oil. The tip of an aspirator was then first immersed in the aqueous compound, before being lifted into the oil phase and starting a further cycle. As a consequence, droplets of different compositions were aspirated into the target tubing and spread out by oil. Similarly, Gielen et al. designed a co-axial aspirator in which the outer capillary pumped oil into the wells, while the inner one aspirated the samples. This resulted in the direct generation of droplet trains. In an alternative approach, we used an autosampler to aspirate samples and fluorescent barcodes from wells and then co-injected oil to generate droplets inside a target tubing. While all these approaches enable the automated feeding of different compounds into the microfluidic system, the number of chemically distinct samples that can be screened in a single experiment still remains quite limited and typically does not exceed a few hundred at
maximum. To exploit the power of droplet-based microfluidics further, several other strategies have been developed: i) increasing the chemical diversity by generating concentration gradients for high resolution dose–response studies ii) generating systematic combinatorial mixtures on chip iii) using drugs immobilized on beads.83

Generation of concentration gradients for dose–response studies

Concentration gradients of compounds can be easily generated on-chip by continuously changing the relative flow rates of samples and buffer (Fig. 6B). This can even be done with more than one compound at a time, resulting in multidimensional concentration gradients. Doing so for antibiotics, Cao et al. generated 1150 distinct mixtures of ampicillin, caffeine and captopril and tested their effect on the growth of *E. coli*.84 These dose response studies not only enabled the identification of optimal antibiotic concentrations and mixtures, but also revealed antagonistic effects between the compounds.

Another way of screening drugs in a dose-dependent manner in droplet-based microfluidics is to exploit Taylor–Aris dispersion for generating concentration gradients. Miller et al. directly injected aqueous compounds spread out with buffer into the microfluidic chip using an autosampler.85 During flow of the samples, the compounds dispersed into the buffer and hence arrived at the microfluidic droplet maker at different concentrations. This enabled the screening of a total of 704 drugs for the inhibition of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) in a high resolution dose response study with a concentration range of more than three orders of magnitude. As a direct result of this work, sodium cefsulodine could be identified as a new inhibitor of PTP1B.
Combinatorial screening in microfluidic droplets

Combinatorial drug cocktails have shown improved efficacy in the treatment of several types of cancer or infectious diseases. In contrast to screening for entirely new drugs, this approach also ensures well documented and certified safety, toxicity and pharmaceutical profiles. Furthermore, systematic mixing of compounds also holds great potential in combinatorial (medicinal) chemistry. Therefore, many corresponding screens have been carried out previously using microtitrator plate-based robotic systems. Similar approaches have also been implemented in microfluidic systems making use of either droplet fusion or valves controlling the addition of different drugs during droplet generation. For example, Theberge et al. synthesized a library of $7 \times 3$ potential enzyme inhibitors on-chip by fusing droplets containing amine reagents $A_{x-3}$ with droplets containing aldehyde reagents $B_{x-7}$. However, droplets containing reagent $A_{x-7}$ or $B_{x-7}$ had to be generated individually and mixed to generate a library prior to the fusion process, which is very time consuming for larger screens. Furthermore, the approach was lacking any barcodes in the droplets, and thus did not allow the assignment of a specific effect to a particular compound. Instead, using this approach, it is only possible to test if a given library contains any members showing an inhibitory potential.

As an alternative to exploiting droplet fusion, combinatorial samples can also be generated by mixing different compounds on-chip prior to the generation of droplets (Fig. 6C). This can be done at relatively high rates ($\sim 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$) using microfluidic valves. The Wang lab used such an approach to screen 5 Matrix Metalloproteinase (MMP) substrates against 5 different MMPs at 5 different concentrations (resulting in 650 samples when including controls) to infer substrate specificity. All reagents on the microfluidic device were constantly kept under pressure, such that a droplet was released whenever a valve was opened. The relative volumes of different reagents within the mixture and hence their concentration could furthermore be controlled by the valve opening time.

