ChemComm

CrossMark
← click for updates

[(ClImDipp)P═P(Dipp)][GaCl4]: a polarized, cationic diphosphene†

Kai Schwedtmann,[a] Michael H. Holthausen,[a] Chris H. Sala,[a] Felix Hennersdorf,[a] Roland Fröhlich[b] and Jan J. Weigand*[a]

The reaction of the neutral diphosphanide [(ClImDipp)P–P(Cl)(Dipp)] (6) (ClImDipp = 4,5-dichloro-1,3-bis(Dipp)-imidazol-2-yl; Dipp = 2,6-di-iso-propylphenyl) with methyl triflate (MeOTf) leads to the formation of cationic diphosphane [(ClImDipp)(Me)P–P(Cl)(Dipp)]+ (8+) in a stereoselective methylation. In contrast, reacting 6 with the Lewis acid GaCl3 yields cationic diphosphene [(ClImDipp)P═P(Dipp)]+ (7+), which is explained by a low P–Cl bond dissociation energy. The significantly polarized P═P double bond in 7+ allows for its utilization as an acceptor for nucleophiles – the reaction with Cl− regenerates diphosphanide 6 and the reaction with PMe3 gives cation [(ClImDipp)P–P(PMe3)(Dipp)] (9+). In depth DFT investigation provides detailed insights into the bonding situation of the reported compounds.

A few decades ago, the concept of kinetic stabilization by sterically demanding substituents provided a breakthrough in the field of multiple bonded compounds based on heavier main group elements.[1] In 1981 Yoshifuji succeeded in the preparation of the first diphosphene Mes*P═PMes* 1 by introducing the very bulky Mes* substituent (Mes* = 2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenyl, "super-mesityl", Fig. 1).[2] Only recently, N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have gathered comparable attention in phosphorus chemistry for their ability to stabilize low-coordinate bonding environments in poly-phosphorus compounds, which can also be explained by thermodynamic stabilization (conjugated π-system, charge delocalization).[3] This was shown by Robinson who prepared the neutral (ImDipp)P–P(ImDipp) (ImDipp = 1,3-bis(Dipp)-imidazol-2-yl)[4] and explored its further reactivity.[5] Later, Bertrand reported on the stepwise oxidation of (ImDipp)P–P(ImDipp) and


Fig. 1 First reported diphosphene 1 and selected imidazoliumyl function-alized polyphosphorus compounds (2²⁺–5⁺) (only one representative Lewis structure is presented).

isolated the dicationic P2 species 2²⁺,[6] illustrating that imidazoliumyl-substituents can be used for the stabilization of cations (Fig. 1). The activation of white phosphorus (P4) by carbenes was investigated thoroughly and gave access to extended frameworks of low-coordinate P atoms,[4,7] such as the neutral catena P4-species 3.[7a,b] We reported on the stepwise transformation of P4 by using an electrophilic phosphenium cation and a nucleophilic carbene ClNHCDipp which yielded the linear P3 cation 4+ featuring two terminal imidazoliumyl-substituents.[8,9] In a very recent contribution, Grützmacher isolated the cationic disphosphene 5+, via the reaction of (ImDipp)PH with PCl2(Ni-Pr2) in the presence of DABCO (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) and subsequent chloride abstraction with GaCl3.[10]

The aforementioned compounds have illustrated the ability of imidazoliumyl-substituents to accept π-electron density from adjacent two-coordinate P atoms which is important for their stability since it significantly lowers the nucleophilicity of the phosphorus moiety. The termination of the Pn (n = 2, 3, 4) chains in 2²⁺–4+ by two imidazoliumyl-groups, however, leads to symmetrical distribution of electron density within the multiple bonded polyphosphorus fragments. We envisioned that a diphosphene, bearing a sterically demanding aryl group

a Chair of Inorganic Molecular Chemistry, University of Technology Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany. E-mail: jan.weigand@tu-dresden.de

b Institute of Organic Chemistry, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 48149 Münster, Germany

and an imidazoliumyl-substituent has a polarized P=P double bond and serves well for an interesting reactivity.

