PCCP

PAPER

Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2016, 18, 8874

Received 4th December 2015, Accepted 3rd March 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c5cp07474f

www.rsc.org/pccp

Introduction

The development of novel electrochemical storage technologies is important for a range of applications, not least for grid storage including peak shifting and price arbitrage.^{1,2} This is critical to achieve the widespread use of renewable but intermittent sources of electricity (such as wind and solar) as well as a larger share of (all-)electric vehicles which are expected to have a longer range and a better efficiency in the future.³ Lithium ion batteries provide today the highest energy density, cycle rate, and cycle life among commercial batteries.⁴ However, further improvements in performance as well as in sustainability are required.⁵ In particular, for efficient grid storage, rapid charge-discharge (within minutes) is needed,^{1,6} which is beyond that of present commercial Li ion batteries.⁵ In addition, some expensive or poisonous components like $Co⁷$ are used in present electrode materials of Li ion batteries. Furthermore, lithium deposits are geographically concentrated and may be insufficient for their use in Li ion batteries on a

A comparative computational study of lithium and sodium insertion into van der Waals and covalent tetracyanoethylene (TCNE)-based crystals as promising materials for organic lithium and sodium ion batteries

Y. Chen and S. Manzhos*

We present a comparative ab initio study of Li and Na insertion into molecular (van der Waals) crystals of TCNE (tetracyanoethylene) as well as in covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystals. We confirm the structure of the previously synthesized (covalent) Li-TCNE crystal and predict the existence of its Na-TCNE analogue. In the molecular crystals, we compute the maximum voltages to be 3.5 V for Li and 3.3 V for Na, with theoretical capacities of 1247 mA h g⁻¹ for Li and 416 mA h g⁻¹ for Na. In the covalent crystals, the maximum voltages are 2.2 V for Li and 2.7 V for Na, and theoretical capacities are 394 mA h g⁻¹ for Li and 176 mA h g⁻¹ for Na. Significantly, up to a capacity of 416 mA h g⁻¹ for both Li and Na in the molecular crystal and 197 mA h g⁻¹ for Li and 176 mA h g⁻¹ for Na in the covalent crystal, the insertion of Li and Na would not lead to reactions with common electrolytes. We show that volumetric capacities of organic electrodes need not be low compared to their inorganic counterparts, contrary to popular belief: the molecular TCNE crystal has been computed to achieve the values of 1845 mA h cm⁻³ for Li and 615 mA h cm⁻³ for Na, respectively. Tetracyanoethylene-based molecular and covalent crystals could therefore become efficient organic cathode and anode materials for Li and Na ion batteries. PAPER

Solution and solution insertion into van der Waals and covaler

Solution insertion into van der Waals and covaler

Solution is and the solution insertion into van der Waals and covaler

Solution is and solution in

large scale; $8-10$ Li might become too costly with the growing battery market. On the other hand, sodium is abundant and cheap, relatively light, and has a qualitatively similar valence shell chemistry to lithium. Sodium ion batteries are a promising candidate technology for bulk electrochemical storage. However, compared to materials for Li storage, the design of inorganic electrode materials with suitable thermodynamics and kinetics for Na storage seems to be more difficult; 1^{1-14} this is due, in particular, to the larger ionic radius of Na⁺.

Organic electrodes for rechargeable batteries have been receiving increasing attention in recent years, as they can be used to achieve simultaneously high rate (high power) and environment-friendly batteries. They can, for example, be made from common feedstock such as biomass.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ In recent years, significant progress in the development of organic batteries has been made. 15 Capacities of up to 900 mA h g^{-1} (i.e. competitive with inorganic electrode materials) and rates of up to 1000 C (unprecedented for inorganic electrodes) have been reported.¹⁵ Moreover, organic electrodes are also promising for post-Li storage, $18,19$ which will have to be developed to make massive deployment of electrochemical batteries feasible.²⁰

A number of experimental studies of different classes of potential organic electrode materials for Li ion batteries^{15,18,21-24}

Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Block EA #07-08, 9 Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117575, Singapore. E-mail: mpemanzh@nus.edu.sg

have been conducted in recent years. Computational studies are, on the other hand, very few.25,26 Modeling is, however, important to screen for potential new electrode materials, to explain the mechanism of operation of known electrode materials, and ultimately to guide the experimental design towards better performance materials. For Na storage, several organic materials have been proposed, including carboxylate and terephthalate based materials.18,22 One promising class of organic electrode materials is tetracyanides. Cathodes for Li ion batteries made of crystalline tetracyanoquinodimethane $(TCNO)^{21}$ were reported to achieve a relatively high capacity exceeding 200 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ with an excellent cyclability. The reported lithiation mechanism involves the coordination of Li to CN moieties; that is to say, the aromatic ring would not contribute to the capacity. Furthermore, the voltages reported for this material are in the range 2.5–3.2 V which is not optimal for either cathodic (where voltages ≥ 4 V are desired) or anodic (where voltages closer to 0 are desired) operation. Therefore, here, we consider a smaller tetracyanide molecule without the (potentially dead-weight) aromatic ring, tetracyanoethylene $(TCNE)^{27}$ as a potential new organic electrode material which is expected to have a high specific capacity and voltages potentially more suited for anodic operation.26,28,29 Paper

On the cherch article control are control are control and the control are control and the cherch 2016. The control are control and the control and the common control and the common and the common and the common and

TCNE is a lighter molecule which is expected to attach up to 4 Li/Na atoms, 27 which would result in a theoretical specific capacity of about 840 mA h $g_{\rm (TCNE)}^{-1}$. In ref. 26, we studied ab initio lithium and sodium attachment to TCNE molecules, both free and attached to (doped) graphene. We have also studied the mechanism of, and the electronic structure resulting from, Li and Na attachment to isolated TCNE molecules.³⁰ We predicted that up to four (five) Li and Na atoms can be stored on free (adsorbed) TCNE with binding energies stronger than cohesive energies of the Li and Na metals. Interestingly, there was no significant difference either in the specific capacity (per unit mass of material excluding Li/Na) or in the predicted voltage between Li and Na storage. In contrast, for many inorganic electrode materials, Na insertion is thermodynamically inhibited compared to Li insertion. $11-14}$ This makes organic molecules very promising for post-Li storage in general. TCNE, therefore, is a promising candidate molecule for organic Li and Na ion batteries. However, TCNE is stable and easily available under normal conditions in a crystalline form. It is known to form two types of molecular (vdW-bound) crystals: a cubic and a monoclinic phase. 31 In contrast to the monoclinic phase, the cubic phase is not stable and transforms into the monoclinic when the temperature is higher than 320 K, which then remains monoclinic upon cooling. Therefore, to understand practical potential of TCNE as the organic electrode, we here study Li and Na interaction with crystalline TCNE, specifically, the monoclinic phase (left panel in Fig. 1). Recently, TCNE has been reported to form covalent crystals with Li of stoichiometry Li-TCNE²⁷ which presents well-defined channels that could be suitable for Li storage and transport (top left panel in Fig. 4). The potential of this material as an organic electrode has not been studied. Also, the existence and potential for Na storage of its putative Na-TCNE analogue remain unknown.

Fig. 1 The crystal structure of monoclinic TCNE (left) and the insertion sites of Li (middle) and Na (right) atoms in the crystal. Atom colour scheme here and elsewhere: C-brown, N-grey, Li-green and Na-yellow. Different shades of green and yellow are used for different insertion sites. Visualization here and elsewhere is by VESTA.⁴³

Here, we present a comparative dispersion-corrected density functional theory (DFT) computational study of the possibilities of Li and Na storage in tetracyanoethylene-based molecular (vdW) and covalent crystals. Specifically, we confirm the previously reported XRD structure of Li-TCNE27 and predict the existence of a covalent Na-TCNE crystal. We identify Li and Na insertion sites and compare the energetics and voltages as well as the theoretical capacities of Li vs. Na storage in vdW vs. covalent crystals.

