Zeolite catalysts do not use their full potential. 

**Boooring!**

Mesopores form an exciting way to enhance the utilization of zeolites...

Best ride ever!

...however, only if true design is employed.

uh oh!

Pioneers of the past, still missing after all

After a 2 week search, the Pioneers of the past treatments on zeolites to enhance accessibility, enhance adsorption, and improve catalytic performance was already described by Young. In this patented, the preparation of hydrocarbon conversion predominantly based on a catalyst (Si/Al = 3–6, micropore size), which has been leached using alkali metals. Although the compositional and structural limitations and the patent details...
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Faujasite (X, Y, and USY) zeolites represent one of the most widely-applied and abundant catalysts and sorbents in the chemical industry. In the last 5 years substantial progress was made in the synthesis, characterisation, and catalytic exploitation of hierarchically-structured variants of these zeolites. Herein, we provide an overview of these contributions, highlighting the main advancements regarding the evaluation of the nature and functionality of introduced secondary porosity. The novelty, efficiency, versatility, and sustainability of the reported bottom-up and (predominately) top-down strategies are discussed. The crucial role of the relative stability of faujasites in aqueous media is highlighted. The interplay between the physico-chemical properties of the hierarchical zeolites and their use in petrochemical and biomass-related catalytic processes is assessed.

1. Introduction

Faujasites comprise aluminosilicate zeolites with the FAU topology (Fig. 1a). The zeolites within this framework combine a 3-dimensional network of accessible micropores (0.74 nm) with an organic-free synthesis. In addition, the framework composition (Si/Al ratio) can be tuned from 1 to infinite by altering the hydrothermal synthesis (ratios 1 to 3) or by application of post-synthetic modifications (ratios 3 to infinite). As a result, they have become the most widely-applied zeolites in adsorption and catalysis. Of the faujasites, X was first conceived with a Si/Al = 1–1.5, featuring a large cation-exchange capacity, and is accordingly industrially used as ion exchanger, molecular sieve, and adsorbent. In catalysis, however, the protonic form of X is unstable. Accordingly, a more stable less-acidic variant was conceived in the form of zeolite Y. This zeolite comprises a Si/Al = 2.5 and accordingly only about 50% of the total acidity of X. Moreover, unlike X, the Si/Al ratio of Y zeolites can be increased and tuned using steam and/or acid treatments, while largely maintaining crystallinity. The resulting zeolites, with a framework Si/Al ratio of ca. 6 and higher, were labelled ‘ultra-stable’ Y (USY) due to their improved catalytic and hydrothermal stability. Accordingly, the Y and USY zeolites excel as catalysts in numerous petrochemical applications, such as (hydro)cracking, where they can display remarkable activity and (shape) selectivity.1–6
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faujasites. The crystallinity is the fingerprint of the faujasite structure (a) which properties and the nature and quantity of secondary porosity in hierarchical faujasites occurred relatively late. The latter may be due to the fact that a large focus on the synthesis of hierarchical zeolites has been on the application of bottom-up methods, involving the modification of the hydrothermal synthesis protocol.8–13 Ironically, the most common zeolite catalyst, viz. USY, cannot be directly made by hydrothermal synthesis, forcing the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites to rely predominately on post-synthetic modifications. As the secondary porosity generated by dealumination remained in question,17,18 the use of acid leaching to modify the hydrothermal synthesis protocol.8–13 optimally, the most common zeolite catalyst, viz. USY, cannot be directly made by hydrothermal synthesis, forcing the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites to rely predominately on post-synthetic modifications. As the secondary porosity generated by dealumination remained in question,17,18 the use of acid leaching to generate mesoporosity did not attract further attention. Also, the potential of base treatments to enhance the accessibility of the USY active sites has remained obscure for a relatively long time. Only about a decade after the pioneering work of Ogura et al.19 and Groen et al.20 on the preparation of hierarchical ZSM-5, the potential of hierarchical USY zeolites prepared by base treatment was recognized.21,22 Even more recently, the application of optimized dealumination treatments on X and Y zeolites23 revitalized the use of acid treatments as tool to generate functional mesoporosity.

The key works on the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites have originated a substantial academic and industrial attention. This interest focused primarily on different acid and base leaching techniques to prepare hierarchical X, Y, and predominately USY zeolites. In addition, the resulting materials have attained promising results in existing and novel applications in the petrochemical industry and in biomass-related conversions. Accordingly, synthesis–property–function relationships and descriptors were identified and, moreover, novel types of active sites were generated. Nevertheless, these papers (duly referred to below) have been reported as stand-alone contributions preventing a comprehensive interpretation. Moreover, various pitfalls in materials synthesis and data interpretation have been identified, which, particularly to those outside the field, are crucial for the practical implementation of these attractive materials.
Herein, we provide an overview of the recent publications and patents dedicated to the preparation and catalytic exploitation of hierarchical faujasites. The synthetic pathways are classified based on applied treatments, and the resulting solids are evaluated based on the type, nature, location, and efficiency of the introduced secondary porosity and the remaining zeolitic properties. Often-overlooked environmental and economic concerns are highlighted, which are particularly relevant to methods involving (micelle-forming) organics, such as tetrapropylammonium (TPA⁺) or cetyltrimethylammonium (CTA⁺) cations. Stability aspects and related mesopore formation mechanisms are emphasized, which enable to generalize the behavior of X, Y, and USY in post-synthetic modifications primarily based on their composition. We highlight why, compared to the modification of 10 member-ring (MR) zeolites like ZSM-5, ferrierite, and ZSM-22 reviewed in 2011,[13] the post-synthetic design of the 12-MR faujasites in aqueous solutions is particularly challenging. Catalytic evaluations of Y and USY zeolites are summarized, confirming the role of the secondary porosity generated by base and acid leaching, and highlighting the nature and potential of novel types of acid and basic sites. Finally, future directions are provided on synthetic, characterisation, and catalytic aspects.
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**Fig. 2** The synthesis of hierarchical faujasites using bottom-up (orange) and top-down strategies. Top-down methods consist of steam (purple), acid (red), and base (blue) treatments. The colour coding is used throughout the figures of the review. The references used to compose this illustration are summarized in Table S1 (ESI). The exclamation mark in (c) indicates that this strategy has not proven more beneficial than (b) (see similar mesopore volumes in Fig. 7a). The exclamation mark in (f) indicates that base treatment on parent Y zeolites is inefficient (see Fig. 4). The exclamation mark in (k) highlights the severe amorphisation that USY zeolites undergo in inorganic bases (see also Fig. 12a).

### 2. Synthesis

From a material perspective, the aluminosilicates species that form the ‘perfect’ hierarchical faujasite should be purely incorporated in the FAU topology, and its secondary porosity should stem from inter and/or intra-crystalline voids between the crystalline domains. In addition, in order for a mesoporous faujasite to be considered ‘hierarchical’ its secondary porosity should enhance the task of the active sites located in the micropores. This implies that the mesoporosity should be accessible from the outside, and that steamed zeolites, although mesoporous, may therefore not necessarily be considered ‘hierarchical’. A typical hierarchical faujasite combines a substantial mesoporosity (>200 m² g⁻¹)[25] with a maximum preservation of the crystallinity, microporosity, and acidity, which depends on the Si/Al ratio and cation. A brief overview of typical properties of such hierarchical faujasites is presented in Fig. 1. An overview of the different strategies is provided in Fig. 2, whereas the implications of different post-synthetic treatments on X and Y zeolites are summarized in Table 1. Of course, as elaborated in Section 3, the exact properties of the optimal hierarchical faujasite should be dictated by its application. For example, in fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) only a fraction (ca. 10–30%) of the catalyst granule is zeolitic,[24] and it may therefore be necessary that the faujasite crystals are accompanied by amorphous species.

#### 2.1. Bottom-up strategies

Bottom-up approaches are worthwhile as they often unravel new types of synthetic possibilities and can yield solids with exceptional features, which can be nicely visualized using microscopic techniques (Fig. 3). A recent example is the hierarchical X prepared by including 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl hexadecyl...
dimethyl ammonium chloride (TPHAC) as template in the hydrothermal synthesis (Fig. 3a). The degree of mesoporosity introduced was moderate (maximum external surface introduced was ca. 100 m² g⁻¹, Fig. 4). However, the efficiency of these materials as catalysts or sorbents remains unclear. Besides the synthesis of hierarchical zeolite crystals with intracrystalline cavities, hierarchical faujasites can also be made by reducing the crystal size to the nanometer range, giving rise to intercrystalline voids. These nanocrystals are prepared by altering the hydrothermal conditions such that the crystal nucleation is favored over crystal growth. In addition, the prevention of aggregation is a crucial element, which can be achieved using a large number of nucleating crystals (high supersaturation) and/or steric stabilisation of the nucleating crystals (e.g. using organics). Several studies have focused on synthesizing FAU nanocrystals, yielding moderate mesoporosity, but substantial macroporosity. However, they are often obtained at very low yield (ca. 6 wt% of Si in the gel is incorporated in the solid). Moreover, the resulting materials can comprise substantial amorphous (unreacted) species. These contribute to the solid’s mesoporosity, but lower the specific micropore volume. In a recent work, Awala et al. demonstrated the potential of bottom-up methods by synthesizing, without organic additives, X and Y nanocrystals (Fig. 3e). The external surface is almost double compared to that of the hierarchical X prepared using TPHAC (Table 2, Fig. 4), and the material displayed enhanced activity in the cracking of trisopropylbenzene. However, although promising, an inherent disadvantage of bottom-up approaches is the inability to hydrothermally synthesize highly-siliceous faujasites (with Si/Al > 6). Due to the stability complications of faujasites within this compositional range, their potential in conventional catalytic applications is unclear. It is accordingly not surprising that most bottom-up techniques used to make zeolite catalysts, such as soft and hard templating, focus on zeolites that are hydrothermally synthesized directly with relatively high Si/Al ratios (>10), like ZSM-5, ZSM-12, and MCM-22.

