Zeolite catalysts do not use their full potential. Boooring!

Mesopores form an exciting way to enhance the utilization of zeolites...

Best ride ever!

...however, only if true design is employed.

uh oh!

PETROCHEMICAL

Faujasites comprise aluminosilicate topology. The zeolites within the three-dimensional network of access with an organic-free synthesis, composition (Si/Al ratio) can be altered the hydrothermal synthesis application of post-synthetic (infinite). As a result, they have applied zeolites in adsorption, faujasites, X was first conceived featuring a large cation-exchange accordingly industrially used as a

Pioneer of the past, still missing after

After a 2 week search, the treatments on zeolites to accessibility, enhance adsorption catalytic performance was already by Young. In this patent, the reaction hydrocarbon conversion predominantly based on a cationic (Si/Al = 3–6, micropore sizes been leached using alkali metal. Although the compositional limited and the patent deals with
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Faujasite (X, Y, and USY) zeolites represent one of the most widely-applied and abundant catalysts and sorbents in the chemical industry. In the last 5 years substantial progress was made in the synthesis, characterisation, and catalytic exploitation of hierarchically-structured variants of these zeolites. Herein, we provide an overview of these contributions, highlighting the main advancements regarding the evaluation of the nature and functionality of introduced secondary porosity. The novelty, efficiency, versatility, and sustainability of the reported bottom-up and (predominately) top-down strategies are discussed. The crucial role of the relative stability of faujasites in aqueous media is highlighted. The interplay between the physico-chemical properties of the hierarchical zeolites and their use in petrochemical and biomass-related catalytic processes is assessed.

1. Introduction

Faujasites comprise aluminosilicate zeolites with the FAU topology (Fig. 1a). The zeolites within this framework combine a 3-dimensional network of accessible micropores (0.74 nm) with an organic-free synthesis. In addition, the framework composition (Si/Al ratio) can be tuned from 1 to infinite by altering the hydrothermal synthesis (ratios 1 to 3) or by application of post-synthetic modifications (ratios 3 to infinite). As a result, they have become the most widely-applied zeolites in adsorption and catalysis. Of the faujasites, X was first conceived with a Si/Al = 1–1.5, featuring a large cation-exchange capacity, and is accordingly industrially used as ion exchanger, molecular sieve, and adsorbent. In catalysis, however, the protonic form of X is unstable. Accordingly, a more stable less-acidic variant was conceived in the form of zeolite Y. This zeolite comprises a Si/Al = 2.5 and accordingly only about 50% of the total acidity of X. Moreover, unlike X, the Si/Al ratio of Y zeolites can be increased and tuned using steam and/or acid treatments, while largely maintaining crystallinity. The resulting zeolites, with a framework Si/Al ratio of 2.5 and accordingly only about 50% of the total acidity of X, were labeled ‘ultra-stable’ Y (USY) due to their improved catalytic and hydrothermal stability. Accordingly, the Y and USY zeolites excel as catalysts in numerous petrochemical applications, such as (hydro)cracking, where they can display remarkable activity and (shape) selectivity.1–6
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The crystallinity is the fingerprint of the faujasite structure (a) which provides ample active sites for reactants that, due to size constraints, cannot enter the micropores. As a result, hierarchical zeolites have attained superior performance in a wide variety of catalysed reactions like alkylation, isomerisation, and (hydro)-cracking.\(^7\)\(^{-13}\)

Although center of attention in the last 5 years, the occurrence of hierarchical faujasites is not entirely novel. By stabilizing the Y zeolites to form USY zeolites by steaming and subsequent acid leaching, meso- and macropores are formed, which have been known for decades.\(^{14}\)\(^{-16}\) However, secondary porosity was regarded a side product of the framework stabilizing effect, and its beneficial role in catalysed reactions was perhaps never fully identified. This questionable role of the developed secondary porosity was supported by diffusional studies\(^{17}\) and microscopy studies,\(^{18}\) which highlighted that a large part of the mesopores form isolated cavities in the crystals and therefore do not significantly contribute to enhancing the molecular transport.

The development of synthetic pathways to attain hierarchical faujasites occurred relatively late. The latter may be due to the fact that a large focus on the synthesis of hierarchical zeolites has been on the application of bottom-up methods, involving the modification of the hydrothermal synthesis protocol.\(^8\)\(^{-13}\)Ironically, the most common zeolite catalyst, viz. USY, cannot be directly made by hydrothermal synthesis, forcing the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites to rely predominately on post-synthetic modifications. As the secondary porosity generated by dealumination remained in question,\(^{17}\)\(^{18}\) the use of acid leaching to generate mesoporosity did not attract further attention. Also, the potential of base treatments to enhance the accessibility of the USY active sites has remained obscure for a relatively long time. Only about a decade after the pioneering work of Ogura et al.\(^{19}\) and Groen et al.\(^{20}\) on the preparation of hierarchical ZSM-5, the potential of hierarchical USY zeolites prepared by base treatment was recognized.\(^{21}\)\(^{22}\) Even more recently, the application of optimized dealumination treatments on X and Y zeolites\(^{23}\) revitalized the use of acid treatments as tool to generate functional mesoporosity.

The key works on the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites have originated a substantial academic and industrial attention. This interest focused primarily on different acid and base leaching techniques to prepare hierarchical X, Y, and predominately USY zeolites. In addition, the resulting materials have attained promising results in existing and novel applications in the petrochemical industry and in biomass-related conversions. Accordingly, synthesis–property–function relationships and descriptors were identified and, moreover, novel types of active sites were generated. Nevertheless, these papers (duly referred to below) have been reported as stand-alone contributions preventing a comprehensive interpretation. Moreover, various pitfalls in materials synthesis and data interpretation have been identified, which, particularly to those outside the field, are crucial for the practical implementation of these attractive materials.
Herein, we provide an overview of the recent publications and patents dedicated to the preparation and catalytic exploitation of hierarchical faujasites. The synthetic pathways are classified based on applied treatments, and the resulting solids are evaluated based on the type, nature, location, and efficiency of the introduced secondary porosity and the remaining zeolitic properties. Often-overlooked environmental and economic concerns are highlighted, which are particularly relevant to methods involving micelle-forming organics, such as tetrapropylammonium (TPA\(^{+}\)) or cetyltrimethylammonium (CTA\(^{+}\)) cations. Stability aspects and related mesopore formation mechanisms are emphasized, which enable to generalize the behavior of X, Y, and USY in post-synthetic modifications primarily based on their composition. We highlight why, compared to the modification of 10 member-ring (MR) modifications based on applied treatments, and the resulting solids are evaluated based on the type, nature, location, and efficiency of the introduced secondary porosity and the remaining zeolitic properties.

Often-overlooked environmental and economic concerns are highlighted, which are particularly relevant to methods involving micelle-forming organics, such as tetrapropylammonium (TPA\(^{+}\)) or cetyltrimethylammonium (CTA\(^{+}\)) cations. Stability aspects and related mesopore formation mechanisms are emphasized, which enable to generalize the behavior of X, Y, and USY in post-synthetic modifications primarily based on their composition. We highlight why, compared to the modification of 10 member-ring (MR) zeolites like ZSM-5, ferrierite, and ZSM-22 reviewed in 2011,\(^{13}\) the post-synthetic design of the 12-MR faujasites in aqueous solutions is particularly challenging. Catalytic evaluations of Y and USY zeolites are summarized, confirming the role of the secondary porosity generated by base and acid leaching, and highlighting the nature and potential of novel types of acid and basic sites. Finally, future directions are provided on synthetic, characterization, and catalytic aspects.

### 2. Synthesis

From a material perspective, the aluminosilicates species that form the ‘perfect’ hierarchical faujasite should be purely incorporated in the FAU topology, and its secondary porosity should stem from inter and/or intra-crystalline voids between the crystalline domains. In addition, in order for a mesoporous faujasite to be considered ‘hierarchical’ its secondary porosity should enhance the task of the active sites located in the micropores. This implies that the mesoporosity should be accessible from the outside, and that steamed zeolites, although mesoporous, may therefore not necessarily be considered ‘hierarchical’. A typical hierarchical faujasite combines a substantial mesoporosity (\(>200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}\))\(^{25}\) with a maximum preservation of the crystallinity, microporosity, and acidity, which depends on the Si/Al ratio and cation. A brief overview of typical properties of such hierarchical faujasites is presented in Fig. 1. An overview of the different strategies is provided in Fig. 2, whereas the implications of different post-synthetic treatments on X and Y zeolites are summarized in Table 1. Of course, as elaborated in Section 3, the exact properties of the optimal hierarchical faujasite should be dictated by its application. For example, in fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) only a fraction (ca. 10–30%) of the catalyst granule is zeolitic,\(^ {24}\) and it may therefore be necessary that the faujasite crystals are accompanied by amorphous species.