Beads

Instead of loading drugs onto microfluidic chips in solution, they can also be co-encapsulated into droplets on beads (Fig. 6D). This can be done at high frequency (similar to the encapsulation of cells) and enables the screening of diverse one-bead-one-compound (OBOC) libraries, synthesized in a combinatorial split and pool approach. We have used such an approach to set up a screening system for enzymatic inhibitors. However, instead of using a conventional droplet maker, we seeded the beads into nanoliter wells (with a diameter slightly larger than a single bead) together with all components of a fluorescence assay for horseradish peroxidase activity. In a second step, the nanowells were covered with oil, resulting in the generation of arrayed droplets, each one hosting a single bead. Subsequently the samples were irradiated with UV light to cleave the photolabile linker and trigger compound release. Then the samples were incubated and a microscopic readout for enzyme inhibition was performed. Hits were manually recovered from the nanowell plates and applied to the deconvolution of the gas chromatography barcodes, indicating the compound identity on each bead. Performing a model screen of 35 000 samples including the positive control 4-aminobenzoic acid exclusively resulted in the selection of true hits, thus demonstrating the power of the approach.

Integrating a microfluidic droplet maker into such a system can help to improve throughput and facilitate further droplet manipulation steps. However, sedimentation of the beads typically hinders their use in microfluidic devices. To circumvent this limitation, Paegel and co-workers developed the “suspension hopper” which consists of a pipette tip inserted into a microfluidic chip. This approach enables the loading of beads by gravity in a very controlled way: the beads sediment to the bottom of the chip where buffer from a perpendicular channel transports them to the droplet maker. There, the beads are co-encapsulated together with assay compounds at high throughput. Paegel and co-workers successfully used this system to assay 112,000 samples for inhibition of HIV protease. Even though they did not demonstrate the sorting of positive samples or the use of barcodes for hit identification, it is easy to imagine how powerful such an integrated platform would be. Taken together, it seems very likely that the coming years will show many more examples in which small molecule compounds rather than genetically encoded factors are screened in high throughput fashion using droplet-based microfluidics.

**Droplet based microfluidics in genomics**

Single-cell heterogeneity is attracting increasing attention, largely fueled by the possibilities of novel microfluidic systems. As a direct result, it is becoming more and more obvious that differences on the single-cell level have crucial significance in development, differentiation, signaling and disease. For example, genomic heterogeneity is of major importance in cancer therapy, since it can cause the selection of resistant cells. Droplet based microfluidic technology that can allow for compartmentalization not only of single cells but also single genomes is thus an ideal technique to study single-cell genomics at high throughput. Since the beginning, when on-chip polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and reverse transcription (RT) – PCR was performed in droplets, significant progress has been made with respect to studying genomics using droplet based microfluidics.

**Single-cell DNA and genomic studies**

The cellular genome can be altered in comparison to the parental cell due to various environmental cues, errors in replication or exposure to various substances. Similarly, varying expression patterns of the cell during differentiation are well known. One of the major challenges of detecting such differences in single-cell genomic studies is the identification of rare mutations in large cell populations. This can be achieved...
by precise and sensitive quantification of mutated DNA using digital techniques such as Digital PCR (dPCR). Digital PCR is a sensitive and specific technique for quantification of a target DNA molecule, as well as identification of rare variants by making use of large limiting dilution of the starting sample to achieve less than one template per aliquot.99 dPCRs have been done previously in microtiter plates, on beads in picotiter plates, in water-in-oil emulsions, or even by using valve-based microfluidic platforms.100–103 However, these platforms can analyze no more than a few hundred reactions, whereas droplet-based microfluidics can enable the screening of millions of such reactions.