2.2 Top-down strategies

2.2.1 Dealumination by acid treatment. Several recent works on Y and X zeolites achieved to purposefully prepare hierarchical faujasites by acid treatment (Fig. 2b, d and j). The formation of substantial mesoporosity (up to 388 m² g⁻¹, Fig. 4) in a Y faujasite, was attained by treating the ammonium form of the zeolite (NH₄⁺Y) in H₂EDTA. An important condition was the controlled contact of the acid with the zeolite using a wateroperated Soxhlet reactor for 72 h. The resulting material was obtained with a relatively high yield (80 wt%) and, moreover, featured a fully preserved crystallinity and microporosity. Interestingly, whereas the duration of the H₂EDTA treatment did not strongly influence the degree of dealumination, it proved to have a striking influence on the mesoporosity and resulting stability.
The latter should be attributed to the Si migration in the Y zeolite, which takes place slower compared to the removal of Al from the framework. Accordingly, a prolonged reaction time enables a rearrangement of the Si species leading to a more stable framework and more pronounced mesopore formation.29 It should be noted that the above-described method was adopted from early work by Kerr,30 who employed the same experimental set-up but a shorter time and NaY instead of NH4Y. Still, it may have been back then (1960s) that the first hierarchical faujasite was prepared by post-synthetic modifications. However, at that time the use of gas sorption to assess the (secondary) porosity was not routine practice, and moreover, the value of external surface was not a topic of discussion.

It was recently demonstrated that NH4X is a lot more reactive than the standard NaX in aqueous acid or base treatments.23 This phenomenon has enabled the first-time hierarchisation of zeolite X by post-synthetic modification. The pronounced influence of the type of the exchangeable cations can be ascribed to the rearrangement of the Si species leading to a more stable framework as well as the bulk Si/Al ratio increases. Consequently, the resulting material is a composite of a more siliceous faujasite framework with extra-framework aluminum and alumina species. Alkaline treatment of zeolite Y containing NH4X was partially dissolved and external surfaces up to 177 m2 g−1 were achieved (Fig. 4). This was attained while preserving the crystallinity and micropore volume for about 70%. Since Y does not dissolve in aqueous Na2H2EDTA,22 the dissolution of zeolite X emphasizes the stability differences between X and Y. The relative stability aspect of faujasites is further discussed in Section 2.6. The efficiency of the leaching process can be assessed by relating the mesopore surface generated to the weight loss upon the treatment. This efficiency, with unit m2 g−1 %−1, was introduced for the preparation of hierarchical zeolites by desilication, and was accordingly labelled ‘desilication efficiency’.55 However, since dealumina by acid leaching can now also be used to generate functional mesoporosity, it may be more appropriate to refer to it as ‘mesopore efficiency’. The mesopore formation in NH4X was, unlike in the case of Na2H2EDTA-treated NH4Y, considered suboptimal as only 2 m2 g−1 of external surface was introduced per percent of dissolved solid. This stands in great contrast with the dealuminated Y zeolites where more intense efforts have focused on desilication (Fig. 4). The mesopore formation in NH4X was, unlike in the case of Na2H2EDTA-treated NH4Y, considered suboptimal as only 2 m2 g−1 of external surface was introduced per percent of dissolved solid. This stands in great contrast with the dealuminated Y zeolites where more intense efforts have focused on desilication (Fig. 4).22,34 In order to dissolve the Na-form of X and Y zeolites, severe conditions are required, e.g., >3 M NaOH at T > 65 °C.22 Although the zeolitic properties of the resulting solids are fully preserved, the dissolution appears mostly unselective, resulting in a low mesopore efficiency (1 m2 g−1 %−1). More intense efforts have focused on desilication of dealuminated Y and USY zeolites.21,22,35 Firstly, it is important to state that by steaming the framework of the framework is attained whereas the bulk Si/Al ratio is unchanged. Accordingly, the resulting material is a composite of a more siliceous faujasite framework with extra-framework aluminum and alumina species. Alkaline treatment with the severe alkaline solutions (>3 M NaOH) demonstrated that, unlike in the case of pristine Y zeolites, the micropore volume decreased strongly though no additional mesopores were formed.22 This suggested that an efficient mesopore formation by alkaline treatment of Y zeolites occurs only if the bulk Si/Al is raised. In addition, it revealed that once the zeolite is steamed, its framework becomes extremely sensitive to alkaline media.

Instead of steaming, the framework Si/Al in Y zeolites can also be increased by acid treatment.22,35 As shown in Section 2.2.1, an optimized treatment alone can render faujasites with well-developed and functional mesoporosity. However, as the framework Si/Al ratio as well as the bulk Si/Al ratio increases, the material can be readily transformed into hierarchical zeolites by base leaching in inorganic bases such as NaOH or KOH. Moreover, unlike its steamed analogue, this alkaline treatment does not strongly amorphize the sample.22,23,35 This is attributed to the fact that non-optimized acid treatments create defects and Al-deficient zones,16,18,19,20 which are selectively removed by base leaching. This process originates intracrystalline mesoporosity and external surfaces up to around 180 m2 g−1.22,23,35 Importantly, base treatment on Al-rich zeolites gives rise to substantial reamination of the external surface. Although these species are tetrahedrally coordinated,
they should not be considered as classical framework species as they lower crystallinity, display a particular acidity, and can detriment catalytic performance.\textsuperscript{22,23,25} Accordingly, a mild acid wash using \( \text{Na}_2\text{H}_2\text{EDTA} \), devised not to influence the zeolite framework, can be used to remove those species, enlarging the mesopore size and restoring the microporosity, crystallinity, and acidity (Fig. 2g).\textsuperscript{22}

The sensitivity of dealuminated faujasites in alkaline media becomes more apparent when the Y zeolite is steamed and acid treated reaching bulk Si/Al ratios above 6. This aspect was first demonstrated by NaOH treatment of a USY with bulk Si/Al = 30.\textsuperscript{21} This USY was treated at room temperature in 0.05 and 0.1 M NaOH developing large amounts of mesoporosity (up to ca. 500 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)). However, the intrinsic zeolite properties dropped substantially down to about a third of the parent USY zeolite. The incurred severe amorphisation during dissolution can be avoided by addition of organic pore-directing agents like TPA\(^+\) to the alkaline solution (Fig. 2m).\textsuperscript{22,38} In addition, inorganic additives in the form of Al and Ga salts can be used to prevent structural deterioration during alkaline treatment, although they do not facilitate mesopore formation to the same extent.\textsuperscript{39} The fragile USY crystal facilitates the transformation to the hierarchical form using the weak base NH\(_4\)OH.\textsuperscript{40,41} This treatment proved inefficient for ZSM-5 zeolites as this zeolite does not dissolve in NH\(_4\)OH.\textsuperscript{42} In the case of USY though, instead of leaching solid from the sample, the treatment partially converts a zeolitic fraction to a denser silica phase (see Section 2.6) hereby generating external surfaces of ca. 400 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) and implying very high mesopore efficiencies.\textsuperscript{40}

2.2.3. On pseudomorphic synthesis, mesostructuring, recrystallisation, and riving. One of the first syntheses of hierarchical faujasites by base leaching was patented in 2009 by García-Martínez \textit{et al.}.\textsuperscript{43} This method claimed not to produce hierarchical zeolites, but mesostructured one-phase hybrid single zeolite crystals. In contrast to physical mixtures of ordered mesoporous materials (OMMs) and zeolites, these materials feature an OMM phase made by alkaline-leached zeolite species using tetraalkylammonium surfactants, such as cetyltrimethylammonium cations. This method was later termed ‘pseudomorphic synthesis’ by Chal \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{44} ‘recrystallisation’ by Ivanova \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{45} and ‘riving’ by García-Martínez \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{46} The latter authors claimed that this method is effective for USY zeolites (Si/Al ratio ca. 15–40), where it comprises contacting the zeolite in an aqueous solution of NH\(_4\)OH with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTABr) overnight at elevated temperature under autogenous pressure. In contrast to the patent by the same authors,\textsuperscript{45} the study speculates that dissolved species during the alkaline attack are not formed into such ordered amorphous materials (OMMs), but rather reincorporated in the zeolite framework (Fig. 5).\textsuperscript{46} This recrystallisation mechanism was recently typified as rather surprising considering the gel composition and temperature required to crystallize FAU (Table 2).\textsuperscript{47} This may relate to the relatively high temperatures (140 °C versus 100 °C) and the absence of Na\(^+\) or K\(^+\) ions in the suspension. In addition, the limited zeolite dissolution yields a relatively low concentration of Si or Al precursor (ca. 5 g L\(^{-1}\) vs. ca. 100 g L\(^{-1}\)),\textsuperscript{48} which should be of high Si/Al ratio (>30 vs. ca. 5),\textsuperscript{3,48}

Riving was also demonstrated for pristine Y zeolites: in this case, an initial acid leaching step is required, prior to the above-described hydrothermal treatment. The authors described that this ‘acid wash’ is required to ‘loosen up’ the framework.\textsuperscript{46} The use of sequential acid–base treatments on Y zeolite, shows great resemblance to the method described in Fig. 2g. In addition, Verboekend \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} showed that exactly the acid wash as performed in ref. 46 induces a significant leaching and facilitates, like the strategy in Fig. 2g, mesopore formation by subsequent base treatment. Moreover, for these zeolites, instead of NH\(_4\)OH, NaOH was used. This is not unexpected since, as reported by Van Aelst \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{49,41} NH\(_4\)OH is not alkaline enough to dissolve Al-rich Y zeolites.

