Interaction of BODIPY dyes with bovine serum albumin: a case study on the aggregation of a click-BODIPY dye†
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BODIPY dyes are among the most useful and versatile small molecule fluorescent probes, and a wide range of applications has been attributed to the dyes’ high thermal and chemical stabilities, high quantum yields, extinction coefficients, as well as tunable spectroscopic properties.1–3 In regard to biomolecular processes, BODIPY dyes have been primarily used to label ligands to address ligand–receptor interactions.4,5 Recently, several reports suggested that BODIPY dyes could interact directly with a variety of proteins and peptide assemblies, acting as fluorescence-based sensors.6,7 Fluorophore–albumin interactions are of interest, since serum albumins are the major small molecule-binding proteins, which are considered suitable models for various in vitro studies on ligand–protein interactions.8 In addition, due to its size and collection of binding sites, bovine serum albumin (BSA) could be viewed as a viable model for non-specific binding. Although a number of fluorophores have been shown to bind to albumins, only a few BODIPY dyes have been investigated.9–11 Notably, a BODIPY dye was identified (out of a library of 137 dyes) that exhibited ca. 200-fold emission enhancement in the presence of BSA, while exhibiting high specificity towards BSA over serum albumins from other species (human, porcine, rat, and sheep).11,12 Significantly, specifically substituted BODIPY-based fluorescent probes were shown to be viable sensors of protein hydrophobicity.14 Furthermore, several common BODIPY dyes, including a water-soluble derivative, were recently suggested to interact with albumins15 as was evidenced by an increase in the emission intensity.

We previously demonstrated that the incorporation of a triazole moiety on the BODIPY dye scaffold afforded probes that had a significant affinity towards soluble oligomers of amyloid peptides,16 thus illustrating the possibility for click-BODIPY dyes to act as biosensors.

Here, in order to expand on the utility of BODIPY dyes, we examined the interactions between triazole-containing BODIPY dyes, so-called click-BODIPY dyes, (Fig. 1) and BSA. The incorporation of the triazole group onto the BODIPY scaffold was accomplished in a straightforward manner using an alkyne-containing BODIPY scaffold (ESI†). Dye 2 has a triazole moiety, and the presence of the methyl group, rather than the benzyl group, assures that dye 2 is less hydrophobic than dye 3.

During the initial screening, the fluorescence of dyes 1, 2, and 3 was measured in the presence of a fixed amount of BSA (39.2 μM) and a notable enhancement in the fluorescence of the dyes in the presence of BSA was observed (Fig. 2).

At the highest experimental dye concentration (1 μM), krypto-BODIPY dye 1 exhibited a ca. 20-fold increase in its fluorescence intensity.

![Fig. 1 Structures of krypto-BODIPY (1) and click-BODIPY (2 and 3) dyes.](image-url)
intensity in the presence of BSA, while the introduction of the methyl-triazole moiety, dye 2, resulted in only a 10-fold increase. Remarkably, in the presence of BSA, dye 3 exhibited a significantly larger enhancement, ca. 40-fold. Notably, the fluorescence enhancement of dye 3 compared to that of dyes 1 and 2 could be viewed as even more remarkable at lower dye concentrations (e.g., 0.2 μM), since the fluorescence of dye 3 saturated at dye concentrations greater than 0.5 μM. It should also be pointed out that the absorption spectra of the dyes were not drastically different in the absence and presence of BSA (Fig. S1–S6, ESI†).

Furthermore, the fluorescence intensity of dye 3, in the presence of BSA, was found to increase with time, t, towards its asymptotic equilibrium value. This was likely related to the kinetics of protein–dye association and the corresponding desolvation effects of the dye and its aggregates. This behavior was not observed in the case of the other two dyes, i.e., no time-dependent increase in the emission was observed upon addition of dyes 1 and 2 to the solution of BSA. For dye 3, the fluorescence intensity at equilibrium, I_F(∞) was obtained by fitting the time-dependent fluorescence, I_F(t), to the first-order kinetic expression I_F(∞)[1 - a exp(-bt)], where I_F(∞) a, and b are fitting parameters. A representative profile is shown in Fig. 3.

