M$_{12}$L$_8$ metallo-supramolecular cube with cyclotriguiacylaiylene-type ligand: spontaneous resolution of cube and its constituent host ligand†
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Metallo-cages, also referred to as coordination cages, are threedimensional, hollow architectures that often closely resemble Platonic or Archimedean solids. Investigation of their self-assembly, and applications as nano-scale host assemblies is a highly active area. One approach to a cube assembly is linking together eight corner units in an orthogonal manner. Most examples of such edge-directed coordination cage cubes have been M$_{8}$L$_{12}$ cages where the metal supplies the corner piece. An alternative design is a M$_{12}$L$_{8}$ assembly where the metal represents the cube edge and the corner piece is a ligand, though examples are much rarer. Cyclotriveratrylene (CTV) and its analogues have a bowl-shape triquinacene-type coordination cube and a rare example of a M$_{12}$L$_{8}$-type metallo-cube.

The racemic ligand (±)-tris-(4-methylthiazolyl)cyclotriguiacylaiylene forms a homochiral crystalline Ag$_{12}$L$_8$ cube with spontaneous resolution. The ligand itself likewise crystallises in a chirally pure fashion in two clathrate complexes. Ag$_{12}$L$_8$ is the first example of a cyclotriguiacylaiylene-type coordination cube and a rare example of a M$_{12}$L$_8$-type metallo-cube.

The racemic ligand (±)-tris-(4-methylthiazolyl)cyclotriguiacylaiylene L was synthesised in 80% yield through reaction of 4-(chloromethyl)thiazole hydrochloride with cyclotriguiacylaiylene in the presence of base, Scheme 1. The $^1$H NMR spectrum is characteristic of the C$_1$-symmetric bowl-shaped cavitand with the bridging endo and exo methylene protons of the thiazole-methyl groups of the tribenzo[a,d,g]-cyclononatriene core appearing as doublets at 4.71 and 3.49 ppm respectively. The structures of two clathrate complexes of L, namely L·4(H$_2$O) and L·3(CH$_3$NO$_2$), were determined by single crystal X-ray techniques. Each complex crystallises in a chiral trigonal/hexagonal space group with an asymmetric unit composed of solvent molecules and one third of an L ligand, with the ligand having crystallographic three-fold symmetry. For both complexes, the thiazole-methyl groups of the ligand extending outwards in-plane with the CTV-arene faces, at C$_{thiazole}$–CH$_2$–O–C$_{aryl}$ torsion angle $\approx$ 179°, however the rotation of the thiazole is distinct for the two complexes, Fig. 1.

In L·4(H$_2$O) there are two types of water molecules with one sited on a three-fold rotation axis. A network of hydrogen bonds forms between the thiazole groups and waters of crystallisation (Fig. 1a) such that a tetrameric cluster of water molecules connects to three L ligands, and vice versa to form a 2D network.
with $6^3$ topology. In the overall lattice layers of networks pack in an ABAB arrangement with a rotated bowl-in-bowl stacking of the cavitand ligands (see ESI†).

Bowl-in-bowl stacking of ligands also occurs in complex L·3(CH$_3$NO$_2$), however here the ligands are perfectly aligned with a separation of 4.4 Å, Fig. 1b. The CH$_3$NO$_2$ guest molecules occupy lattice positions within the structure. Both clathrate complexes exhibit spontaneous resolution as all L ligands within each structure are the same enantiomer. Spontaneous resolution on crystallisation is known as conglomerate formation.