To more closely assess the striking similarities of riving with conventional base leaching, Verboekend \textit{et al.} alkaline treated USY zeolites without and with organics like tetrabutylammonium bromide (TPABr) and CTABr.\textsuperscript{38,48} In addition, strategic experimentation was performed with the filtrates obtained after treatment with only NaOH or NH\(_4\)OH.\textsuperscript{49} It was found that, although comprising similar external surface areas, zeolites treated with TPABr are highly crystalline and those treated in base with CTABr are substantially less crystalline. Moreover, the mesostructuring treatments were reproduced on a USY with a Si/Al = 15 using exactly similar and slightly different conditions as patented (Fig. 6). In a first reproduction, CTABr was omitted from the NH\(_4\)OH solution. This treatment induced a substantial dissolution of the zeolite proving that NH\(_4\)OH is a strong enough base to leach Al-deficient USY zeolites. The Si-containing alkaline filtrate obtained from this treatment was subsequently complemented
with CTABr and exposed to the same hydrothermal treatment. This treatment yielded an MCM-41 with distinct uptake in the nitrogen adsorption isotherm in the range of 0.3 < \( p/p_0 < 0.5 \). The traditionally ‘rived’ sample possesses the exact same distinct uptake. Conversely, this uptake was not present in a sample exposed to a reproduction with an equimolar amount of TPABr instead of CTABr. This TPABr-prepared sample was concomitantly more crystalline compared to the material obtained by exactly following the patent. Accordingly, it is likely that ‘rived’ samples are composites of (hierarchical) zeolite with ordered mesoporous materials. Importantly, whereas the filtrate-derived OMMs possess the typical porous properties of MCM-41, they lack the typical ordering as observed by TEM. The absence of clear MCM-41-type ordering as observed by TEM is not a guarantee that the studied sample is purely zeolitic. Therefore, the best way to assess a sample’s intrinsic zeolitic properties is by using quantitative XRD, Ar adsorption at 87 K, or acidity assessment by NH3-TPD or IR of pyridine adsorbed.

More recently, García-Martínez et al. patented the synthesis of crystalline mesostructured X and Y zeolites prepared by acid treatments.50,51 Remarkably, the approach to yield crystalline hierarchical X and Y zeolites and the properties of the resulting materials closely resemble those associated with previously-established strategies23 (Fig. 7). In one of the patents, a new approach was presented: contacting zeolite NaX with aqueous HCl in the presence of a P123 surfactant.51 This represents a roughly similar strategy as the base leaching using micelle-forming TAAs; an organic template serves to precipitate dissolved zeolitic species forming a zeolite/OMM composite. However, in this case the zeolite is leached using an acid and the template molecule is P123. Reproduction of this treatment yields a highly-porous solid (Fig. 6b). However, this material did not contain any zeolitic crystallinity (Fig. 6b, inset) and can

Fig. 6 The role of surfactants during the alkaline (a) or acid (b) treatment of USY and X zeolites, respectively. CTA+ facilitates the formation of MCM-41 from dissolved zeolite in alkaline media,49 whereas P123 facilitates the formation SBA-15 in acid media. The inset in (b) displays the X-ray diffraction pattern of sample X-acid+p123. The SBA-15 was obtained at 40% solid yield based on treatment of a NaX following the synthetic protocol of example 2 in ref. 51. Advantages of having ordered amorphous species in the solid, as opposed to non-ordered amorphous species, have yet to be demonstrated. (a) Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society.

Fig. 7 Similarities between the porous properties of hierarchical X (a) and Y (b) zeolites prepared using different strategies. The ‘NH4’ and ‘Na’ indicate the charge-balancing counter cations (CBCC) present in the parent zeolites. (a) Comparison between the mesopore volumes (\( V_{\text{meso}} \)) or hierarchical X zeolites prepared by acid treatment (solid symbols, strategy Fig. 2b)51 and those prepared by sequential acid and base treatment in presence of CTABr (open symbols, strategy Fig. 2c).50 (b) Comparison between the nitrogen isotherms of hierarchical Y zeolites prepared by controlled acid treatment (solid symbols, strategy Fig. 2j)23 and prepared by acid treatment in the presence of P123 (empty symbols). Both materials in (b) were obtained by acid treatment of the NH4Y zeolite at elevated temperatures for ca. 72 h. The inset in (b) displays the BJH adsorption pore size distribution. The isotherm of the hierarchical Y with solid symbols in (b) was shifted down by 0.18 cm3 g−1. The similar porosities emphasize that organic additives are not required in the preparation of hierarchical X and Y zeolites. (b, solid symbols) Reprinted with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag.
therefore be best described as SBA-15. Accordingly, it is clear that, like in the case of base leaching of USY zeolites with CTA⁺, this method yields OMM/zeolite composites. However, whereas in base leaching CTA⁺ also serves to preserve the USY crystals, P123 is not able to exert the same role, and therefore only serves as template for the formation of SBA-15. An overview of the influence of various supplements to the acid or base treatment of faujasites is provided in Fig. 8.

2.3. Sustainability aspects in synthetic routes

The need to produce hierarchical zeolites in a sustainable fashion was recently highlighted (Fig. 9a). With respect to faujasites, the cost concern is very dominant as the parent material is relatively cheap (3–4 USD kg⁻¹) since it is hydrothermally synthesized without organics. As such, many strategies to derive the hierarchical variants are less attractive as they involve costly organics such as TPHAC and tetraalkylammonium cations, which need to be removed thermally after the synthesis. In addition, TAAs are often used as Br and Cl salts, which require careful disposal. In the case of hierarchical X prepared by TPHAC this concern was recognized, resulting in a study to replace these organics by inorganic species (Li₂CO₃ or Zn(NO₃)₂) yielding similar highly crystalline hierarchical porous zeolites, whereas those treated in the presence of P123 or CTA⁺ are transformed into less-crystalline zeolite/OMM composites.

Fig. 8  Overview of the solids obtained after various base and acid treatments. The presence of tetrapropylammonium cations (TPA⁺) and/or soluble aluminum hydroxide ions Al(OH)₄⁻ in the Si-containing alkaline filtrate does not yield any solid product. Conversely, when CTA⁺ is present during the treatment, ordered mesoporous materials (OMMs) are formed. Similarly, when P123 is present in the acidic filtrate, SBA-15-type materials are formed. Accordingly, zeolites treated in the presence of TPA⁺ and/or Al(OH)₄⁻ transform into highly crystalline hierarchical porous zeolites, whereas those treated in the presence of P123 or CTA⁺ are transformed into less-crystalline zeolite/OMM composites.

top-down strategies include unfavorable features, such as the loss of solid, the use of organics, and even additional hydrothermal steps. For example, the use of organics as TAAs have been reported to maintain crystallinity in the case of the sensitive USY zeolites. Recently, Verboekend et al. showed that the preparation of a highly-crystalline hierarchical USY can also be attained, instead of using TAAs + NaOH, by leaching in a weak base as diethylamine (DEA) (Fig. 9b). This has as advantage that the zeolite remains in the H-form after removal of the DEA, which saves another ion exchange. Moreover, it proved that the volatility of DEA enables to recover 80% of the organic molecules applied. A similar argumentation holds for the recently explored weak base NH₄OH. However, while this approach enables to yield hierarchical USY at high yields (>90 wt%), this approach does not enable to preserve the microporous character to the same extent. A summary of the samples resulting from the different techniques on USY zeolites were recently summarized by Van Aelst et al. Herein, the relation between intrinsic zeolitic properties, the use of organics, and the material yield is highlighted.

Besides the use of organics, other practical aspects of post-synthetically treating faujasites were recently studied. It was demonstrated that species leached during the desilication treatments can be easily recovered and used for additional hydrothermal synthesis of new batches of zeolites. Moreover, addition of CTA⁻ to the filtrates obtained from alkaline-treated zeolites enables to recover MCM-41-type materials (Fig. 8). Still, the post-synthetic modification of zeolites are routinely performed in batches, which may limit productivity. Verboekend et al. therefore demonstrated the in-line synthesis of hierarchical zeolites using a high-shear micro-reactor, which enables to optimize the stirring and contact time. At constant solid-to-liquid ratio (SLR), the authors managed to produce hierarchical USY zeolites attaining productivities that were 100 times higher. Later, the same authors showed that by choosing the right base (diethylamine) and alkalinity, the reactor productivity could be enhanced another 5 times by raising the zeolite content from 33 to 150 g per L in the preparation of hierarchical USY. The in-line preparation combined with the increased SLR enables an hypothetical 500-fold increase of reactor productivity. However, a highly-productive in-line synthesis of hierarchical zeolites remains futile if separation remains performed batch-wise. This urged the
authors to explore in-line separation by spray drying of the treated zeolite in its alkaline suspension. This has as concomitant advantage that the dislodged Si species could be reintegrated in the solid in the form of amorphous silica. Indeed, this approach enabled to make hierarchical zeolite/silica composites, which should be of particular use in FCC. In this application, both the use of spray drying to make granules and the presence of amorphous silica are mandatory. Advantageously, the resulting solid comprised a fully preserved (bulk) microporosity which implies that the precipitated silica is highly porous. Moreover, it was demonstrated microscopically that the leached species were incorporated homogeneously into the solid (Fig. 3), where they

Fig. 9 (a) Sustainability aspects in the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites. Many of the green themes were recently addressed to make the manufacture of hierarchical zeolite catalysts more ecologically and economically attractive. Cost and energy concerns represent general underlying aspects. (b) Different synthesis pathways to convert a conventional USY into its hierarchical variant. The recyclability of the organics (recycling symbols) and the need for an additional ion-exchange are important sustainability gains achieved by using NH$_4$OH or diethylamine (DEA) as bases. (c) Scheme demonstrating the conceptual continuous synthesis of shaped USY catalysts by sequential desilication and spray drying. By application of DEA as pore-directing agent and base, a subsequent ion exchange and the combustion of hydrocarbons is prevented. Leached Si species are integrated in the technical catalysts in which they serve as binder/shaping agent. The solids obtained by using the strategy in (c) may resemble those in the images in Fig. 3b–d. (a–c) Reproduced with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag.

