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MAX8 β-hairpin peptide hydrogel is a solid, preformed gel that can be syringe injected due to shear-thinning properties and can recover solid gel properties immediately after injection. This behavior makes the hydrogel an excellent candidate as a local drug delivery vehicle. In this study, vincristine, a hydrophobic and commonly used chemotherapeutic, is encapsulated within MAX8 hydrogel and shown to release constantly over the course of one month. Vincristine was observed to be cytotoxic in vitro at picomolar to nanomolar concentrations. The amounts of drug released from the hydrogels over the entire time-course were in this concentration range. After encapsulation, release of vincristine from the hydrogel was observed for four weeks. Further characterization showed the vincristine released during the 28 days remained biologically active, well beyond its half-life in bulk aqueous solution. This study shows that vincristine-loaded MAX8 hydrogels are excellent candidates as drug delivery vehicles, through sustained, low, local and effective release of vincristine to a specific target. Oscillatory rheology was employed to show that the shear-thinning and re-healing, injectable-solid properties that make MAX8 a desirable drug delivery vehicle are unaffected by vincristine encapsulation. Rheology measurements also were used to monitor hydrogel nanostructure before and after drug encapsulation.

Introduction

A current strategy for chemotherapeutic delivery vehicles is to use injectable delivery vehicles that can directly deliver chemotherapeutics or other drug therapies. Injectable vehicles include nanoparticles, polymer gels, or micelles all loaded with chemotherapeutics. Many of these vehicles are surface modified or functionalized with ligands or protein sequences for better targeting. Presently, there are two types of injectable vehicles, those introduced intravenously and those introduced through site-specific local delivery. Intravenous delivery typically introduces a particle into the body, with modifiable targeting, drug encapsulation, and drug release methods. While useful for broad targets easily reached by the blood stream, in some cases the vehicles coalesce in the kidney or liver permanently. Site-specific, local delivery vehicles can be useful, reducing healthy tissue exposure to possibly toxic drugs. Once administered, the drug-encapsulated vehicles can continuously administer active drugs through controlled.

One family of drug delivery vehicles with potential for effective and sustained release is the hydrogel. Hydrogels are water-based three-dimensional solid networks composed of polymer chains. One use of hydrogels are as platforms for local, injectable applications, with the capability to encapsulate and distribute a wide range of materials such as drugs, large proteins, and even cells. After injection for deposition, the hydrogel could continue to release chemotherapeutics while remaining in the desired location for a prolonged, desired period of time, reducing the need for more surgeries and invasive procedures. Ideally, hydrogels also possess shear-thinning and self-healing capabilities that allow for more specific injectable locations and fewer needs for additional surgeries for continuous care, carrying fewer risks for complications. The Pochan and Schneider groups have investigated extensively various β-hairpin forming peptide hydrogels that are able to intermolecularly self-assemble into nanofibrillar, physical hydrogels as a result of an intramolecular folding response. These β-hairpin peptide hydrogels display injectable-solid properties; solid hydrogels that exhibit shear-thinning flow during syringe injection but also exhibit immediate solid recovery after cessation of shear. In addition, the hydrogel material properties such as gelation...
time, stiffness, and network mesh size are tunable via molecule design as well as solution conditions that control the intermolecular self-assembly into a hydrogel network.