Methods

Crystalline structures were optimized via DFT^{32} using the SIESTA code. 33 The PBE exchange–correlation functional 34 and a DZP (double- ζ polarized) basis set were used. The basis set was optimized to reproduce the cohesive energies of C, Li, Na and N.³⁵⁻³⁷ Specifically, the cohesive energy of the Li metal $E_{\rm coh}^{\rm Li}$ = -1.67 eV and $E_{\rm coh}^{\rm Na}$ = -1.14 eV computed using these basis sets is very accurate $35-37$ and can be relied upon to compute the voltages. Geometries were optimized until forces on all atoms were below 0.02 eV $\rm{\AA}^{-1}.$ Simulation cell vectors were optimized until stresses were below 0.1 GPa. A cutoff of 200 Ry was used for the Fourier expansion of the density, and a bcc type oversampling of the Fourier grid was used to minimize the eggbox effect. Smearing equivalent to an electronic temperature of 500 K was used to speed up convergence. To find Li/Na insertion sites, a periodic supercell of size \sim 11 \times 11 \times 12 Å (corresponding to $2 \times 2 \times 1$ unit cells) was used for the covalent crystals, with 8 TCNE-Li/Na molecules per supercell. The Brillouin zone was sampled with a 2 \times 2 \times 2 grid of Monkhorst-Pack points.³⁸ For molecular (vdW) crystals, insertion sites were computed in a periodic supercell of size $14 \times 12 \times 14$ Å (corresponding to $2 \times 2 \times 2$ unit cells) with 16 TCNE molecules per supercell. The Brillouin zone was sampled at the Γ point. Spin polarization was used in all calculations. The stability of the crystal structures and of insertion sites was confirmed by quenched molecular dynamics (MD) calculations performed following geometry optimization, whereby the structures were relaxed until forces on all atoms were below 0.015 eV \AA^{-1} . No appreciable geometry or energy changes were detected.

To model the insertion of multiple Li/Na atoms into the covalent crystals, a unit cell (2 TCNE-Li/Na units) was used with a 9 \times 9 \times 5 Monkhorst–Pack point grid. For vdW crystals, a unit cell (2 TCNE molecules) was used to model the insertion of

multiple Li/Na atoms per supercell with a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ Monkhorst– Pack point grid. Calculations for insertion of 0.5 Li/Na atoms per TCNE were also computed in the supercell described above (*i.e.* corresponding to $2 \times 2 \times 1$ unit cells). For each specific capacity (no. of inserted Li/Na atoms), the configuration with the strongest binding was also rechecked by quenched MD to confirm its stability, as described above.

Charge transfer between Li/Na atoms and TCNE-based crystals was analyzed using Mulliken charges as well as Voronoi charges³⁹ (which are defined in a basis-set independent way). Dispersion forces between TCNE units, which are important for the modeling of vdW-bound crystals, were modelled with the scheme of Grimme⁴⁰ (DFT-D2) with parameters taken from ref. 40.

The binding energy (E_b) per Li/Na atom was computed as

$$
E_{\rm b} = \frac{E_{nX/\text{sys}} - E_{\text{sys}} - nE_{\text{X}}}{n},\tag{1}
$$

where $E_{nX/\text{sys}}$ is the total energy of nX atoms inserted into sys, where sys is the molecular or covalent crystal and $X = Li$ or Na; E_{sys} is the total energy of sys, and E_X is the total energy of an X atom in a vacuum box (of the same size as the supercell). A negative value of E_b therefore corresponds to a thermodynamically favored insertion.

The average voltage between concentrations x and x_0 of Li or Na is computed using the following equation:^{41,42}

$$
V = -\frac{E_x - E_{x_0} - (x - x_0)E_{X(\text{bcc})}}{q(x - x_0)},
$$
\n(2)

where E_{x_0} is the energy of the host material with Li/Na concentration $x_{(0)}$, $E_{X(bcc)}$ is the energy of atom $X = Li/Na$ in its bcc structure, and q is the net charge of the X ions $(q = +1 e)$.

Results and discussion

Li and Na insertion into vdW crystals

The crystal structure of the TCNE molecular crystal was taken from ref. 31 and was optimized with the present setup (the first configuration in Fig. 1). The optimized lattice parameters of this crystal are $a = 7.38$ Å, $b = 5.87$ Å and $c = 6.70$ Å; $\alpha = 90.00^{\circ}$, β = 97.58° and γ = 90.00°. These parameters can be compared with experimental results that are in the following range: $a = 7.48 - 7.51 \text{ Å}, b = 6.20 - 6.21 \text{ Å} \text{ and } c = 6.99 - 7.00 \text{ Å}; \alpha = 90.00^{\circ},$ β = 97.10–97.35 $^{\circ}$ and γ = 90.00 $^{\circ}$.^{31,44–47} The agreement can be considered to be good for vdW systems.^{24,37} The cohesive energy of this crystal is -1.39 eV per TCNE molecule.