Pekin et al. developed a picoliter droplet based microfluidic platform enabling emulsion based digital PCR to identify and sensitively detect mutations in the KRAS oncogene within a large excess of wildtype genomic DNA.103 Using a dual probe TaqMan assay, resulting in green droplets upon amplification of mutant DNA and red droplet upon amplification of wildtype DNA, precise mutant alleles could be detected in several cancer cell lines. The authors were able to sensitively detect a single mutated KRAS gene over a background of 200,000 unmutated KRAS genes. Furthermore they demonstrated the screening for 6 common mutations in a single experiment by encapsulating six different probes in picoliter droplets which were then fused with the droplets containing DNA templates and PCR reagents. The clinical utility of this technique was also shown by detection of KRAS mutations in circulating DNA from the plasma of colorectal cancer patients: in 14 out of 19 clinically confirmed KRAS mutation positive cases, the genotype could be determined correctly from blood plasma, rather than requiring invasive biopsies as in conventional diagnosis.104 Shuga et al. carried out a nested digital PCR in droplets to quantitatively measure a rare carcinogenic translocation, t(14;18), in healthy subjects, which is a predictive biomarker for follicular lymphoma.105 The key feature of the study was a pre-amplification step to increase sensitivity. From the clot genomic DNA an amplicon was produced which was then used as a template in a second, nested-PCR. To quantify the nested-PCR amplified products, a primer attached to beads and a second FAM-labelled primer were used, resulting in fluorescent labelling and capture of the amplified products on the beads. The beads were then analyzed using flow-cytometry, enabling the detection and sequencing of a single (t(14;18)) copy in 9 μg (~3 × 10^6 copies) of clot genomic DNA (gDNA).105 Similarly, a duplex droplet digital PCR has also been used to detect pathogenic *E. coli* and *Listeria monocytogenes* from a drinking water supply, using two color fluorescent probes.106 Digital PCR can also be carried out in agarose beads rather than using aqueous droplets.107 In this method, uniform-sized agarose solution-in-oil droplets are formed, which essentially serve as miniaturized PCR-reactors. After the PCR, the droplets are cooled down, resulting in solidified beads in which the amplicons are trapped. Thus, the agarose beads serve as a capturing matrix to retain the monoclality of the amplicons, even when the oil phase is removed. The agarose beads can then be processed for genotyping or sequencing.107 Using agarose droplet microfluidics, Geng et al. developed a short tandem repeat (STR) genotyping method to identify a target sequence from a mixture of cells and DNA.108 Interestingly, the cells were lysed inside the agarose droplets by allowing the diffusion of lysis buffer into it, followed by diffusion of PCR mix into the droplets. The authors could decipher the STR profile of GM09947 cells in the presence of contaminating GM09948 genomic DNA molecules at high concentrations.

A study by Hatch et al. showed an alternative method for analyzing droplet PCR amplification using fluorescence imaging.109 The technique involved an integrated microfluidic platform for droplet generation, on-chip thermocycling for dPCR and fluorescence imaging of up to 1 million droplets in an array enabling quantitative analysis of the PCR products.109 However, all these sequence analysis studies require prior knowledge of sequences or mutations associated with the particular disease or genomic target.