Vapour diffusion of diethyl ether into a dimethylformamide (DMF) solution of L and AgX ($X = \text{ReO}_4^-$, BF$_4^-$) gave crystals of the complexes [Ag$_{12}$(L)$_8$]·12ReO$_4$·$\pi$(DMF) 1 and [Ag$_{12}$(L)$_8$]·12BF$_4$·$\pi$(DMF) 2.§ The structures of complexes 1 and 2 were determined by single crystal X-ray analysis and are isostructural, being solved in the chiral cubic space group $F432$. The asymmetric unit of complex 1 comprises one third of ligand L, a Ag(i) cation on a 2-fold axis and fragments of ReO$_4^-$ anions, one on a 3-fold axis and the other, disordered and with low occupancy, sit on a 4-fold axis.¶

As for the ligand structures, ligand L in 1 has crystallographic 3-fold symmetry, however with a quite different conformation where the thiazole-methyl groups are folded inwards above the cavitand bowl, at $C_{\text{thiazole}}$-$\text{CH}_2$-O-$C_{\text{aryl}}$ torsion angle $-83.6^\circ$. As expected for a thiazole, only the nitrogen heterocyclic atoms act as donors to the metal, with each thiazole binding to a separate Ag(i) cation. The Ag(i) cations have near linear coordination to the thiazole-methyl groups. The S-heteroatoms of each thiazole moiety are located at the faces of the cube, and the space-filling view, Fig. 2b, shows small windows into the cubes which are bounded by these S atoms (S···S distances across diagonal of window is ca. 6 Å). Space-filling also shows that Ag(i) centres are well within the cube, and thus protected from additional coordination exo to the cage. The Ag(i) cations are arranged in a cuboctahedron with Ag···Ag separation of 8.71 Å. The overall size of the cube is ca. 27 Å across the body diagonal measured between centres of the $-(\text{CH}_3)_2-$ cavitand ligands.

The eight L ligands within each [Ag$_{12}$(L)$_8$]$_{12}^+$ cube are the same ligand enantiomer, hence the cube displays homochiral recognition or chiral self-sorting. Homochiral self-sorting of a racemic mixture of enantiomeric ligands during metal-directed self-assembly processes has been previously reported, both for helicate systems,§ and also for cage-like assemblies.§,8,12 including with CTG-type ligands. Complex 1 displays a further level of spontaneous chiral resolution as it crystallises as a conglomerate where each crystal of 1 contains only one enantiomer of the chiral [Ag$_{12}$(L)$_8$]$_{12}^+$ cube. The bulk sample contains crystals of both enantiomers, and coincidentally the selected crystal studied for the isostuctural complex 2 was of the opposite enantiomer to that selected for 1 (see ESI†). Spontaneous resolution is not common for metallo-supramolecular systems. Resolution of metallo-supramolecular species with achiral ligands and helical chirality at the metal has been reported for a M$_3$L$_3$ helicate and recently Rissanen and co-workers reported the first example for a 3D metal-linked coordination cage. A handful of examples have been reported for simpler CTG-type systems, namely a metallated hemi-cryptophane, a CTG-type coordination polymer, a trinuclear Re(i)I$_3$ complex as well as organic cage cryptophanes.

There are extensive π-π stacking interactions between [Ag$_{12}$(L)$_8$]$_{12}^+$ cubes in the crystal lattice, with each arene of the tribenzoi[a,d,g]-cyclononatriene ligand core forming a face-to-face interaction at centroid separation 3.81 Å. The cubes form a cubic close packed array, Fig. 2c, with π-stacking along the cube edges such that each cube interacts with twelve others.
There are two crystallographically distinct ReO$_4^-$ positions in the crystal lattice of complex 1, one is fully occupied on a 3-fold axis and is external to the [Ag$_{12}$L$_8$]$^{12+}$ cube, whilst the other has less full occupancy, and is located on a 4-fold axis (hence is symmetry-disordered) and located inside the cube, aligned with the facial windows. The very high symmetry of the structure is reflected in the Russian Doll arrangement of prisms that can be identified, with the internal ReO$_4^-$ anions forming an octahedron which is internal to the cuboctahedron of Ag(i) sites, itself part of the [Ag$_{12}$L$_8$]$^{12+}$ cube, which is surrounded by a rhombicuboctahedron of external ReO$_4^-$ anions, Fig. 3 and Fig. S19 (ESI†).