Currently, many injectable hydrogels are designed as precursors, low viscosity solutions ex vivo that then assemble in vivo when exposed to environmental triggers such as temperature, ions, pH, or ultraviolet (UV) radiation. External triggers such as UV radiation may damage nearby tissue, whereas introduction of non-physiological materials like iron oxide may lead to long-term effects that greatly influence the body. The injectable solid hydrogel properties allow solid gel formation within a syringe, after which injection and deposition can occur without the need for further external interactions. From this family of β-hairpin peptides, MAX8 is a model candidate as a payload delivery vehicle. MAX8 has been studied for in vitro and in vivo studies because it self-assembles at physiological conditions and can successfully encapsulate many different types of payloads. Previous studies using MAX8 have shown successful, homogenous encapsulation of various particles, drugs, and cell lines. Branco et al. encapsulated dextran probes of neutral charge and varying sizes to better understand MAX8 network characteristics. The probes revealed the average pore sizes of the overall networks through their diffusion profiles from the hydrogel. Yan et al. encapsulated mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) to better understand effects of shear on the overall hydrogel system. Smaller molecules have also been studied. For example, MAX8 hydrogels have been utilized to encapsulate curcumin, a hydrophobic chemotherapeutic agent. Curcumin is a natural compound derived from the Indian spice turmeric and degrades after 8 hours in water. Altunbas et al. successfully encapsulated and released curcumin from MAX8. Despite the high water content of the MAX8 hydrogel, the continuously-released curcumin remained active and effective after 14 days of encapsulation.

Vincristine, the target drug, is a long accepted, intravenously delivered, and commonly used clinical chemotherapeutic. Vincristine alone, or in a combination, is usually administered to treat many types of cancers, including lymphoma (Hodgkin’s and Non-Hodgkin’s), leukemia, glioma, embryoma, lung cancer, and neuroblastoma. Vincristine disrupts cell division by binding to tubulin, poisoning the tubulin heterodimer, then incorporating itself into microtubule bundles to prevent further growth. However, the effectiveness of vincristine also leads to many adverse side effects such as organ toxicity, nausea/vomiting, and hair loss. Vincristine is unable to differentiate healthy cells from cancerous cells and will target any dividing cell indiscriminately. Several rounds of treatments are required in order to provide constant exposure of the cancerous cells to vincristine. Naturally, this prolonged exposure to the drug leads to an increase in detrimental side effects in patients.

In this work, vincristine is encapsulated within MAX8 hydrogel to show that the drug-hydrogel construct is a promising candidate as a site specific local delivery vehicle, with the potential to minimize overall invasiveness and damage to healthy tissue through the local, continuous release of the chemotherapeutic from the hydrogel. Importantly, the hydrogel provides a protective environment for the hydrophobic drug in the deposited area, so that released drug continues to be effective at killing cancer cells at month-long time scales. We first demonstrate, using oscillatory rheometry, that the presence of vincristine within the MAX8 network does not alter the general viscoelastic properties, and specific shear-thinning and self-healing properties, that make it attractive as a drug delivery vehicle. In addition, small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) measurements find that the structure of the MAX8 network (e.g., fibrillar character, porous network) is not altered significantly by the presence of vincristine and that the drug appears to be closely associated with the fibrillar nanostructure and not relegated to separate domains of drug within the fibrillar network. Vincristine release from the hydrogel was quantified using tritium-labeled vincristine, and release profiles confirm that vincristine is released continuously from the material for up to 28 days from encapsulation. Furthermore, in vitro studies demonstrate that vincristine remains biologically active after 28 days – over 20 times longer than its half-life in bulk water. The present work shows that in contrast to the current intravenous vincristine delivery method vincristine-loaded MAX8 hydrogels provide sustained, low, but effective release to a specific target and may be excellent candidates as drug delivery vehicles that exhibit minimal side effects and damage to healthy tissue.

Results and discussion

MAX8 hydrogel rheology and structure
The injectable solid properties of MAX8, or shear thinning and immediate solidification, make the material a desirable injection delivery vehicle. To ensure that the hydrogel retains these properties with drug included, the storage ($G'$) and loss ($G''$) moduli of the system were measured with a frequency sweep for 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel with or without 500 µM vincristine. The storage and loss moduli characterize the elastic and viscous behavior of the material. As shown in Fig. 1a, there is a negligible difference between $G'$ and $G''$ with and without vincristine for the MAX8 hydrogel showing that the presence of the drug does not alter the material properties of the hydrogel. Moreover, these data show that once deposited, the drug-gel construct will retain all the desirable gel physical properties of MAX8.

