Green Chemistry

View Article Online

PAPER



Cite this: Green Chem., 2016, 18 3272

Direct polymerization of levulinic acid via Ugi multicomponent reaction*

Manuel Hartweg and C. Remzi Becer*

Received 7th February 2016, Accepted 26th February 2016 DOI: 10.1039/c6gc00372a

www.rsc.org/greenchem

A robust, direct and efficient approach has been developed for the utilization of levulinic acid (LevA) as a building block in the synthesis of polyamides. In this approach, there is no need for converting LevA to a cyclic monomer as the carboxylic acid and ketone groups are sufficient for incorporation into a polyamide. Optimization of reaction temperature, solvent, reactants as well as heating source have been performed for the Uqi multicomponent reaction. The obtained polyamides were characterized carefully using GPC, NMR, MALDI-ToF MS, DSC and TGA

Introduction

The utilization of sustainable chemicals has been of major significance due to the dwindling of fossil resources. Lignocellulosic biomass is one of the most abundant and biorenewable biomass on earth and converting this into valuable chemicals, materials and plastics has a great economical and environmental potential.^{1,2} Various types of sustainable monomers have been polymerized and obtained polymers exhibit excellent material properties. Therefore, sustainable polymers find a broad range of applications and their demands are increasing steadily.^{2,3} Levulinic acid is an ideal representative for renewable platform chemicals for several reasons: LevA (i) is derived from abundant sugar-based cellulose, (ii) is already synthesized in multi-ton scale, and (iii) is cost competitive.⁴ Currently, two main methodologies are used in industry for its regeneration from sugar-based cellulose. It can either be synthesized by rehydration of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) or by hydrolysis of methyl levulinate, which are both obtained by catalytic dehydration of carbohydrates.⁴⁻⁶ In the last decade, LevA has been used in various chemical processes as a biobased precursor for additives, pharmaceuticals, and plasticizers. However, it has to be derived to find use as feedstock for polymer synthesis.7 Up until now, LevA derivatives, such as ketals and α -angelica lactone (AAL), as well as γ -valerolactone (GVL), are used as feedstock in polymer synthesis. Indeed, LevA derived diphenolic acid (DPA) may be considered as a

renewable substitute for bisphenol A (BPA), whereas other ketals act as monomers for the synthesis of polyurethanes and further thermoplastics.^{8,9} Furthermore, the derived AAL acts as an intermediate for the synthesis of aliphatic polyesters,¹⁰ whereas the regenerated GVL undergoes a ring-opening reaction to yield diverse polymer precursors.¹¹ In our approach, we are reporting a methodology for the first time the direct utilization of LevA in polymer synthesis without any necessity for conversion reactions prior to polymerization (Scheme 1). This methodology is benefiting from the Ugi-multicomponent reaction, which was established more than 50 years ago.¹²

Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) have became a major interest in polymer science since the introduction of isocyanide-based multicomponent reactions by Meier et al. in 2011.13,14 Multicomponent reactions bear the advantages of



Scheme 1 Proposed mechanism for the Ugi-4C8C polymerization from LevA, diamines, and diisonitriles towards polyamides.

Polymer Chemistry Laboratory, School of Engineering and Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, E1 4NS London, UK. E-mail: r.becer@amul.ac.uk

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, reaction kinetics, NMR, MALDI ToF MS, GPC, TGA, DSC analysis. See DOI: 10.1039/c6gc00372a

being atom efficient and allow convergent syntheses under mild conditions.¹⁵ Hence, the selected MCRs, including the Ugi reaction, can be considered as green reactions.¹⁶