We performed a search for possible insertion sites by inserting Li/Na atoms in many possible locations within the supercell and performing optimization. Four stable nonequivalent insertion sites were found in the molecular crystal for both Li and Na insertions (Fig. 1), with E_b stronger than E_{coh} of the Li and Na metals. The lowest energy site for Li/Na has $E_{\rm b}$ = -4.36 / -3.71 eV which is 2.69 and 2.57 eV stronger than the E_{coh} of Li and Na, respectively. The binding energies vs. E_{coh} of Li and Na are shown in the leftmost red empty squares of Fig. 7, top panels. This means that insertion of dilute concentrations of Li and Na is thermodynamically favored at potentials of

about 2.7 and 2.6 V vs. Li/Li⁺ and Na/Na⁺, respectively, making the molecular TCNE crystal a potential cathode material. We also note that the binding of alkali atoms to the TCNE crystal is much stronger than to isolated TCNE molecules (see ref. 30 and specifically its ESI), highlighting the important effect of longrange electrostatic interactions on the electrode potential.⁴⁸

We then studied insertion of multiple Li and Na atoms into the molecular TCNE crystal. Multiple configurations were tried. We found that up to 6/3 Li/Na atoms per TCNE unit can be inserted while preserving the crystal structure. This corresponds to a capacity of 1247/623 mA h g^{-1} for Li/Na. The binding energies vs. E_{coh} of Li and Na are shown in Fig. 7, top panels. We note that the top panels of Fig. 7 contain similar information to conventional convex hull plots. For each no. of inserted atoms, the configuration with the strongest E_b is shown in Fig. 2 and 3. For Li insertion, at higher concentrations, there are significant distortions in the TCNE molecular structure, so that a fourmembered cyclic structure is observed. These, however, relax back to the original TCNE structure after the removal of Li. A similar effect was observed in ref. 30 for Li attachment to a free TCNE molecule. PCCP

multiple License Article Control of Subserium and the model of National Control of Data are the model of National Control of National C

As can be seen in Fig. 7, top panels, the binding of the Li/Na atom in the molecular crystal is strongest when 1/0.5 Li/Na atom per TCNE molecule is inserted into the crystal (corresponding to a specific capacity of 208/104 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$). Beyond this point, the binding of Li/Na atoms would be weakened. This means that during the insertion of up to 1/0.5 Li/Na atom per TCNE molecule, Li and Na atoms will concentrate into zones with these concentrations, *i.e.* a separation of lithiated/sodiated

Fig. 2 Configurations of Na_n-TCNE crystals with the strongest $E_{\rm b}$, left to right and top to bottom: $n = 0.0625, 0.5, 1, 2, 3$.

Fig. 3 Configurations of Li_m-TCNE with the strongest E_{b} , left to right and top to bottom: $m = 0.0625, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6$.

Fig. 4 Top panels: The crystal structures of covalent Li-TCNE (left) and Na-TCNE (right) crystals. Bottom panels: Insertion sites of Li (left) and Na (right) atoms in the crystals (different shades of green/yellow are used for different Li/Na sites).

and non-lithiated/sodiated phases is expected at a constant voltage of 3.54/3.31 V vs. Li/Na bulk. The expected voltage profiles for Li and Na insertion are shown in Fig. 7, bottom panels, taking into account the expected phase segregation. The profiles confirm that molecular TCNE crystals can be used as organic cathodes of Li and Na ion batteries. Significantly, up to the capacity of 416 mA h \rm{g}^{-1} for both Li and Na insertion, the voltage is within the electrochemical stability window for common liquid organic electrolytes, such as $LipF_6$ in EC:DEC between 1.3 V and 4.5 V^{49} and NaClO₄ in EC:DMC between 1.2 V^{50} and 4.5 V^{51} (vs. Li/Li $^+$ and Na/Na $^+$, respectively). The insertion of Li and Na in TCNE up to these capacities would therefore not lead to reactions with the electrolyte and is expected to be safe. The voltage drops to zero at 1247 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ for Li and 416 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ for Na. These gravimetric capacities correspond to computed volumetric capacities of about 1845 and 615 mA ${\rm h~cm^{-3}}$ for Li and Na, respectively. To put these numbers into perspective, the common graphite anode used in Li ion batteries has a specific capacity of 372 mA h g^{-1} and a volumetric capacity of 975 mA h cm $^{-3}$;⁵² the common LiCoO₂ cathode possesses

reversible capacities of about 140 mA h g^{-1} and 710 mA h cm⁻³ and LiFePO₄, 170 mA h g^{-1} and 610 mA h cm⁻³.⁵³ This result shows that organic materials can have volumetric capacities competitive with their inorganic counterparts. The largest variation of volume due to the insertion of Li and Na is +22% and +20%, respectively, achieved at 2 Li and 1 Na inserted per molecule, respectively. The volume change at the maximum capacity (zero voltage) is 12% and 19% for Li and Na, respectively. One can estimate the energy density of this material when used with a Li/Na anode by multiplying the average voltage by the capacity; this gives about 1670 W h kg $^{-1}$ for Li and 1140 W h kg $^{-1}$ for Na.

