CrystEngComm

PAPER

Cite this: CrystEngComm, 2016, ¹⁸, 316

Received 2nd October 2015, Accepted 26th November 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ce01946j

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

Introduction

Among nanostructured materials such as spinel-type oxides and polyanionic compounds, lithium metal phosphates have attracted significant interest over the last decade in electrochemical energy storage technologies. $1-5$ Low-cost materials and non-toxic compounds are increasingly discussed as desirable for the fabrication of sustainable energy storage materials.6 Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) are still one of the most promising sectors of energy storage systems, because of numerous beneficial properties such as the non-toxicity of the used compounds while the deposit is extremely high.^{6,7} While numerous inorganic host materials allow reversible insertion/ desertion of Li among the cathode materials, the phosphoolivine LiMnPO₄ (*Pmnb*, $a = 0.61$ nm, $b = 1.046$ nm, $c = 0.474$ nm) and derivatives thereof, such as triphylite ($LiFePO₄$) and heterosite (Fe $_{0.65}$ Mn $_{0.35}$ PO₄), have gained significant attention.⁸ The unit cell of LiMnPO₄ is shown in Fig. S1.[†] In this

 a Institute of Physical Chemistry, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Heinrich-Buff Ring 17, 35392 Giessen, Germany.

E-mail: christian.suchomski@phys.chemie.uni-giessen.de,

In-depth mesocrystal formation analysis of microwave-assisted synthesis of LiMnPO4 nanostructures in organic solution†

P. Voepel,^a C. Suchomski,^{*a} A. Hofmann,^a S. Gross,^{bc} P. Dolcet^{bc} and B. M. Smarsly*^a

In the present work, we report on the preparation of $LimPO₄$ (lithiophilite) nanorods and mesocrystals composed of self-assembled rod subunits employing microwave-assisted precipitation with processing times on the time scale of minutes. Starting from metal salt precursors and H_3PO_4 as phosphate source, single-phase LiMnPO₄ powders with grain sizes of approx. 35 and 65 nm with varying morphologies were obtained by tailoring the synthesis conditions using rac-1-phenylethanol as solvent. The mesocrystal formation, microstructure and phase composition were determined by electron microscopy, nitrogen physisorption, X-ray diffraction (including Rietveld refinement), dynamic light scattering, X-ray absorption and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and other techniques. In addition, we investigated the formed organic matter by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry in order to gain a deeper understanding of the dissolution–precipitation process. Also, we demonstrate that the obtained $LimPO_4$ nanocrystals can be redispersed in polar solvents such as ethanol and dimethylformamide and are suitable as building blocks for the fabrication of nanofibers via electrospinning. PAPER

Consideration and the continuum and the continuum and the continuum and the properties of the continuum and the properties in original state of LiMnPO₄

State this continuum and also **and DRA continuum and also a**

structure, lithium and manganese ions are located on octahedral 4(a) and 4(c) sites (Wyckoff notation), respectively, whereas the phosphorous ions are situated on tetrahedral $4(c)$ sites.⁸ A serious problem is the low electrical conductivity of these materials. In order to improve their conductivity, hybrid materials consisting of Lim PO₄ coupled with a conductive material and doping with high valent metal ions (e.g., Nb^{5+} , Zr^{4+}) to increase the electron concentration are proposed solutions. It was shown that carbon-coated LiFePO₄ particles exhibit a higher conductivity than uncoated ones being beneficial for battery applications.⁶ Additionally, it was proved that a carbon coating can prevent volumetric stress during cyclization.^{9,10} In the past years, different strategies for the synthesis of $LiMnPO₄$ were reported, for both bulk and nanoscaled material. The synthesis of lithium metal phosphates (LiMPO₄) via solid-state reactions at elevated temperatures such as 800 °C for up to 2 days has been described in a number of publications.11–¹⁴ It was already reported in 1997 that lithium can be extracted and inserted into these compounds.¹¹ These syntheses lead to particles with an average diameter of several hundred nanometer.¹³ Also pulsed laser deposition was applied at 500 °C in order to synthesize LiMPO₄ such as LiFePO₄.¹⁵ A sol-gel based citric acid route still required temperatures slightly lower than 600 $^{\circ}$ C.¹⁶ Even lower temperatures in the range of 300 °C were applied in a spray pyrolysisassisted synthesis.¹⁷ LiMnPO₄ has also been prepared in ionic liquids (ILs) with long reaction times. It was found that

bernd.smarsly@phys.chemie.uni-giessen.de

 b Istituto per l'Energetica e le Interfasi, IENI-CNR and INSTM, UdR di Padova, via Marzolo, 1, Padova, I-35131, Italy

^c Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, Università degli Studi di Padova, via Marzolo, 1, Padova, I-35131, Italy

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ce01946j

250 °C is the minimum temperature for the formation of $LiMnPO₄$ in $ILs¹⁸$. Theoretical calculations predict that the reduction of grain size is an unavoidable criterion for the usage of $LiMnPO₄$ as an electrode material. In calculations performed by Siddique et al. active LiMPO₄ ($M = Mn$, Co, Ni) material possessing grain sizes below 20 nm do not show a loss of capacity due to higher cycling rates which is supposed to be caused by a shorter lithium ion diffusion length.¹⁹ Therefore the entire active particle can be addressed within shorter time considering same diffusion rates. The calculations have revealed the importance of both smaller grain and particle sizes, since only the capacity of particles with sizes below 20 nm is expected to show no dependency on the cycling rate. The theoretical capacity of about 160 mA h g^{-1} of 20 nm LiFePO₄ can be conserved even at $2C$ whereas 50 nm particles show a decay of the capacity to about 100 mA h g^{-1} at $2C^{19}$ LiMnPO₄ is even more interesting as the theoretical energy density is higher than that of $LifePO₄.¹³$ Recently, a microwave-assisted synthesis of different lithium transition metal phosphates was reported by Bilecka et al. In the case of $LiMnPO₄$ and $LiFePO₄$, grain sizes of 200 nm or 50 nm were achieved at a synthesis temperature of 180 °C. The resulting particles were described as mesocrystals consisting of subunits.²⁰ This synthesis is based on benzyl alcohol which is supposed to be both solvent and reaction partner of the metal salt precursors. Also, it allows the control of particle size, surface properties and assembly behavior in some cases. $2^{1,22}$ Recently, it was shown that also benzylamine can serve as a suitable solvent to produce $LimPO_4$.²³ Intriguingly, the mentioned aromatic solvents promote the growth of $LiMnPO₄ platelets either in [100]_{Pnma} (benzyl alcohol) or in$ $[010]_{Pnma}$ (benzylamine) direction.^{23,24} CystEngComm