Fig. 10 (a) Overview of solids obtained by alkaline treatment of USY (Si/Al > 15) using distinct approaches. The use of NH$_4$OH and NaOH lead to (controlled) amorphisation and accordingly amorphous silica-alumina (ASA) zeolite composites. In the case of NH$_4$OH, the amount of solids leached is minimal. When TPA$^+$ is used in the alkaline solution, the crystallinity can be fully preserved during the alkaline treatment (see Fig. 1b). When CTA$^+$ is present during the alkaline treatment crystallinity is preserved to a lower extent compared to with TPA$^+$, which can be attributed to the presence of MCM-41-type ordered amorphous materials (see Fig. 8). Although USY crystals can display reduced zeolite properties, the presence of well-localized intracrystalline mesopores render them superior catalyst compared to mechanical mixtures of USY/ASA, which feature similar bulk crystallinity and porosity. (b) Plot highlighting the relation between the material yield, crystallinity, and used organics. Thus far, the synthesis of highly-crystalline hierarchical USY zeolites can only be attained using organics such as DEA or TPA$^+$. On the other hand, highly mesoporous samples with high solid yield are attained using NH$_4$OH treatment. Reprinted with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag.
fulfill an additional role in shaping.\textsuperscript{52} Combining this technique with the ability to recover DEA after alkaline treatment, a conceptual in-line synthesis of USY composites was conceived (Fig. 9c).

In case of Y, the same authors showed that the initial dealumination and sequential base treatment (Fig. 2g) can directly be executed without the need for an additional separation.\textsuperscript{52} This was achieved by adding enough base directly to the reacted acidic suspension to neutralize the acid and provide enough alkalinity to induce a partial dissolution and mesopore formation in the dealuminated zeolite. It should be duly mentioned that the reverse approach (going from alkaline to acidic conditions) is more challenging due to the precipitation of silicates.\textsuperscript{52} However, as mentioned above, this also offers a means to prepare zeolite/silica composites without the loss of silicon species.

### 2.4. Generation of active sites

In addition to the alleviation of access and mass transport limitations, the emergence of hierarchical zeolites has sprouted a novel generation of active sites (Fig. 11a). In traditional faujasite zeolites, two main classifications of acid sites exist. The first is formed by the classical Brønsted acid site generated by the exchangeable charge balancing proton within the micropores, the second is the Lewis acidity generated by EFAl on the external surface of the zeolite crystal.\textsuperscript{57} This EFAl is caused by expulsion of Al from the framework during the steaming process.\textsuperscript{14} Conversely, the novel types of active sites result from the deposition of metals or alkali cations during the mesopore formation by base treatment.\textsuperscript{39,58–62}

Like in steam treatment, base treatment (Fig. 2g, k, m and o) results into the removal of aluminum from its traditional framework position and the reincorporation into other places on the solid.\textsuperscript{13} However, whereas EFAl caused by steaming is predominately in the form of octahedrally-coordinated alumina-type species,\textsuperscript{57} EFAl caused by base treatment is predominately tetrahedrally-coordinated, giving rise to a distinct Lewis acidity.\textsuperscript{25,60,61} Especially at low to moderate coverages of alkaline-induced aluminum, the density of Lewis acid sites per aluminum atom approaches unity.\textsuperscript{60} In addition to the remetallation of framework Al, the addition of external metal salts to alkaline solutions forms a tool to tailor the active site in faujasite zeolites.\textsuperscript{60} Dapsens \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{39} used this approach to remetallate faujasites with Al and Ga and hereby obtained highly active and selective catalysts (see Section 4.3). This approach was also demonstrated to be effective using Sn on MFI.\textsuperscript{62} Tin-containing zeolites, particularly Sn-beta, display particularly attractive features in biomass conversions,\textsuperscript{63–65} and it is likely that also Sn-USY can be made using this strategy. The latter is particularly attractive as Sn-USY cannot be synthesized using bottom-up strategies. Still, although some preliminary studies have been performed,\textsuperscript{66,67} the exact nature of ‘alkaline-induced' metal species remains obscure.

A different type of active site was encountered in the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites for application in base-catalysed conversions. In base catalysis, the main way to obtain the basic site is by introducing a large amount of electron donating cations into the framework. This is commonly achieved by placing the largest alkali cation (cesium) within the faujasite of the largest CBCC density, that is, X. Accordingly, CsX was traditionally considered the most active base catalyst, whereas high-silica zeolites were disregarded as potential base catalysts.\textsuperscript{68–70} Keller \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{58} found that, unlike reported literature prescribes, alkaline-treated high-silica zeolites (Si/Al > 200) displayed exceptional activity. This type of active site stems from the surface coverage of alkali cations (Fig. 11b), which are present in non-framework types of coordination.\textsuperscript{58} The excellent performance of the derived materials (see Section 4.2) was ascribed to the moderate basicity of the sites.

### 3. Mechanism and characterisation

#### 3.1. Understanding the mechanism of mesopore formation

The fundamental knowledge of mesopore formation in zeolites in general remains limited. In the case of faujasites, the mesopore formation was traditionally studied for steam treatment.\textsuperscript{14} The mesopore formation mechanism by base leaching has been studied mostly for ZSM-5 zeolites,\textsuperscript{60,71,72} which should be related to its relatively early genesis (2000).\textsuperscript{19,20} In the case of hierarchical faujasites prepared by post-synthetic modification, a discussion on mesopore formation should tackle the relative stability of the framework. The latter is urgent since, in contrast to 10 MR zeolites, which only (partially) dissolve in alkaline solutions,\textsuperscript{13} faujasites readily dissolve and amorphize in aqueous solutions of either very acidic or very alkaline nature.\textsuperscript{73}

The definition of ‘stability' can be rather confusing as it depends heavily on the conditions to which the zeolite is exposed. For example, high-silica USY zeolites are labeled traditionally as ‘ultra-stable' Y (USY), since they are relatively stable (compared to Y and X) under steam in atmospheric conditions.\textsuperscript{16,37} However, in contrast, USY zeolites are extremely sensitive to alkaline solutions.\textsuperscript{38}

![Fig. 11](https://example.com/) Schematic representation of different types of active sites present in hierarchical zeolites. (a) The typical Brønsted site and surface hydroxyl (left), Lewis acid sites derived from steaming and alkaline-induced surface realetermination (middle), and basic sites generated by the framework or by the formation of surface-bound alkali cations (right). (b) The generation of novel surface basic sites by tailored alkaline treatment.\textsuperscript{59} Adapted with permission Wiley-VCH Verlag.
Therefore, the label ‘stable’ seems to be inaccurate. For nostalgic purposes, we have not changed the name of ‘USY’ zeolite throughout the paper. However, we emphasize that in the discussion of the stability of a zeolite, the applied conditions need to be carefully specified (Fig. 12b). Several works have focused on studying the relative stability of faujasites under various conditions. In agreement with Briend et al., Verboekend et al., showed that faujasites with a low Si/Al ratio (parent X and Y zeolites) are relatively stable in alkaline solutions (in atmospheric liquid water), whereas those with Si/Al > 4 are very sensitive, as they dissolve and amorphize under the same conditions. It should be highlighted that once controlled (using NH₄OH), this amorphisation enables to introduce intracrystalline mesoporosity, while virtually no silica is leached from the solid based on a partial densification of the solid (Fig. 12c). In acidic conditions, the stability trends are reversed, showing that X and Y zeolites are the most unstable (Fig. 12a). With the eye on the application of faujasites in biomass-related reactions, the stability of faujasites in water at T > 100 °C and super-atmospheric pressures (‘hot liquid water’) is highly relevant. Ravenelle et al. and later Ennaert et al. revealed that especially high-silica USY zeolites are more sensitive in hot liquid water. Under those conditions, the zeolites behave in a similar fashion compared to zeolites in alkaline solutions at atmospheric pressure and moderate temperatures. Ennaert et al. related this to the changing water equilibrium becoming more basic under increased pressure and temperature.