Due to high diversity of substrates, MCRs provide an easy access to complex structural scaffolds in monomer and polymer synthesis, as well as in post polymerization modifications.13,17 In 2012, Li et al. reported the synthesis of sequence regulated poly(ester-amide)s via Passerini threecomponent reaction (Passerini-3CR).^{18,19} In their work, a dicarboxylic acid, two types of monoaldehydes and a diisonitrile were polymerized in a one-pot reaction in order to form a linear polymer backbone. Moreover, Meier et al. reported the synthesis of monodisperse sequence defined block copolymers via Passerini-3CR where an alternating Passerini - thiol-ene cycle was demonstrated using renewable monomers in order to form a well-defined backbone scaffold.²⁰ Very recently, the same research group significantly improved this methodology by applying the Ugi-MCR in a similar process and obtained sequence-defined oligomers with a dual control over two different side chains per Ugi - thiol-ene reaction cycle.21 These sophisticated methods benefit from an easy introduction of side chains at precisely defined positions of the polymer backbones.

Considering the scope of monomers that are used in MCR polymerizations, mainly the respective di-compounds, such as diacids, dicarbonyls, diamines, and diisonitriles were employed for polymerization reactions.²² In our approach, LevA acts as both a carboxylic acid and carbonyl in an Ugi-MCR. We present a novel approach towards sustainable polyamides based on the direct Ugi multicomponent polymerization of LevA. The formation of lactams *via* Ugi four-component reaction of 4-, 5-, and 6-oxo acids with amines and isonitriles has been reported previously.²³ The slightly modified Ugi-MCR polymerization approach of LevA, diamines and diisonitriles is technically a four-component eight-centre (Ugi-4C8C) condensation reaction per repetition unit in this study. Two moles of LevA react with a mole of diamine and a mole of diisonitrile.

Results and discussion

The initial step in the mechanism is the condensation reaction of the carbonyl group of LevA with an amine moiety that results in the formation of an imine while a water molecule is released as a side product. The formed Schiff base is in equilibrium with its iminium cation due to an intramolecular proton shift with the carboxylic acid moiety. Subsequently, an addition reaction takes place at the electrophilic centre of the iminium ion by a nucleophilic attack of the terminal carbon of the isocyanide, where a nitrilium ion is generated (Scheme 1). LevA and ethylenediamine were consumed at the same rate whereas the isocyanide conversion was slightly retarded as evidenced in the GC analysis (Fig. 1). Next, the nitrilium cation undergoes an intramolecular nucleophilic addition reaction with the carboxylate. An acyl transfer (Mumm rearrangement)²⁴ finally completes the Ugi reaction under formation of



Fig. 1 Conversions of the reagents during the Ugi polymerization. Conversions at room temperature (\blacktriangle , \blacksquare , \blacksquare), under microwave irradiation at 100 °C (\bigstar , \bigstar , \bigstar). LevA (\bigstar , \blacktriangle), ethylenediamine (\bigstar , \blacktriangle), 1,6-diisocyanohexane (\bigstar , \blacksquare).

the amide-lactam structure and provides herewith formally one half of the repetition unit within the polymer backbone. It should be noted that we have not observed the necessity of methanol or other protic solvents within the reaction cycle for the Ugi-polymerization. In our study, even in absence of any solvent the polymerization proceeded smoothly (see ESI, Table S1[†]).

Optimization of the Ugi polymerization

As a model study we have optimized the Ugi-4C8C polymerization of LevA 1, ethylenediamine 2, and 1,6-diisocyanohexane 3 (Table 1). Therefore, the effect of temperature (room temperature – 100 °C), reaction time (15–60 min), concentration (0.29–9.13 M), solvent type (MeOH, toluene, CHCl₃, MeCN, DMF, and water), and heating source (oil bath, microwave) were investigated at equimolar ratios of the reagents. Initially, several attempts were carried out at various conditions (see ESI, Table S1†). For instance, the first optimization reactions were carried out in either methanol or bulk, at either room temperature or at 100 °C, and either on bench top or in the microwave (μ w). As relatively better results were obtained in the microwave reactor, the rest of the optimization reactions were performed using a μ w reactor.