The average charge donation of Li/Na in the molecular crystal was also computed. For the configurations shown in Fig. 2 and 3, up to 0.70/0.84 $|e|$ (Mulliken) and 0.28/0.30 $|e|$ (Voronoi) per Li/Na atom are donated to TCNE molecules, even at the largest Li/Na concentrations. This is in contrast to the Li/Na attachment to a single TCNE molecule, where there were clear and matching weakening trends of E_b and of average charge donation with the number of attached Li/Na atoms.^{26,28,29}

Li and Na insertion into covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystals

We also performed *ab initio* optimization of the covalent Li-TCNE crystal structure (top left panel of Fig. 4) and its Na analogue (top right panel of Fig. 4), both of which have stable configurations. The unit cell of the covalent Li-TCNE crystal has a structure with lattice parameters $a = 5.42 \text{ Å}, b = 5.56 \text{ Å}$ and $c = 11.93 \text{ Å}; \alpha = 90.00^{\circ}, \beta = 110.12^{\circ} \text{ and } \gamma = 90.00^{\circ}.$ The volume is only about 1% larger than that of the crystal structure reported in an experimental study²⁷ ($a = 5.43 \text{ Å}, b = 5.41 \text{ Å}$ and $c = 11.91 \text{ Å}$; α = 90.00°, β = 107.70° and γ = 90.00°). After replacing Li with Na atoms, we have found a stable structure of the Na-TCNE analogue, with lattice parameters $a = 5.92 \text{ Å}$, $b = 5.87 \text{ Å}$ and $c = 12.43 \text{ Å}; \alpha = 90.00^{\circ}, \beta = 111.40^{\circ} \text{ and } \gamma = 90.00^{\circ}.$ The formation energy of Li/Na -TCNE crystals is $-4.82/-4.38$ eV per formula unit (vs. TCNE molecules and bcc Li/Na, negative sign means favorable formation).

Similarly to the molecular crystal, multiple possible insertion sites were tried, and four stable non-equivalent insertion sites were found in these covalent crystals (the bottom panels in Fig. 4), with E_b stronger than E_{coh} of the Li and Na metals.

Fig. 5 Configurations of Li_{m} -(Li-TCNE) crystals with the strongest E_{b} , left to right and top to bottom: $m = 0.125$, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5.

The lowest energy site for Li/Na has $E_{\rm b}$ = $-3.45/-2.89$ eV which is 1.78 and 1.75 eV stronger than the E_{coh} of Li and Na, respectively. The binding energies vs. E_{coh} of Li and Na are shown in the leftmost black filled squares of Fig. 7, top panels. This means that insertion of dilute concentrations of Li and Na is thermodynamically favored at potentials of about 1.8 V vs. Li/Li $^{\mathrm{+}}$ and Na/Na $^{\mathrm{+}}$, respectively, making Li/Na-TCNE a potential anode material.

The insertion of multiple atoms into the covalent crystals with many possible configurations was then modeled. Up to 2.5/2 Li/Na atoms per TCNE unit can be inserted in the covalent crystal which corresponds to a capacity of 493/353 mA h g^{-1} , respectively, while preserving the crystal structure. The binding energies vs. E_{coh} of Li and Na are shown in Fig. 7, top panels. For each no. of inserted atoms, the configuration with the strongest E_b is shown in Fig. 5 and 6. Comparing Fig. 2 and 3 with Fig. 5 and 6, we can find that the structures of covalent crystals are much less distorted by the insertion than that of the molecular crystal, which, as expected, shows that the covalently bound Li-TCNE framework is more stable than the vdW-bound framework of the molecular crystal. Furthermore, by comparing the vacancy formation energy, which is 5.4/4.6 eV for the extraction of a Li/Na atom from the structures shown in the top panel of Fig. 4 into vacuum to the insertion energy E_b , which peaks at -3.83/-3.81 eV for Li/Na insertion, we also confirmed that Li/Na-TCNE crystals are stable under Li/Na insertion/extraction.