Aiming at the synthesis of such a diphosphene, we reacted neutral diphosphanide **6** with distinct electrophiles (GaCl₃, MeOTf). This gave rise to cationic diphosphene salt **7**[GaCl₄] *via* halide abstraction or cationic diphosphane salt **8**[OTf] *via* stereoselective methylation. Reactions of **7**⁺ with nucleophiles (PMe₃, Cl⁻) demonstrate its remarkable acceptor properties. The reaction of diphosphanide **6** with GaCl₃ in a 1 : 1 stoichiometry in C₆H₆ solution resulted in the immediate formation of a red precipitate of **7**[GaCl₄] (Scheme 1, 76% yield). This compound constitutes a rare example of an unsymmetrically substituted, cationic diphosphene.[10,11] Its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows an AX spin system. The observed large $^1J(PP)$ coupling constant ($^1J(P_AP_X) = -577.9$ Hz) is characteristic for a P=P double bond.[12] The A part, assigned to the imidazoliumyl-substituted P atom, is shifted to higher field ($\delta(P_A) = 398.1$ ppm). On the other hand, the X part, assigned to the Dipp-substituted P atom, is at remarkable low field ($\delta(P_X) = 605.8$ ppm) compared to (*E*)-configured diphosphenes (*e.g.* Mes*P=PMes* $\delta(P) = 492$ ppm).[12] This implies a significant polarization of the P=P double bond, which can be indicated by resonance structure **7b**⁺ (Scheme 1). An inverse polarization was observed previously by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy for π-donor substituted diphosphenes (*e.g.* Mes*–P₁=P₂–(N*i*-Pr₂): $\delta(P_1) = 276$ ppm, $\delta(P_2) = 447$ ppm,[13] **5**⁺: (Im^Dipp)–P₁=P₂–(N*i*-Pr₂)⁺: $\delta(P_2) = 158$ ppm, $\delta(P_1) = 492$ ppm).[10] Cation **7**⁺ is bright, red-colored and the UV/vis spectrum of **7**[GaCl₄] reveals two absorptions at 490 nm ($\varepsilon = 429$ cm² mol⁻¹) and 349 nm ($\varepsilon = 4995$ cm² mol⁻¹). The first absorption is assigned to a symmetry forbidden n(P) → π*(P=P) transition and the second to the symmetry allowed π(P–P) → π*(P=P) transition.[12] The molecular structure of **7**[GaCl₄] is depicted in Fig. 2 and confirms the (*E*)-configuration (C28–P2–P1–C1: 179.9(2)°). The P=P bond length (P1–P2: 2.038(1) Å) is typical for diphosphenes.[10,12] The C–P–P angle involving the imidazoliumyl-substituent (C1–P1–P2: 105.0(1)°) is larger than that involving the Dipp-substituent (C28–P2–P1 95.1(1)°). This might be a result of a higher degree of π-bonding interactions involving the more electron withdrawing imidazoliumyl-group, or the large steric demand of the imidazoliumyl-substituent.[14]

Fig. 2 Molecular structure of cation **7**⁺ in **7**[GaCl₄]·C₆H₅F (anions, hydrogen atoms and solvate molecules are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability); selected experimental bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: C1–P1 1.834(2), P1–P2 2.038(1), P2–C28 1.854(4), C1–P1–P2 105.0(1), C28–P2–P1 95.1(1).