The effect of temperature for the polymerization of the monomers **1**, **2**, and **3** was investigated. The polymerization temperature was altered as 40, 70, 100, and 130 °C (Table 1, **P1-P4**), while the other parameters were kept constant (μ w, 2.28 M, MeOH, 30 min). At all reaction temperatures, size exclusion chromatography (SEC) analysis showed formation of polymers with number average molecular weight (M_n) in the range of 3990 and 7530 g mol⁻¹ and dispersities (D) in the range of 1.42 to 1.78 (Fig. 2A). However, the best results were obtained at 100 °C (**P3**: $M_n = 7530$ g mol⁻¹; D = 1.42). Both, an increase and a decrease of the temperature led to significantly

Table 1 Optimization of the Ugi-4C8C polymerization of 1, 2, and 3. The reactions were carried out under µw irradiation in MeOH

		$ \overset{O}{\longrightarrow} \overset{O}{\overset} {}{\overset}{\overset{O}{$								
		1	2 3	3 P	¹ - P15					
Run	Solvent	Temp. [°C]	Time (min)	Conc. LevA [mol L^{-1}]	$M_{ m n}$ [g mol ⁻¹]	D^{a}	Yield ^b [%]			
P1	MeOH	40	30	2.28	3990	1.78	>99			
P2	MeOH	70	30	2.28	6660	1.51	>99			
P3	MeOH	100	30	2.28	7530	1.42	>99			
P4	MeOH	130	30	2.28	6440	1.60	>99			
P5	MeOH	100	15	2.28	6690	1.57	>99			
P6	MeOH	100	60	2.28	6540	1.60	>99			
P7	MeOH	100	30	0.29	3410	2.06	97			
P8	MeOH	100	30	1.14	4160	1.78	>99			
P9	MeOH	100	30	4.56	8190	1.64	>99			
P10	MeOH	100	30	9.13	9460	2.43	>99			
P11	Toluene	100	30	2.28	4150	2.06	48			
P12	CHCl ₃	100	30	2.28	3810	2.05	83			
P13	MeCN	100	30	2.28	3170	1.83	97			
P14	DMF	100	30	2.28	1700	1.45	43			
P15	H_2O	100	30	2.28	4280	1.64	>99			

^a Determined by GPC at 50 °C using DMF as the eluent against PMMA standards. ^b Isolated yield after first precipitation.



Fig. 2 SEC traces of polymers P1–P15. Optimization of the temperature (A), reaction time (B), solvent (C), and concentration (D).

lower M_n . To our delight, the obtained dispersities are lower than expected for common step growth reactions (D = 2.00), and quantitative yields were isolated after precipitation twice. This indicates that only negligible amount of low M_n species, such as macrocycles, were formed. Notably, that in case of methanol and other low boiling solvents the pressure in the closed reactor increased dramatically when the boiling point was exceeded. However, the effect of pressure built up during the reaction has not been investigated.

Following to the optimization of the polymerization temperature, the impact of the reaction time was investigated (Table 1, **P3**, **P5**, and **P6**, Fig. 2B). Even at relatively short reaction times (*e.g.* 15 min) quantitative yields were obtained.

When the reaction time was decreased to 5 min (see ESI, Table S1,† **PS4**), only 79% polymer were isolated, displaying lower M_n and higher dispersities ($M_n = 3910$ g mol⁻¹, D = 1.86). However, the molecular weight did not increase with extended reaction periods. Therefore, in order to ensure full monomer conversion, following reactions were reacted for 30 min.

The effect of reaction concentration, ranging from 0.29 M to 9.13 M for LevA, has been investigated (Table 1, **P3**, **P7-P10**, Fig. 2D). At concentrations above 2.28 M, a significant increase of the molecular weight as well as dispersity was observed. For example, at 9.13 M polymer (**P10**; $M_n = 9460 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$, D = 2.43) was obtained. In contrary, at concentrations below 2.28 M, the M_n decreased, while the D remained slightly higher in comparison to the polymers formed at 2.28 M. This could be explained by the possible formation of macrocycles with different ring sizes. A similar trend was observed for reactions carried out in bulk (see ESI, Table S1[†]).