2.59 "C is the minimum temperature for the function of complementary information on different stage of the

Laktor), in the social case of the minimum specific that the minicipal
result case of the simulation

The present work is devoted to both developing microwave-based synthetic strategies for further decreasing the size of $LimPO_4$ nanoparticles, as well as to the in-depth analysis of the intricate crystallization mechanism of micron sized particles and the origin of the outer appearance already mentioned by Bilecka et al.²⁰ Based on the results obtained utilizing benzyl alcohol, providing particle sizes of about several hundred nanometers, in the present study rac-1 phenylethanol was used instead. It is noted that this aromatic alcohol has a boiling point (∼204 °C) comparable to benzyl alcohol and a somewhat higher heating rate under microwave radiation, but exhibits much better solvent properties in the dissolution–precipitation process, which pave the way to control the morphology and grain size of LiMnPO₄. Moreover, this "low" temperature synthesis also prevents the oxidation of Mn^{2+} to Mn^{3+} . Since microwave-assisted synthesis of nanoparticles benefits from a better control of reaction conditions, it additionally allows the in-depth investigation of the influence of reaction conditions on the crystallization mechanism and reaction times in the time scale of minutes. In addition to the development of an alternative synthesis based on rac-1-phenylethanol, in our study the crystallization mechanism of Lim PO₄ was studied in-depth by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to obtain

complementary information on different length scales. The microwave-reaction was interrupted at certain stages of the synthesis to perform ex-situ XRD and SEM investigations. By such ex-situ experiments on specimen obtained by the abortion of the reaction, fine mechanistic details could be revealed on the evaluation of the crystallinity and the intricate morphology of the nanocrystals. These investigations were complemented by thermogravimetric analysis-mass spectrometry (TGA-MS) and chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), providing insights into the reaction mechanism and the role of rac-1-phenylethanol. Thus, our study aims at a comprehensive understanding of the reaction mechanism on the molecular scale and of its influence on the crystallization, as well as the evolution of the crystal morphology with respect to the attachment of small crystallites into larger particles. In addition, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) were used to clarify both the surface composition and local order of the metal atoms in the obtained nanorods and mesocrystals, respectively.

Experimental

Materials

Anhydrous lithium chloride (\geq 99%), manganese(π) acetate (98%), phosphoric acid (99%), dimethylformamide (99.8%) and polyacrylonitrile (referred to as PAN; $M_{\rm w}$ 150.000 g mol⁻¹) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Rac-1-phenylethanol (98%) was purchased from ABCR, while ethanol (99.8%) and diethyl ether (99%) were purchased from VWR. Nitric acid (≥69%) used for ICP analysis was purchased from PROLABO (Italy). IV-ICPMS-71A (10 mg L−¹) was used as multi-element ICP-MS calibration standard and purchased from Inorganic Ventures (USA). All solutions have been prepared in MilliQ ultrapure water (resistivity 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹) obtained with a Millipore Plus System.

Microwave-synthesis of LiMnPO₄

In a representative synthesis, 43.2 mg (1.02 mmol) of LiCl was dissolved in 3 mL rac-1-phenylethanol. To the resulting solution, 175.5 mg (1.02 mmol) of $Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2$ dissolved in 12 mL rac-1-phenylethanol were added and sonicated for 10 min. Then, the obtained mixture was transferred to a 30 mL borosilicate vial, followed by adding a solution of 100 mg (1.02 mmol) anhydrous H_3PO_4 and 2 mL rac-1-phenylethanol. After sealing the glass vial, the precursor solution was rapidly heated to 200 °C under microwave irradiation and kept there for 15 min. The stirring rate was set to 300 rpm and kept constant during the microwave treatment. After quenching the solution with compressed air to 55 \degree C, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 6000 rpm and washed twice with ethanol and diethyl ether. Lastly, the obtained powder was dried in air at 80 °C for 12 h. Table 1 summarizes precursor masses for each type of LiMnPO₄ material prepared in this work.

Table 1 Recipes for the synthesis of LiMnPO₄ nanocrystals

 a In all synthesis protocols, 17 mL of rac-1-phenylethanol is used.

Fabrication of carbon-fiber composites

In a typical electrospinning experiment, 53 mg LiMnPO₄ nanoparticles were dispersed thoroughly in 1 g of a solution of 8 wt% PAN in dimethylformamide (5 wt%). This solution was fed through a metallic needle by a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, 11Plus MA1 70-2208) at the rate of 0.7 mL h⁻¹. Permissible relative humidity can range from 20-60% without major effects on the fiber quality. An electric field strength of 0.1 kV cm^{-1} was applied between the needle and the collector and a voltage of −2 kV between the collector and ground potential. The electrospun fiber mat was stabilized in ambient air at 200 °C for 30 minutes. Next, the fibers were heated with a ramp of 100 °C h^{-1} under a reducing atmosphere (90% Ar and 10% H_2) to 850 °C and kept there for 8 h followed by quenching to room temperature.

Characterization

Microwave-assisted syntheses were carried out employing a Monowave 300 reactor $(f = 2.45$ GHz) from Anton Paar Germany equipped with an 850 W magnetron. The temperature was controlled by a Ruby-thermometer (fiber-optic probe) mounted in the center of a glass vial. Pressure sensing was accomplished by a hydraulic sensor system. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs were taken with a MERLIN instrument from Carl Zeiss operated with a working distance of approx. 5 mm and 2 kV acceleration voltage. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrographs and electron diffraction was performed on a Philips EM30 using an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. Wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) measurements were carried out on an X'Pert PRO diffractometer from PANalytical instruments using Cu Kα radiation. Rietveld refinement was performed with Fullprof software using 6 coefficient polynomial background and Thompson–Cox-pseudo-Voigt peak function. The grain size was calculated via anisotropic Lorentzian size broadening approach.25 UV-visible spectra via diffuse reflectance spectroscopic measurements were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 750 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer equipped with a Praying-Mantis diffuse reflectance accessory. Spectralon type DRP-SPR from Harrick Scientific Products was employed as a standard. The collected diffuse reflectance spectra are changed into absorption spectra according to the Kubelka– Munk remission function $F(R) = (1 - R)^2/2R$, in which $F(R)$ is the Kubelka–Munk function and R is the diffuse reflectance of the sample for "infinite" thickness relative to the