#### 2.1. Bottom-up strategies

Bottom-up approaches are worthwhile as they often unravel new types of synthetic possibilities and can yield solids with exceptional features, which can be nicely visualized using microscopic techniques (Fig. 3). A recent example is the hierarchical X prepared by including 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl hexadecyl
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**Fig. 2** The synthesis of hierarchical faujasites using bottom-up (orange) and top-down strategies. Top-down methods consist of steam (purple), acid (red), and base (blue) treatments. The colour coding is used throughout the figures of the review. The references used to compose this illustration are summarized in Table S1 (ESI†). The exclamation mark in (c) indicates that this strategy has not proven more beneficial than (b) (see similar mesopore volumes in Fig. 7a). The exclamation mark in (f) indicates that base treatment on parent Y zeolites is inefficient (see Fig. 4). The exclamation mark in (k) highlights the severe amorphisation that USY zeolites undergo in inorganic bases (see also Fig. 12a).

### Table 1 Impact of acid and base treatments on faujasites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment(^a)</th>
<th>Crystallinity(^b)</th>
<th>Mesoporosity(^c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid + Si migration(^b)</td>
<td>na(^d)</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid(^b)</td>
<td>−/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base + PDA</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Acid')-base</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Acid’-base)-mild acid(^d)</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) In case of a sequence, the effect of the last step (outside of the brackets) is described.\(^b\)Dealumination of the framework using the Soxhlet procedure reported in ref. 23.\(^c\)X, Y: dealumination of the framework using standard direct treatment, USY: Removal of extra-framework aluminum produced by steaming.\(^d\)Removal of alkaline-induced realuminated species.\(^e\)Ranges from strong reduction (−/−), to no significant change in crystallinity (+/-), to strong enhancement (++).\(^f\)Ranges from negligible (−), to limited mesopore formation (+/−), to very strong enhancement (+).\(^\)Not applicable.
dimethyl ammonium chloride (TPHAC) as template in the hydrothermal synthesis (Fig. 3a).26 The degree of mesoporosity introduced was moderate (maximum external surface introduced was ca. 100 m² g⁻¹, Fig. 4). However, the efficiency of these materials as catalysts or sorbents remains unclear. Besides the synthesis of hierarchical zeolite crystals with intra-crystalline cavities, hierarchical faujasites can also be made by reducing the crystal size to the nanometer range, giving rise to intercrystalline voids.7 These nanocrystals are prepared by altering the hydrothermal conditions such that the crystal nucleation is favored over crystal growth. In addition, the prevention of aggregation is a crucial element, which can be achieved using a large number of nucleating crystals (high supersaturation) and/or steric stabilisation of the nucleating crystals (e.g. using organics). Several studies have focused on synthesizing FAU nanocrystals, yielding moderate mesoporosity, but substantial macroporosity.27,28 However, they are often obtained at very low yield (ca. 6 wt% of Si in the gel is incorporated in the solid).27 Moreover, the resulting materials can comprise substantial amorphous (unreacted) species. These contribute to the solid’s mesoporosity, but lower the specific micropore volume. In a recent work, Awala et al.28 demonstrated the potential of bottom-up methods by synthesizing, without organic additives, X and Y nanocrystals (Fig. 3e). The external surface is almost double compared to that of the hierarchical X prepared using TPHAC (Table 2, Fig. 4), and the material displayed enhanced activity in the cracking of trisopropylbenzene. However, although promising, an inherent disadvantage of bottom-up approaches is the inability to hydrothermally synthesize highly-siliceous faujasites (with Si/Al > 6). Due to the stability complications of faujasites within this compositional range, their potential in conventional catalytic applications is unclear. It is accordingly not surprising that most bottom-up techniques used to make zeolite catalysts, such as soft and hard templating, focus on zeolites that are hydrothermally synthesized directly with relatively high Si/Al ratios (> 10), like ZSM-5, ZSM-12, and MCM-22.7–12

2.2. Top-down strategies

2.2.1. Dealumination by acid treatment. Several recent works on Y and X zeolites achieved to purposefully prepare hierarchical faujasites by acid treatment (Fig. 2b, d and j). The formation of substantial mesoporosity (up to 388 m² g⁻¹, Fig. 4) in a Y faujasite, was attained by treating the ammonium form of the zeolite (NH₄Y) in H₄EDTA.28 An important condition was the controlled contact of the acid with the zeolite using a water-operated Soxhlet reactor for 72 h. The resulting material was obtained with a relatively high yield (80 wt%) and, moreover, featured a fully preserved crystallinity and microporosity. Interestingly, whereas the duration of the H₄EDTA treatment did not strongly influence the degree of dealumination, it proved to have a striking influence on the mesoporosity and resulting stability.22

**Fig. 3** (Left) Scanning electron micrographs of (a) hierarchical X, prepared using a bottom-up (BU) strategy,26 and (b–d) hierarchical USY zeolites prepared by spray drying of the suspension obtained by alkaline treatment.52 (Right) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of (e) Y nanozeolites,28 (f) MCM-41,49 and (g and h) MCM-41 prepared by reacting alkaline filtrates derived from desilication of zeolites with CTABr.49 The materials in (g) and (h) do not display clear MCM-41 features microscopically. This renders it challenging to distinguish hierarchical zeolites from CTA⁺-derived hierarchical zeolite/OMM composites using TEM. (a–d) Reprinted with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag. (e) Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers. (f–h) Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 4** Mesopore surface area (S meso) of hierarchical faujasites prepared by steam (triangles), acid (circles), and base treatment (squares), and bottom-up strategies (inverted triangles). Alkaline treatment is most efficient for relative low Al contents and acid treatments more efficient for Al-rich faujasites. Steaming and bottom-up strategies yield moderate external surfaces. The references used to compose this figure are summarized in Table S1 (ESI†).
The latter should be attributed to the Si migration in the Y zeolite, which takes place slower compared to the removal of Al from the framework. Accordingly, a prolonged reaction time enables a rearrangement of the Si species leading to a more stable framework and more pronounced mesopore formation.

2.2.2. Desilication of dealuminated crystals. The desilication of pristine faujasite zeolites by alkaline treatment has been attempted on several occasions but remains largely inefficient (Fig. 4). In order to dissolve the Na-form of X and Y zeolites, severe conditions are required, e.g. > 3 M NaOH at T > 65 °C. Although the zeolitic properties of the resulting solids are fully preserved, the dissolution appears mostly unselective, resulting in a low mesopore efficiency (1 m² g⁻¹ 96%). More intense efforts have focused on desilication of dealuminated Y and USY zeolites. Firstly, it is important to state that by steaming desilication of the framework is attained whereas the bulk Si/Al ratio is unchanged. Accordingly, the resulting material is a composite of a more siliceous faujasite framework with extraframework aluminum and alumina species. Alkaline treatment with the severe alkaline solutions (> 3 M NaOH) demonstrated that, unlike in the case of pristine Y zeolites, the micropore volume decreased strongly though no additional mesopores were formed. This suggested that an efficient mesopore formation by alkaline treatment of Y zeolites occurs only if the bulk Si/Al is raised. In addition, it revealed that once the zeolite is steamed, its framework becomes extremely sensitive to alkaline media.

Instead of steaming, the framework Si/Al in Y zeolites can also be increased by acid treatment. As shown in Section 2.2.1, an optimized treatment alone can render faujasites with well-developed and functional mesoporosity. However, as the framework Si/Al ratio as well as the bulk Si/Al ratio increases, the material can be readily transformed into hierarchical zeolites by base leaching in inorganic bases such as NaOH or KOH. Moreover, unlike its steamed analogue, this alkaline treatment does not strongly amorphize the sample. This is attributed to the fact that non-optimized acid treatments create defects and Al-deficient zones, which are selectively removed by base leaching. This process originates intra-crystalline mesoporosity and external surfaces up to around 200 m² g⁻¹. Importantly, base treatment on Al-rich zeolites gives rise to substantial realumination of the external surface. Although these species are tetrahedrally coordinated,
they should not be considered as classical framework species as they lower crystallinity, display a particular acidity, and can detriment catalytic performance.\textsuperscript{22,23,25} Accordingly, a mild acid wash using Na,H\textsubscript{2}EDTA, devised not to influence the zeolite framework, can be used to remove those species, enlarging the mesopore size and restoring the microporosity, crystallinity, and acidity (Fig. 2g).\textsuperscript{22}