Another crucial parameter was the choice of an appropriate polar solvent (Table 1, **P3**, **P11–P15**, Fig. 2C), which influenced the polymer dispersities, and yields of polymers tremendously. Low yields were obtained, especially when toluene or DMF was used. Excitingly, polymers with acceptable properties (M_n = 4280 g mol⁻¹; D = 1.64) could be prepared in water. The best results were obtained when using methanol under μ w irradiation with a concentration of 2.28 M at 100 °C after 30 min of reaction time.

As the last step of optimization, the stoichiometric ratio of ethylenediamine was altered as 1.20 and 1.50. Interestingly, at all ratios polymers with almost similar molecular weights were obtained ($M_n = 8110 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$; D = 1.48). Moreover, excess of diamine (1.50 eq.) led to bimodal SEC traces with slightly lower M_n ($M_n = 5070 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$; D = 1.39) (see ESI, Fig. S5†).

Green Chemistry

In order to study the influence of the chain length between the repetition units of the Ugi-polymer, several different diamines (Table 2, **P16–P18**, Fig. 3C) were screened.

Table 2Ugi-4C8C polymerization of the diamines 2, 4–6 and LevA 1and diisonitrile 3. The reactions were carried out under the same conditions (μ w, 2.28 M, 100 °C, 30 min)

$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1$									
					[°C]				
Run	Diamine	$M_{\rm n} \left[{ m g mol}^{-1} \right]$	D^{a}	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}[\%]$	$T_{ m g}$	T _{d,max}			
P3	2	7530	1.42	>99	120	449			
P16	4	12 320	1.66	93	86	462			
P17	5	11 760	1.63	95	63	462			
P18	6	5230	1.36	87	52	377			
P19	TREN	n.d.	n.d.	96	n.d.	n.d.			

^{*a*} Determined by SEC at 50 °C using DMF as the eluent against PMMA standards. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after precipitation. Due to the insolubility of **P19** in common solvents the polymer could not be analyzed further.

We hypothesized an increasing molecular weight with an increasing chain length due to higher flexibility of the backbone and less steric hindrance of the reaction centers. Reactions employing diamines with a longer chain length, such as hexamethylenediamine or 1,12-diaminododecane, led to a significant increase of the molecular weight ($M_n = 12\,320$ and 11 760 g mol⁻¹, respectively) of the polymers while maintaining a comparably low dispersity (D = 1.66 and 1.63, respectively). In contrary, when spermine was used as a diamine the SEC traces display a multimodal distribution and a comparably low molecular weights. Although, the secondary amines of spermine could potentially take part in a modified Ugi reaction,²⁵ ¹H NMR analysis of the polymer exhibits the desired formation of the respective polymer (see ESI, Fig. S12–S21†).

Following to this, when TREN (tris(2-aminoethyl)amine) was employed as a triamine instead of a diamine in the polymerization, a crosslinked spongy material **P19** was obtained. As expected, it was insoluble in common solvents and could not be analyzed further. Additionally, *p*-phenylenediamine as an aromatic and PEG-diamine as a long chain representative were employed as monomers in the Ugi polymerization (see ESI, Table S2 and Fig. S2†). In case of the aromatic diamine, mainly low molecular weight oligomers or macrocycles were obtained.



Fig. 3 (A) ¹H NMR analysis of P17 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C); (B) COSY NMR analysis of P17 (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C); (C) SEC analysis of the polymers P3, P16–18; and (D) MALDI-ToF spectra of P17 with peak interpretations. The weight distributions represent three polymer species ionized by Ag⁺.

When PEG-diamine was employed, a multimodal distribution was revealed by SEC with a slightly higher molecular weight than PEG-diamine ($M_n = 10\,300\,\mathrm{g\,mol}^{-1}$; D = 1.78).