reflectivity of the white standard. The optical band gap (E_{φ}) is obtained by the plot of $(F(R) \cdot hv)^n$ vs. photon energy (hv) , where $n = 1/2$ and $n = 2$ characterize an indirect or direct optical transition, respectively. Thermogravimetric measurements were performed on a Netzsch STA 409 PC at a heating rate of 5 °C min−¹ . The thermobalance was coupled to a Balzers QMG 421 quadrupole mass spectrometer. The ionization energy was 70 eV. Infrared spectra were measured on a Bruker IFS 25 FTIR spectrometer. GC-MS analyses were conducted using an Agilent 5973 MSD with 6890 gas chromatograph. Metal compositions were determined by using an inductively coupled plasma connected to a mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) Agilent Technologies 7700× ICP-MS system (Agilent Technologies International, USA). The MS detector was equipped with an octupole collision cell operating in kinetic energy discrimination mode for the removal of polyatomic interferences and argon-based interferences. All instrument parameters were optimized daily while aspirating the tuning solution containing 140 Ce, 7 Li, 205 Tl and 89 Y (Agilent Technologies, UK). A 50 μg L⁻¹ solution of ⁴⁵Sc and 115In (Aristar®, BDH, UK) prepared in 5% (w/w) nitric acid was used as an internal standard through addition to the sample solution *via* a T-junction. The material was mineralized by treating a proper amount of the samples (in the order of 15 mg) with 5 g of a solution consisting of 69% HNO3. A Microwave Digestion System (CEM EXPLORER SP-D PLUS) was used for the acid digestion. The operative conditions were T_{max} = 200 °C, pressure = 300 psi, and power = 250 W. The digestion solution was diluted with a 5% (w/w) $HNO₃$ aqueous solution. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were acquired on a Perkin-Elmer Φ5600ci spectrometer with nonmonochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (1486.6 eV) operating at 250 W. The working pressure was $\leq 5.10^{-8}$ Pa. We performed a calibration of the spectrometer using the binding energy (BE) of the Au $4f_{7/2}$ signal at 83.9 eV. The C 1s signal from adventitious carbon (C–C peak) at BE of 284.6 eV was used as energy reference to correct for charging. Elemental analyses were carried out by using the software Multipak. X-ray absorption measurements at Mn K-edge (>6537 eV) were performed at Italian beamline BM08 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France, in 7/8-bunch mode (200 mA). For energy selection a Si(311) double-crystal monochromator was used. Spectra at Mn K-edge were collected in transmission mode, using two ionization chambers placed upstream and downstream of the sample. Prior to measurements, samples were Paper
 Configuration $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns (undiluted approach with 60 mmol L⁻¹ precursor concentration) recorded after reaction times of 0 min (A), 3 min (B), 5 min (C), 7 min (D), 9 min (E) and 11 min (F), respectively. (b) Refined diffraction pattern of (F). (c) Refined diffraction pattern of LiMnPO₄ after a reaction time of 15 min and two-fold dilution of the LiMnPO₄ precursors (30 mmol L⁻¹ precursor concentration). (d) Refined diffraction pattern of LiMnPO₄ after a reaction time of 21 min and three-fold dilution of the LiMnPO₄ precursors (20 mmol L⁻¹ precursor concentration). Observed intermediate phases are indicated by different symbols: # (MnCl₂ × 2H₂O; ICSD code 15596), \Box (Li₃PO₄; ICSD code 77095), * (Mn(P₄O₁₁); ICSD code 400840), Δ (Mn₂(P₄O₁₂); ICSD code 412558), ζ Mn₆(PO₄)₄ × 1H₂O; ICSD code 412152).

finely ground into a homogeneous pellets using cellulose as a dispersant. Data reduction and analysis were performed by using the freeware package Demeter.²⁶ The amplitude factor (S_0^2) was calibrated against metallic Mn foil and determined to be 0.9.

3. Results and discussion

In this work, highly crystalline $LimnPO_4$ nanostructures were fabricated by solution-phase precipitation involving $Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2$, LiCl and H_3PO_4 (solid) as starting materials in combination with rac-1-phenylethanol as solvent. In a typical synthesis, both metal salt precursors are separately dissolved by sonication in dry *rac*-1-phenylethanol (bp 204 \degree C) at 30–50 \degree C and combined into one solution. Then, a freshly prepared solution containing H_3PO_4 and rac-1-phenylethanol is added to the previous mixture and rapidly heated to 200 °C employing a microwave reactor (Monowave 300 from Anton Paar, Germany) while gently stirring.

In order to elucidate the intricate crystallization pathway in solution, both the liquid reaction mixture and the formed precipitates were investigated after various reaction times by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), respectively. A series of XRD patterns collected after different reaction times are shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that phase-pure $LimPO₄$ with orthorhombic space group Pmnb (ICSD collection code 25834)‡ is obtained after only 11 min at 200 °C. It is worthwhile noting that conventional hydrothermal routes require much longer synthesis times, i.e., several hours under comparable temperature conditions.^{27,28} An average grain size of (65 ± 5) nm was determined by applying the Rietveld refinement method (see refinement data in Table S1†). Interestingly, this result is an improvement on previous attempts from Bilecka et al. in

[‡] Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (abbreviated as ICSD), [http://icsd.fiz](http://icsd.fiz-karlsruhe.de/search/dbinfo.xhtml)[karlsruhe.de/search/dbinfo.xhtml](http://icsd.fiz-karlsruhe.de/search/dbinfo.xhtml).

terms of generating nanograins of diameters less than 100 nm employing microwave-assisted synthesis.²⁰ However, even smaller nanograins are required to improve the electrochemical properties. We will return to this point after discussion of the precipitation changes which occur in the course of the synthesis. To gain a clearer picture of the precipitation mechanism, we examined the formed precipitates after different synthesis times by XRD. After mixing the precursor solution with dissolved H_3PO_4 , a fine white to pale brown precipitate appears, which mostly consists of different manganese derivatives, e.g., crystalline hydrated manganese phosphates $(Mn_3[PO_4]_2 \times nH_2O; n = 3$ and 7, $Mn_6[PO_4]_4 \times H_2O,$ ultraphosphate $[Mn(P_4O_{11})]$, cyclotetraphosphate $[Mn_2(P_4O_{12})]$ and manganese chloride dihydrate (MnCl₂ \times 2H₂O), to mention only a few, as evidenced by XRD analysis. Also, $Li₃PO₄$ (lithiophosphate) is formed after heating the solution to 200 °C, as can be seen from the XRD data. At first glance, this result is not surprising considering that LiCl readily reacts with H_3PO_4 in a metathesis-like reaction to Li_3PO_4 and HCl. The incipient formation of $Mn_3[PO_4]_2$ is attributable to a ligand exchange reaction of the acetate groups, while $Mn(P_4O_{11})$ and $Mn_2(P_4O_{12})$ are formed due to protonation and dehydration reactions of tetrahedral $PO₄$ groups with hydrochloric acid, which favors the production of the latter compounds.29 Hereinafter, the most important reaction pathways are described below: Paper