The sensitivity of dealuminated faujasites in alkaline media becomes more apparent when the Y zeolite is steamed and acid treated reaching bulk Si/Al ratios above 6. This aspect was first demonstrated by NaOH treatment of a USY with bulk Si/Al = 30.\textsuperscript{21} This USY was treated at room temperature in 0.05 and 0.1 M NaOH developing large amounts of mesoporosity (up to ca. 500 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}). However, the intrinsic zeolite properties dropped substantially down to about a third of the parent USY zeolite. The incurred severe amorphisation during dissolution can be avoided by addition of organic pore-directing agents like TPA\textsuperscript{+} to the alkaline solution (Fig. 2m).\textsuperscript{22,38} In addition, inorganic additives in the form of Al and Ga salts can be used to prevent structural deterioration during alkaline treatment, although they do not facilitate mesopore formation to the same extent.\textsuperscript{39} The fragile USY crystal facilitates the transformation to the hierarchical form using the weak base NH\textsubscript{4}OH.\textsuperscript{40,41} This treatment proved inefficient for ZSM-5 zeolites as this zeolite does not dissolve in NH\textsubscript{4}OH.\textsuperscript{44} In the case of USY though, instead of leaching solid from the sample, the treatment partially converts a zeolitic fraction to a denser silica phase (see Section 2.6) hereby generating external surfaces of ca. 400 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} and implying very high mesopore efficiencies.\textsuperscript{40}

2.2.3. On pseudomorphic synthesis, mesostructuring, recrystallisation, and riving. One of the first syntheses of hierarchical faujasites by base leaching was patented in 2009 by García-Martínez et al.\textsuperscript{43} This method claimed not to produce hierarchical zeolites, but mesostructured one-phase hybrid single zeolite crystals. In contrast to physical mixtures of ordered mesoporous materials (OMMs) and zeolites, these materials feature an OMM phase made by alkaline-leached zeolite species using tetraalkylammonium surfactants, such as cetyltrimethylammonium cations. This method was later termed ‘pseudomorphic synthesis’ by Chal et al.,\textsuperscript{44} ‘recrystallisation’ by Ivanova et al.,\textsuperscript{45} and ‘riving’ by García-Martínez et al.\textsuperscript{46} The latter authors claimed that this method is effective for USY zeolites (Si/Al ratio ca. 15–40), where it comprises contacting the zeolite in an aqueous solution of NH\textsubscript{4}OH with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTABr) overnight at elevated temperature under autogeneous pressure. In contrast to the patent by the same authors,\textsuperscript{43} the study speculates that dissolved species during the alkaline attack are not formed into such ordered amorphous materials (OMMs), but rather reincorporated in the zeolite framework (Fig. 5).\textsuperscript{46} This recrystallisation mechanism was recently typified as rather surprising considering the gel composition and temperature required to crystallize FAU (Table 2).\textsuperscript{47} This may relate to the relatively high temperatures (140 °C versus 100 °C) and the absence of Na\textsuperscript{+} or K\textsuperscript{+} ions in the suspension. In addition, the limited zeolite dissolution yields a relatively low concentration of Si or Al precursor (ca. 5 g L\textsuperscript{-1} vs. ca. 100 g L\textsuperscript{-1}),\textsuperscript{49} which should be of high Si/Al ratio (>30 vs. ca. 5).\textsuperscript{3,48}

Riving was also demonstrated for pristine Y zeolites: in this case, an initial acid leaching step is required, prior to the above-described hydrothermal treatment. The authors described that this ‘acid wash’ is required to ‘loosen up’ the framework.\textsuperscript{46} The use of sequential acid–base treatments on Y zeolite, shows great resemblance to the method described in Fig. 2g. In addition, Verboekend et al.\textsuperscript{22} showed that exactly the acid wash as performed in ref. 46 induces a significant leaching and facilitates, like the strategy in Fig. 2g, mesopore formation by subsequent base treatment. Moreover, for these zeolites, instead of NH\textsubscript{4}OH, NaOH was used. This is not unexpected since, as reported by Van Aelst et al.,\textsuperscript{49,41} NH\textsubscript{4}OH is not alkaline enough to dissolve Al-rich Y zeolites.

To more closely assess the striking similarities of riving with conventional base leaching, Verboekend et al. alkaline treated USY zeolites without and with organics like tetrapropylammonium bromide (TPABr) and CTABr.\textsuperscript{38,40} In addition, strategic experimentation was performed with the filtrates obtained after treatment with only NaOH or NH\textsubscript{4}OH.\textsuperscript{49} It was found that, although comprising similar external surface areas, zeolites treated with TPABr are highly crystalline and those treated in base with CTABr are substantially less crystalline. Moreover, the mesostructuring treatments were reproduced on a USY with a Si/Al = 15 using exactly similar and slightly different conditions as patented (Fig. 6). In a first reproduction, CTABr was omitted from the NH\textsubscript{4}OH solution. This treatment induced a substantial dissolution of the zeolite proving that NH\textsubscript{4}OH is a strong enough base to leach Al-deficient USY zeolites. The Si-containing alkaline filtrate obtained from this treatment was subsequently complemented
with CTABr and exposed to the same hydrothermal treatment. This treatment yielded an MCM-41 with distinct uptake in the nitrogen adsorption isotherm in the range of 0.3 \( \leq p/p_0 \leq 0.5 \). The traditionally ‘rived’ sample possesses the exact same distinct uptake. Conversely, this uptake was not present in a sample exposed to a reproduction with an equimolar amount of TPABr instead of CTABr. This TPABr-prepared sample was concomitantly more crystalline compared to the material obtained by exactly following the patent. Accordingly, it is likely that ‘rived’ samples are composites of (hierarchical) zeolite with ordered mesoporous materials. Importantly, whereas the filtrate-derived OMMs possess the typical porous properties of MCM-41, they lack the typical ordering as observed by TEM. The absence of clear MCM-41-type ordering as observed by TEM is not a guarantee that the studied sample is purely zeolitic. Therefore, the best way to assess a sample’s intrinsic zeolitic properties is by using quantitative XRD, Ar adsorption at 87 K, or acidity assessment by NH\(_3\)-TPD or IR of pyridine adsorbed.

More recently, García-Martínez et al. patented the synthesis of crystalline mesostructured X and Y zeolites prepared by acid treatments.\(^{50,51}\) Remarkably, the approach to yield crystalline hierarchical X and Y zeolites and the properties of the resulting materials closely resemble those associated with previously-established strategies\(^{23}\) (Fig. 7). In one of the patents, a new approach was presented: contacting zeolite NaX with aqueous HCl in the presence of a P123 surfactant.\(^{51}\) This represents a roughly similar strategy as the base leaching using micelle-forming TAAs; an organic template serves to precipitate dissolved zeolitic species forming a zeolite/OMM composite. However, in this case the zeolite is leached using an acid and the template molecule is P123. Reproduction of this treatment yields a highly-porous solid (Fig. 6b). However, this material did not contain any zeolitic crystallinity (Fig. 6b, inset) and can...
therefore be best described as SBA-15. Accordingly, it is clear that, like in the case of base leaching of USY zeolites with CTA\(^+\), this method yields OMM/zeolite composites. However, whereas in base leaching CTA\(^+\) also serves to preserve the USY crystals, P123 is not able to exert the same role, and therefore only serves as template for the formation of SBA-15. An overview of the influence of various supplements to the acid or base treatment of faujasites is provided in Fig. 8.

### 2.3. Sustainability aspects in synthetic routes

The need to produce hierarchical zeolites in a sustainable fashion was recently highlighted (Fig. 9a).\(^{52}\) With respect to faujasites, the cost concern is very dominant as the parent material is relatively cheap (3–4 USD kg\(^{-1}\))\(^{5}\) since it is hydrothermally synthesized without organics.\(^{2,4,46}\) As such, many strategies to derive the hierarchical variants are less attractive as they involve costly organics such as TPHAC and tetraalkylammonium cations,\(^{26}\) which need to be removed thermally after the synthesis. In addition, TAAs are often used as Br and Cl salts, which require careful disposal. In the case of hierarchical X prepared by TPHAC this concern was recognized, resulting in a study to replace these organics by inorganic species (Li,CO\(_3\) or ZnNO\(_3\))\(^{5}\), yielding similar highly crystalline hierarchical X zeolites.\(^{53}\) However, the degree of mesoporosity was negatively influenced. In addition to the use of organics, a number of aspects in bottom-up strategies persist that constrain commercial prospects. For example, in the case of hierarchical zeolite X, crystallisation times of up to 5 days were reported,\(^{26}\) being an order of magnitude larger than a commercial X.\(^{2,54}\) Also, in the case of Y nanocrystals\(^{78}\) the crystallisation time was about 6 times longer than the typical synthesis (Table 2). Moreover, the reported high yields of nanocrystals relate to roughly 50% compared to that in a conventional Y synthesis.\(^{2,46}\) Finally, the separation needs to be performed using centrifugation, followed by freeze drying to maintain the crystal size. Hence, although bottom-up approaches yield fascinating materials, both the moderate introduction of external surface and the highly-demanding synthetic conditions challenge their practical relevance.