The strongest binding energy per Li/Na atom peaks at the concentration of 1/0.5 Li/Na atoms per Li/Na-TCNE unit, which would correspond to a specific capacity of 197/88 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ for Li/Na. Up to this capacity, therefore, a separation of lithiated/ sodiated and non-lithiated/sodiated regions is expected to

Fig. 6 Configurations of Na_n-(Na-TCNE) crystals with the strongest $E_{\rm b}$, left to right and top to bottom $n = 0.125$, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2.

occur at a constant voltage of 2.16/2.67 V vs. Li/Na bulk. The computed voltage profile is shown in Fig. 7, bottom panels, taking into account the expected phase segregation. The voltage drops to zero after 394/176 mA h g^{-1} for Li/Na insertion, which corresponds to computed volumetric capacities of 523 and 220 mA h cm^{-3} , respectively. The energy density of this material

Fig. 7 Top: Binding strength per alkali atom on the "voltage" scale $(E_{\rm coh}^{\rm Li/Na} - E_{\rm b})$ vs. specific capacity corresponding to the no. of inserted Li/Na atoms in the monoclinic TCNE crystal (red empty squares and top axes) and covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystal (black filled squares and bottom axes). Bottom: Computed voltage profiles vs. specific capacity in the monoclinic TCNE crystal (red lines and top axes) and covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystal (black lines and bottom axes).

when used with a Li/Na electrode is estimated to be about 660 W h kg^{-1} for Li and 420 W h kg^{-1} for Na. The largest variation of volume due to the insertion of Li and Na is -16% and -17%, respectively, achieved at 1 Li or Na atom inserted per molecule. The volume change at the maximum capacity (zero voltage) is -3% and -15%, respectively. In contrast to the vdW crystals, therefore, the Li and Na insertion into the covalent Li/Na crystals may lead to a contraction rather than expansion. This may be rationalized by considering that in the covalent crystal, the Li/Na atoms already present in the crystal structure position the TCNE units further apart than in the vdW crystal (indeed, the volume per formula unit is about 17% larger in Li-TCNE and 40% larger in Na-TCNE). Because of the attractive nature of Li/Na-TCNE interactions, the inserted Li/Na pull the structure together. The voltage remains above the electrolyte reduction potential up to 197/176 mA h \rm{g}^{-1} for Li/Na. Therefore, up to these capacities the covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystalline electrodes will not promote reactions with the electrolyte and are promising candidates as anode materials. Paper

When used with a Licha electrole is estimated to be about rullept atoms. We four draft up to 62 March 2016. But the state of the article interest Article interest Article interest Article interest Article interest A

We also calculated the average charge donation of Li/Na in the covalent crystals. For the configurations shown in Fig. 5 and 6, up to 0.69/0.81 |e| (Mulliken) and 0.32/0.33 |e| (Voronoi) per Li/Na atom is donated to TCNE units.

During the insertion of Li/Na, similar $(Li/Na)_x$ -TCNE stoichiometries may be formed with both vdW and covalent crystals. For example, the insertion of one alkali atom per TCNE unit into the vdW crystal results in the same stoichiometry as that of the pristine covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystal. The total energy (of the lowest energy configuration) is about 0.1/0.3 eV (per TCNE unit) lower than the energy of the covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystal. At higher Li concentrations, structures obtained with the vdW crystal have higher energy (by 0.3 eV at $Li₂-TNE$ and by about 0.7 eV at $Li₃-TCNE$) than those obtained with the covalent crystal at the same stoichiometry. At higher Na concentrations, structures obtained with the vdW crystal remain lower in energy than those obtained from the covalent crystal at the same stoichiometry (by 0.1 eV at $Na₂-TCNE$ and by 0.03 eV at $Na₃-TCNE$). However, the geometries of the two types of crystals remain significantly different. This, together with the facts that (i) the Li-TCNE covalent structure was stable in ref. 27 even though it is computed to be slightly higher in energy and (ii) a high energy cost is required to remove Li/Na from their positions in the pristine covalent crystals, suggests that the two types of crystals might not interconvert, although such conversion is a possibility and a definitive answer should be given by an experiment.