Form of generating nanograms of diameters Articles.⁴² Howeve, even racelonis:

an emphasion and simulation contribution and effective increases and synthetical

since the presidential contribution contribution co

$$
3Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2 + 2H_3PO_4 \to Mn_3(PO_4)_2 + 6CH_3COOH \qquad (1)
$$

$$
2Mn_3(PO_4)_2 \times 3H_2O = Mn_6(PO_4)_4 \times H_2O + 5H_2O \qquad (2)
$$

$$
3LiCl + H_3PO_4 \rightarrow Li_3PO_4 + 3HCl
$$
 (3)

 $2Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2 + 4H_3PO_4 + 8HCl \rightarrow Mn_2(P_4O_{12}) + 4CH_3COOH$ $+ 4H₂O + 8HCl$ (4a)

$$
4PO_4^{3-} + 8HCl \rightarrow P_4O_{12}^{4-} + 4H_2O + 8Cl^{-}
$$
 (4b)

 $Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2 + 4H_3PO_4 + 10HCl \rightarrow Mn(P_4O_{11}) + 2CH_3COOH$ $+ 5H₂O + 10HCl$ (5a)

$$
4PO_4^{3-} + 10HCl \rightarrow P_4O_{11}^{2-} + 5H_2O + 10Cl^{-}
$$
 (5b)

$$
Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2 + 2HCl + 2H_2O \rightarrow MnCl_2 \times 2H_2O + 2CH_3COOH
$$
 (6)

In the course of the microwave treatment at 200 °C, the intermediate phases are dissolved with increasing time which we attribute to a continuous dissolution–precipitation process during the formation of crystalline LiMnPO4. In order to obtain deeper insights into the dissolution process, we analyzed the organic solution after completion of the synthesis by GC-MS (see Fig. S3 in ESI†). Overall, four reaction products were found, namely 1-phenylethyl acetate, 1-phenylethyl chloride, styrene and bis $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl) ether, as shown in Table S2.† Their formation can be explained by various acidcatalyzed reactions of 1-phenylethanol, i.e. esterification,

nucleophilic substitution, elimination and etherification reactions:

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{CH}_3)\mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{COOH} &\rightarrow \mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{CH}_3)\text{--O--}(\mathrm{COCH}_3) \\ &+ \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

$$
2PhCH(CH_3)OH + HCl = PhCH(CH_3)Cl + PhCH=CH_2 + 2H_2O
$$
\n(8)

 $2PhCH(CH_3)OH + PhCH(CH_3)Cl \rightarrow [PhCH(CH_3)_2]O + HCl$ (9)

In this context, we assume that both 1-phenylethyl chloride and styrene play major roles during the dissolution process at elevated temperatures, because they can reversibly release and accept back HCl (see eqn (3) and (8)) which is proposed to be vital for the dissolution rate of poorly soluble manganese and lithium phosphates by forming of more soluble chloride salts.³⁰ To improve the preparation procedure, we decided to reduce the precursor concentration from 60 mmol L^{-1} down to 30 mmol L^{-1} and 20 mmol L^{-1} in order to avoid and limit the particle growth of $LimPO₄$. In fact, grain sizes of (37 ± 3) nm and (35 ± 5) nm were achieved by dilution of the precursors in solution and increasing the synthesis time, as indicated by XRD (see Fig. 1c and d). Based on Rietveld refinement we found a higher occupation number on octahedral 4(a) sites, which indicates an irregular occupation of Li sites by Mn^{2+} ions. Moreover, it was also found that the Mn^{2+} disorder increases with decreasing grain size. However, no impurities remain after the dissolution–precipitation process as evidenced by XRD. This is a remarkable result, since it allows control of the grain size of $LimnPO₄$ to a certain degree merely by changing the precursor concentration in rac-1-phenylethanol as a proper solvent. Also, no dependency of the calculated grain sizes on the scattering vector/peak order could be observed in any of the samples (see Fig. S2†).

Apart from the crystalline structure, we also investigated the morphology and phase composition in more detail by SEM, TEM, DLS, physisorption, TG-MS, FTIR, XPS, XAS, and UV-vis-NIR. In order to gain deeper insights into the precipitation process we performed extensive SEM investigations of the crystalline powders. Overall, three synthesis approaches have been investigated to visualize the powder morphology after various time intervals. As can be seen from the SEM images in Fig. 2, the powder samples of the undiluted approach (60 mmol L^{-1}) possess a nanoplatelet structure, which is often observed under hydrothermal and solvothermal conditions. $31-33$ Moreover, it can be seen that nanorods were initially formed during the early stages of the synthesis. Later, the rods assemble into subunits of micrometer sized platelets due to Ostwald ripening.^{34,35} Based on these observations, it is obvious that the primary particle size is several orders of magnitude larger than the determined grain size. In consideration of the SEM data, we can conclude that the platelet crystals are just intermediates which aggregate to micron sized particles due to their high surface energy as can be seen in Fig. $2f^{36}$ The mesocrystals formation can be described as follows. First, small nanorods are formed, which aggregate to loop-like particle forms. Subsequently, these loops are filled with further particles while the original rods grow. With increasing synthesis time,

Fig. 2 SEM micrographs of LiMnPO₄ samples (batch size 60 mmol L⁻¹) after different synthesis times of 5 min (a), 7 min (b), 9 min (c, d) and 11 min (e, f), respectively. (g) Scheme of the observed mesocrystal formation mechanism.

practically only filled platelet particles can be found in the samples. Moreover, the particles grow perpendicular to the (primary) particle axis and take on a virtually rectangular shape which corresponds more to the theoretical Wulffshape.³⁷ This may indicate a transition between kinetic driven formation mechanism in the early stages of the reaction and thermodynamically driven formation after a prolonged synthesis time. $34,35$ Also, it can be pointed out that the domains continue to grow, so that the particles look like single crystals (Fig. 2f). Fig. 2d shows a particle which consists of two crossed particle aggregates. These observations show that the mesocrystal formation mechanism must involve loop-like particles, which assemble into a ring-shaped structure. The scheme in Fig. 2g illustrates the observed formation mechanism. In the case of the two diluted approaches (batch size 30 mmol L^{-1} and 20 mmol L^{-1}), we have been able to prevent aggregation to a large extent thus preserving the initial nanorod structure. In Fig. 3 SEM, TEM