Previous studies have shown that when a constant shear force is applied on the hydrogel, the material flows with properties of a low viscosity material. Once shear forces cease, the hydrogel has been shown to immediately recover solid gel properties, reaching pre-shear peak $G'$ and $G''$ values quickly after shearing. Fig. 1b demonstrates the same shear-thinning and re-healing properties of MAX8 with 500 µM of vincristine encapsulated. Thus, after gelation the drug-loaded hydrogel flows easily when sheared and recovers original properties of the presheared gel after shear cessation. This ability is critical
for delivery applications, allowing the hydrogel to be injected into a specific site and trusted to recover to a gel state with known properties and to stay in place at the injection site.

In order to better understand all of the drug-hydrogel construct properties, it is key to characterize where the drug molecule sits within the network. The rheology seen in Fig. 1 shows no change in hydrogel behavior with or without drug loading, indicating that the drug is not affecting the overall hydrogel network itself. However, the rheology data does not help determine specifically the location of the vincristine within the network. Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) was performed to determine whether vincristine alters the structure of the fibrillar nanostructure and where the drug is located within the nanostructure of the network. Fig. 2 shows the scattering profile of 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel with 500 µM vincristine (shaded squares) and without (open squares). The I(q) versus q measurement determines sample structure, giving information in the length scale of nanometers to hundreds of nanometers.

The presence of the vincristine does not alter significantly the overall shape and intensity, implying that the hydrogel structure is practically identical in both cases. The SANS results reveal that when encapsulated, there are only two ways the drug could be incorporated into the overall hydrogel network: (A) either in aggregated vincristine clusters with as little exposure to the surrounding aqueous environment or (B) intimately associated along the fibrils throughout the network. Vincristine domains would both scatter as individual particles of polydisperse size and shape due to the large hydrogen content within the drug molecules as well as most likely displaying interparticle correlations due to their presence throughout the gel network. Both of these effects would increase significantly intensity at both low and mid-q. The lack of a significant difference in overall curve shape for low and mid q scattering, in both intensity and slope, confirms that there are no size differences in the morphology of the hydrogel networks with or without vincristine. While not significant enough to change the curve shape, there is a definite, albeit slight, increase in intensity within the mid-q range, associated with the nano fibrillar characteristics of the overall hydrogel, most likely comes from the increase in contrast between the hydrogel nanofibrils and the deuterated buffer solvent. This difference in intensity due to a higher contrast suggests, like the cartoon, that the vincristine is organized along the fibrils of the network. If the vincristine were able to incorporate significantly into the core of the nanofibrils, there would most likely be a distortion in fibril width and an increase in fibril branching leading to a large difference in gel stiffness as well as fibril nanostructure. The rheology shown in Fig. 1 shows that the storage moduli are the same with or without drug, indicating no fibrillar disruption or gel network differences, suggesting that the vincristine is not within the fibrils.

Fig. 1 Triangles correspond to G’ (storage modulus), and squares correspond to G” (loss modulus). (a) A frequency sweep from 0.1–100 rad s$^{-1}$ with 0.2% strain was run for 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogels with 500 µM vincristine (filled symbols) and without vincristine (open symbols). No difference is observed in the viscoelastic properties of the hydrogel with and without vincristine encapsulated. (b) A time sweep at a frequency of 6 rad s$^{-1}$ with a 0.2% strain was run on a 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel with 500 µM vincristine encapsulated. Early time shows the initial gelation within 10 minutes. A constant shear at a steady-state shear of 1000 s$^{-1}$ is applied for 30 seconds at 90 minutes. As soon as the large shear ceases, the time sweep data shows the hydrogel immediately as a solid material and quickly recovering original gel properties.