Interestingly, the reaction of **6** with MeOTf does not yield MeCl and **7**[OTf]. Instead, addition of MeOTf to a solution of **6** in benzene gave a yellowish reaction mixture from which **8**[OTf] was conveniently obtained in good yields *via* addition of *n*-hexane and isolation of the formed precipitate (77% yield). The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture shows the prominent resonances of an AX spin system. These are assigned to diastereomeric **8**⁺ ($\delta(P_A) = -15.1$ ppm, $\delta(P_X) = 75.1$ ppm, $^1J(P_AP_X) = -218.2$ Hz) which mainly comprises a pair of enantiomers with (*R*,*S*)- and (*S*,*R*)-configuration. Thus, the relatively small absolute value of the $^1J(PP)$ coupling constants in (*R*,*S*)- and (*S*,*R*)-configuration is attributed to an anti-periplanar arrangement of the lone pairs of electrons.[15] In contrast, the relatively large absolute value observed for the (*S*,*S*)- and (*R*,*R*)-configuration is attributed to the gauche arrangement.[15] Similar observations were reported for *meso*- and *rac*-1,2-bis(trifluoromethyl)-diphosphane where the *trans* dispositions of electronegative CF₃ groups determines the favoured rotamers.[16] The A part is assigned to the Me-substituted P atom on the basis of the observed $^2J(PH)$ coupling constant (8.7 Hz). A second AX spin system of low intensity (<5%) is assigned to the second diastereomer of **8**⁺ ($\delta(P_A) = -10.5$ ppm, $\delta(P_X) = 86.4$ ppm, $^1J(P_AP_X) = -354.8$ Hz) comprised of a pair of enantiomers with (*R*,*R*)- and (*S*,*S*)-configuration. The significantly different values of $^1J(PP)$ coupling constants observed for the (*R*,*S*)/(*S*,*R*) and (*R*,*R*)/(*S*,*S*) pairs of enantiomers are explained by the relative arrangement of the lone pairs of electrons in **8**⁺. Most likely, a *trans*-conformation of the sterically demanding imidazoliumyl- and Dipp-substituent represents the most stable rotamer of **8**⁺.[15] The high stereoselectivity of the methylation of **6** might be a consequence of significant differences in the steric demand of the substituents at both, the di-coordinate P atom and the adjacent chiral P atom. Thus, the favoured approach of the electrophile (*E*⁺) to the di-coordinate P atom occurs from the less crowded side as illustrated in Fig. 3. The molecular structure of **8**[OTf] confirms the (*R*,*S*)- and (*S*,*R*)-configuration of the major isomer (Fig. 4). The anti-periplanar conformation of the imidazoliumyl- and Dipp-substituents as observed in solution is also present in the solid state (C1–P1–P2–C29: 152.8(1)°). The formation of **8**[OTf] in the reaction of **6** with MeOTf indicates that

Scheme 1 Synthetic route to **7**[GaCl₄] and **8**[OTf] (comprised of a pair of enantiomers, only the (*R*,*S*) enantiomer is shown) and resonance structures **7a**⁺ and **7b**⁺.

Fig. 3 Molecular structure of **6** (M06-2X/def2svp) showing the favoured and disfavoured approach of electrophiles. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 5 Natural charges and selected secondary interactions of NBO analysis on DFT optimized structures of **6**, **7⁺** and **9⁺**.

the di-coordinate P atom exhibits the most nucleophilic properties. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the halide abstraction from **6** by GaCl₃, which yields **7**[GaCl₄], proceeds *via* coordination of the electrophile to the di-coordinate P atom, followed by 1,2-elimination of GaCl₄⁻. Additionally, resonance structure **7b⁺** indicates that this compound is a suitable acceptor for nucleophiles due to the polarization of the diphosphene moiety by the adjacent imidazoliumyl-substituent. The acceptor properties of **7⁺** were further investigated by DFT calculations (Fig. 5). The polarization of the P=P double bond is expressed by a higher contribution of P_a (57.4% *vs.* 42.6% for P_b) to the π-type orbital and a donor acceptor interaction with the adjacent π* C=N orbital of 18.5 kcal mol⁻¹, indicating a stabilization of the positive charge by delocalization. Analysis of the natural charges of **7⁺** showed that P_b carries the highest charge in the molecule of +0.44e compared to +0.24e on P_a, making P_b the preferred reaction site for nucleophiles. This reactivity was elucidated by the reaction of **7**[GaCl₄] with suitable nucleophiles – a Cl⁻ source and PMe₃ (Scheme 2). The addition of [*n*-Bu₄N][Cl] to a solution of **7**[GaCl₄] in o-C₆H₄F₂ results in the immediate color change from red to yellow, associated with diphosphanide **6**. ³¹P NMR investigation of the reaction mixture revealed quantitative regeneration to **6** *via* adduct formation of the cationic diphosphene with the chloride anion. The previously unknown molecular structure of **6**[8] was determined by means of X-ray single crystal structure determination in the course