Fig. 3 SEM micrographs of $LimPO_4$ samples prepared by a dilution factor 2 (batch size 30 mmol L^{-1}), after a reaction time of 15 min (a, b), and prepared by dilution factor 3 (batch size 20 mmol L⁻¹) after a reaction time of 21 min (c, d). (e) TEM image of a single crystal (batch size 30 mmol L⁻¹; synthesis time 15 min) showing (001) and (020) lattice planes. (f) Related SAED (selected-area electron diffraction) pattern along [100] zone axis (batch size 30 mmol L^{-1} ; synthesis time 15 min).

and SAED data of weakly aggregated Lim PO₄ nanorods are presented. As shown here, the synthesis was terminated before the formation of the platelets could take place. Furthermore, the synthesis time was sufficiently long to obtain single-phase Lim PO₄. Owing to the dilution, the synthesis time had to be slightly increased and, intriguingly, aggregation to micron-sized particles was completely suppressed. Also, TEM images evidence the high crystallinity of the particles and indicate a non-crystalline surface layer of 1–2 nm (see Fig. 3e), which is supposed to be $Li_{0.831}Mn_{1.085}PO_4$ as indicated by XPS (see Table S3†). Additionally, the SAED pattern indicates that the particles seem to expose the $(100)_{Pmnb}$ planes which is beneficial for lithium ion diffusion.³⁸ According to theoretical calculations lithium extraction/insertion from/into the outermost layers of the planes possess the lowest redox potential of 3.2 V and these planes should therefore be exposed because of a lower surface energy compared to other surfaces.37 The aspect ratio of the particles was determined from SEM images, measuring the length of both main axes (see Fig. S4† for size distributions). Both particle types show a short axis of about 120 nm and a longer axis of about 410 nm. The aspect ratio in both cases can be calculated to 0.29 in the case of 30 mmol L^{-1} and 0.24 for 20 mmol L^{-1} .

In conclusion, it can be stated that the two dilution approaches result in (1) virtually identical nanorods and (2) avoiding the formation of mesocrystals. Thus, besides the aforementioned lower limit for the grain size, the dilution also facilitated the reduction in (primary) particle size. By means of DLS, the same trend was observed (see Fig. 4b). Surprisingly, the nanocrystals prepared by a dilution factor 2 possess a slightly lower diameter than the system possessing a dilution factor 3, namely 200 nm and 275 nm. This is likely attributable to a longer synthesis time (15 min vs. 21 min) and accompanied with the aggregation of nanocrystals.

Fig. 4 Results of different analytical investigations of LiMnPO₄ samples with grain sizes of approx. 37 nm (batch size 30 mmol L⁻¹; 15 min synthesis time). (a) Representative ATR-FTIR absorption spectrum. (b) Particle size distribution obtained by dynamic light scattering experiments of LiMnPO₄ after redispersion in pure ethanol. The average hydrodynamic diameter is 200 nm. (c) TGA-MS data measured in synthetic air employing a heating rate of 5 °C. The dashed line represents the TG curve. The MS analysis shows H₂O (m/z = 18) in blue, CO₂ (m/z = 44) in red, acetyl (m/z = 43) in brown and Cl (m/z = 37) in green. (d) TGA-MS results for the undiluted approach (batch size 60 mmol L⁻¹) are also shown for purposes of comparison.

However, such "optimized" synthesis times are crucial when diluting the precursor concentration in order to obtain LiMnPO₄ without contaminations.

The values gained by DLS do not correspond to the real dimensions because of the high aspect ratios. In general, the radius of gyration of an ellipsoidal particle can be calculated by R_g^2 = 0.2 $(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)^{39}$ Assuming an equality of semi-axis a and b (approx. 60 nm) and c corresponding to the long semiaxis of the particles (approx. 205 nm) obtained via SEM, a radius of gyration of approx. 100 nm was calculated which fits very well with the obtained values for the hydrodynamic diameter derived from DLS measurements (see below). The proposed mechanism for the generation of the particle morphology is supported by the fact that based on SEM studies the structures of the subunits in the micrometer particles possess the same dimension as the rods observed upon the dilution experiments.

Physisorption measurements shown in Fig. S5† demonstrate that the specific surface area of the nanorods is twice as high as the surface area of the mesocrystals. In fact, the particles generated in a diluted system showed a BET surface area of about 15 m² g⁻¹ vs. ca.7 m² g⁻¹ of the particles of the undiluted system. Calculating the theoretical, geometric specific surface area of the prepared particles using a dilution factor 2, assuming a theoretical density of 3.44 $\rm g \, cm^{-3}$ and the sizes gained by SEM, reveals that the particles exhibit no pores and the specific surface area $(15 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ is almost equal to the geometric surface area (13 $\mathrm{m^2~g^{-1}}$). 8

The elemental composition of $LimPO₄$ samples for 65 nm and 35 nm grain size was quantified by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). As shown in Table 2, the determined experimental values of lithium, manganese and phosphorus match perfectly with the theoretical values.

In order to investigate the chemical composition of $LiMnPO₄$ nanorods, FTIR spectra were recorded in the spectral range from 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} using the attenuated total reflectance (ATR) technique. As can be seen in Fig. 4a, $LiMnPO₄$ with a grain size of 35 nm exhibits five very weak infrared bands at approx. 1560, 1495, 1452, 1412 and 699 cm⁻¹ attributable with $v_{as}(COO)$, $v(C-C)$, $v_{as}(CH)$, $v_s(COO)$ and C–H out of plane bending vibrations, respectively, likely from adsorbed 1-phenylethanol solvent molecules and bonded acetate groups.40,41 Additionally, there are several distinct absorption bands in the frequency region below 1200 cm^{-1} owing to tetrahedral anions originating from LiMnPO₄. Overall, eleven characteristic bands are observed between 1150 and 400 cm−¹ , which can be associated to antisymmetric $v_3(PO_4)$ stretching modes at 1135 cm^{-1} , 1067 cm^{-1} and 972 cm^{-1} , one symmetric $v_1(\text{PO}_4)$ stretching mode at 947 cm^{-1} ,

Table 2 ICP-MS results

antisymmetric $v_4(\text{PO}_4)$ bending modes at 630 cm⁻¹, 581 cm⁻¹ and 549 cm⁻¹, and symmetric $v_2(PO_4)$ bending modes at 492 cm−¹ and 456 cm−¹ , in fair agreement with those obtained on polycrystalline powder samples.⁴² Interestingly, in the lowfrequency region a (very weak) shoulder band near 467 cm−¹ can be identified, which likely arises from vibrations of Mn– Cl groups.⁴³