Whereas the bulk Si/Al ratio is typically referred to in the modification of faujasites, the distribution of Al in the zeolite crystals is of key importance too. For example, the unfavorable Al distribution in X zeolites relates directly to its crystallinity loss during dealumination. Similarly, acid treatment of Y zeolites gives rise to pronounced Si/Al gradients inside the crystals, yielding Al-rich and Al-depleted zones. Such gradients have a very pronounced influence on the subsequent alkaline treatment (Fig. 12d). In the case of a highly inhomogeneous dealumination, the Al-deficient zones are readily removed from the solid, while Al-rich zones will not be affected much. This implies that the degree of inhomogeneity predicts the efficiency of a subsequent alkaline treatment. In turn, this is probably the reason why acid-treated Y zeolites can be alkaline-treated in the absence of organics: the Al-free zones may initially amorphize,
but are eventually completely removed. Al-rich zones are stable in alkaline conditions and not affected. Moreover, during direct dealumination, the crystallinity is often strongly reduced, as the dislodged Si species are not given enough time to be reintegrated into the framework. In this case, the desilication treatment can enhance crystallinity by removing the amorphous Si-rich zones. Hence, the mechanism of mesopore formation by base treatment of acid-treated Y zeolites should be distinctly different compared to the mesopore formation in highly-siliceous USY zeolites. This likely also relates to the lower external surface area introduced by base leaching in acid-treated Y zeolites with relatively high Al content (Fig. 4).

3.2. Advanced characterisation of hierarchical zeolites

The advanced characterisation of hierarchical faujasites as synthesized using the strategies in Fig. 2 is at its infancy. One of the most routine exercises in the characterisation of the faujasites is porosity assessment using nitrogen adsorption isotherms. Although the isotherms should always be central, a common focal point is the use of the t-plot model, which is used to distinguish between (ideally zeolitic) microporosity and the introduced secondary porosity. Although this method has proved of instrumental value to the characterisation of conventional mostly microporous zeolites, its application on hierarchical zeolites should be performed with caution. The latter is particularly true in the case secondary pores in the size range of 2–4 nm are present. Such pores are formed easily when USY zeolites are reacted with mild alkaline solutions, especially with micelle forming TAAs, like CTA+ (Fig. 6a).

In the latter case, a hierarchical zeolite/MCM-41 composite is formed comprising pores in the size range typical of faujasites (0.74 nm), MCM-41 (2–4 nm) and mesoporous zeolites (2–20 nm). Fajula et al. showed that indeed the t-plot has strong limitations for such composite materials and provided an abacus to correct for the obtained $V_{\text{micro}}$ and $S_{\text{meso}}$ (Fig. 13a). However, a doubtful application of the original t-plot over the parent microporous material, which is an important correction factor in the abacus, obscures the implication of the proposed methodology. Besides the complication of the presence of 2–4 nm pores in MCM-41 type materials, another intrinsic difficulty is that OMMs often comprise substantial microporosity. This means that the assessment of the micropore volume, as derived by nitrogen is of limited value, and a more trustworthy alternative is the adsorption of argon at 87 K. Such analysis, in combination with quantitative crystallinity assessment and acidity characterisation may be the best way to analyze the intrinsic zeolitic properties. Besides in zeolite/OMM composites, 2–4 nm pores can also occur in highly-crystalline mesoporous faujasites. For example, such porosity occurs after the (optimized) dealumination of NH₄Y zeolites using H₄EDTA (Fig. 7b). Also, in this case, the incorrect application of the t-plot can, instead of large external surface areas (ca. 400 m² g⁻¹), yield potentially unrealistic micropore volumes exceeding 0.40 cm³ g⁻¹.

An important piece of information that can be derived from nitrogen (and argon) isotherms is the degree of pore blockage. Based on the adsorbate properties, the amount of blocked pores

Fig. 13 Advanced characterisation of hierarchical faujasites. (a) Difficulties of non-linearity in the application of the t-plot on zeolite/OMM materials. (b) Ar adsorption at 77 K reveals hysteresis, hence occluded mesopores, in the parent and NH₄OH treated USY zeolites. (c) The BJH desorption mesopore size distributions for steamed Y zeolites comprising occluded (larger 4 nm peak) and more accessible mesopores (smaller 4 nm peak). (d) enhanced diffusivity in a hierarchical X prepared using a bottom-up (BU) strategy. (e) Positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy: the relative life time of implanted positrons gives an indication of the accessibility of mesopores. (f) Accessibility of the mesopores in USY quantified using processing of transmission electron micrographs. 3D representations of the open mesopores in green (left) and the closed mesopores in red (right). (a) Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society. (b, d and f) Reprinted with permission from Wiley-VCH Verlag. (e) Reprinted with permission from Macmillan Publishers.
can be evaluated using the degree of hysteresis in the desorption isotherm. In the case of nitrogen at 77 K, this can be performed by application of the BJH model to the desorption isotherm. Therein, the sudden closure of the hysteresis loop, for N₂ at 77 K this occurs at \( \rho / \rho_0 \sim 0.45 \), is observed as a sharp peak at 4 nm (Fig. 13e).\(^{72} \) The relative size of this peak can be used to quantify the degree of pore blockage. De Jong et al.\(^{82} \) used this approach to quantify the pore blockage in a USY and found that about 22% of the mesopores are occluded. This was successfully related to the amount of cavitated mesopores found by electron tomography (18%, Fig. 13f). However, they did not reveal the influence of any hierarchisation by the alkaline treatments. Garcia-Martinez et al.\(^{83} \) demonstrated a novel interpretation of Ar adsorption measurements performed at different temperatures, that is 77 K and 65 K, to study the same effect. Due to the different nature of the adsorbate compared to nitrogen, pores down to size range of 2–5 nm can be probed. They concluded that 64% of the mesopore volume of an alkaline-treated USY is accessible. Interestingly enough, this value was not compared to the parent zeolite. Van Aelst et al.\(^{84} \) used the same technique to assess and compare the porosity of an NH₄OH-treated USY with the parent zeolite (Fig. 13b). They observed, like Garcia-Martinez et al.,\(^{83} \) that about 70% of the porosity in the relevant size range was accessible in the alkaline-treated USY. However, they also observed that the percentage of accessible mesoporosity in the parent zeolite was higher (80%), agreeing well with the results from De Jong et al.\(^{82} \) Importantly, this means that, of the mesopores generated by alkaline treatment, a significant fraction may not be accessible. Further study will need to reveal whether this is also the case for more crystalline alkaline-treated zeolites treated with TPA⁺ or diethylamine. Mesopore accessibility of hierarchical Y zeolites prepared by acid–base treatment was confirmed by Verboekend et al. using mercury intrusion.\(^{22} \) This technique enables to selectively probe connected mesopores down to sizes of 5 nm. The mesoporous samples displayed a strongly enhanced uptake of pores smaller than 10 nm, proving that the bulk of the pores of size 10–5 nm are accessible from the exterior of the crystal.

The introduced porosity ultimately aims at enhanced catalysis either by increasing the number of accessible active sites, in the case of access limited reactions, or by reducing mass-transfer limitations. In the latter case, the role of diffusion is evident to quantify the functionality of the secondary porosity more accurately. Related studies have focused primarily on 10 MR zeolites, like ZSM-5,\(^{46} \) as the mass transfer limitations can be assessed in the gas phase using common molecules, such as xylene or neopentane. However, in the case of faujasite, studies are fewer, which may be due to the necessity for larger probe molecules to study mass transfer limitations. These molecules are less volatile, which is experimentally challenging as experiments need to be performed in the liquid phase. Still, Kortunov et al.\(^{17} \) used pulsed field gradient (PFG) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy with \( \pi \)-octane and trisopropylbenzene as probe molecules to study mass transfer limitations in parent Y zeolites and their steamed analogs. Large differences in intracrystalline diffusivity were not observed, which led the authors to conclude that mesopores introduced via steaming are of little use. It should however be emphasized that the studied crystals comprised only 32 m² g⁻¹ (parent) and 62 m² g⁻¹ (steamed) external surface, which is little compared to the commercial steamed (and acid leached) USY zeolites (ca. 200 m² g⁻¹, Fig. 4). Later, Kärger and coworkers\(^{88} \) used the same technique to quantify mass transfer limitations of conventional and hierarchical zeolite X (prepared using strategy Fig. 2a), and found large enhancements of transport rates (Fig. 13d). However, in this case the hierarchical sample did not exceed an external surface of 84 m² g⁻¹, and it therefore remains unclear how these diffusivities relate to those in highly mesoporous (\( S_{\text{meso}} > 200 \) m² g⁻¹) faujasites. In addition, since these studies focused primarily on faujasites with Si/Al < 3.4, it is unclear how the diffusivity is affected in the commonly-used high-silica faujasites (Si/Al > 10).