Top-down strategies have the intrinsic advantage of starting with commercial crystals, which implies that they can be separated in the same fashion as conventional faujasite crystals and start with a non-organic fingerprint. However, also many top-down strategies include unfavorable features, such as the loss of solid,\(^{55}\) the use of organics,\(^{38,44,46}\) and even additional hydrothermal steps.\(^{44,46}\) For example, the use of organics as TAAs have been reported to maintain crystallinity in the case of the sensitive USY zeolites.\(^{38}\) Recently, Verboekend \textit{et al.} showed that the preparation of a highly-crystalline hierarchical USY can also be attained, instead of using TAAs + NaOH, by leaching in a weak base as diethylamine (DEA) (Fig. 9b).\(^{52}\) This has as advantage that the zeolite remains in the H-form after removal of the DEA, which saves another ion exchange.\(^{56}\) Moreover, it proved that the volatility of DEA enables to recover 80% of the organic molecules applied.\(^{52}\) A similar argumentation holds for the recently explored weak base NH\(_4\)OH. However, while this approach enables to yield hierarchical USY at high yields (> 90 wt%), this approach does not enable to preserve the microporous character to the same extent.\(^{41}\) A summary of the samples resulting from the different techniques on USY zeolites were recently summarized by Van Aelst \textit{et al.} (Fig. 10).\(^{41}\) Herein, the relation between intrinsic zeolitic properties, the use of organics, and the material yield is highlighted.

Besides the use of organics, other practical aspects of post-synthetically treating faujasites were recently studied. It was demonstrated that species leached during the desilication treatments can be easily recovered and used for additional hydrothermal synthesis of new batches of zeolites.\(^{52}\) Moreover, addition of CTA\(^+\) to the filtrates obtained from alkaline-treated zeolites enables to recover MCM-41-type materials (Fig. 8).\(^{49}\) Still, the post-synthetic modification of zeolites are routinely performed in batches, which may limit productivity. Verboekend \textit{et al.} therefore demonstrated the in-line synthesis of hierarchical zeolites using a high-shear micro-reactor,\(^{38}\) which enables to optimize the stirring and contact time. At constant solid-to-liquid ratio (SLR), the authors managed to produce hierarchical USY zeolites attaining productivities that were 100 times higher. Later, the same authors showed that by choosing the right base (diethylamine) and alkalinity, the reactor productivity could be enhanced another 5 times by raising the zeolite content from 33 to 150 g per L in the preparation of hierarchical USY.\(^{52}\) The in-line preparation combined with the increased SLR enables an hypothetical 500-fold increase of reactor productivity. However, a highly-productive in-line synthesis of hierarchical zeolites remains futile if separation remains performed batch-wise. This urged the
authors to explore in-line separation by spray drying of the treated zeolite in its alkaline suspension. This has as concomitant advantage that the dislodged Si species could be reintegrated in the solid in the form of amorphous silica. Indeed, this approach enabled to make hierarchical zeolite/silica composites, which should be of particular use in FCC. In this application, both the use of spray drying to make granules and the presence of amorphous silica are mandatory. Advantageously, the resulting solid comprised a fully preserved (bulk) microporosity which implies that the precipitated silica is highly porous. Moreover, it was demonstrated microscopically that the leached species were incorporated homogeneously into the solid (Fig. 3), where they...
fulfill an additional role in shaping.\textsuperscript{52} Combining this technique with the ability to recover DEA after alkaline treatment, a conceptual in-line synthesis of USY composites was conceived (Fig. 9c).

In case of Y, the same authors showed that the initial dealumination and sequential base treatment (Fig. 2g) can directly be executed without the need for an additional separation.\textsuperscript{52} This was achieved by adding enough base directly to the reacted acidic suspension to neutralize the acid and provide enough alkalinity to induce a partial dissolution and mesopore formation in the dealuminated zeolite. It should be duly mentioned that the reverse approach (going from alkaline to acidic conditions) is more challenging due to the precipitation of silicates.\textsuperscript{52} However, as mentioned above, this also offers a means to prepare zeolite/silica composites without the loss of silicon species.

### 2.4. Generation of active sites

In addition to the alleviation of access and mass transport limitations, the emergence of hierarchical zeolites has sprouted a novel generation of active sites (Fig. 11a). In traditional faujasite zeolites, two main classifications of acid sites exist. The first is formed by the classical Brønsted acid site generated by the exchangeable charge balancing proton within the micropores, the second is the Lewis acidity generated by EFAI on the external surface of the zeolite crystal.\textsuperscript{57} This EFAI is caused by expulsion of Al from the framework during the steaming process.\textsuperscript{14} Conversely, the novel types of active sites result from the deposition of metals or alkali cations during the mesopore formation by base treatment.\textsuperscript{39,58–62}

Like in steam treatment, base treatment (Fig. 2g, k, m and o) results into the removal of aluminum from its traditional framework position and the reincorporation into other places on the solid.\textsuperscript{13} However, whereas EFAI caused by steaming is predominately in the form of octahedrally-coordinated alumina-type species,\textsuperscript{57} EFAI caused by base treatment is predominately tetrahedrally-coordinated, giving rise to a distinct Lewis acidity.\textsuperscript{25,60,62} Especially at low to moderate coverages of alkaline-induced aluminum, the density of Lewis acid sites per aluminum atom approaches unity.\textsuperscript{60} In addition to the remetallation of framework Al, the addition of external metal salts to alkaline solutions forms a tool to tailor the active site in faujasite zeolites.\textsuperscript{60} Dapsens et al.\textsuperscript{39} used this approach to remetallate faujasites with Al and Ga and thereby obtained highly active and selective catalysts (see Section 4.3). This approach was also demonstrated to be effective using Sn on MFI.\textsuperscript{62} Tin-containing zeolites, particularly Sn-beta, display particularly attractive features in biomass conversions,\textsuperscript{63–65} and it is likely that also Sn-USY can be made using this strategy. The latter is particularly attractive as Sn-USY cannot be synthesized using bottom-up strategies. Still, although some preliminary studies have been performed,\textsuperscript{66,67} the exact nature of ‘alkaline-induced’ metal species remains obscure.

A different type of active site was encountered in the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites for application in base-catalysed conversions. In base catalysis, the main way to obtain the basic site is by introducing a large amount of electron donating cations into the framework. This is commonly achieved by placing the largest alkali cation (cesium) within the faujasite of the largest CBCC density, that is, X. Accordingly, CsX was traditionally considered the most active base catalyst, whereas high-silica zeolites were disregarded as potential base catalysts.\textsuperscript{68–70} Keller et al.\textsuperscript{58} found that, unlike reported literature prescribes, alkaline-treated high-silica zeolites (Si/Al > 200) displayed exceptional activity. This type of active site stems from the surface coverage of alkali cations (Fig. 11b), which are present in non-framework types of coordination.\textsuperscript{58} The excellent performance of the derived materials (see Section 4.2) was ascribed to the moderate basicity of the sites.

### 3. Mechanism and characterisation

#### 3.1. Understanding the mechanism of mesopore formation

The fundamental knowledge of mesopore formation in zeolites in general remains limited. In the case of faujasites, the mesopore formation was traditionally studied for steam treatment.\textsuperscript{14} The mesopore formation mechanism by base leaching has been studied mostly for ZSM-5 zeolites,\textsuperscript{60,71,72} which should be related to its relatively early genesis (2000).\textsuperscript{19,20} In the case of hierarchical faujasites prepared by post-synthetic modification, a discussion on mesopore formation should tackle the relative stability of the framework. The latter is urgent since, in contrast to 10 MR zeolites, which only (partially) dissolve in alkaline solutions,\textsuperscript{13} faujasites readily dissolve and amorphize in aqueous solutions of either very acidic or very alkaline nature.\textsuperscript{73}

The definition of ‘stability’ can be rather confusing as it depends heavily on the conditions to which the zeolite is exposed. For example, high-silica USY zeolites are labeled traditionally as ‘ultra-stable’ Y (USY), since they are relatively stable (compared to Y and X) under steam in atmospheric conditions.\textsuperscript{16,37} However, in contrast, USY zeolites are extremely sensitive to alkaline solutions.\textsuperscript{38}
Therefore, the label ‘stable’ seems to be inaccurate. For nostalgic purposes, we have not changed the name of ‘USY’ zeolite throughout the paper. However, we emphasize that in the discussion of the stability of a zeolite, the applied conditions need to be carefully specified (Fig. 12b). Several works have focused on studying the relative stability of faujasites under various conditions. In agreement with Briend et al., Verboekend et al. showed that faujasites with a low Si/Al ratio (parent X and Y zeolites) are relatively stable in alkaline solutions (in atmospheric liquid water), whereas those with Si/Al ratio are very sensitive, as they dissolve and amorphize under the same conditions. It should be highlighted that once controlled (using NH₄OH), this amorphisation enables to introduce intracrystalline mesoporosity, while virtually no silica is leached from the solid based on a partial densification of the solid (Fig. 12c). In acidic conditions, the stability trends are reversed, showing that X and Y zeolites are the most unstable (Fig. 12a). With the eye on the application of faujasites in biomass-related reactions, the stability of faujasites in water at T > 100 °C and super-atmospheric pressures (‘hot liquid water’) is highly relevant. Ravenelle et al. revealed that especially high-silica USY zeolites are more sensitive in hot liquid water. Under those conditions, the zeolites behave in a similar fashion compared to zeolites in alkaline solutions at atmospheric pressure and moderate temperatures. Ennaert et al. related this to the changing water equilibrium becoming more basic under increased pressure and temperature.