To overcome this limitation, complementary developments in DNA sequencing and mapping have been established. For example, Abate et al., have put forward a proof of concept for a droplet-based microfluidic platform for analyzing DNA sequences in a high throughput manner using a FRET based ligation assay.110 In order to analyze a 64 bp region of a lacZ operon, the authors employed two probes, one labelled with quencher and another with FAM, that bind adjacent to one another on the target molecule. In order to decipher the identity of probes, droplets were labelled with different dyes and at various concentrations. The probes were then co-encapsulated into the droplets which were then picoinjected with templates and analyzed by fluorescence spectroscopy. The results showed that the perfect complementarity between some probes and a template could be identified using this technique and mismatch of even a single base could be discriminated. By increasing the number as well as the length of probes and considering all possible sequence combinations, this assay can be extrapolated to detect arbitrary target sequences.110 However, one likely limitation for upscaling is the cross talk between different fluorescence channels, which probably restricts the number of distinguishable fluorescence signals (for barcoding different ligation probes) to no more than a few hundred. In 2015, Eastburn et al., developed a droplet based sequence enrichment and analysis method called, MESA (Microfluidic droplet Enrichment for Sequence Analysis),111 to identify a particular genomic region of interest from 50-200 kb size targets. The method is an alternative to hybridization based sequence capture-enrichment methods and does not rely on PCR amplification of a whole target to be sequenced. Instead, MESA makes use of selective amplification of small (~100 bp) regions within the 50-200 kb targets, using TaqMan probes. The TaqMan amplified target sequences were then separated by sorting of fluorescent droplets. Subsequently the resultant TaqMan amplicons were enzymatically cleaved so that only the enriched full-length target sequences could be applied to next generation
sequencing (NGS). As key advantages, this method requires only minimal knowledge of the target sequence and circumvents PCR based artifacts or bias. Such studies can be pursued for discovering novel mutations associated with a particular disease using multiple probes and subsequent sequence combinations. With the advances in the field of droplet microfluidics allowing PCR amplification of templates in droplets and sequencing of the templates; researchers have also made some progress with respect to whole genome amplification/sequencing of single cells. In 2008, Kumaresan et al., developed a high throughput single-cell/single genome amplification technique using nanoliter droplets. Single cells or DNA template molecules were encapsulated into droplets along with PCR mix, dye labelled forward primers and microbeads displaying reverse primers. The emulsion PCR produced attomole quantities of long amplicons (>600 bp) in individual droplets, which is sufficient for Sanger sequencing. One of the significant highlights of the study is the use of a microfabricated droplet generator that produced nanoliter droplets which could hold larger amounts of nucleotides, PCR mix and also allow for longer (>500 bp) amplicons. In contrast, previous studies that performed the PCR in pico to femtoliter droplets, did not allow for uniform amplification of sufficient amounts of templates that could be further used for next generation sequencing. Fu et al. have recently shown emulsion-based whole genome amplification using multiple displacement amplification (MDA) chemistry. In this study, single-cell genomic DNA fragments were distributed into a large number (10^5) of picoliter droplets and were amplified to the saturation level using the MDA method. MDA is a non-PCR based DNA amplification technique that can efficiently amplify even minute amounts of DNA samples, such as from single cells, using random hexamers and high fidelity enzyme which can work at constant temperature. Since MDA is prone to external DNA contamination, dilution of the templates in emulsion significantly reduced the rate of false-positives. Fu et al., have also been able to show that using this method the amplification bias was reduced while retaining the sensitivity and it was possible to simultaneously detect small copy number variations (CNVs) and high confidence single-nucleotide variations (SNV). Sidore et al. have reported the use of MDA for the amplification of femtogram amounts of starting material from E. coli single cell genomes. Some of the shortcomings of the MDA method such as amplification of contaminated DNA or non-uniform amplification of templates can normally cause errors and/or lead to decreased sequencing coverage. To overcome this, the authors have described a digital droplet MDA method involving the compartmentalization of single molecules for amplification, which ultimately resulted in significantly better sequencing data. The authors also compared the efficiency of bulk emulsion MDA, polydisperse droplet MDA and digital droplet MDA, with the latter showing the most reliable results. Characterization of single-cell genomes has also been interesting for the discovery and analysis of novel microbial species which cannot be cultivated in vitro. As a proof of concept, Lim et al., have used PCR activated cell sorting (PACS) for identification and isolation of rare microbial species from a heterogeneous population. In this work, small genomic regions of hundreds of bases in length served as sequence biomarkers and were used to amplify specific genomic regions using TaqMan PCR. The specific genomic amplification resulted in bright fluorescence droplets which could then be sorted and processed for further analysis (Fig. 7). The TaqMan PCR could potentially even facilitate simultaneous interrogation of several genomic regions in the same microbe by multiplexing.