of this study (Fig. 4). The P–C bond length of compound **6** involving the imidazoliumyl-substituent (P2–C13: 1.803(2) Å) is longer than related bond distances in (Im^Mes)PPh (P–C: 1.763(6) Å)[17] or **2** (P–C: 1.750(2) Å).[4] In addition, the P–P bond length (P1–P2: 2.1327(9) Å) is shorter than the typical P–P single bond distance observed for diphosphanes (2.22 Å)[18] but significantly longer than a typical P=P double bond length observed for diphosphenes (2.00 Å).[12] This can be explained by the donation of electron density from the p-type lone pairs of electrons on P2 into the lobe of the σ*-orbital of the adjacent P–Cl bond (*vide infra*). This is supported by a longer P–Cl bond length (P1–Cl1: 2.1586(8) Å) than those typically observed for chloro-substituted diphosphanes (2.10 Å).[19] Collectively, the structural data indicates that the interaction of chloride in **6** is significantly weaker than a covalent P–Cl bond in a chlorophosphane. This is supported by computations which show a significant donor acceptor interaction of 22.5 kcal mol⁻¹ of the p-type lone pair of P_a and the antibonding σ* P_b–Cl orbital (Fig. 5). A comparison of the energy profiles of the P–Cl bond dissociation of **6_me** (all iso-propyl moieties are substituted by methyl groups, $r_0 = 2.131$ Å) and Ph₂PCl ($r_0 = 2.104$ Å) yielded a dissociation energy of 34.1 kcal mol⁻¹ and a force constant of 154.4 N m⁻¹ for **6_me** *versus* 88.2 kcal mol⁻¹ and 218 N m⁻¹ for Ph₂PCl (Fig. S4.1, ESI†). This result further proves the weaker P–Cl bond in **6** compared to regular chlorophosphanes.

Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **6**·(Et₂O)·(*n*-hexane), **8⁺** in **8**[OTf]·2(1,2-C₆H₄F₂), **9⁺** in **9**[GaCl₄] (hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are displayed at 50% probability); selected experimental bond lengths in Å and angles in °; **6**: C1–P1 1.865(3), P1–P2 2.1327(9), P1–Cl1 2.1586(8), C1–P1–P2 95.83(8), C13–P2–P1 102.2(1). **8⁺**: C1–P1 1.842(2), P1–P2 2.2250(9), P2–C29 1.841(2), P2–Cl1 2.0673(9); **9⁺**: C1–P1 1.799(3), P1–P2 2.151(1), P2–P3 2.208(1), P1···P3 3.478(1), C1–P1–P2 100.5(1), P1–P2–P3 105.8(1).

Scheme 2   Synthetic route to **6** and **9**[GaCl₄].

The addition of PMe₃ to a red solution of **7**[GaCl₄] in *o*-C₆H₄F₂ again leads to an immediate color change to yellow. The phosphane adduct **9**[GaCl₄] was isolated in high yields from the reaction mixture (96%, Scheme 2). Its $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum shows an AMX spin system. The A part of the spin system ($\delta(P_A) = -108.1$ ppm) is assigned to the di-coordinate P atom and the pronounced high field shift indicates an inverse polarized phosphaalkene (or a phosphanide) moiety.[20] The resonance at lowest field ($\delta(P_X) = 11.2$ ppm) is assigned to the tetra-coordinate P atom (compare [Ph₂P–PMe₃]⁺: $\delta(P_{Me})$ = 15 ppm)[21] while the M part ($\delta(P_M) = -62.1$ ppm) corresponds to the tri-coordinate P atom.[22] As the $^1J(PP)$ couplings are of expected magnitude ($^1J(P_AP_M) = -322.1$ Hz, $^1J(P_MP_X) = -343.3$ Hz) a comparatively large $^2J(PP)$ coupling ($^2J(P_AP_X) = 72.8$ Hz) might indicate a through space interaction between the di- and tetra-coordinate P centers. The connectivity of **9**⁺ was confirmed by X-ray single crystal structure determination (Fig. 4). Its P–C bond length involving the imidazoliumyl-moiety is in the typical range of inverse polarized phosphaalkenes (C1–P1: 1.799(3) Å) and is comparable to **6**. Two distinct P–P bond lengths are observed in **9**⁺ (P1–P2: 2.151(1) Å, P2–P3: 2.208(1) Å) and that one involving the di-coordinate P atom is significantly shorter than a typically P–P single bond (P–P: 2.22 Å).[18] The distance between both terminal P atoms (P1···P3: 3.478(1) Å) is well within the sum of the van der Waals radii of the respective atoms ($\sum r_{vdW}(P,P)$ = 3.80 Å),[23] which might explain the observed large $^2J(PP)$ coupling between both atoms. It is important to note that polyphosphorus compounds featuring di-, tri- and tetra-coordinate P atoms in one molecule are very rare[24] and, to the best of our knowledge, **9**⁺ is the first example of a phosphane environment that bridges a phosphanide and a phosphonium moiety.