Whereas the bulk Si/Al ratio is typically referred to in the modification of faujasites, the distribution of Al in the zeolite crystals is of key importance too. For example, the unfavorable Al distribution in X zeolites relates directly to its crystallinity loss during dealumination. Similarly, acid treatment of Y zeolites gives rise to pronounced Si/Al gradients inside the crystals, yielding Al-rich and Al-depleted zones. Such gradients have a very pronounced influence on the subsequent alkaline treatment (Fig. 12d). In the case of a highly inhomogeneous dealumination, the Al-deficient zones are readily removed from the solid, while Al-rich zones will not be affected much. This implies that the degree of inhomogeneity predicts the efficiency of a subsequent alkaline treatment. In turn, this is probably the reason why acid-treated Y zeolites can be alkaline-treated in the absence of organics: the Al-free zones may initially amorphize,
but are eventually completely removed. Al-rich zones are stable in alkaline conditions and not affected. Moreover, during direct dealumination, the crystallinity is often strongly reduced, as the dislodged Si species are not given enough time to be reintegrated into the framework.29 In this case, the desilication treatment can enhance crystallinity by removing the amorphous Si-rich zones.23,35 Hence, the mechanism of mesopore formation by base treatment of acid-treated Y zeolites should be distinctly different compared to the mesopore formation in highly-siliceous USY zeolites. This likely also relates to the lower external surface area introduced by base leaching in acid-treated Y zeolites with relatively high Al content (Fig. 4).

3.2. Advanced characterisation of hierarchical zeolites

The advanced characterisation of hierarchical faujasites as synthesized using the strategies in Fig. 2 is at its infancy. One of the most routine exercises in the characterisation of the faujasites is porosity assessment using nitrogen adsorption isotherms. Although the isotherms should always be central, a common focal point is the use of the t-plot model, which is used to distinguish between (ideally zeolitic) microporosity and the introduced secondary porosity.78 Although this method has proved of instrumental value to the characterisation of conventional mostly microporous zeolites, its application on hierarchical zeolites should be performed with caution. The latter is particularly true in the case secondary pores in the size range of 2–4 nm are present. Such pores are formed easily when USY zeolites are reacted with mild alkaline solutions, especially with micelle forming TAA s, like CTA+ (Fig. 6a).49 In the latter case, a hierarchical zeolite/MCM-41 composite is formed comprising pores in the size range typical of faujasites (0.74 nm), MCM-41 (2–4 nm) and mesoporous zeolites (2–20 nm). Fajula et al.79 showed that indeed the t-plot has strong limitations for such composite materials and provided an abacus to correct for the obtained $V_{\text{micro}}$ and $S_{\text{meso}}$ (Fig. 13a). However, a doubtful application of the original t-plot over the parent microporous material, which is an important correction factor in the abacus, obscures the implication of the proposed methodology. Besides the complication of the presence of 2–4 nm pores in MCM-41 type materials, another intrinsic difficulty is that OMMs often comprise substantial microporosity.49,79,80 This means that the assessment of the micropore volume, as derived by nitrogen is of limited value, and a more trustworthy alternative is the adsorption of argon at 87 K.78,81 Such analysis, in combination with quantitative crystallinity assessment and acidity characterisation may be the best way to analyze the intrinsic zeolitic properties. Besides in zeolite/OMM composites, 2–4 nm pores can also occur in highly-crystalline mesoporous faujasites. For example, such porosity occurs after the (optimized) dealumination of NH4Y zeolites using H4EDTA (Fig. 7b).22,23 Also, in this case, the incorrect application of the t-plot can, instead of large external surface areas (ca. 400 m² g⁻¹), yield potentially unrealistic micropore volumes exceeding 0.40 cm³ g⁻¹.

An important piece of information that can be derived from nitrogen (and argon) isotherms is the degree of pore blockage.78 Based on the adsorbate properties, the amount of blocked pores

![Fig. 13 Advanced characterisation of hierarchical faujasites. (a) Difficulties of non-linearity in the application of the t-plot on zeolite/OMM materials.79 (b) Ar adsorption at 77 K reveals hysteresis, hence occluded mesopores, in the parent and NH4OH treated USY zeolites.41 (c) The BJH desorption mesopore size distributions for steamed Y zeolites comprising occluded (larger 4 nm peak) and more accessible mesopores (smaller 4 nm peak). (d) enhanced diffusivity in a hierarchical X prepared using a bottom-up (BU) strategy.86 (e) Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy: the relative life time of implanted positrons gives an indication of the accessibility of mesopores.87 (f) Accessibility of the mesopores in USY quantified using processing of transmission electron micrographs.82 3D representations of the open mesopores in green (left) and the closed mesopores in red (right). (a) Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society. (b, d and f) Reprinted with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag. (e) Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers.](image-url)
can be evaluated using the degree of hysteresis in the desorption isotherm. In the case of nitrogen at 77 K, this can be performed by application of the BJH model to the desorption isotherm. Therein, the sudden closure of the hysteresis loop, for N\textsubscript{2} at 77 K this occurs at p/p\textsubscript{0} \sim 0.45, is observed as a sharp peak at 4 nm (Fig. 13c).\textsuperscript{74} The relative size of this peak can be used to quantify the degree of pore blockage. De Jong \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{82} used this approach to quantify the pore blockage in a USY and found that about 22\% of the mesopores are occluded. This was successfully related to the amount of cavitated mesopores found by electron tomography (18\%, Fig. 13f). However, they did not reveal the influence of any hierarchisation by the alkaline treatments. Garcia-Martinez \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{83} demonstrated a novel interpretation of Ar adsorption measurements performed at different temperatures, that is 77 K and 65 K, to study the same effect. Due to the different nature of the adsorbate compared to nitrogen, pores down to size range of 2–5 nm can be probed. They concluded that 64\% of the mesopore volume of an alkaline-treated USY is accessible. Interestingly enough, this value was not compared to the parent zeolite. Van Aelst \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{41} used the same technique to assess and compare the porosity of an NH\textsubscript{4}OH-treated USY with the parent zeolite (Fig. 13b). They observed, like Garcia-Martinez \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{81} that about 70\% of the porosity in the relevant size range was accessible in the alkaline-treated USY. However, they also observed that the percentage of accessible mesoporosity in the parent zeolite was higher (80\%), agreeing well with the results from De Jong \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{82} Importantly, this means that, of the mesopores generated by alkaline treatment, a significant fraction may not be accessible. Further study will need to reveal whether this is also the case for more crystalline alkaline-treated zeolites treated with TPA\textsuperscript{+} or diethylamine. Mesopore accessibility of hierarchical Y zeolites prepared by acid–base treatment was confirmed by Verboekend \textit{et al.} using mercury intrusion.\textsuperscript{22} This technique enables to selectively probe connected mesopores down to sizes of 5 nm. The mesoporous samples displayed a strongly enhanced uptake of pores smaller than 10 nm, proving that the bulk of the pores of size 10–5 nm are accessible from the exterior of the crystal.

The introduced porosity ultimately aims at enhanced catalysis either by increasing the number of accessible active sites, in the case of access limited reactions, or by reducing mass-transfer limitations. In the latter case, the role of diffusion is eminent to quantify the functionality of the secondary porosity more accurately. Related studies have focused primarily on 10 MR zeolites, like ZSM-5,\textsuperscript{84–87} as the mass transfer limitations can be assessed in the gas phase using common molecules, such as xylene or neopentane. However, in the case of faujasite, studies are fewer, which may be due to the necessity for larger probe molecules to study mass transfer limitations. These molecules are less volatile, which is experimentally challenging as experiments need to be performed in the liquid phase. Still, Kortunov \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{17} used pulsed field gradient (PFG) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy with \(\pi\)-octane and triisopropylbenzene as probe molecules to study mass transfer limitations in parent Y zeolites and their steamed analogs. Large differences in intracrystalline diffusivity were not observed, which led the authors to conclude that mesopores introduced \textit{via} steaming are of little use. It should however be emphasized that the studied crystals comprised only 32 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{–1} (parent) and 62 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{–1} (steamed) external surface, which is little compared to the commercial steamed (and acid leached) USY zeolites (ca. 200 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{–1}, Fig. 4). Later, Kärger and coworkers\textsuperscript{88} used the same technique to quantify mass transfer limitations of conventional and hierarchical zeolite X (prepared using strategy Fig. 2a), and found large enhancements of transport rates (Fig. 13d). However, in this case the hierarchical sample did not exceed an external surface of 84 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{–1}, and it therefore remains unclear how these diffusivities relate to those in highly mesoporous (S\textsubscript{meso} > 200 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{–1}) faujasites. In addition, since these studies focused primarily on faujasites with Si/Al < 3.4, it is unclear how the diffusivity is affected in the commonly-used high-silica faujasites (Si/Al > 10).