In another step forward, Rotem et al. recently performed droplet based single-cell Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChiP)-Seq, a chromatin profiling technique on the single-cell level that could identify subpopulations of the cells based on the chromatin state. In this procedure, cells were lysed and chromatin was fragmented inside the droplets. In order to annotate the chromatin from single cells, these droplets were allowed to fuse with another set of droplets containing a barcode library of oligonucleotide adapters (a unique barcode for each droplet and hence each individual cell). These barcode adapters were ligated to both ends of nucleosomal DNA fragments and contained a unique ‘barcode’ sequence, an Illumina-compatible adaptor and a restriction site for removing concatemers. Contents of the droplets were then pooled together and immunoprecipitated with an antibody, followed by PCR amplification and sequencing of the enriched DNA (Fig. 8). In this manner, the authors screened thousands of individual cells and were able to segregate embryonic stem cell subpopulations by their chromatin signatures of pluripotency- or differentiation-associated transcription factors or by targeted epigenetic repressors. The data indicated that a single-cell chromatin profile showed only about 1000 unique peaks but with very high specificity and correlating with previously known positive sites. Although the cell to cell variations could be detected, the authors admit that there is still scope for improvements in the efficiency of ligation, amplification and barcoding using alternative strategies. This could lead to higher data output per cell as well as extensive coverage for all the chromatin fragments that were generated. Nevertheless, the system already enabled the identification of a “cell type” from a given population with nearly 100% accuracy.

**Single-cell RNA and transcriptomic studies**

The ability to perform RT-PCR in droplet-based microfluidic systems opened tremendous avenues for exploring gene expression profiles and transcriptomic studies on single cells at high throughput scale. For example, Eastburn et al. developed a droplet based high throughput microfluidic platform for single-cell TaqMan RT-PCR of up to 50 000 cells in parallel. One of the highlights of the technique is the dilution of the cell lysate by splitting of the drops before picojection of the RT-PCR reagents, which avoids the lysate mediated inhibition
of RT-PCR. The study described the specific identification of PTPRC (protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, C) transcript expressing cells, from a mixture of dually stained cells, PTPRC expressing calcein green stained Raji cells and PTPRC-negative calcein violet labelled PC3 cells. The cell staining allowed for analyzing the specificity of the TaqMan reaction, elimination of false positive amplifications and identification of the TaqMan signal arising from free transcripts or lysate. Importantly, about 50 000 RT-PCR reactions were performed within 2 h and with as little as 400 μL reaction mix.

As a further breakthrough, novel approaches for analyzing single cells transcripts by NGS rather than qPCR were introduced. In contrast to previously existing non-droplet microfluidic systems such as the Fluidigm C1 platform, these droplet-based approaches enable the processing of thousands of individual cells in parallel. The first published system allowing to process large cell numbers was termed CytoSeq. While CytoSeq did not make use of microfluidic droplets119 and was based on miniaturized assay wells, the assay volumes and many of the assay principles are similar to very recent droplet approaches and it seems worth to briefly discuss CytoSeq here. In this method, single cells were seeded into microwells (with 100 000 wells per plate; each having a diameter of ∼30 μm) with a volume of ∼20 pL. Each well also received a bead barcoded with oligonucleotides that contained a universal PCR priming site, a combinatorial cell label, a unique molecular identifier (to circumvent mRNA amplification biases), and an mRNA capture sequence. After cell lysis, mRNAs hybridized to beads, which were pooled for reverse transcription, amplification and sequencing119 (Fig. 9). Using this technique, the authors could segregate a human PBMC population of about 750 cells into major cell types. Additionally, close to 5000 T cells were analyzed based on a subset of 93 genes for their activation profiles to in vitro stimuli. About 7000 T cells were also screened for identifying novel antigen specific T cells after exposure to cytomegalovirus (CMV) peptide. After these successful demonstration experiments the technology has been made available commercially by Cellular
Only months after the CytoSeq paper, two further single-cell transcriptomics approaches based on droplet technology were published back to back: inDrop and Drop-seq, both enabling global RNAseq of thousands of individual cells. The inDrop is a single-cell transcriptomic profiling method that includes unique labeling of mRNAs from single cells by co-encapsulating the cells with a barcode library consisting of photo-releasable primers on hydrogel microspheres, lysis buffer and RT-PCR mix. As for the CytoSeq method, the immobilized barcodes were generated in a solid phase split and pool synthesis. The mRNAs released from each cell were captured and uniquely barcoded during cDNA synthesis inside the droplets. After barcoding, the droplets were broken, all the material was combined and the cDNA library was sequenced using established methods (CEL-seq) (Fig. 9). Interestingly, due to the close packing and regular release of deformable hydrogels, ~100% droplet occupancy in terms of gel beads could be achieved, while only ~10% of the droplets hosted a cell. Each microsphere contained more than 10^9 covalently coupled primers, encoding one of 147,456 barcodes and thus a total of over 10,000 barcoded cells and controls could be profiled. Analysis of mRNA from thousands of mouse embryonic stem cells and differentiating cells provided a map of gene regulatory elements and corresponding population profiles.