The influence of acidity of hierarchical faujasites was on several occasions assessed,\(^{21} \)–\(^{23} \) but only rarely studied in depth. In the case of hierarchical faujasites, study of acidity is not straightforward due to the relatively low overall stability of X and Y in the protonic form.\(^{16} \) In addition, total acidities measured on such faujasites are often much lower than what could be expected based on their framework Al content.\(^{73} \) Accordingly, it is not surprising that acidity changes were mostly focused on the implications of the alkaline treatment on USY zeolites in the compositional range of Si/Al = 15–40.\(^{21,49,56,66,89,90} \) The influence of such treatments on the total acidity is commonly measured using IR of pyridine adsorbed. As expected, based on the total loss of bulk crystallinity, treatment in NaOH alone leads to a significant reduction in Bronsted acidity.\(^{21,49,56} \) Methods that enable to preserve intrinsic zeolitic properties, such as TPABr + NaOH (Fig. 2m), yield solids with Bronsted acidities varying from 60–110% compared to that of the parent (Fig. 14b).\(^{49,56,66,90} \) Rac et al.\(^{66} \) studied the total acidity and acid strength of hierarchical USY by NH₃ desorption and PEA adsorption. They found that the total acidity was largely maintained, the acid strength was reduced by 50% (Fig. 14a and c). The latter trend was confirmed using NH₄⁺-TPD for a USY with Si/Al = 15 by Pérez-Ramírez and coworkers.\(^{89} \)

4. Catalytic evaluation

4.1. (Hydro)cracking of vacuum gas oil

The catalytic evaluation of hierarchical faujasites in the hydrocracking of vacuum gas oil (VGO) has been studied on several accounts. De Jong et al.\(^{22} \) studied conventional and a mildly-NaOH leached USY zeolite, both as part of an alumina-supported NiMoS₅ catalyst, in the cracking of vacuum gas oil (Table 3). The hierarchical variant attained a higher activity, and displayed a pronounced selectivity shift from lower-boiling point fractions (up to 140 ºC) towards higher-boiling point fractions (140–375 ºC). Accordingly, more kerosene and gasoline were formed at the expense of light gases and naphtha. In addition, the catalysts displayed a lower degree of coking. Also, García-Martínez et al.\(^{46} \) evaluated a parent and a single alkaline-treated USY zeolite in the
catalytic cracking of VGO. They observed an increase in gasoline and a higher olefinicity in the C3 and C4 fraction and a reduced occurrence of cokes and bottoms. Martínez et al.91 studied hierarchical stabilized Y zeolites and evidenced a higher C3–C4 occurrence of cokes and bottoms. Martínez et al.91 studied hierarchical faujasites in the catalytic hydrocracking of vacuum gas oil.

The catalytic results as described in Table 3 were attributed to a higher accessibility of the crystal domains. Such crystals enable a better access of larger molecules and moreover, facilitate the timely evacuation of cracked products from these microporous domains. Whereas the former leads to an enhanced bottom-conversion capacity and reduced coke formation, the reduced over-cracking leads to a lower gas formation and higher olefinicity. A very important aspect in cracking is that the three mesoporous samples comprised largely inferior acidity properties. The latter is not only a coincidence, but seems to be mandatory to maintain an optimal cracking behavior. For example, Martínez et al.91 showed that a highly mesoporous and highly acidic faujasite leads to strong over-cracking and accordingly more gas formation and lower olefinicity values. Only after moderating its acidity by steaming, the optimal performance was achieved. Accordingly, both activity and selectivity in catalytic cracking are a function of the acidity and the external surface. This concept is schematically illustrated in the case of squalane cracking (Fig. 15).

### 4.2. Carbon–carbon couplings

Frequently-applied model reactions to evaluate the performance of hierarchical USY zeolites are carbon couplings in the form of acid-catalysed alkylations or base-catalysed condensations. Various acid-catalysed couplings were tested on post-synthetically modified Y zeolites (Fig. 16). Verboekend et al.22 showed that a dealuminated and alkaline treated Y zeolite yielded a similar performance as the parent zeolite. Although this implies an increase of the TOF based on the lower Si/Al ratio in the treated sample, an overall enhancement was not achieved. Only when the zeolite was treated in a mild acid, to remove the Al-rich deposits (Fig. 2g), an enhanced performance was achieved. The latter performance related to an estimated 4-fold increase in the TOF (Fig. 16d). Jiao et al.35 studied the acetalisation of cyclohexanon with glycol and pentaerythritol, on pristine, acid-treated, and sequentially acid–base treated Y zeolites. They observed, like Verboekend et al.

![Fig. 14](image-url) Advanced acidity characterisation of parent USY and its hierarchical analogue (prepared using strategy in Fig. 2m). (a) Differential heats of phenylethylamine adsorption.66 (b) Interdependence between the Bronsted (B) and Lewis (L) acidity and the mesopore surface area. The influence of washing an alkaline-treated zeolite with Na2H2EDTA is indicated by the arrows. (c) Distribution in strength of acid sites using temperature-programmed desorption of NH3. (a–c) Reproduced with permission from Elsevier.

![Fig. 15](image-url) In the design of hierarchical faujasite catalysts the balance between external surface and the acidity is crucial. The catalytic activity (a) and main products formed (b) in the (hydro)cracking of squalane (C30) as a function of acidity and external surface. The points highlight the performance of a parent and alkaline-treated USY as presented in ref. 21. In the case of cracking, the moderation of the acidity of hierarchical zeolites is important to steer selectivity. For example, as indicated in (b), should the acidity be maintained upon hierarchisation, significantly more lower-value gases would be obtained (C2). Therefore, the partial amorphisation of USY zeolites by base treatment (in the absence of organics), is not detrimental and may be even mandatory.

### Table 3 Performance of hierarchical faujasites in the catalytic hydrocracking of vacuum gas oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Martinez21</th>
<th>Garcia-Martinez46</th>
<th>De Jong21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bottoms</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cokes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerosene</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gases</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olefinicity</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 16](image-url) This concept is schematically illustrated in the case of squalane cracking (Fig. 15).
that the catalytic performance was not enhanced by the acid–alkaline treatment (Fig. 16f). Only when a significantly larger substrate was used (Fig. 16e), did the hierarchical porous zeolites yield enhanced performance. This suggests that the value of the external surface increases with the size of the products. Unfortunately, the efficiency of a mild acid washing of the alkaline-treated sample was not demonstrated.

Although the synthesis and characterisation of TPA⁺- and CTA⁺-treated USY zeolites has been systematically compared on several occasions, the differences in catalysis has been limited to a single study. Verboekend et al.⁴⁹ studied alkaline-treated USY zeolites in the conversion of alkylate benzyl alcohol or isopropyl alcohol with toluene, forming either cymenes (C₁₀) or dibenzenes (C₁₃, Fig. 16b and c). It was demonstrated that only a zeolite treated with TPABr + NaOH leads to superior performance. Moreover, the CTA⁺-treated sample displays an activity inferior to that of the starting USY zeolite. This suggests that, unlike in cracking reactions where acidity is best moderated (Fig. 15), reactions requiring high Bronsted acid densities benefit from maintaining the intrinsic zeolitic properties. Accordingly, in such reactions, there is a clear benefit in using non-micelle forming organics, such as DEA or TPA⁺, as they enable to preserve these properties to the largest extent during mesopore formation.

Base-catalysed coupling reactions were studied mostly in the form of Knoevenagel condensations. Cesium-exchanged hierarchical X (Fig. 2b), Y (Fig. 2g), and USY (Fig. 2m) were evaluated in a classic Knoevenagel condensation of malononitrile with benzaldehyde.²³ Unlike reported previously, a pronounced near-linear relationship between the activity of the catalysts and the external surface was established (Fig. 17b). Since the studied Cs-faujasites comprised different quantities of basic sites, it was concluded that the external surface displays a dominant influence on the activity. This relationship sprouted the authors to evaluate the activity of a larger number of alkali-exchanged hierarchical faujasites, including a much wider range of Si/Al ratios. Since mesopore formation is generally more efficient for high-silica faujasites (Fig. 4), and a higher density of alkali cations (hence active sites) is present in X and Y, an optimum activity was expected. However, instead of an optimum, the highest activity was obtained for all-silica, hence virtually alkali-free, USY zeolites (Fig. 16a), which was attributed to the establishment of a novel site by base treatment (see Section 2.4). The potential of these hierarchical all-silica faujasites was evaluated as a function of the kinetic diameter of the aldehyde (Fig. 17e), where, as observed earlier in Fig. 16e and f, the utilisation of the mesopore surface area increased significantly with the size of the reactants.

![Fig. 16](image-url) Performance of hierarchical faujasites in various carbon–carbon coupling reactions. (a) X (Si/Al = 1.4), Y (Si/Al = 2.6), and USY (Si/Al > 10) zeolites with different charge-balancing counter cations in a Knoevenagel condensation.⁵⁸ The enhanced performance at high Si/Al ratios indicates the potential of surface alkali sites (see Fig. 11). (b and c) The performance of USY zeolites in alkylation.⁴⁹ Enhanced performance is only achieved when USY is base treated in the presence of TPA⁺. (d) Performance of Y zeolites in alkylation.²² The role of the acid wash (From strategy Fig. 2g) is clearly demonstrated. (e and f) Performance of Y zeolites in acetalisations.³⁵ The hierarchical alkaline-treated Y is only superior in the case the formed product is large enough (e). The role of a subsequent acid wash in (e and f) may help to further enhance the catalytic performance. (a, e and f) Reproduced with permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry. (b and c) Reprinted with permission from American Chemical Society. (d) Reprinted with permission Wiley-VCH Verlag.
bulky and reactive nature of biomass-derived molecules implies therefore the design of zeolites will differ. Moreover, the more perform. However, they did not directly compare it to a conventional USY zeolite and the role of hierarchical porosity remained therefore obscure. Peng et al. recently demonstrated the role of secondary porosity in Fischer–Tropsch catalysis more explicitly. They used cobalt-supported hierarchical Y (Fig. 2g) zeolites to attain 20% activity gains over conventional Co-supported Y zeolites. Moreover, they exploited the potential of post-synthetic design by synthesizing hierarchical Y zeolites of varying mesopore sizes. Hereby, they managed to tune the selectivity by reducing, like in the case of VGO cracking, gas formation and increasing the selectivity to C10 to C20 alkanes.