In addition to the SEC analysis, the obtained polymers were carefully analyzed by 1 H, 13 C, and COSY NMR spectroscopy, as well as MALDI-ToF mass spectrometry. As representative, the analysis data of polymer P17 are presented (Fig. 3A). ¹H NMR spectroscopy clearly indicates the presence of the amide proton (g), as well as the characteristic α,β -proton peaks of the amide (h and i) and the cyclic β -lactam protons (d and e). COSY NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 3B, red box) reveals that the methylene protons of the lactams (d and e) do only correlate with each other and shows the correlation of h and i, as well as c and b. Additionally, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (see ESI, Fig. S12-S21[†]) reveals the formation of characteristic high field shifted amide carbons as well as the presence of the quaternary carbons in α -position between the lactam and amide bonds. Moreover, MALDI-ToF mass spectra of P17 is presented (Fig. 3D) and reveals mainly three different weight distributions each with $\Delta(m/z) = 532.8$ Da, which is assigned to a single repetition unit U. The red distribution corresponds to polymer species that are terminated by a primary amine as first and an isonitrile moiety as second terminus. The green distribution on the other hand belongs to macrocyclic components. Unfortunately, the end groups of the blue distribution could not be determined. Nonetheless, the distance between the repeating units fit to the expected molecular weight of 532.8 Da.

Finally, the thermal properties of the obtained polyamides P3, P16-P18 were investigated using thermal gravimetric analyzer (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Table 2, and see ESI, Fig. S8 and S9[†]). The polymers exhibited an amorphous behavior and revealed glass transition (T_g) and decomposition temperatures (T_d) in the range for common polyamides, e.g. Nylon 6, 12, 4/6, 6/12. As shown in Fig. S9[†] no melting peaks could be detected in the DSC heating curves of the analyzed polymers. Analysis of the polymers P3, P16-P18 detected adjustable T_{g} values, depending on the chain length of the incorporated amine. A continuous increase of the chain length provided by the incorporated diamine led to a decrease of $T_{\rm g}$. Thus, the short chain derivative P3 displays the highest $T_{\rm g}$ of 120 °C. The polymers with a C₆ or a C₁₂ chain showed lower T_g values of 86 °C for P16 and 63 °C for P17. In case of the spermine derivative P18, a $T_{\rm g}$ of only 52 °C was obtained. This is explained by the increased flexibility of the backbone provided by the incorporation of the heteroatoms alongside the diamine. Moreover, TGA analysis was carried out. The analyzed polymers are found to be stable up to maximum of 462 °C. The decomposition peak maxima were found to be 377 °C for P18, 446 °C for P3, and 462 °C for P16 and P17.

Conclusions

In summary, a method for the direct polymerization of levulinic acid, diisonitriles, and different diamines *via* Ugi-4C8C reaction has been developed and optimized. The process was proved to be robust towards different reaction parameters, fast, and remarkably efficient. Thereby, the polymer structures were analyzed by 1D- and 2D-NMR spectroscopy as well as SEC and MALDI-ToF analysis. The obtained polyamides displayed good dispersities and molecular weights, where the latter can be tuned depending on the chain length of the incorporated diamine, resulting in polyamides with distinct glass transition and decomposition temperatures.