In the simultaneously developed Drop-seq methodology, a single-cell suspension from a tissue was co-encapsulated with microparticle beads containing the DNA-barcodes (Fig. 9). The unique barcoding strategy that retained the origin of the transcript with its cell, consisted of four parts of a barcode: i) a constant sequence that is identical on all beads and is used for downstream PCR and sequencing, ii) a cell barcode that is unique to a particular bead and essential for the co-encapsulated cell to be identified, iii) a unique molecular identifier (UMI) which is different on each primer to differentiate between PCR duplicates and iv) an oligo-dT sequence for capturing polyadenylated mRNAs and priming RT reaction (Fig. 9). After the cells were lysed and mRNAs were captured on microparticles inside the droplets; the emulsion was broken. The reverse transcription was carried out on beads following which sequencing and analysis was performed. Both techniques, inDrop and Drop-seq highlight the problem of contaminations in single-cell studies and thus have used species mixing studies to evaluate it. Altogether, transcriptomic analysis of 44,808 cells from retinal tissue identified 39 distinct cell populations using Drop-seq.63 Taken together, inDrop and Drop-seq have provided an impressive demonstration of the throughput that can be achieved by droplet microfluidics. Nonetheless there are still a few limitations. Firstly, the low capture efficiency of mRNAs (~7%), could be problematic for transcripts with extremely low abundance. Secondly, though the barcoding allows for complete transcript profiling of a single-cell, the randomness in barcoding does not account for cell size, shape, or lineage.64 In other words, it does not allow coupling of genotype with phenotype. We are confident that means to overcome these limitations, as well as the development of other exciting
applications will be addressed in future work. Furthermore, the techniques can be extrapolated to DNA studies as well and with coupling to inhibitors, mutations or pathogens, multi-dimensional data could be obtained.

DeKosky et al. have described another application of droplet-based mRNA capture for the sequencing of paired antibody variable heavy and light chain (VH–VL) genes.120 The VH–VL chains of an antibody are encoded by separate mRNA transcripts, thus preventing the sequencing of samples containing more than a single B-cell (for which the information about the correct chain pairing gets lost). To overcome this limitation, the authors encapsulated single B cells and beads in microwells with picoliter volumes. (B) The barcoding strategy is fairly similar amongst all the methods, with a universal sequence for PCR/sequencing, followed by a cell barcode, then a unique molecular identifier (UMI) sequence and a poly-dT sequence to capture mRNAs. (C) There are few differences in RT reaction of the captured mRNAs. In Drop-seq method, the RT reaction is carried out on the captured mRNAs on the beads after breaking the droplets. In InDrop method, the RT reaction is carried out inside the droplets. In Cyto-seq, the RT reaction is carried out in bulk after pooling all the beads and thereby the captured mRNAs with them. (D) Published throughput of the different methods (in terms of single cells that have been analyzed). Reproduced with permission from ref. 119 copyright 2015, The American Association for the Advancement of Science and ref. 63 and 64 copyright 2015, Elsevier.
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elucidate the frequency of recombination events. The study was further supported by in vivo mouse model studies, where coinfection of noroviruses in an animal was carried out. The resulting viral templates were processed similarly in a droplet microfluidic platform for detection of recombinant viral templates. The analysis revealed recombination events among the recovered viruses that were mapped to multiple viral loci and occurred at a frequency as low as 1/300,000. Thus the study provides an insight into the importance of such single viral genome microfluidic studies that could otherwise be limited by common cell culture/in vitro assays as well as error prone molecular biology techniques.122