The aforementioned observations may be related to the presence of the benzyl group on dye 3, which increases the hydrophobicity of the dye, and as such the dye’s interaction with hydrophobic binding pockets of the protein should be favored. However, the saturation of the fluorescence signal was taking place at dye concentrations of ca. 0.5 μM (Fig. 2C). In order to gain insight into the BODIPY–BSA interactions, we carried out more detailed titration experiments at dye concentrations that were below the saturation point using fluorescence spectroscopy.

The titration conditions were chosen such that the total concentration of protein P (C_P) was large enough when compared

![Fig. 2](image_url) Representative fluorescence spectra of BODIPY dyes (0.5 μM) 1 (A), 2 (B), and 3 (C) in the presence (solid line) and absence (dotted line) of BSA (39.2 μM). λ_ex = 475 nm. Buffer: 10 mM TRIS (0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.4). Insets: Fluorescence enhancement as a function of dye concentration; I_F – fluorescence in the presence of BSA, I_Fo – fluorescence in the absence of BSA. The data are the average of 2–3 measurements ± SD.

![Fig. 3](image_url) Experimental fluorescence intensity of dye 3, I_F, as a function of time, t, at C_D = 0.3 μM and C_P = 8.7 μM (open circles); λ_ex = 530 nm; λ_em = 538 nm; buffer: 10 mM TRIS (0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.4). The solid curve is a fit through the data using I_F(∞)[1 - a exp(-bt)]. The dashed horizontal line indicates the obtained value of I_F(∞).
to the total concentration of the dye D \( (C_D) \). Thus, it could be assumed that only a 1:1 complex of protein–dye (PD), would form appreciably, irrespective of the number of binding sites of BSA. This reversible interaction could be represented as \( P + D \rightleftharpoons PD \), with the following mass action law (eqn (1)):

\[
K = \frac{[PD]}{[P][D]}
\]

(1)

where \( K \) is the corresponding association constant and \([P]\), \([D]\), and \([PD]\) are the concentrations of the three reaction species at equilibrium, which are linked to the total concentrations by the mass balances: \( C_D = [D] + [PD] \) and \( C_P = [P] + [PD] \). To determine \( K \), we examined the effect of \( C_P \) at a constant \( C_D \), on the observed fluorescence enhancement, \( F = I_F/I_F^0 \), where \( I_F \) and \( I_F^0 \) are the fluorescence intensities in the presence and in the absence of BSA, respectively. Assuming that fluorescence intensity is directly proportional to fluorophore concentration, it follows:

\[
F = 1 + (R - 1)z
\]

(2)

where \( R \) is the fluorescence ratio of bound to free dye (fluorescence gain) and \( z \) is the fraction of bound dye (defined as \( z \equiv [PD]/C_D \)). Eqn (3) is obtained from the mass balances and eqn (1):

\[
z = \frac{1 + KC_P + KC_D - \sqrt{(1 + KC_P - KC_D)^2 + 4KC_D}}{2KC_D}
\]

(3)

The titrations were performed by varying the protein concentration \( C_P \) while keeping the dye concentration \( C_D \) constant at 0.20 \( \mu M \). The results were subsequently fitted using eqn (2) and (3), and the results are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 1. The binding constants for all dyes were very similar, and therefore a drastic increase (a 34-fold increase of the fluorescence of the dye 3 as compared to dyes 1 and 2, which showed 1.5 and 2.9 fold increases, respectively) could not be explained by a difference in the binding affinities.

The observed saturation in the fluorescence behavior of dye 3 (Fig. 2C) required additional explanation. At 0.5 \( \mu M \) of the dye, the protein was present in a large excess as compared to the dye, and the saturation of a binding site was unlikely. We considered the self-aggregation of dye 3 as a competitor to the protein–dye binding in solution. Furthermore, these aggregates were assumed to have a minor contribution to the overall fluorescence, since typically aggregation-induced quenching of fluorescence is reported for the vast majority of fluorophores.17,18

In regard to the dye–BSA interaction, the dye aggregation could be assessed when fluorescence intensity (expressed as \( I_F - I_F^0 \)) is plotted as a function of \( C_D \) at constant \( C_P \) (Fig. 4). At low protein concentrations, \( I_F - I_F^0 \) reached a plateau as the dye concentration was increased. This plateau decreased as the protein concentration increased. It is important to note that the protein concentration was significantly larger than that of the dye in all cases, which precludes the saturation of the protein’s binding sites. In this case, the protein likely acted as a solubilizer for the dye, and thereby reduced the amount of dye aggregates in solution. Such solubilization by BSA could also explain the disappearance of the plateau in the titration experiments at higher protein concentrations (Fig. 5).