Conclusions

We carried out a comparative dispersion-corrected density functional theory study of the possibilities of Li and Na storage in tetracyanoethylene(TCNE)-based van der Waals (molecular) and covalent crystals. Firstly, we confirmed the structure of the previously synthesized (covalent) Li-TCNE crystal and predicted the existence of its Na-TCNE analogue. For both kinds of crystals, we identified Li and Na insertion sites, including insertion of

multiple atoms. We found that up to 6/3 and 2.5/2 Li/Na atoms per TCNE unit can be inserted into the molecular and covalent crystals, respectively, while preserving the structure. The computed voltage can reach 3.54/2.16 V vs. Li/Li⁺ for Li insertion and 3.31/2.67 V vs. Na/Na^+ for Na insertion into the molecular/ covalent crystals, respectively. The molecular crystal could therefore be used as a cathode. Significantly, up to capacity of 416 mA h g^{-1} for both Li and Na in the molecular crystal and 197 mA h g^{-1} for Li and 176 mA h g^{-1} for Na in the covalent crystal, the insertion of Li and Na would not lead to reactions with the electrolyte. This is a desirable property for both conventional liquid carbonate based electrolytes as well as for some of the promising solid state electrolytes (SSE) such LGPS $(Li_{10}GeP_2S_{12})$ and other sulfide SSE that do not form a selfarresting solid electrolyte interface.⁵⁴

With the vdW TCNE crystal, the computed voltages reach zero at the specific capacities of 1247 mA h g^{-1} for Li and 416 mA h g^{-1} for Na, corresponding to volumetric capacities of 1845 and 615 mA h cm⁻³ for Li and Na, respectively. This shows that volumetric capacities of organic electrodes need not be low compared to their inorganic counterparts, contrary to popular belief. With the covalent Li/Na-TCNE crystals, the voltages are computed to fall to zero at the specific capacities of 394 mA h g^{-1} for Li and 176 mA h g^{-1} for Na, corresponding to volumetric capacities of 523 and 220 mA h cm^{-3} for Li and Na, respectively. The computed volume changes along the charge–discharge curve for all cases remained under about 20%.

Therefore, we conclude that tetracyanoethylene (TCNE) – based molecular and covalent crystals could become efficient organic cathode and anode materials, respectively, for both Li and Na ion batteries.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Tier 2 AcRF grant MOE2014-T2- 2-006 by the Ministry of Education of Singapore.

References

- 1 E. Barbour, I. A. G. Wilson, I. G. Bryden, P. G. McGregor, P. A. Mulheran and P. J. Hall, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 5425–5436.
- 2 D. Yue, P. Khatav, F. You and S. B. Darling, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 9163–9172.
- 3 T. Kousksou, P. Bruel, A. Jamil, T. El Rhafiki and Y. Zeraouli, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2014, 120(part A), 59–80.
- 4 M. M. Thackeray, C. Wolverton and E. D. Isaacs, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 7854–7863.
- 5 J. B. Goodenough, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 14–18.
- 6 C. J. Barnhart, M. Dale, A. R. Brandt and S. M. Benson, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 2804–2810.
- 7 C. Lupi and M. Pasquali, Miner. Eng., 2003, 16, 537–542.
- 8 V. Palomares, P. Serras, I. Villaluenga, K. B. Hueso, J. Carretero-Gonzalez and T. Rojo, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 5884–5901.
- 9 J. M. Tarascon, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 510.
- 10 M. D. Slater, D. Kim, E. Lee and C. S. Johnson, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2013, 23, 947–958.
- 11 I. M. Oleksandr, L. T. Teck and M. Sergei, Appl. Phys. Express, 2013, 6, 027301.
- 12 O. I. Malyi, T. L. Tan and S. Manzhos, J. Power Sources, 2013, 233, 341–345.
- 13 O. Malyi, V. V. Kulish, T. L. Tan and S. Manzhos, Nano Energy, 2013, 2, 1149–1157.
- 14 F. Legrain, O. I. Malyi and S. Manzhos, Solid State Ionics, 2013, 253, 157–163.
- 15 Y. L. Liang, Z. L. Tao and J. Chen, Adv. Energy Mater., 2012, 2, 742–769.
- 16 Z. Song and H. Zhou, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 2280–2301.
- 17 H. Chen, M. Armand, G. Demailly, F. Dolhem, P. Poizot and J.-M. Tarascon, ChemSusChem, 2008, 1, 348–355.
- 18 A. Abouimrane, W. Weng, H. Eltayeb, Y. Cui, J. Niklas, O. Poluektov and K. Amine, Energy Environ. Sci., 2012, 5, 9632–9638.
- 19 Y. NuLi, Z. Guo, H. Liu and J. Yang, Electrochem. Commun., 2007, 9, 1913–1917.
- 20 A. K. Shukla and T. P. Kumar, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 551–555.
- 21 Y. Hanyu and I. Honma, Sci. Rep., 2012, 2, 453–458.
- 22 Y. Park, D. S. Shin, S. H. Woo, N. S. Choi, K. H. Shin, S. M. Oh, K. T. Lee and S. Y. Hong, Adv. Mater., 2012, 24, 3562–3567.
- 23 T. Yasuda and N. Ogihara, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 11565–11567.
- 24 N. Ogihara, T. Yasuda, Y. Kishida, T. Ohsuna, K. Miyamoto and N. Ohba, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 11467–11472.
- 25 N. Dardenne, X. Blase, G. Hautier, J.-C. Charlier and G.-M. Rignanese, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 23373–23378.
- 26 Y. Chen and S. Manzhos, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2015, 156, 180–187.
- 27 J. H. Her, P. W. Stephens, R. A. Davidson, K. S. Min, J. D. Bagnato, K. van Schooten, C. Boehme and J. S. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 18060–18063.
- 28 Y. Chen and S. Manzhos, Proceedings of the 14th Asian Conference on Solid State Ionics (ACSSI-2014), Research Publishing Services, Singapore, pp. 374–380.
- 29 Y. Chen and S. Manzhos, MRS Online Proc. Libr., 2014, 1679, DOI: 10.1557/opl.2014.1849.
- 30 Y. Chen and S. Manzhos, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 1470–1477.
- 31 M. Khazaei, M. Arai, T. Sasaki and Y. Kawazoe, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 712–720.
- 32 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev., 1965, 140, A1133–A1138.
- 33 M. S. José, A. Emilio, D. G. Julian, G. Alberto, J. Javier, O. Pablo and S.-P. Daniel, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2002, 14, 2745. PCCP