In summary, we have studied reactions of neutral diphospanide **6** with selected electrophiles (GaCl₃, MeOTf). They proceed either *via* stereoselective methylation yielding cationic diphosphane **8**⁺ or halide abstraction giving the remarkable cationic diphosphene **7**⁺. The latter features a sterically demanding aryl group and a cationic, π-electron accepting imidazoliumyl-substituent. The substitution pattern in **7**⁺ causes a significant polarization of the P=P double bond. This allows for its utilization as an acceptor towards chloride or PMe₃ as nucleophiles and the corresponding adducts **6** and **9**⁺ were obtained. The utilization of polarized P=P double bonded cations as Lewis acids is expected to provide new avenues in diphosphene chemistry, which is subject of ongoing studies in our laboratories.

References

1 P. Power, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 2939–2951; and references reported therein.
2 M. Yoshifuji, I. Shima, N. Inamoto, K. Hirotsu and T. Higuchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 4587–4589.
3 (*a*) Y. Wang and G. H. Robinson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 11815–11832; (*b*) M. H. Holthausen and J. J. Weigand, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6639–6657.
4 (*a*) Y. Wang, Y. Xie, P. Wei, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14970–14971; (*b*) F. D. Henne, E.-M. Schnöckelborg, K.-O. Feldmann, J. Grunenberg, R. Wolf and J. J. Weigand, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 6674–6680; for related species (CAAC)PP(CAAC) (CAAC = cyclic alkyl amino carbene) see: O. Back, G. Kuchenbeiser, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 5530–5533.
5 (*a*) Y. Wang, Y. Xie, M. Y. Abraham, P. Wei, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 9224–9226; (*b*) Y. Wang, Y. Xie, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 19139–19142.
6 O. Back, B. Donnadieu, P. Parameswaran, G. Frenking and G. Bertrand, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 369–373.
7 (*a*) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7052–7055; (*b*) J. D. Masuda, W. W. Schoeller, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 14180–14181; (*c*) D. Holschumacher, T. Bannenberg, K. Ibrom, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones and M. Tamm, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 10590–10592.
8 M. H. Holthausen, S. K. Surmiak, P. Jerabek, G. Frenking and J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 11078–11082.
9 Grützmacher and co-workers reported on a similar derivative of **4**⁺. M. Tondreau, Z. Benkö, J. R. Harmer and H-J. Grützmacher, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 1545–1554.
10 A. Beil, R. J. Gillard Jr. and H.-J. Grützmacher, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/c5dt03014e.
11 M. Sanchez, V. Romanenko, M.-R. Mazieres, A. Gudima and L. Markowski, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 2775–2778.
12 L. Weber, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 1839–1905.
13 L. N. Markovski, V. D. Romanenko, E. O. Klebanski and S. V. Iksanova, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1985, **55**, 1867–1874.
14 T. Busch, W. W. Schoeller, E. Niecke, M. Nieger and H. Westermann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 4334–4340.
15 A. A. M. Ali and R. K. Harris, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1983, 583–587.
16 J.-P. Albrand, J.-B. Robert and H. Goldwhite, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1976, **17**, 949–952.
17 A. J. Arduengo III, J. C. Calabrese, A. H. Cowley, H. V. Rasika Dias, J. R. Goerlich, W. J. Marshall and B. Riegel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 2151–2158.
18 K. F. Tebbe, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1980, **468**, 202–212.
19 (*a*) M. Nieger, E. Niecke, J. Tirree, Private Communication, 2002, CCDC 178050; (*b*) L. Heuer, D. Schomburg and R. Schmutzler, *Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 1989, **45**, 217–222.
20 L. Weber, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, 2425–2441.
21 N. Burford, P. J. Ragogna, R. McDonald and M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 14404–14410.
22 A. Schmidtpeter, S. Lochschmidt, K. Karaghiosoff and W. S. Sheldrick, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1985, 1447–1448.
23 A. F. Hollemann, E. Wiberg and N. Wiberg, *Lehrbuch der Anorganischen Chemie*, ed. W. d Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 102nd edn, 2007, appendix IV4.
24 K.-O. Feldmann and J. J. Weigand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 7545–7549.