In summary, the last decade brought significant developments in the field of single-cell or single molecule genomics studies using droplet-based microfluidics. From the ability to perform PCRs in droplets, to analyze the mutations, and map the sequences, through chromatin profiling at single-cell level to analyze whole transcriptome of individual cells with precise barcoding strategies, droplet microfluidics is undoubtedly widening the scope for single-cell genomic studies. While this technology unquestionably provides the throughput required for large scale single-cell genomics studies, at the moment these studies are still at the level of proof-of-concept based research. It will be exciting to see how these techniques will spread and how they will be applied in other research fields, for example in developmental biology or biomedical science (e.g. for analyzing tumour heterogeneity). Furthermore it will be interesting to see to what extent different methods can be combined to obtain highly multiplexed data.

Outlook
Here, we have presented an overview of the latest developments, potentialities and promises of droplet-based microfluidics in drug discovery and molecular genetics applications. While the number of publications in this field seems to grow exponentially, for now most of the studies show proof-of-concepts instead of addressing important biomedical questions. With due appreciation for all the technological innovations so far, now is the time to apply the technology successfully with the goal of delivering biological breakthroughs such as the discovery of new drugs and drug targets or detailed knowledge on cellular pathways and mechanisms. Progress in the following areas seems to be of major importance for achieving this:

Instrumentation and Surfactants. A truly limiting factor is the restricted access to droplet microfluidic technology. Most currently used systems are academic prototypes running in highly specialized labs. This is also due to the fact that the technology still requires major trouble shooting capabilities and shows significantly lower reliability as compared to robotic systems. For example, unintentional droplet fusion can be caused by dust particles, electrostatic effects or simply by a bad lot of fluorosurfactant. Hence more efforts should be put in the commercialization of standardized surfactants and simplified instruments, allowing applications as described in this review to be carried out by non-specialists. To this end it seems more reasonable to first push relatively basic instruments for individual applications (such as binding assays, enzymatic assays, ePCR or single-cell genetic assays) into the market rather than focusing directly on complex multi-task systems as some companies do. In line with this it would be great to have a device allowing the seeding of individual droplets into the wells of a microtiter plate, so that downstream procedures for which no droplet-microfluidics solution is available yet (e.g. NGS, workflows requiring washing steps or the sequential addition of many different reagents) can be carried out with existing liquid handling robots.

Drug discovery. Droplet-microfluidics has already shown its potential for antibody screening, which is of major clinical and commercial interest. The next milestone is obviously the routine screening of primary non-immortalized B-cells. Currently this is hampered by the fact that plasma cells show much lower IgG secretion levels than hybridoma cells, which were used in previous proof-of-principle experiments. As for many other applications, this could be solved either technically, by increasing the sensitivity of the devices, or on the biology side by developing improved protocols for the stimulation of plasma cells. Similarly, microfluidic drug discovery could greatly benefit from developments in chemistry, such as the commercial availability of powerful one-bead-one-compound libraries. For example, a library of FDA-approved drugs being reversibly immobilized on beads would be a great tool for screening.

Applications in genetics. Many droplet-based procedures such as single-cell RNAsseq or ePCR are already very powerful and highly competitive to other technologies. Nonetheless, further innovations are needed to enable a higher degree of multiplexing and better efficiencies. For example, it would be great if the transcriptome of a particular cell could be multiplexed with other features, such as particular phenotypes (e.g. as determined by imaging) or protein expression. In addition, a combination of single-cell RNA and DNA sequencing seems to be highly attractive for analyzing mutations causing aberrant expression levels (for example, of oncogenes in cancer cells). In principle, this does not even seem to be very far away, since the separate barcoding of RNA and DNA from single cells has already been shown. However, a critical factor for combined work flows are the efficiencies of all individual steps which again depend on optimized molecular biology protocols.

In conclusion, significant future discoveries and breakthroughs are not necessarily dependent on the development of further microfluidic modules, but rather on combining knowledge from different disciplines. This is already the goal of particular conferences and meetings (such as the EMBL Microfluidics Conference series and the Single Cell Genomics (SCG) meetings), but requires further interdisciplinary initiatives from academia and industry. We feel confident that such initiatives will grow over the next few years.
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