10 M. D. Siance, D. Kim, E. Ice and C. S. Johnson, Adc Farch 3 M. S. José, A. Emilio, D. G. Julian, G. Alberto, 2016. Downloaded on 8.7: Published article. Published on 03 March 2016. Downloaded view Commons Attach 2
	- 34 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
	- 35 C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2005.
	- 36 E. Kaxiras, Atomic and Electronic Structure of Solids, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
	- 37 N. Capel, D. Bharania and S. Manzhos, Computation, 2015, 3, 574.
	- 38 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1976, 13, 5188–5192.
	- 39 C. Fonseca Guerra, J.-W. Handgraaf, E. J. Baerends and F. M. Bickelhaupt, J. Comput. Chem., 2004, 25, 189–210.
	- 40 S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem., 2006, 27, 1787–1799.
	- 41 W. Luo, J. Wan, B. Ozdemir, W. Bao, Y. Chen, J. Dai, H. Lin, Y. Xu, F. Gu, V. Barone and L. Hu, Nano Lett., 2015, 15, 7671–7677.
	- 42 G. Ceder, G. Hautier, A. Jain and S. P. Ong, MRS Bull., 2011, 36, 185–191.
	- 43 K. Momma and F. Izumi, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2011, 44, 1272–1276.
	- 44 R. Mukhopadhyay and S. L. Chaplot, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2002, 14, 759.
	- 45 D. A. Bekoe and K. N. Trueblood, Z. Kristallogr., 1960, 113, $1 - 2.2$.
	- 46 H. Yamawaki, K. Aoki, Y. Kakudate, M. Yoshida, S. Usuba and S. Fujiwara, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1992, 198, 183–187.
	- 47 R. G. Little, D. Pautler and P. Coppens, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem., 1971, 27, 1493–1499.
	- 48 M. Saubanère, M. B. Yahia, S. Lebègue and M. L. Doublet, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 5559.
	- 49 J. B. Goodenough and Y. Kim, Chem. Mater., 2009, 22, 587–603.
	- 50 S. Komaba, W. Murata, T. Ishikawa, N. Yabuuchi, T. Ozeki, T. Nakayama, A. Ogata, K. Gotoh and K. Fujiwara, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2011, 21, 3859–3867.
	- 51 A. Bhide, J. Hofmann, A. Katharina Durr, J. Janek and P. Adelhelm, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 1987–1998.
	- 52 L. Croguennec and M. R. Palacin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 3140–3156.
	- 53 R. J. Brodd, Batteries for Sustainability: Selected Entries from the Encyclopedia of Sustainability Science and Technology, Springer, New York, 2012.
	- 54 A. C. Luntz, J. Voss and K. Reuter, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2015, 6, 4599–4604.