4.3. Novel catalytic applications

Motivated by the eminent transition to more sustainable production processes, biomass is nowadays frequently studied as alternative to oil-derived feedstocks. Zeolites have the potential to catalyse the transition to biomass conversion, owing to their excellent adaptability to meet the specific demands of chemical transformations. Based on the different nature of the biomass streams, such as higher oxygen content, the use and therefore the design of zeolites will differ. Moreover, the more bulky and reactive nature of biomass-derived molecules implies that, like in oil-derived streams, the potential of hierarchical zeolites in the valorisation of biomass is vast.

The application of hierarchical zeolites in biomass has not yet been very well studied. The latter may be due to the relatively recent establishment of both research fields. In biomass, hierarchical faujasites were applied thus far in bio-oil upgrading, biofuel production, and isomerisation reactions. The applied hierarchical zeolites were prepared predominantly by post-synthetic modifications, that is, base leaching of dealuminated Y or USY zeolites. The main reported advantage of the developed mesoporosity is a relative increase of the catalyst activity.

Arias et al. used a hierarchical USY (prepared using strategy Fig. 2g and h), in the alkylation of toluene with biodegraded platform molecule 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF). Whereas a conventional USY displayed poor conversion (less than 10%), introduction of mesoporosity boosted the conversion fourfold. Nuttens et al. studied the conversion of α-pinene, a by-product of the wood industry, and observed large activity improvements using hierarchical USY zeolites. The latter was obtained using sustainable mild leaching techniques (Fig. 9b), which concomitantly highlighted that the largest relatively activity gains are obtained at the initial stages of leaching (Fig. 17a). To address the higher oxygen content in bio oils, Milina et al. studied the use of hierarchical faujasites in the acid-catalysed esterification of α-cresol with acetic acid. They applied hierarchical USY zeolites and observed a 50%
conversion increase, and a doubled yield to cresol acetate (Fig. 17d). In addition, they showed that the acid wash can be important to increase the external surface and to thereby also enhance the catalytic performance. The potential of hierarchical porosity was also demonstrated in the valorisation of cellulose using Ru-loaded USY zeolites.76 Although the role of mesoporosity was not explicitly studied, it was shown that upon reuse of the catalyst, an enhanced activity was achieved. The latter was attributed to the mesopore formation incurred by the first catalytic run. This mesopore formation was ascribed to the alkaline nature of the water at elevated temperatures (see Section 3.1).

Using the novel type basic sites described in Section 2.4 (Fig. 16b), hierarchical high-silica faujasites were used to catalyse the self-condensation of propanal, attaining a fourfold activity gain.58 In addition, the need to optimize the porosity of the hierarchical USY can be displayed by relating the performance to the porous properties of zeolites (Fig. 17g). This is achieved by plotting the conversion and yields to dimers and trimers as a function of the indexed hierarchy factor (IHF) of the used catalysts.25 The IHF relates the normalized $S_{\text{meso}}$ to the normalized $V_{\text{micro}}$, and the obtained linear relationship indicates that, upon introducing secondary porosity, microporosity should not be lost. On the other hand, Dapsens et al.39 used the novel ‘alkaline induced’ Al and Ga Lewis sites on hierarchical USY zeolites in the acid-catalysed conversion of dihydroxyacetone to ethyl lactate. Whereas the role of the external surface was not explicitly studied, they found a linear relationship between the selectivity to ethyl lactate and the Lewis acidity of the hierarchical zeolite.

Besides novel applications in biomass, the more open structure of hierarchical faujasites also facilitates the use in acid-catalysed reactions, that are traditionally only performed using organic acids, such as HCl or HNO3.103 Keller et al.89 prepared a variety of hierarchical Y and USY zeolites using post-synthetic strategies (Fig. 2g and m) and evaluated their performance in the synthesis of polyurethane intermediates. In the conversion of formaldehyde with aniline, they achieved a doubled conversion, and were able to combine that with a high selectivity to the desired isomer 4,4’-methyleneedianiline (4,4’-MDA) relative to the unwanted 2,4’-MDA. Moreover, the performance of the hierarchical USY zeolite was successfully attributed to the Brønsted acidity multiplied by the external surface (Fig. 17f). Like the IHF,25 this parameter relates the intrinsic zeolitic properties to the external surface. However, unlike the IHF, it has the potential to correlate the catalytic performance of zeolites of different acidities. Finally, since the trend indicates that only acid sites on the external surface are active in this reaction, it could be expected that the performance of hierarchical USY zeolites in this conversion relates linearly to the acidity as measured using more bulky probe molecules, such as 2,6-di-tert-butylpyridine.104

5. Industrial interest in hierarchical faujasites

A great obstacle in the design of superior hierarchical zeolites in academia is the need of companies to protect their intellectual property. Although from a corporate perspective this is understandable, it also implies that many aspects regarding technical zeolite catalyst design, that is, going beyond the powder, remain obscure for academia. Nevertheless, the availability of commercial faujasite catalysts to academia by companies as UOP, Tosoh, Zeocem, Clariant, and Zeolyst have been instrumental to the development of the field of zeolite catalysts. A good example is the comprehensive list of Y and USZ zeolites that is provided by Zeolyst (Table 4).105 Based on the wide variety of properties and their long-lasting availability, their zeolite products have been the starting point for many zeolite studies. Unsurprisingly, they are routinely used as parent zeolites in the synthesis of hierarchical zeolites by post-synthetic modifications.22,23,52,89,106

Besides the attention in academia, also a substantial attention of hierarchical faujasites has occurred in patent literature (Table 5). Prior to the establishment of secondary porosity as key tool to enhance catalytic performance (around 2000), the patent literature of mesoporous faujasites was limited. The occurrence of only one patent, by PQ on the generation of mesopores by hydrothermal treatment, may be due to the dubious role mesopores were perceived to play at that time. Only after the realisation of the potential of secondary porosity, a wave of industrial interest occurred (2009–2012). Unsurprisingly, the majority of those focus on the synthesis of hierarchical Y and USY zeolites by post-synthetic modification, and more specifically, by (acid- and) base treatments (patents by Total, Eni, and IFP). As may be expected, the application of these hierarchical faujasites focused on hydro-conversions and specifically on hydrocracking (patents by Total, ExxonMobil, IMP).

The majority of the patents can be ratified using the strategies in Fig. 2. However, a number of interesting observations deserve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBV code</th>
<th>Si/Al [mol mol⁻¹]</th>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>Unit cell size [Å]</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>24.65</td>
<td>Freshly synthesized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>Mildly steamed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>24.53</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>24.35</td>
<td>Mildly steamed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>24.35</td>
<td>Ion exchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>Moderately steamed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>760</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>Severely steamed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>Acid treated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Overview of commercial faujasite zeolites from Zeolyst.105 Upon steaming the unit cell size decreases substantially.
Table 5 Overview of the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites in recent patent literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patent number</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Filing date</th>
<th>Zeolite</th>
<th>Main observations</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Strategy in Fig. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US601789 PQ</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1994 Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Mesopores generated by hydrothermal treatments</td>
<td>na&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2012/001834</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2009 USY</td>
<td>USY</td>
<td>Small mesopore formation and severe amorphisation by alkaline treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2014/024934</td>
<td>ExxonMobil 2012 USY</td>
<td>Crystallinity alkaline-treated USY zeolites partially restored by ammonia treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2012/0227584 ExxonMobil 2011 Y</td>
<td>Smaller aggregates obtained by aging of the synthesis gel, external surface area limited to 20 m² g⁻¹</td>
<td>Adsorption</td>
<td>e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US8513150 ExxonMobil 2012 Y</td>
<td>Mesopores formed by steam treatments</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2013/0227584 ExxonMobil 2012 Y</td>
<td>Low-occlusion mesopores formed by steam treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0118954 ExxonMobil 2012 Y</td>
<td>Mesopore formation, crystallinity and microporosity largely preserved by using hydrothermal treatment in polyols and ammonium salts</td>
<td>Catalytic cracking</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2013/0171058</td>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>2011 Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Superior catalyst are obtained by sequential acid–base treatment</td>
<td>Hydrotreatment&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>g&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2011/0108460 IFP</td>
<td>2010 Y</td>
<td>IFP</td>
<td>Superior catalyst are obtained by sequential acid–base treatment</td>
<td>Production of middle distillates from waxes</td>
<td>g&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US8679323 ENI, IFP 2010 Y</td>
<td>Superior catalysts obtained by base treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US2012/0205286 IFP</td>
<td>2012 USY</td>
<td>Super catalysts obtained by base treatment</td>
<td>Hydrocracking</td>
<td>k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Final acid wash not performed.  <sup>b</sup> Renewable feedstocks (see Section 4.3).  <sup>c</sup> As judged by the 4 nm peak in the BJH desorption mesopore size distribution (see Fig. 13c).  <sup>d</sup> Not applicable.

extra notice. First, Total showed that the partial (10%) recovery of the crystallinity of USY zeolites, that were completely amorphized by base treatment, could be restored by ammonia treatment.<sup>107</sup> Although an additional (gas-phase) NH₃ treatment is required, this provides a tool to obtain highly mesoporous and (partially) crystalline USY zeolites without the need for organics, such as TPA⁺ or diethylamine, to preserve the FAU structure (partially) crystalline USY zeolites without the need for organics, required, this provides a tool to obtain highly mesoporous and (partially) crystalline USY zeolites without the need for organics,.