In order to quantitatively describe the observed behavior, dye reversible aggregation could be represented as \( nD = D_m \) with the following mass action law (eqn (4)):

\[
\beta = S_D^{\left(n-1\right)} = \frac{[D_m]}{[D]^n}
\]

(4)

where, for simplicity, it is assumed that only one monodisperse mesoscopic aggregate, \( D_m \), is formed with aggregation number \( n \), and \( \beta \) is the corresponding association constant. When \( n \gg 1 \), the aggregate could be treated as a separate phase, and \( S_D \) in eqn (4) represents the solubility of monomeric D with respect to the aggregates in water.13 For a given \( n \), either \( \beta \) or \( S_D \) could be equivalently utilized to characterize aggregation thermodynamics. In our case, we choose to use \( S_D \) due to its more direct graphical identification. Since the contribution of dye aggregates to fluorescence was neglected, the difference in intensity, \( I_F - I_F^0 \) could be described as follows:

\[
I_F - I_F^0 = k_F(R[PD] + [D] - [D_m])
\]

(5)

where \( k_F \) is the proportionality constant describing the effect of free monomeric dye on fluorescence intensity and \( R = 34 \) (Table 1). At a given \( C_D \), \([D]\) and \([D_m]\) represent the concentrations of free monomeric dye in the presence and absence of BSA, respectively. Note that \([D] - [D_m]\) is small compared to \( R[PD] \) in eqn (5). The concentrations \([PD]\), \([D]\), and \([D_m]\) can be related to \( C_D \) and \( C_P \) by the mass balances:

\[
C_D = [D] + K[D]C_P(1 + K[D]) + nS_D([D]/[D_m])^n
\]

(6)
in some cases, the fluorescence enhancement upon a dye–protein interaction might not be exclusively attributed to the binding event. Potentially the disaggregation of click-BODIPY dyes could be used as a detection event as well as a sensor for the hydrophobic surfaces of the proteins.14
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Conclusions

The interaction of several BODIPY dyes with BSA has been investigated using fluorescence spectroscopy. In the presence of BSA, krypto-BODIPY and click-BODIPY dyes exhibited a notable increase in their fluorescence intensities. In the case of the benzyl-triazole-containing BODIPY dye 3, a drastic increase in the fluorescence intensity was noted, yet the binding affinities for all three dyes towards BSA were found to be virtually the same. The fluorescence enhancement in this particular case demonstrates that BSA could play a dual role: (a) disaggregate the dye’s aggregates and (b) subsequently bind monomeric BODIPY 3. Notably, a similar disaggregation phenomenon was also reported for aza-BODIPY dyes.20 Our results suggest that, at least

\[
\text{C}_D = [D]_0 + nS_D([D]/[D])^n
\]

Note that eqn (6) and (7) could be used to numerically calculate \([PD]\), \([D]\), and \([D]_0\) as a function of \(C_D\) at constant \(C_P\) provided that \(K_D\), \(S_D\), and \(n\) are known. The corresponding \(I_P - I_P^0\) curves could then be computed using eqn (5), assuming that \(k_F\) is also known. Note that \(k_F\) is related to the initial slope of the \(I_P - I_P^0\) curves (Fig. 3), \(S_D\) to the dye concentration at which the \(I_P - I_P^0\) reaches a plateau, and \(n\) to the change in the \(I_P - I_P^0\) slope as the plateau is approached. To compute \(I_P - I_P^0\) curves at the four experimental \(C_P\) values (Fig. 3), we used \(K = 0.12 \mu M^{-1}\) from Table 1 and set \(k_F = 75 \mu M^{-1}\), \(n = 10\), and \(S_D = 0.40 \mu M\). These curves (Fig. 4) were in a satisfactory agreement with our experimental results. It is also important to mention that the experimental value of \(S_D = 0.20 \mu M\) used to determine \(K\) was well below the “solubility” value, \(S_D\).