The influence of acidity of hierarchical faujasites was on several occasions assessed,\textsuperscript{21–23} but only rarely studied in depth. In the case of hierarchical faujasites, study of acidity is not straightforward due to the relatively low overall stability of X and Y in the protonic form.\textsuperscript{14} In addition, total acidities measured on such faujasites are often much lower than what could be expected based on their framework Al content.\textsuperscript{73} Accordingly, it is not surprising that acidity changes were mostly focused on the implications of the alkaline treatment on USY zeolites in the compositional range of Si/Al = 15–40.\textsuperscript{21,49,56,66,89,90} The influence of such treatments on the total acidity is commonly measured using IR of pyridine adsorbed. As expected, based on the total loss of bulk crystallinity, treatment in NaOH alone leads to a significant reduction in Bronsted acidity.\textsuperscript{21,49,56} Methods that enable to preserve intrinsic zeolitic properties, such as TPABr + NaOH (Fig. 2m), yield solids with Bronsted acidities varying from 60–110\% compared to that of the parent (Fig. 14b).\textsuperscript{49,56,66,90} Rac \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{66} studied the total acidity and acid strength of hierarchical USY by NH\textsubscript{3} desorption and PEA adsorption. They found that the total acidity was largely maintained, the acid strength was reduced by 50\% (Fig. 14a and c). The latter trend was confirmed using NH\textsubscript{3}-TPD for a USY with Si/Al = 15 by Pérez-Ramírez and coworkers.\textsuperscript{99}

4. Catalytic evaluation

4.1. (Hydro)cracking of vacuum gas oil

The catalytic evaluation of hierarchical faujasites in the hydrocracking of vacuum gas oil (VGO) has been studied on several accounts. De Jong \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{21} studied conventional and a mildly-NaOH leached USY zeolite, both as part of an alumina-supported NiMo\textsubscript{5} catalyst, in the cracking of vacuum gas oil (Table 3). The hierarchical variant attained a higher activity, and displayed a pronounced selectivity shift from lower-boiling point fractions (up to 140 °C) towards higher-boiling point fractions (140–375 °C). Accordingly, more kerosene and gasoline were formed at the expense of light gases and naphtha. In addition, the catalysts displayed a lower degree of coking. Also, Garcia-Martinez \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{46} evaluated a parent and a single alkaline-treated USY zeolite in the
The catalytic results as described in Table 3 were attributed to a higher accessibility of the crystal domains. Such crystals enable a better access of larger molecules and moreover, facilitate the timely evacuation of cracked products from these microporous domains. Whereas the former leads to an enhanced bottom-conversion capacity and reduced coke formation, the reduced over-cracking leads to a lower gas formation and higher olefinicity. A very important aspect in cracking is that the three mesoporous samples comprised largely inferior acidity properties. The latter is not only a coincidence, but seems to be mandatory to maintain an optimal cracking behavior. For example, Martínez et al.91 showed that a highly mesoporous and highly acidic faujasite leads to strong over-cracking and accordingly more gas formation and lower olefinicity values. Only after moderating its acidity by steaming, the optimal performance was achieved. Accordingly, both activity and selectivity in catalytic cracking are a function of the acidity and the external surface. This concept is schematically illustrated in the case of squalane cracking (Fig. 15).

### 4.2. Carbon–carbon couplings

Frequently-applied model reactions to evaluate the performance of hierarchical USY zeolites are carbon couplings in the form of acid-catalysed alkylations or base-catalysed condensations. Various acid-catalysed couplings were tested on post-synthetically modified Y zeolites (Fig. 16). Verboekend et al.22 showed that a dealuminated and alkaline treated Y zeolite yielded a similar performance as the parent zeolite. Although this implies an increase of the TOF based on the lower Si/Al ratio in the treated sample, an overall enhancement was not achieved. Only when the zeolite was treated in a mild acid, to remove the Al-rich deposits (Fig. 2g), an enhanced performance was achieved. The latter performance related to an estimated 4-fold increase in the TOF (Fig. 16d). Jiao et al.35 studied the acetalisation of cyclohexanon with glycol and pentaerythritol, on pristine, acid-treated, and sequentially acid–base treated Y zeolites. They observed, like Verboekend et al.

### Table 3 Performance of hierarchical faujisites in the catalytic hydro-cracking of vacuum gas oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Martínez21</th>
<th>Garcia-Martínez46</th>
<th>De Jong21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bottoms</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cokes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gases</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olefinicity</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

catalytic cracking of VGO. They observed an increase in gasoline and a higher olefinicity in the C3 and C4 fraction and a reduced occurrence of cokes and bottoms. Martínez et al.91 studied hierarchical stabilized Y zeolites and evidenced a higher C3–C4 olefinicity and diesel yields at the expense of bottoms and gas formation. The similar results (Table 3) highlight the value of secondary porosity in catalytic cracking. Moreover, they indicate that the presence of OMMS, as facilitated by the CTA-assisted synthesis, does not yield any additional advantage.
that the catalytic performance was not enhanced by the acid–alkaline treatment (Fig. 16f). Only when a significantly larger substrate was used (Fig. 16e), did the hierarchical porous zeolites yield enhanced performance. This suggests that the value of the external surface increases with the size of the products. Unfortunately, the efficiency of a mild acid washing of the alkaline-treated sample was not demonstrated.

Although the synthesis and characterisation of TPA⁺ and CTA⁺-treated USY zeolites has been systematically compared on several occasions, the differences in catalysis has been limited to a single study. Verboekend et al.⁴⁹ studied alkaline-treated USY zeolites in the conversion of alkylate benzyl alcohol or isopropyl alcohol with toluene, forming either cymenes (C₁₀) or dibenzenes (C₁₃, Fig. 16b and c). It was demonstrated that only a zeolite treated with TPABr + NaOH leads to superior performance. Moreover, the CTA⁺-treated sample displays an activity inferior to that of the starting USY zeolite. This suggests that, unlike in cracking reactions where acidity is best moderated (Fig. 15), reactions requiring high Bronsted acid densities benefit from maintaining the intrinsic zeolitic properties. Accordingly, in such reactions, there is a clear benefit in using non-micelle forming organics, such as DEA or TPA⁺, as they enable to preserve these properties to the largest extent during mesopore formation.

Base-catalysed coupling reactions were studied mostly in the form of Knoevenagel condensations. Cesium-exchanged hierarchical X (Fig. 2b), Y (Fig. 2g), and USY (Fig. 2m) were evaluated in a classic Knoevenagel condensation of malononitrile with benzaldehyde.²³ Unlike reported previously,⁹₂ a pronounced near-linear relationship between the activity of the catalysts and the external surface was established (Fig. 17b). Since the studied Cs-faujasites comprised different quantities of basic sites, it was concluded that the external surface displays a dominant influence on the activity. This relationship sprouted the authors to evaluate the activity of a larger number of alkali-exchanged hierarchical faujasites, including a much wider range of Si/Al ratios. Since mesopore formation is generally more efficient for high-silica faujasites (Fig. 4), and a higher density of alkali cations (hence active sites) is present in X and Y, an optimum activity was expected. However, instead of an optimum, the highest activity was obtained for all-silica, hence virtually alkali-free, USY zeolites (Fig. 16a), which was attributed to the establishment of a novel site by base treatment (see Section 2.4).

The potential of these hierarchical all-silica faujasites was evaluated as a function of the kinetic diameter of the aldehyde (Fig. 17e), where, as observed earlier in Fig. 16e and f, the utilisation of the mesopore surface area increased significantly with the size of the reactants.
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bulky and reactive nature of biomass-derived molecules implies therefore the design of zeolites will differ. Moreover, the more biomass streams, such as higher oxygen content, the use and therefore obscure. Peng et al. recently demonstrated the role of bio oils, Milina et al. used cobalt-supported hierarchical USY zeolites and observed a 50% increase in the acid-catalysed esterification of -pinene as a function of the dominant pore diameter of alkaline-treated USY. The influence of washing an alkaline-treated zeolite with Na₂H₂EDTA is indicated by the arrows. (e) Activity amplification (a) through introduction of the yield of two distinct 2-ring methylenedianiline (MDA) isomers and the product of Brønsted acidity (B) and mesopore surface of USY zeolites. (g) Conversion and product yields to dimers and trimers (Y₂dim, Y₃trimer, respectively) in the self-condensation of propionaldehyde. (h) Correlation between the activity in a Knoevenagel reaction (see Fig. 16a). (c) Influence of mesopore size on the catalytic behavior of Co-loaded hierarchical Y catalysts in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. (d) The yield of cresol acetate (Y_CrAc) in the esterification of acetic acid (AA) with -cresol as a function of the kinetic diameter (d₅₀) of the aldehyde. (f) Correlation between the hierarchy factor (IHF) of alkaline-treated USY and isomerisation reactions. 41,56,102 The applied hierarchical zeolites were prepared predominately by post-synthetic modifications, that is, base leaching of dealuminated Y or USY zeolites. The latter was obtained using sustainable mild leaching techniques (Fig. 9b), which concomitantly highlighted that the largest relatively activity gains are obtained at the initial stages of leaching (Fig. 17a). To address the higher oxygen content in bio oils, Milina et al. studied the use of hierarchical faujasites in the acid-catalysed esterification of -cresol with acetic acid. They applied hierarchical USY zeolites and observed a 50% activity improvement with respect to a conventional USY. Moreover, they exploited the potential of post-synthetic modifications, that is, base leaching of dealuminated Y or USY zeolites. The main reported advantage of the developed mesoporosity is a relative increase of the catalyst activity.