Another interesting work by ExxonMobil regards the characterisation of hierarchical Y zeolites prepared by steam treatment.<sup>109</sup> Like De Jong et al.<sup>82</sup> they applied the BJH model to the desorption branches of N₂ isotherms at 77 K to quantify the degree of pore blockage (Fig. 13c). Moreover, they claimed a method to produce low-occlusion mesopores in Y zeolites (Table 5). These zeolites feature an exceptionally-low 4 nm peak in the BJH pore size distribution, and accordingly yielded an enhanced selectivity in hydrocracking. This patent further stresses that besides the quantity of external surface, also the quality of the generated mesopores plays a crucial role in zeolite design. IMP (Mexican institute of petroleum) featured a novel hydrothermal technique to generate mesopores, preserve zeolitic properties, and lower the sodium content simultaneously by 75%. This is achieved by single step hydrothermal treatments at an elevated temperature using aqueous solutions of polyols and ammonium salts. The different components in this synthetic procedure make it hard to assess what the purpose of each component is. Nevertheless, it does provide with a potential new strategy to synthesize hierarchical faujasites.

An interesting observation is the absence of major zeolite manufacturers Grace and UOP. Since it is obvious that both multi-nationals routinely apply post-synthetic modifications to optimize the performance of their zeolite catalysts,<sup>5,5,6</sup> they may have opted to keep their post-synthetic strategies undisclosed. However, their absence may also be explained by the fact that the use of base treatments on zeolites to enhance micropore accessibility, enhance adsorption, and attain superior catalytic performance was already claimed in 1967 by Young.<sup>110</sup> In this patent, the main claim elaborates on a hydrocarbon conversion catalysts, which is predominantly based on a crystalline aluminosilicate (Si/Al = 3–6, micropore size 0.3–0.8 nm), which has been leached using alkali metal hydroxides of pH > 10.5. Although the compositional range of this claim is limited and the patent deals exclusively with mordenite, it remains unclear whether or not this patent indicates that the use of base leaching was already effectively demonstrated for decades.

6. Outlook and future directions

Since the breakthrough contributions on their synthesis, hierarchical faujasites have rapidly become one of the most promising class of hierarchical zeolites, based on a variation of advantages. The combination of a cheap and scalable synthesis with accessible 3D micropores and a tunable mesoporosity, composition, stability, and nature of the active site, make them superior catalysts in many established and novel applications in the petrochemical industry as well as in the valorisation of biomass. However, a variety of challenges and opportunities exists in order to secure their position as superior porous material (Fig. 18).
Fig. 18 Challenges in the synthesis, characterisation, and application of hierarchical faujasites. In their design, the establishment of synthesis–property–function relationships is central. While hierarchical faujasites are already frequently applied as catalyst, their potential in adsorption and ion exchange remains obscure. The rational scale up of hierarchical faujasites, and solid catalysts in general, is of paramount importance.

A first concern regarding post-synthetic modifications is that, of the applied acid or base treatment, mostly the concentration varied. It is imaginable that, by variation of the time and temperature, a certain solution may give rise to completely different materials. Next, although post-synthetic modifications have enabled a full compositional flexibility of the synthesis of hierarchical faujasites (Fig. 2), several questions concerning the actual mechanism of mesopore formation persist. For example, though the alkaline treatment of zeolites has received a substantial attention, major progress on the actual mechanism on a molecular scale remain lacking. It is for example not entirely clear where the leaching starts: Does it start on the outside of the crystal, or homogeneously throughout the crystal? Microscopic observations and a linear relation between the degree of leaching and the total surface area of the parent materials suggest a leaching throughout the crystal. However, this was never unambiguously demonstrated. Another interesting aspect, particularly in USY zeolites, is the different nature and degrees of heterogeneity (Al gradients or Si- or Al-rich amorphous species), that can occur in faujasite samples. This is highly relevant as in sequences of treatments the outcome of the initial treatment directly influences the productivity of the next, and thereby all other treatments that follow. Of course, fundamental differences between framework topologies (for example FAU vs. MFI) represent an important pathway for future study.

Hierarchical faujasites derived from bottom-up strategies face different challenges. Besides the obvious sustainability and scalability difficulties regarding their synthesis, an important concern is the apparent impossibility to hydrothermally synthesize highly siliceous (Si/Al > 6) USY zeolites, that is, the most used faujasite catalysts. The difficulty of doing so, implies that an important future focal point may constitute the dealumination of bottom-up prepared hierarchical Y faujasites. In the case of Y nanocrystals this may be particularly challenging as their Si/Al ratio is approaching that of zeolite X (Table 2), and therefore may not be stable upon dealumination. In addition, it remains unclear if the accessible nature of the nanocrystals can be preserved upon post-synthetic treatments.

In terms of characterisation, we expect that substantial headway can be made using systematic studies featuring distinct hierarchical zeolites. This can be achieved by either evaluating the properties of hierarchical zeolites using a single method comprising different degrees of mesoporosity (Fig. 17), or by using hierarchical faujasites with similar external surfaces but prepared by different strategies. Especially in studies contacting USY zeolites with CTA-containing alkaline solutions, such systematic approaches should help to more precisely unravel the relationships between synthesis, properties, and function, crucial to the application-oriented design of hierarchical faujasites (Fig. 18). Although several works have reported the superior performance of bifunctional faujasite catalysts, the role of external surface in the preparation of bifunctional catalysis is relatively unclear. For example, the large external surface may yield enhanced dispersion of deposited metals, hereby attaining superior catalytic performance.

More specifically, key challenges in the advanced study of hierarchical faujasites regard diffusion and accessibility studies. For example, the role of steam treatments on the diffusional properties and accessibility deserves to be revisited based on the newly obtained synthetic possibilities. Together with the industrial attention to steam treatments (Table 5), this may even rejuvenate the use of steam treatment as a tool to introduce secondary porosity. Also, diffusion studies using positron annihilation lifetime spectroscopy (Fig. 13e) may prove a powerful tool, as it is not limited by the experimental difficulties related to the limited volatility of bulky probe molecules. The use of bulky pyridines, larger than in the case of 10 MR zeolites as ZSM-5, may be used to systematically map the accessibility of the acid sites. Finally, a special point is the relative stability of faujasite zeolites under the different conditions. We believe it is important to highlight the need to more clearly indicate the type of stability (Fig. 12b), and we identify the zeolite composition (Si/Al ratio, type of cation, amount of CBCCs, and presence of EFAl species) as an important tool to tailor that relative stability.

Also in catalytic evaluations a more systematic study should strengthen the synthesis–property–function relationships. This process can be facilitated by the supermarket of strategies developed within the last several years (Fig. 2). For example, in addition to evaluating activity gains as a function of external surface introduced, more links between the porosity, acidity, and the selectivity patterns may be established (Fig. 17). Although strong selectivity benefits are obtained in catalytic cracking (Table 3), the involved studies typically include a limited amount of samples, that is, one conventional and one hierarchical sample. Using a larger set of samples should enable to more precisely steer a reaction to a desired product. This approach may concomitantly lead to better insights in the reaction mechanisms. The latter is important as, in contrast to homogeneous catalysis in the liquid phase, the reaction mechanisms in zeolites are
often not entirely clear. This may be due to access limitations, competitive adsorption, or other (often energetic) reasons.

Besides a more optimized use in established reactions, the substantially enhanced secondary porosity and the generation of novel types of actives sites may enable to successfully apply hierarchical faujasites in novel catalytic applications. Particularly the conversions of bulky reagents, traditionally performed using mineral acids, may be replaced with these accessible solid acids. In addition, by careful design, hierarchical faujasites may be prepared comprising in addition to the classic active sites, a secondary level of active sites. These could even be, besides the reactivity differences, location specific (being either in micropore or mesopore). Such principles were already devised in catalytic cracking of gas oil. Next, a large market is the application in biomass-related conversions. Here, insights in the relative stability and adsorptive properties are crucial based on the more polar feedstocks and more polar solvents, such as water or alcohols. Particularly based on their large tunability of the relative stability and the active site, hierarchical faujasites may become widely applied in biomass related conversions.

Apart from the application of faujasites in catalytic conversions, the market for ion exchangers, molecular sieves, and adsorbents is significant. In these fields, the presence of hierarchical porosity has not been established. Here particularly, the strategies regarding the synthesis of cation-rich hierarchical zeolite X should play a decisive part. It is an important question whether the added level of mesoporosity is able to instigate an enhanced performance in these fields. Of course, independent of application, scale up represents a key challenge; a topic for which thus far little fundamental knowledge exists. The latter may simply be explained by the lack of the need to scale up in academia, however this does not justify it. We are convinced that, by combining scalable synthesis strategies with smart experimentation and collaboration with industrial partners, it must be possible to gather fundamental understanding on the scale-up and shaping of heterogeneous catalysts in general.

It is remarkable that, although one of the oldest families of synthetic zeolites, faujasites remain such a widely-applied and highly-interesting material. Their continued success story may be related to a Darwinistic ability of these zeolites to adjust to new application-based demands, such as its conversion from microporous to hierarchical porous materials (described in this review). In addition, their organic-free synthesis in combination with advanced post-synthetic designs, seems to keep them a step ahead from competitive large-micropore frameworks, such as LTL, EMT, and DON. Therefore, based on the abovementioned challenges and opportunities, we perceive that the synthesis and application of hierarchical faujasites provide ample room for high quality and innovative future studies for years to come.
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