Thermogravimetric analysis of complexes 1 and 2 showed a gradual weight loss to ca. 190–200 °C of ca. 7–10% for 1 and 2 respectively (see ESI†), consistent with the loss of approximately 10 DMF solvent molecules from inside the [Ag$_{12}$L$_8$]$^{12+}$ cubes from 1 and 12 DMF molecules from 2 which are solvation levels easily accommodated within the cube, with an estimated internal volume of ca. 5000 Å$^3$ (excluding anions) using a 1.2 Å probe.$^{19}$

Crystals of the cube can be re-dissolved in coordinating solvents such as DMSO but this leads to disassociation of the complex. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of L and AgBF$_4$ in $d$-DMF was very broad (see Fig. S9, ESI†), and ESI-MS of a DMF solution of complex 1 showed only m/z peaks corresponding to [AgL]$^+$ and [AgL$_2$]$^+$ species (Fig. S10, ESI†).

In summary, the racemic ligand (±)-tris-(4-methylthiazolyl)-cyclotriguaiacylene crystallises in a chiral fashion as a hydrate, and Ag(i) complexes of the same ligand form a Ag$_{12}$L$_8$ cube structure that shows dual levels of chiral sorting, with both chiral self-sorting of the cubes and spontaneous resolution of the chiral cubes on crystallisation for both ReO$_4^-$ and BF$_4^-$ salts.
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Notes and references

$^{‡}$ Crystal data. L-4(H$_2$O): C$_{36}$H$_{41}$N$_3$O$_{10}$S$_3$, $M_r = 771.9$, hexagonal, $a = b = 14.5223(19)$ Å, $c = 10.2701(12)$ Å, $V = 1875.8(4)$ Å$^3$, space group $P6_3$, $Z = 2$, $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å, $\theta_{\text{max}} = 73.42^{\circ}$, 158 parameters, 1 restraint, $R_1 = 0.0868$ (for 1394 data $I > 2\sigma(I)$), $wR_2 = 0.2598$ (all 2239 data), Flack parameter = $-0.007(7)$. CCDC 1470477. L-3(CH$_3$NO$_2$): C$_{39}$H$_{42}$N$_6$O$_{12}$S$_3$, $M_r = 882.97$, trigonal (hexagonal), $a = b = 28.138(3)$ Å, $c = 4.4445(5)$ Å, $V = 3047.4(5)$ Å$^3$, space group $R3$, $Z = 3$, $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å, $\theta_{\text{max}} = 73.82^{\circ}$, 183 parameters, 1 restraint, $R_1 = 0.0719$ (for 1635 data $I > 2\sigma(I)$), $wR_2 = 0.1873$ (all 2150 data), Flack parameter = 0.08(5). CCDC 1478562. Complex 1: C$_{288}$H$_{264}$Ag$_{12}$N$_{24}$O$_{96}$Re$_{12}$S$_{24}$, $M_r = 9895.52$, cubic, $a = b = c = 35.7237(4)$ Å, $V = 45590(9)$ Å$^3$, space group $Fm3$, $Z = 4$, $\lambda = 1.54184$ Å, $\theta_{\text{max}} = 73.74^{\circ}$, 168 parameters, 4 restraints, $R_1 = 0.0847$ (for 2667 data $I > 2\sigma(I)$), $wR_2 = 0.2886$ (all 3794 data), Flack parameter = 0.03(4). CCDC 1470478. Complex 2: C$_{288}$H$_{264}$Ag$_{12}$B$_{12}$F$_{48}$N$_{24}$O$_{48}$S$_{24}$, $M_r = 7934.84$, ...
similar ligand synthesis. Use of highly pure L only gives a small contamination. We have previously noted such contamination in a good yield in Large crystals of complexes § = 1.54184 Å, 20.15(3). Squeeze was employed for complex (for 1639 data show Cl with Re, Ag and S the only heavy elements.