Fig. 2 Small-angle neutron scattering from 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogels with 500 µM vincristine (yellow) and without (blue) as a function of scattering variable q. Both lines have a similar overall shape and slope throughout the measured q range, implying that the presence of vincristine does not alter the structure of the MAX8 gel or the intramolecular folding of individual MAX8 chains. The cartoon inset shows the possible drug-gel configurations, (A) green fibrils indicating the yellow vincristine bound to the blue MAX8 fibrils, (b) domains of yellow vincristine mostly at the branch and entanglement points, or (c) yellow vincristine evenly scattered throughout the MAX8 network.
Another way of confirming the presence of fibrils, is to measure the slope in the mid-q range of a SANS scattering measurement. A slope around –1 in this range is indicative of nanofibrillar structure. In this case, as seen in Fig. 2, the slope was measured in the q-range of 0.015 to 0.05. For hydrogels with and without 500 µM of vincristine the slope was 0.922 and 0.948 respectively, both close to one, indicating preservation of nanofibrillar structure. TEM images included in the ESI† do not clearly show the location or presence of vincristine within the MAX8 fibrils, but do show the fibril width for the samples with and without vincristine are not different. Showing that the presence of vincristine does not interrupt or alter the structure of vincristine itself, important for the preservation of MAX8's shear-thinning properties.

Most likely, the vincristine evenly incorporates itself around the outside of the fibrils, perhaps buried within the hydrophobic lysine side chains. A third possibility of vincristine freely moving throughout the entire network is discounted because of the lack of change in intensity of the scattering. Were the vincristine unassociated with the fibrillar network and freely soluble in the buffer background, the intensity of the hydrogel-drug sample curve would be less than the pure hydrogel at low and mid q due to the presence of the hydrogenated drug compounds floating freely in solution and lowering the contrast between the peptide fibrils and the deuterated solvent. The fact that the intensity goes up slightly in the drug-containing hydrogel signifies a slight increase in contrast due to the association of the drug compound along the length of the hydrogel fibril nanostructure. A more in-depth SANS experiment is needed for a longer period of release to better understand the nanostructure of the network with drug release for a prolonged period of time.

**In vitro study**

In order to show MAX8 would be an effective delivery vehicle, releasing vincristine to induce cell death, a series of *in vitro* studies were performed. The immortalized DAOY cell line was chosen as an acceptable model for medullablastoma. In order to show the IC₅₀ value, the concentration of vincristine directly applied for treatment was in the micromolar range. These micromolar concentrations agreed with previous *in vitro* studies, consistent with the potency of vincristine. In order to measure the IC₅₀ for cells being treated either directly with vincristine, or by vincristine released from a MAX8 gel, a series of decreasing concentrations for both directly applied and hydrogel-released vincristine were prepared. An LDH assay was performed for both models to find the IC₅₀ as presented in Fig. 3. Fig. 3a and b both show that cell death increases as the concentration of vincristine increases. For direct treatment, the IC₅₀ was determined to be between 5 nM and 25 nM, after showing a clear trend of cell death with increasing drug concentration of treatment. For the encapsulated vincristine the IC₅₀ is reached when 8 nM of vincristine is encapsulated into a hydrogel and then exposed to cells. It should be noted that the released drug concentration for the direct applied treatment are extremely low.

**Fig. 3** LDH assays showing percent cell death of DAOY cells (a) after direct treatment with vincristine and (b) vincristine encapsulated and released from a 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel. All measurements are taken after 2 days of cell incubation with each listed concentration. * indicates significance (p < 0.05). Optical micrographs of DAOY cells treated with (c) 0 nM (d) 1.6 nM (e) 8 nM and (f) 40 nM of vincristine released from a MAX8 hydrogel. Live cells appear elongated and transparent whereas dead cells are rounded and opaque. The scale bar is 200 µm.

Determining the IC₅₀ concentrations was important in ensuring that vincristine encapsulated in MAX8 would still induce cell death, and drug concentration affected cell death percentage. When beginning the *in vitro* experiments, 500 µM was first attempted. This first concentration was chosen since it is the highest concentration that could be encapsulated due to the limited solubility in aqueous solution of hydrophobic vincristine. But the potency of vincristine quickly showed that micromolar was too high of a concentration, killing cell populations completely. But the result clearly shows that the concentrations of drug required for original encapsulation prior to release can be very low and still effective/useful for local delivery. These low values demonstrate that lower vincristine doses are still effective and would minimize the amount of undesirable side effects and healthy cell death during local delivery. Fig. 3c–f shows light microscope images of the cells treated with the corresponding concentrations of vincristine encapsulated in the hydrogel to confirm the presence of the drug is responsible for cell death. The 0 nM sample consisted of pure MAX8 hydrogel without any vincristine. The presence of the MAX8 does not result in significant cell death, indicating any cell death with vincristine is a result of the drug, while at 40 nM, the cells are almost all round and opaque, showing clear signs of cell death.