4.3. Novel catalytic applications

Motivated by the eminent transition to more sustainable production processes, biomass is nowadays frequently studied as alternative to oil-derived feedstocks. Zeolites have the potential to catalyse the transition to biomass conversion, owing to their excellent adaptability to meet the specific demands of chemical transformations. 95-97 Based on the different nature of the biomass streams, such as higher oxygen content, the use and therefore the design of zeolites will differ. Moreover, the more bulky and reactive nature of biomass-derived molecules implies that, like in oil-derived streams, the potential of hierarchical zeolites in the valorisation of biomass is vast. 95-98

The application of hierarchical zeolites in biomass has not yet been very well studied. The latter may be due to the relatively recent establishment of both research fields. In biomass, hierarchical faujasites were applied thus far in bio-oil upgrading, biofuel production, and biohydrogen production. 58,90,99 The application of hierarchical zeolites in biomass is vast. 95,98 The latter was obtained using sustainable mild leaching techniques (Fig. 9b), which concomitantly highlighted that the largest relatively activity gains are obtained at the initial stages of leaching (Fig. 17a). To address the higher oxygen content in bio oils, Milina et al. studied the use of hierarchical faujasites in the acid-catalysed esterification of -cresol with acetic acid. They applied hierarchical USY zeolites and observed a 50% activity improvement with respect to a conventional USY. Moreover, they exploited the potential of post-synthetic modifications, that is, base leaching of dealuminated Y or USY zeolites. The main reported advantage of the developed mesoporosity is a relative increase of the catalyst activity.

Arias et al. used a hierarchical USY (prepared using strategy Fig. 2g and h), in the alkylation of toluene with bioderived platform molecule 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF). Whereas a conventional USY displayed poor conversion (less than 10%), introduction of mesoporosity boosted the conversion fourfold. Nuttens et al. studied the conversion of -pinene, a by-product of the wood industry, and observed large activity improvements using hierarchical USY zeolites. The latter was obtained using sustainable mild leaching techniques (Fig. 9b), which concomitantly highlighted that the largest relatively activity gains are obtained at the initial stages of leaching (Fig. 17a). To address the higher oxygen content in bio oils, Milina et al. studied the use of hierarchical faujasites in the acid-catalysed esterification of -cresol with acetic acid. They applied hierarchical USY zeolites and observed a 50% activity improvement with respect to a conventional USY. Moreover, they exploited the potential of post-synthetic modifications, that is, base leaching of dealuminated Y or USY zeolites. The main reported advantage of the developed mesoporosity is a relative increase of the catalyst activity.
conversion increase, and a doubled yield to cresol acetate (Fig. 17d). In addition, they showed that the acid wash can be important to increase the external surface and to thereby also enhance the catalytic performance. The potential of hierarchical porosity was also demonstrated in the valorisation of cellulose using Ru-loaded USY zeolites. Although the role of mesoporosity was not explicitly studied, it was shown that upon reuse of the catalyst, an enhanced activity was achieved. The latter was attributed to the mesopore formation incurred by the first catalytic run. This mesopore formation was ascribed to the alkaline nature of the water at elevated temperatures (see Section 3.1).

Using the novel type basic sites described in Section 2.4 (Fig. 16b), hierarchical high-silica faujasites were used to catalyse the self-condensation of propanal, attaining a fourfold activity gain. In addition, the need to optimize the porosity of the hierarchical USY can be displayed by relating the performance to the porous properties of zeolites (Fig. 17g). This is achieved by plotting the conversion and yields to dimers and trimers as a function of the indexed hierarchy factor (IHF) of the used catalysts. The IHF relates the normalized $S_{\text{meso}}$ to the normalized $V_{\text{micro}}$, and the obtained linear relationship indicates that, upon introducing secondary porosity, microporosity should not be lost. On the other hand, Dapsens et al. used the novel ‘alkaline induced’ Al and Ga Lewis sites on hierarchical USY zeolites in the acid-catalysed conversion of dihydroxyacetone to ethyl lactate. Whereas the role of the external surface was not explicitly studied, they found a linear relationship between the selectivity to ethyl lactate and the Lewis acidity of the hierarchical zeolite.

Besides novel applications in biomass, the more open structure of hierarchical faujasites also facilitates the use in acid-catalysed reactions, that are traditionally only performed using organic acids, such as HCl or HNO$_3$. Keller et al. prepared a variety of hierarchical Y and USY zeolites using post-synthetic strategies (Fig. 2g and m) and evaluated their performance in the synthesis of polyurethane intermediates. In the conversion of formaldehyde with aniline, they achieved a doubled conversion, and were able to combine that with a high selectivity to the desired isomer 4,4'-methylenedianiline (4,4'-MDA) relative to the unwanted 2,4'-MDA. Moreover, the performance of the hierarchical USY zeolite was successfully attributed to the Brønsted acidity multiplied by the external surface (Fig. 17f). Like the IHF, this parameter relates the intrinsic zeolitic properties to the external surface. However, unlike the IHF, it has the potential to correlate the catalytic performance of zeolites of different acidities. Finally, since the trend indicates that only acid sites on the external surface are active in this reaction, it could be expected that the performance of hierarchical USY zeolites in this conversion relates linearly to the acidity as measured using more bulky probe molecules, such as 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine.

5. Industrial interest in hierarchical faujasites

A great obstacle in the design of superior hierarchical zeolites in academia is the need of companies to protect their intellectual property. Although from a corporate perspective this is understandable, it also implies that many aspects regarding technical zeolite catalyst design, that is, going beyond the powder, remain obscure for academia. Nevertheless, the availability of commercial faujasite catalysts to academia by companies as UOP, Tosoh, Zeocem, Clariant, and Zeolyst have been instrumental to the development of the field of zeolite catalysts. A good example is the comprehensive list of Y and USY zeolites that is provided by Zeolyst (Table 4). Based on the wide variation of properties and their long-lasting availability, their zeolite products have been the starting point for many zeolite studies. Unsurprisingly, they are routinely used as parent zeolites in the synthesis of hierarchical zeolites by post-synthetic modifications.

Besides the attention in academia, also a substantial attention of hierarchical faujasites has occurred in patent literature (Table 5). Prior to the establishment of secondary porosity as key tool to enhance catalytic performance (around 2000), the patent literature of mesoporous faujasites was limited. The occurrence of only one patent, by PQ on the generation of mesopores by hydrothermal treatment, may be due to the dubious role mesopores were perceived to play at that time. Only after the realisation of the potential of secondary porosity, a wave of industrial interest occurred (2009–2012). Unsurprisingly, the majority of those focus on the synthesis of hierarchical Y and USY zeolites by post-synthetic modification, and more specifically, by (acid- and) base treatments (patents by Total, Eni, and IFP). As may be expected, the application of these hierarchical faujasites focused on hydro-conversions and specifically on hydrocracking (patents by Total, ExxonMobil, IM). The majority of the patents can be ratified using the strategies in Fig. 2. However, a number of interesting observations deserve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBV code</th>
<th>Si/Al [mol mol$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>Unit cell size [Å]</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>24.65</td>
<td>Freshly synthesized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>24.35</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>24.35</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5  Overview of the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites in recent patent literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patent number</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Filing date</th>
<th>Zeolite</th>
<th>Main observations</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Strategy in Fig. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US601789 PQ</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Mesopores generated by hydrothermal treatments</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2012/001349 Total</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>USY</td>
<td>Small mesopore formation and severe amorphisation by alkaline treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2014/0249344 Total</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>USY</td>
<td>Crystallinity alkaline-treated USY zeolites partially restored by ammonia treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2012/0227584 ExxonMobil</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Smaller aggregates obtained by aging of the synthesis gel, external surface limited to 20 m² g⁻¹</td>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US8513150 ExxonMobil</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Mesopores formed by steam treatments</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2013/0118954 ExxonMobil</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Low-occlusion ‘mesopores formed by steam treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2013/01118954 IMP</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Mesopore formation, crystallinity and microporosity largely preserved by using hydrothermal treatment in polyls and ammonium salts</td>
<td>Catalytic cracking</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2011/0171058 IFP</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Superior catalyst are obtained by sequential acid–base treatment</td>
<td>Hydrotreatment</td>
<td>g⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US8679323 ENI, IFP</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Superior catalyst are obtained by sequential acid–base treatment</td>
<td>Hydro-dilution</td>
<td>g⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2012/0205286 IFP</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>USY</td>
<td>Superior catalysts obtained by base treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Final acid wash not performed. b Renewable feedstocks (see Section 4.3). c As judged by the 4 nm peak in the BJH desorption mesopore size distribution (see Fig. 13c). d Not applicable.