Notes and references

- 1 F. H. Isikgor and C. R. Becer, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, 6, 4497–4559.
- 2 R. Mülhaupt, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2013, 214, 159-174.
- 3 R. C. Thompson, C. J. Moore, F. S. vom Saal and S. H. Swan, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B*, 2009, 364, 2153–2166.
- 4 M. Rose and R. Palkovits, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2011, 32, 1299–1311.
- 5 R. Palkovits, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 4336-4338.
- 6 S. W. Fitzpatrick, US Pat., US5608105A, 1997.
- 7 F. D. Klingler and W. Ebertz, *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- 8 P. Mäki-Arvela, I. Anugworm, P. Virtanen, R. Sjönholm and J. P. Mikkola, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2010, **32**, 175–201.
- 9 C. Leibig, B. Mullen, T. Mullen and L. Rieth, *Polym. Prepr.*, 2010, **51**, 762–763.
- 10 T. Chen, Z. Qin, Y. Qi, T. Deng, X. Ge, J. Wang and X. Hou, *Polym. Chem.*, 2011, 2, 1190.
- 11 D. M. Alonso, S. G. Wettstein and J. A. Dumesic, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 584.
- 12 I. Ugi, R. Meyr, U. Fetzer and C. Steinbrückner, *Angew. Chem.*, 1959, **71**, 373–388.
- 13 O. Kreye, T. Tóth and M. A. R. Meier, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1790–1792.
- 14 A. Sehlinger and M. A. R. Meier, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 2015, **269**, 61–86.
- 15 (a) I. Ugi, A. Dömling and W. Horl, *Endeavour*, 1994, 18, 115–122; (b) A. Dömling, W. Wang and K. Wang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, 112, 3083–3135; (c) E. Ruijter, R. Scheffelaar and R. V. A. Orru, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 6234–6246.
- 16 B. Yang, Y. Zhao, C. Fu, C. Zhu, Y. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Wei and L. Tao, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, 5, 2704.
- 17 R. Kakuchi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 46-48.
- 18 X.-X. Deng, L. Li, Z.-L. Li, A. Lv, F.-S. Du and Z.-C. Li, ACS Macro Lett., 2012, 1, 1300–1303.
- 19 M. Passerini, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1921, 51, 126-129.
- 20 S. C. Solleder and M. A. R. Meier, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 711-714.
- 21 S. C. Solleder, K. S. Wetzel and M. A. R. Meier, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, 6, 3201–3204.
- 22 (a) A. Sehlinger, R. Schneider and M. A. R. Meier, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2014, 35, 1866–1871; (b) A. Sehlinger, L. M. de Espinosa and M. A. R. Meier, Macromol. Chem.

Green Chemistry
Phys., 2013, 214, 2821–2828; (c) N. Gangloff, D. Nahm,
L. Döring, D. Kuckling and R. Luxenhofer, J. Polym. Sci.,
Part A: Polym. Chem., 2015, 53, 1680–1686; (d) Z. Zhang,
Y.-Z. You, D.-C. Wu and C.-Y. Hong, Macromolecules, 2015,
48, 3414–3421; (e) I. G. Rudick, I. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym

A. L. Fou, D. C. Fu and C. F. Hong, *Machinetetates*, 2013,
48, 3414–3421; (e) J. G. Rudick, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2013, 51, 3985–3991; (f) A. Sehlinger,
P.-K. Dannecker, O. Kreye and M. A. R. Meier, *Macromolecules*, 2014, 47, 2774–2783; (g) B. Yang, Y. Zhao,
S. Wang, Y. Zhang, C. Fu, Y. Wei and L. Tao, *Macromolecules*, 2014, 47, 5607–5612; (h) W. Lin, T. Sun,
M. Zheng, Z. Xie, Y. Huang and X. Jing, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 25114–25117.

- 23 (a) K. M. Short and A. M. Mjalli, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 359–362; (b) G. C. Harriman, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 5591–5594; (c) H. Tye and M. Whittaker, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, 2, 813–815; (d) M. Jida, S. Malaquin, R. Poulain, G. Laconde and B. Deprez, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, 51, 5109–5111.
- 24 O. Mumm, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1910, 43, 886-893.
- 25 (a) G. B. Giovenzana, G. C. Tron, S. Di Paola,
 I. G. Menegotto and T. Pirali, *Angew. Chem.*, 2006, 118, 1117–1120; (b) G. B. Giovenzana, G. C. Tron, S. Di Paola,
 I. G. Menegotto and T. Pirali, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 1099–1102.