**Vincristine release and sustained drug potency**

A month long time release of vincristine from a 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel containing 10 µM tritiated vincristine encapsulated in 0.5 wt% is shown in Fig. 4. The time points are of concentrations measured at days 1 (accumulated from
Two encapsulated drug concentrations were used to test the sustained drug potency. The first set up was for 10 µM concentration of encapsulated vincristine. This concentration was chosen to match the concentration that was used for the release study in Fig. 4. The second set up considered a higher concentration of 500 µM to show a difference in drug release. A negative control of 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel without vincristine was run at the same time to establish that the released concentrations are non-zero at these long time points of release. In particular, note that after 28 days, approximately 2 nM concentration vincristine is still released from the gel.

In order to ensure the vincristine released from the hydrogel is still biologically active after prolonged hydrogel encapsulation we determined the efficacy of vincristine to induce cell death in DAOY cells at extended time points. The experimental set up mimicked the release study but with an additional interaction step with fresh DAOY cells after long time points of drug release. A negative control of 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel without vincristine was run at the same time to establish that the cells were dying from the presence of the drug and not the hydrogel or environment.

Two encapsulated drug concentrations were used to test the sustained drug potency. The first set up was for 10 µM concentration of encapsulated vincristine. This concentration was chosen to match the concentration that was used for the release study in Fig. 4. The second set up considered a higher concentration of 500 µM to show a difference in release amounts at the highest possible initial drug concentration due to the limited solubility of vincristine. Fig. 5 shows a clear increase in cell death for the higher vincristine concentration, confirming that the cell death is a result of the encapsulated vincristine. At first glance, it may seem contrary that the encapsulated vincristine experiment in Fig. 3b showed higher percentage cell death at 8 nM and 40 nM, both lower than 10 µM, than in the efficacy study in Fig. 5. However, the experiment setups for the two are greatly different. The cell death measured for time 0 in Fig. 5 is after only an hour of cell exposure to the drug-gel construct, as opposed to Fig. 3, where the cells were exposed for two days, until the LDH assay was performed. These two days meant that there was an accumulation of released drug within the wells. The later release and efficacy studies were modified to simulate a more realistic environment, closer to an infinite sink.

In Fig. 5, for both concentrations, cell death is significantly greater in vincristine encapsulated MAX8 than hydrogels without vincristine, even after a month of continuous release in an aqueous environment. The concentration of 2.04 nM ± 0.31 nM released after 28 previous days of release for the 10 µM vincristine encapsulated hydrogel should be sufficient to kill almost half the population of cells according to Fig. 3a containing direct treatment data. However, as seen in Fig. 5, the cells dying due to the presence of vincristine is to a lower extent than predicted by Fig. 3a, implying the vincristine is slightly less effective after 28 days of being encapsulated inside the hydrogel. This indicates that there is a percentage of vincristine that deteriorates in the aqueous environment, but, more importantly, that there is also a significant percentage of vincristine that remains effective after 28 days and significant previous release. Fig. 5 shows that the percentage of effective vincristine also increases with increased initial encapsulated drug concentration.

Previous studies of vincristine have shown that very low amounts of vincristine are extremely effective. Tsuruo et al. showed IC_{50} values of less than 2 nM for direct treatment of leukemia cells. However, much higher concentrations, ranging from 1 µM to 100 µM, are used for intravenous treatments because of the poor target specificity of the drug. Vincristine has a bulk solution half life range of 164 minutes to 32 hours within the body due to its hydrophobicity and

**Fig. 4** The 28 day release profile of tritiated vincristine from a 0.5 wt% MAX8 peptide hydrogel that initially encapsulated 10 µM vincristine. After 28 days of release, the amount of drug being released was still in nanomolar quantities, as seen in the inset which highlights days 14 through 28 of the release study.