The proportion of inorganic to organic matter in LiMnPO₄ was determined by thermogravimetric analysis-mass spectrometry (TGA-MS). Prior to the analysis, the powders were dried overnight at 80 °C to remove moisture from the air. The data in panel (c) and (d) of Fig. 4 indicate a total mass loss of 12% and 3% by 900 °C for samples with 35 nm and 65 nm grain size, respectively. In both samples, an insignificant mass loss of 1–3% can be noticed at temperatures below 150 °C due to adsorbed water molecules. The combustion of organic matter was found to occur between 200 °C and 350 °C. In this range, a mass loss of 2–9% was observed and attributed to the dehydrogenation of acetate groups which decompose into acetone and ketene (not shown), acetyl fragments, carbon dioxide and water. In addition, the presence of minor amounts of chlorine, released by thermal cleavage of Mn–Cl bonds at 300 °C, can also be evidenced by MS analysis. Thus, a content of inorganic matter of ≥88% and ≥97% was determined for the two types of samples (35 nm and 65 nm), which proves the high quality of the prepared Lim PO₄ nanocrystals. CystEngComm

However, such "optimized" synchesis times are central when

dilating the precession constrained in under to dualing and syncharities. Published at 42 November 2015.

This process are the higher and the common

The surface composition of two representative LiMnPO₄ samples with grain sizes of 65 nm and 35 nm was investigated by XPS, to gain more insights into both the elemental composition and chemical environment. Fig. 5a and b depict XPS scans of the C 1s, Mn 2p and Mn 3s core levels for $LimPO₄$ nanorods with a grain size of 35 nm. A survey spectrum can be found in Fig. S6.† The C 1s core level spectrum can be deconvoluted into three peaks with binding energies of (284.60 ± 0.15) eV, (285.81 ± 0.15) eV and (288.55 ± 0.15) eV, thus indicating the presence of carbon species with different bonding states. The lowest binding energy can be attributed to C–C and/or C–H groups from adventitious carbon. The medium binding energy can be assigned to C–O species from 1-phenylethanol, while the highest energy peak is due to acetyl carbon $(C=0)$ from surfacebound acetate, $44,45$ being in line with results obtained by TGA-MS and FTIR. Since chlorine was not detected in highresolution scans, we estimate that its content is below 1% (detection limit of our setup). The Mn 2p core level spectrum was also examined in detail. The doublet peaks at (641.81 ± 0.15) eV and (653.74 \pm 0.15) eV can be assigned to the 2 $p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ core levels ($\Delta E = 11.9$ eV), while the minor ones at (646.15 ± 0.15) eV and (658.51 ± 0.15) eV were identified as satellite peaks.^{46,47} The appearance of the strong satellite features is typical of manganese being in the oxidation state +2. In principle, the Mn 2p peaks are broad and the shift in binding energy as a function of oxidation state is rather small, thus making the Mn^{2+} and Mn^{3+} ions virtually indistinguishable.48,49 Nevertheless, the Mn 3s region can be exploited to distinguish the oxidation state in manganese

Fig. 5 (a, b, c) XPS detail spectra of the C 1s, Mn 2p and Mn 3s core levels of LiMnPO₄ (grain size 35 nm). Solid lines in black are fits to the data and those in red represent the sum of the peak fits. (d) Manganese K-edge XANES spectra of LiMnPO₄ samples for grain sizes of 35 nm (red) and 65 nm (blue). (e) Plots of the Fourier-transform magnitude vs. radial distance from EXAFS spectra.

oxides. When looking at the Mn 3s photoelectron spectrum shown in Fig. 5c, it is obvious that the peak split into two components (known as exchange splitting), which is attributable to the interaction of Mn 3s electrons with unpaired Mn 3d electrons. The energy difference between both photoelectron lines allows to characterize the Mn oxidation state, because the magnitude of peak splitting decreases as the oxidation state of manganese increases (e.g., $\Delta E = 6.5$ –5.7 eV, 5.5–5.2 eV and 4.7–4.5 eV for Mn^{2+} , Mn^{3+} and Mn^{4+}).^{49,50} A splitting energy of $\Delta E = 6.3$ eV was obtained by analyzing the Mn 3s data in all prepared LiMnPO₄ samples, which indicates that manganese is only present in the +2 state. A detailed summary of the XPS analyses is given in Table S3 and S4.†

Furthermore, $LimPO₄$ samples with grain sizes of both 65 nm and 35 nm were studied by Mn K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy (XANES). The XANES region of the two synthesized samples appears similar in shape with the intensity of the first peak called "white line" of the rising edge being greater in the 65 nm grain size sample (see Fig. 5d). However, the Mn K-edge energy is virtually identical (∼6552.5 eV) in both samples and characteristic for manganese atoms with an oxidation state of $+2$ ^{51,52} The preedge feature at ∼6540.5 eV can be ascribed to Mn 1s to 3d transitions.53,54 Although this feature is quite weak, it also indicates that the absorbing Mn atoms have only an oxidation state of $+2$ ⁵⁵ As can be seen in the XANES spectra, the shoulder signal at roughly 6558.6 eV is more pronounced in the 35 nm sample, which we – based on the XRD and XPS results – attribute to a more defective structure. Fourier transformation of the background-subtracted EXAFS function gives a radial structure function $(i.e.,$ Fourier transform magnitude vs. radial distance), which contains multiple peaks at different distances associated with various shells of neighboring atoms around Mn. The EXAFS spectra presented in Fig. 5e show a rapidly decaying behavior, indicating a disordered olivine structure. In the corresponding Fourier transform pattern, the curve for the sample possessing a grain size of 65 nm shows a greater intensity in the first shell region. The first shell, peaked at around 2 Å , corresponds to the Mn–O distance. The second shell, centered at around 3 Å represents the Mn–P distance, in agreement with what is expected for the lithiophilite structure.⁵⁶ With respect to the 65 nm grain size sample, the 35 nm sample shows a less intense first shell signal, indicating a more disordered structure (due to the irregular occupation of Li sites by Mn) and confirms the results of the Rietveld analysis.⁵⁷ This

finding is also corroborated by the fitting of the EXAFS function, which, for the first shell (comprising three different Mn–O distances) evidence lower Debye–Waller factors for the 65 nm sample (see Tables S6 and S7 in ESI†). These factors account for thermal vibration and statistic disorder.