extra notice. First, Total showed that the partial (10%) recovery of the crystallinity of USY zeolites, that were completely amorphized by base treatment, could be restored by ammonia treatment.107 Although an additional (gas-phase) NH₃ treatment is required, this provides a tool to obtain highly mesoporous and (partially) crystalline USY zeolites without the need for organics, such as TPA⁺ or diethylamine, to preserve the FAU structure (partially) crystalline USY zeolites without the need for organics, required, this provides a tool to obtain highly mesoporous and (partially) crystalline USY zeolites without the need for organics, enhanced micropore accessibility, enhance adsorption, and attain superior catalytic performance was already claimed in 1967 by Young.110 In this patent, the main claim elaborates on a hydrocarbon conversion catalysts, which is predominantly based on a crystalline aluminosilicate (Si/Al = 3–6, micropore size 0.3–0.8 nm), which has been leached using alkali metal hydroxides of pH > 10.5. Although the compositional range of this claim is limited and the patent deals exclusively with mordenite, it remains unclear whether or not this patent indicates that the use of base leaching was already effectively demonstrated for decades.

6. Outlook and future directions

Since the breakthrough contributions on their synthesis, hierarchical faujasites have rapidly become one of the most promising class of hierarchical zeolites, based on a variation of advantages. The combination of a cheap and scalable synthesis with accessible 3D micropores and a tunable mesoporosity, composition, stability, and nature of the active site, make them superior catalysts in many established and novel applications in the petrochemical industry as well as in the valorisation of biomass. However, a variety of challenges and opportunities exists in order to secure their position as superior porous material (Fig. 18).
A first concern regarding post-synthetic modifications is that, of the applied acid or base treatment, mostly the concentration was varied. It is imaginable that, by variation of the time and temperature, a certain solution may give rise to completely different materials. Next, although post-synthetic modifications have enabled a full compositional flexibility of the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites (Fig. 2), several questions concerning the actual mechanism of mesopore formation persist. For example, though the alkaline treatment of zeolites has received a substantial attention, major progresses on the actual mechanism on a molecular scale remain lacking. It is for example not entirely clear where the leaching starts: Does it start on the outside of the crystal, or homogenously throughout the crystal? Microscopic observations and a linear relation between the degree of leaching and the total surface area of the parent materials suggest a leaching throughout the crystal. However, this was never unambiguously demonstrated. Another interesting aspect, particularly in USY zeolites, is the different nature and degrees of heterogeneity (Al gradients or Si- or Al-rich amorphous species), that can occur in faujasite samples. This is highly relevant as in sequences of treatments the outcome of the initial treatment directly influences the productivity of the next, and thereby all other treatments that follow. Of course, fundamental differences between framework topologies (for example FAU vs. MFI) represent an important pathway for future study.

Hierarchical faujasites derived from bottom-up strategies face different challenges. Besides the obvious sustainability and scalability difficulties regarding their synthesis, an important concern is the apparent impossibility to hydrothermally synthesize highly siliceous (Si/Al > 6) USY zeolites, that is, the most used faujasite catalysts. The difficulty of doing so, implies that an important future focal point may constitute the dealumination of bottom-up prepared hierarchical Y faujasites. In the case of Y nanocrystals this may be particularly challenging as their Si/Al ratio is approaching that of zeolite X (Table 2), and therefore may not be stable upon dealumination. In addition, it remains unclear if the accessible nature of the nanocrystals can be preserved upon post-synthetic treatments.

In terms of characterisation, we expect that substantial headway can be made using systematic studies featuring distinct hierarchical zeolites. This can be achieved by either evaluating the properties of hierarchical zeolites using a single method comprising different degrees of mesoporosity (Fig. 17), or by using hierarchical faujasites with similar external surfaces but prepared by different strategies. Especially in studies contacting USY zeolites with CTA'-containing alkaline solutions, such systematic approaches should help to more precisely unravel the relationships between synthesis, properties, and function, crucial to the application-oriented design of hierarchical faujasites (Fig. 18). Although several works have reported the superior performance of bifunctional faujasite catalysts, the role of external surface in the preparation of bifunctional catalysis is relatively unclear. For example, the large external surface may yield enhanced dispersion of deposited metals, hereby attaining superior catalytic performance.

More specifically, key challenges in the advanced study of hierarchical faujasites regard diffusion and accessibility studies. For example, the role of steam treatments on the diffusional properties and accessibility deserves to be revisited based on the newly obtained synthetic possibilities. Together with the industrial attention to steam treatments (Table 5), this may even rejuvenate the use of steam treatment as a tool to introduce secondary porosity. Also, diffusion studies using positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (Fig. 13e) may prove a powerful tool, as it is not limited by the experimental difficulties related to the limited volatility of bulky probe molecules. The use of bulky pyridines, larger than in the case of 10 MR zeolites as ZSM-5, may be used to systematically map the accessibility of the acid sites. Finally, a special point is the relative stability of faujasite zeolites under the different conditions. We believe it is important to highlight the need to more clearly indicate the type of stability (Fig. 12b), and we identify the zeolite composition (Si/Al ratio, type of cation, amount of CBCCs, and presence of EFAI species) as an important tool to tailor that relative stability.

Also in catalytic evaluations a more systematic study should strengthen the synthesis–property–function relationships. This process can be facilitated by the supermarket of strategies developed within the last several years (Fig. 2). For example, in addition to evaluating activity gains as a function of external surface introduced, more links between the porosity, acidity, and the selectivity patterns may be established (Fig. 17). Although strong selectivity benefits are obtained in catalytic cracking (Table 3), the involved studies typically include a limited amount of samples, that is, one conventional and one hierarchical sample. Using a larger set of samples should enable to more precisely steer a reaction to a desired product. This approach may concomitantly lead to better insights in the reaction mechanisms. The latter is important as, in contrast to homogeneous catalysis in the liquid phase, the reaction mechanisms in zeolites are...
often not entirely clear. This may be due to access limitations, competitive adsorption, or other (often energetic) reasons.

Besides a more optimized use in established reactions, the substantially enhanced secondary porosity and the generation of novel types of actives sites may enable to successfully apply hierarchical faujasites in novel catalytic applications. Particularly the conversions of bulky reagents, traditionally performed using mineral acids, may be replaced with these accessible solid acids. In addition, by careful design, hierarchical faujasites may be prepared comprising in addition to the classic active sites, a secondary level of active sites. These could even be, besides the reactivity differences, location specific (being either in micropore or mesopore). Such principles were already devised in catalytic cracking of gas oil.\(^{24}\) Next, a large market is the application in biomass-related conversions. Here, insights in the relative stability and adsorptive properties are crucial based on the more polar feedstocks and more polar solvents, such as water or alcohols. Particularly based on their large tunability of the relative stability and the active site, hierarchical faujasites may become widely applied in biomass related conversions.

Apart from the application of faujasites in catalytic conversions, the market for ion exchangers, molecular sieves, and adsorbents is significant. In these fields, the presence of hierarchical porosity has not been established. Here particularly, the strategies regarding the synthesis of cation-rich hierarchical zeolite X should play a decisive part. It is an important question whether the added level of mesoporosity is able to instigate an enhanced performance in these fields. Of course, independent of application, scale up represents a key challenge; a topic for which thus far little fundamental knowledge exists.\(^{11}\) The latter may simply be explained by the lack of the need to scale up in academia, however this does not justify it. We are convinced that, by combining scalable synthesis strategies with smart experimentation and collaboration with industrial partners, it must be possible to gather fundamental understanding on the scale-up and shaping of heterogeneous catalysts in general.

It is remarkable that, although one of the oldest families of synthetic zeolites, faujasites remain such a widely-applied and highly-interesting material. Their continued success story may be related to a Darwinistic ability of these zeolites to adjust to new application-based demands, such as its conversion from microporous to hierarchical porous materials (described in this review). In addition, their organic-free synthesis in combination with advanced post-synthetic designs, seems to keep them a step ahead from competitive large-micropore frameworks, such as LTL, EMT, and DON. Therefore, based on the abovementioned challenges and opportunities, we perceive that the synthesis and application of hierarchical faujasites provide ample room for high quality and innovative future studies for years to come.
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