**Fig. 5** To ensure efficacy of the drug released from they hydrogel, 0 µM (empty bars), 10 µM (lighter gray bars) and 500 µM (darker gray bars) of vincristine were encapsulated in 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogels. There is a noticeable difference in the effectiveness of the hydrophobic drug on cells despite encapsulation in an aqueous. DAOY cell death was measured using LDH assays after cells were exposed to the drug-gel constructs in the listed days of release. Day 0 cells were exposed for an hour to drug-gel transwells, then measured two days later. * indicates noted concentrations are significantly different (p < 0.05).
functionality. While in aqueous solution, vincristine has a half-life of 136 hours, this is at its most stable in a pH range of 3.5 to 5.6, much lower than physiological pH. These studies have shown these cytotoxic effectiveness of released drug has been protected by the MAX8 hydrogel for longer than what has been measured in the body. In usage, once injected, the vincristine-loaded hydrogel can be relied on to continuously release low but effective concentrations of vincristine to the intended site to treat cancers and other diseases. The SANS data of Fig. 2 looks at overall structure and vincristine location to help in understanding the mechanics of the encapsulation and ultimately, the release from the hydrogel. The efficacy study suggests the vincristine’s location within the fibrils as suggested by Fig. 2 is important to its protection from an aqueous environment. There is clearly some delay of drug exposure to a degrading environment, shielding the vincristine from its surroundings to achieve the high half-life, similar to pro-drugs or time-release drugs.

Attempts to prolong hydrophobic drug half-life in aqueous environments do so by isolating the drug from the environment in a separate hydrophobic area through encapsulation. The difference with the MAX8 hydrogel is that there is no distinctly hydrophobic cavity that would offer overall obvious protection. The SANS data of Fig. 2 shows that with or without vincristine there are no major differences in nanofibril or overall network characteristics. As mentioned earlier, the vincristine is mostly likely shielded by the lysine side chains, or overall network characteristics. As mentioned earlier, the vincristine-loaded hydrogel can be relied on to continuously release vincristine from the 0.5 wt% hydrogel further support the use of the drug-hydrogel construct for local and targeted drug delivery to a tumor environment while decreasing the exposure and effects on healthy tissue.

**Experimental**

**Materials and methods**

**MAX8 peptide.** A detailed MAX8 β-hairpin peptide synthesis and purification description has been described previously. A more detailed protocol about the addition of each amino acid is in the ESI.† The mass spectroscopy showing the purity of the MAX8 used can also be found in the ESI.† To prepare a peptide hydrogel, MAX8 was first dissolved in 4 °C deionized (DI) water. Separately, an equal volume of approximately 37 °C DMEM containing 50 mM HEPES salt, with an overall pH of 7.4, was prepared and then added to the MAX8 solution. Mixing the MAX8 solution with the buffer solution triggers intramolecular folding of the peptides and subsequent self-assembly into a hydrogel. Note that the presence of phenol red in DMEM may interfere with fluorescence measurements and, therefore, was omitted. Vincristine payloads were mixed with the DMEM before being added to MAX8/DI water solution. For encapsulation of vincristine, twice the final drug concentration desired was dissolved in culture medium before being added to the MAX8/DI water solution. For example, 100 µL of 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel with 500 µM encapsulated vincristine was prepared by dissolving 0.5 mg MAX8 peptide in 50 µL of DI water and added to 50 µL of culture medium containing 1 mM of vincristine.