Apart from the crystalline structure, we additionally examined the optical properties of nanocrystalline LiMnPO₄. UVvis-NIR absorbance spectra (Fig. S7†) taken at room temperature indicate a direct band gap of $E_g = (4.25 \pm 0.05)$ eV, which is in reasonable agreement with both experimental results and theoretical calculations (3.7-4.0 eV).^{58,59} The slight blueshift is not surprising since the material is nanocrystalline and thus a shift may be expected.

Electrospinning experiments were performed using the synthesized nanorod-like particles along with a PAN polymer, which is highly suitable for the production of nitrogen-doped carbon fibers.⁶⁰ Fig. 6 depicts the different stages of the synthesis. The SEM images obtained applying low magnification show a narrow distribution of the fiber diameters. The fibers possessed a mean diameter of approx. (450 ± 50) nm (asspun) which decreased upon the thermal treatment to $(300 \pm$

Fig. 6 (a, b) SEM micrographs of composite nanofibers as spun, (c, d) after stabilization at 200 °C and (e, f) after carbonization at 850 °C in a reducing atmosphere.

50) nm. The fibers undergo slight structural changes, but overall, the morphology is retained. The total mass concentration of carbon was calculated to 30 wt% which was proven by TGA-MS. XRD pattern shown in Fig. S8† reveals that the crystals do not react with carbon matter in the carbonization step at 850 °C. Remarkably, the integral width of the XRD peaks of $LimnPO₄$ is increased in the carbon-composite fibers. This may be contributed to a size selection effect during the electrospinning based on precipitation of larger $LiMnPO₄$ particles. The grain size was calculated to an average 23 nm via Scherrer equation on the (011) and (031) reflections as the (020) signal is superimposed by the PAN signals.⁶¹ The scattering contribution of the polymer to XRD patterns, determined for different stages of synthesis, is shown in Fig. S8.† Thus, the unusual intensities of the first XRD maximum can be explained by superposition of the LiMnPO4 particle and scattering of the polymeric fraction. In Fig. 6f the particles can be seen within the fibers, the sizes of which matches the sizes of the primary $LIMnPO₄$ particles. CystEng-come

Unit, the fitsic sale) (contribution the difference on 28) mm. The fitsic sales are the sales Common and the common access are the common access the common access Article is an access Common Common and the c

Conclusions

Within this work, we present a mesocrystal formation mechanism of the microwave-driven synthesis of Lim n $O₄$ nanocrystals based on comprehensive SEM and TEM investigations along with XRD and elemental analysis. Overall, we believe that the synthesis parameters described here represent a blueprint for synthesizing nanomaterials of inorganic compounds including phosphates oxides. We have demonstrated that agglomeration can be avoided if the synthesis solution is diluted and the synthesis is halted at certain points in time. The resulting particles exhibit high crystallinity and are much smaller than the agglomerates. Also, the most active zone axis is exposed by the particles which should lead to an improvement of electrochemical properties compared to spherical or disc-like particles. Electrospinning was performed successfully. The nanoparticles can be incorporated into a carbon fiber matrix for enhanced electric conductivity. Based on these synthetic concepts, these materials will be studied with respect to Li-storage and electrochemical properties.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support within the LOEWE program of excellence of the Federal State of Hessen (project initiative STORE-E). This project was supported by the Laboratory of Materials Research (LaMa) of the Justus-Liebig University Giessen, Germany. S. G. thanks CNR for a Short Term Mobility Grant (2015). We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Roland Marschall (Institute of Physical Chemistry, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Germany) for the kind provision of UV-vis spectrometer. Prof. Paolo Pastore and Dr. Denis Badocco (Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, University of

Padova, Italy) are kindly acknowledged for ICP-MS analyses. Mattia Cattelan and Prof. Gaetano Granozzi (Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche, University of Padova, Italy) are kindly acknowledged for acquisition of Mn 3s XPS regions. We kindly acknowledge the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF Grenoble, France) for provision of synchrotron radiation facilities and we would like to thank Dr. Francesco D'Acapito and Dr. Simona Torrengo for kind assistance in using beamline BM08. Paper
 Paper
 Paper Commons Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Articles Commons Articles A