**Rheometry.** Oscillatory rheology measurements were performed on a TA Instruments AR2000 stress-controlled rheometer with 20 mm-diameter acrylic, cross-hatched, parallel plate geometry. The parallel plate geometry was then lowered to a desired gap height of 0.5 mm. Mineral oil was placed around the edge of the plate to prevent sample drying. 400 µL of each sample was prepared as described in the MAX8 methods section, combining 200 µL of peptide dissolved in DI water with 200 µL of desired vincristine concentration in DMEM. The samples were loaded immediately onto the rheometer and data collection was initiated. The rheometer maintained a constant temperature of 37 °C through all sample loading and time or frequency sweeps. For dynamic frequency sweep measurements, 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogels were prepared with or without 500 µM of vincristine encapsulated. To investigate gel stiffness, a frequency sweep of 0.1–100 rad s⁻¹ with 0.2% strain was performed, measuring the storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli.

500 µM vincristine encapsulated in 0.5 wt% MAX8 was prepared for the shear-thinning experiment. The shear-thinning experiment was subjected to a time sweep at a frequency of 6 rad s⁻¹ with 0.2% strain as the hydrogel assembled after mixing. Next, the hydrogel was subjected to a steady-state shear at 1000 s⁻¹ for 30 seconds. After 30 seconds, the rheometer returned to a dynamic sweep oscillatory measurement, and the hydrogel was monitored for 90 minutes.

**In vitro cell death studies.** Vincristine sulfate was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) without phenol red, fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin-streptomycin was purchased from Corning Cellgro. DAOY cells, an immortalized human medulloblastoma cell line, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and cultured in DMEM cell culture medium with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and glucose added. The cells were incubated in a 5% CO₂, humidified chamber at a constant temperature of 37 °C. Cells were grown in 24 well plates (Corning). Transwell inserts for release and efficacy studies contain a 0.4 µm mesh (Corning). Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assays for cell vitality were obtained from Promega. DAOY cells in culture was compared to the vincristine that was prepared by dissolving 0.5 mg MAX8 peptide in 50 µL of DI water and added to 50 µL of culture medium containing 1 mM of vincristine.
2 mL of DMEM to remove unencapsulated vincristine. The transwell inserts were left in the wash for 20 minutes before being added subsequently to the DAOY cell plates. For experiments with direct treatment of vincristine, 100 µL of vincristine at the desired concentration was added directly into wells with 2 mL of DMEM and plated DAOY cells. Each measurement was measured three times and averaged. The direct treatment cell wells had 8 pM, 40 pM, and 200 pM vincristine concentrations directly in contact with the cultured cells.

To measure cell death, released LDH from dead cells was isolated through centrifugation from the supernatant medium of the cells at desired time points. To measure LDH within live cells, the cells were lysed and crushed after freeze–thawing. Cytotoxicity was then determined on the basis of the ratio of LDH released into the medium to the sum of medium LDH and viable cell LDH.

**Release studies.** Tritium (³H) labeled vincristine sulphate (activity = 15 Ci) was purchased from American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc. Cytoscient scintillation cocktail, a universal liquid scintillation counter cocktail, was obtained from Fisher Scientific Inc. For release studies, three samples of 100 µL of 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogel with 10 µM encapsulated tritiated vincristine were prepared. Each hydrogel was deposited into a transwell, and, as with the *in vitro* studies, the hydrogels were set aside to allow for complete healing after pipetting. Next, the hydrogels were washed for 20 minutes in 2 mL of DMEM to remove any unencapsulated vincristine. After the wash, the transwell insert was left undisturbed in a 2 mL well for one hour. For release measurements the insert was moved to a new well once per hour for the first 6 hours then moved again to a new well of fresh medium at 24 hours. The short exposure time for the first few time points ensured accurate measurement of the relatively high drug concentrations released at early time points because of the concentration limitation of the liquid scintillation counter (LSC, Beckman Coulter LS6500). Due to LSC counter sensitivity, concentrations greater than 1 nM were unable to be measured because of over counting by the detector, causing the concentration limitation. After the first 24 hours, the insert was then moved to a new well of fresh medium on day 3, 7, 10, 14, 17, 21, 24, and 28. To measure the release of vincristine into each well, three 100 µL aliquots were removed from the supernatant in each well and measured by scintillation counting. Each 100 µL of supernatant was added to 3 mL of scintillation fluid and counted for 5 min on the LSC. Points measured are averages of nine total measurements, three from each sample for three different samples, with uncertainty measured as standard deviation.