Notes and references

- 1 M. Bijelić, X. Liu, Q. Sun, A. B. Djurišić, M. H. Xie, A. M. C. Ng, C. Suchomski, I. Djerdj, Ž. Skoko and J. Popović, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 14759–14767.
- 2 M. Sun, G. Rousse, A. M. Abakumov, M. Saubanère, M.-L. Doublet, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, G. Van Tendeloo and J.-M. Tarascon, Chem. Mater., 2015, 27, 3077–3087.
- 3 J. Yue, C. Suchomski, T. Brezesinski and B. M. Smarsly, ChemNanoMat, 2015, 1, 415–421.
- 4 Y. Su, A. Pan, Y. Wang, J. Huang, Z. Nie, X. An and S. Liang, J. Power Sources, 2015, 295, 254–258.
- 5 M. Lübke, I. Johnson, N. M. Makwana, D. Brett, P. Shearing, Z. Liu and J. A. Darr, J. Power Sources, 2015, 294, 94–102.
- 6 L. Wang, G. C. Liang, X. Q. Ou, X. K. Zhi, J. P. Zhang and J. Y. Cui, J. Power Sources, 2009, 189, 423–428.
- 7 V. Aravindan, J. Gnanaraj, Y.-S. Lee and S. Madhavi, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 3518–3539.
- 8 S. Geller and J. Durand, Acta Crystallogr., 1960, 13, 325–331.
- 9 Z. Sun, K. Xie, Z. A. Li, I. Sinev, P. Ebbinghaus, A. Erbe, M. Farle, W. Schuhmann, M. Muhler and E. Ventosa, Chemistry, 2014, 20, 2022–2030.
- 10 R. Prakash, K. Fanselau, S. Ren, T. Kumar Mandal, C. Kübel, H. Hahn and M. Fichtner, Beilstein J. Nanotechnol., 2013, 4, 699–704.
- 11 A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy and J. B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1997, 144, 1188–1194.
- 12 S. Okada, S. Sawa and M. Egashira, J. Power Sources, 2001, 98, 432–434.
- 13 D. Choi, D. Wang, I.-T. Bae, J. Xiao, Z. Nie, W. Wang, V. V. Viswanathan, Y. J. Lee, J.-G. Zhang, G. L. Graff, Z. Yang and J. Liu, Nano Lett., 2010, 10, 2799–2805.
- 14 T. Drezen, N.-H. Kwon, P. Bowen, I. Teerlinck, M. Isono and I. Exnar, J. Power Sources, 2007, 174, 949–953.
- 15 V. Palomares, I. Ruiz de Larramendi, J. Alonso, M. Bengoechea, A. Goñi, O. Miguel and T. Rojo, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2010, 256, 2563–2568.
- 16 S. Zhang, F. L. Meng, Q. Wu, F. L. Liu, H. Gao, M. Zhang and C. Deng, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2013, 8, 6603–6609.
- 17 M.-R. Yang, T.-H. Teng and S.-H. Wu, J. Power Sources, 2006, 159, 307–311.
- 18 P. Barpanda, K. Djellab, N. Recham, M. Armand and J.-M. Tarascon, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 10143–10152.
- 19 N. A. Siddique, A. M. Allen, P. P. Mukherjee and F. Liu, J. Power Sources, 2014, 245, 83–88.
- 20 I. Bilecka, A. Hintennach, I. Djerdj, P. Novák and M. Niederberger, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 5125.
- 21 M. Niederberger, G. Garnweitner, J. Buha, J. Polleux, J. Ba and N. Pinna, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 2006, 40, 259–266.
- 22 M. Niederberger and G. Garnweitner, Chemistry, 2006, 12, 7282–7302.
- 23 Q. D. Truong, M. K. Devaraju and I. Honma, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 17400–17407.
- 24 G. Garnweitner, N. Tsedev, H. Dierke and M. Niederberger, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2008, 6, 890–895.
- 25 J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, FULLPROF version 2.05, July 2011, ILL [unpublished].
- 26 B. Ravel and M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2005, 12, 537–541.
- 27 S. Tajimi, Y. Ikeda, K. Uematsu, K. Toda and M. Sato, Solid State Ionics, 2004, 175, 287–290.
- 28 S. Yang, P. Y. Zavalij and M. S. Whittingham, Electrochem. Commun., 2001, 3, 505–508.
- 29 W. E. Morgan, T. Glonek and J. R. V. A. N. Wazer, Inorg. Chem., 1974, 13, 1832–1835.
- 30 C. Delacourt, P. Poizot, M. Morcrette, J.-M. Tarascon and C. Masquelier, Chem. Mater., 2004, 16, 93–99.
- 31 F. Zhou, P. Zhu, X. Fu, R. Chen, R. Sun and C. Wong, CrystEngComm, 2014, 16, 766–774.
- 32 W. Zhang, Z. Shan, K. Zhu, S. Liu, X. Liu and J. Tian, Electrochim. Acta, 2015, 153, 385–392.
- 33 D. R. Modeshia and R. I. Walton, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 4303–4325.
- 34 M. Niederberger and H. Cölfen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 3271–3287.
- 35 F. C. Meldrum and H. Cölfen, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 4332–4432.
- 36 R. Q. Song and H. Cölfen, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 1301–1330.
- 37 L. Wang, F. Zhou and G. Ceder, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 2008, 11, A94–A96.
- 38 C. Delacourt, P. Poizot, J.-M. Tarascon and C. Masquelier, Nat. Mater., 2005, 4, 254–260.
- 39 E. T. Whittaker, A Treatise on the Analytical Dynamics of Particles and Rigid Bodies, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 4th edn, 1988.
- 40 I. Laaziz, A. Larbot, A. Julbe, C. Guizard and L. Cot, J. Solid State Chem., 1992, 98, 393–403.
- 41 K. Shin-ya, H. Sugeta, S. Shin, Y. Hamada, Y. Katsumoto and K. Ohno, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 8598–8605.
- 42 M. T. Paques-Ledent and P. Tarte, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 1974, 30, 673–689.
- 43 G. E. Leroi, T. C. James, J. T. Hougen and W. Klemperer, J. Chem. Phys., 1962, 36, 2879–2883.
- 44 S. D. Gardner, C. S. K. Singamsetty, G. L. Booth, G.-R. He and C. U. Pittman Jr., Carbon, 1995, 33, 587–595.
- 45 A. M. Dennis, R. A. Howard, K. M. Kadish, J. L. Bear, J. Brace and N. Winograd, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1980, 44, L139–L141.
- 46 N. Hatta, Y. Yoshida and H. Tomita, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2015, 162, A1556–A1565.
- 47 M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, A. P. Grosvenor, L. W. M. Lau, A. R. Gerson and R. S. C. Smart, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2011, 257, 2717–2730.
- 48 J. M. Cerrato, M. F. Hochella Jr., W. R. Knocke, A. M. Dietrich and T. F. Cromer, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2010, 44, 5881–5886.
- 49 J. L. Junta and M. F. Hochella Jr, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 1994, 58, 4985–4999.
- 50 V. A. M. Brabers, F. M. van Setten and P. S. A. Knapen, J. Solid State Chem., 1983, 49, 93–98.
- 51 T. Nedoseykina, M. G. Kim, S.-A. Park, H.-S. Kim, S.-B. Kim, J. Cho and Y. Lee, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, 55, 8876–8882.
- 52 O. Clemens, M. Bauer, R. Haberkorn, M. Springborg and H. P. Beck, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 4717–4724.
- 53 I. Mukerji, J. C. Andrews, V. J. DeRose, M. J. Latimer, V. K. Yachandra, K. Sauer and M. P. Klein, Biochemistry, 1994, 33, 9712–9721.
- 54 M. Roemelt, M. A. Beckwith, C. Duboc, M.-N. Collomb, F. Neese and S. DeBeer, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 51, 680–687.
- 55 E. Chalmin, F. Farges and G. E. Brown Jr., Contrib. Mineral. Petrol., 2009, 157, 111–126.
- 56 D. Jang, K. Palanisamy, J. Yoon, Y. Kim and W.-S. Yoon, J. Power Sources, 2013, 244, 581–585.
- 57 H. Fang, Z. Pan, L. Li, Y. Yang, G. Yan, G. Li and S. Wei, Electrochem. Commun., 2008, 10, 1071–1073.
- 58 F. Zhou, K. Kang, T. Maxisch, G. Ceder and D. Morgan, Solid State Commun., 2005, 132, 181–186.
- 59 L. F. J. Piper, N. F. Quackenbush, S. Sallis, D. O. Scanlon, G. W. Watson, K.-W. Nam, X.-Q. Yang, K. E. Smith, F. Omenya, N. A. Chernova and M. S. Whittingham, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 10383–10396. Open Access Article. Published on 27 November 2015. Downloaded on 9/5/2024 11:29:48 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ce01946j)**
	- 60 M. Einert, C. Wessel, F. Badaczewski, T. Leichtweiβ, C. Eufinger, J. Janek, J. Yuan, M. Antonietti and B. M. Smarsly, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2015, 216, 1930–1944.
	- 61 P. Scherrer, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, 1918, 26, 98–100.