To correlate scintillation counts with vincristine concentration, a calibration curve was created for each day of measurements at five known concentrations of 10 pM, 100 pM, 1 nM, 10 nM, and 100 nM. 100 µL of each known concentrations was added to 3 mL of scintillation fluid and measured for 5 minutes on the LSC. The calibration was performed separately for each day of measurement in order to account for fluctuations in sample radioactivity and background radiation.

**Sustained drug potency.** To best measure vincristine’s efficacy in inducing cell death after release from MAX8 encapsulation, a sustained drug potency study was designed to match the conditions of the release study and to observe cytotoxicity effects of drug concentrations observed from hydrogel release. DAOY cells were cultured in 24-well plates as with the *in vitro* studies described above. Three concentrations of vincristine were utilized for the efficacy study in three sets each of 100 µL of 0.5 wt% MAX8 hydrogels: 0 µM, 10 µM, and 500 µM. 10 µM matched the concentration used for the release study and 500 µM is the highest possible concentration that can be encapsulated due to solubility. After mixing the 50 µL of DMEM with the 50 µL of MAX8 solution, the entire drug-gel construct was pipetted into a transwell insert, allowed to completely hydrogelation for 20 minutes and then placed in a wash of 2 mL fresh medium for 20 minutes, same as the release study setup. In order to match the release study’s time course setup, the insert was moved into a new well of 2 mL fresh medium at the same time intervals (once per hour for the first 6 hours, at 24 hours, then days 3, 7, 10, 14, 17, 21, 24, 28). Instead of measuring the vincristine’s potency at every well change during the time course, the potency was measured at weekly intervals. To measure the potency at the end of each week, wells for days 3 to 7, 10 to 14, 17 to 21, and 24 to 28 were plated with DAOY cells. Additionally, to measure initial release efficacy, the well used for the first hour of drug release had plated DAOY cells. Using an LDH assay from Promega and used according to manufacturer instructions, cell deaths were measured in the newly vacated wells containing DAOY cells having been exposed to vincristine release over desired time intervals, for all time points except for those exposed in the first hour of release. The first set of treated cells were incubated for 2 days after the initial hour of treatment so that a full cell cycle occurred, allowing for full drug effects, and then measured with an LDH assay. Points measured are averages of across the three samples at each condition with uncertainty measured as standard deviation.

**Small angle neutron scattering (SANS).** Small-angle neutron scattering was performed at the NIST Center for Neutron Research (Gaithersburg, MD) on the NG3 30 m SANS instrument. All analysis of SANS data were performed using IGOR Pro with the SANS and USANS macros package provided by NIST Center of Neutron Research. ⁷⁶ Two MAX8 hydrogels were prepared (400 µL of 0.5 wt% MAX8) as previously described. One sample contained 500 µM vincristine while the other contained only MAX8. Scattered neutron intensity I(q) was measured as a function of scattering vector q = (4π/λ) sin(θ/2), where λ = 6 Å is the neutron wavelength and θ is the scattering angle. Sample-to-detector distances of 1 m, 4 m, and 13 m were used to cover a q range of 0.004 to 0.5 Å⁻¹. The scattering data were corrected for the presence of background radiation, electronic noise, and scattering from the sample cell using standard methods ⁷⁶ ⁷¹ ⁷²

**Statistical analysis.** Data for all LDH assays are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Data was obtained across 3 separate samples. Statistical significance was determined using
Conclusions

Vincristine, a hydrophobic chemotherapeutic, was successfully encapsulated and subsequently released from the shear-thinning, re-healing peptidic hydrogel MAX8. The release of vincristine is shown to be continuous over the course of a month, with the released drug remaining effective at kill cancer cell populations. SANS and rheology were used to characterize vincristine’s interactions with MAX8, and to better understand where the vincristine is positioned in relation to the hydrogel. The vincristine does not disrupt the fibrillar nature of MAX8 or its physically cross-linked properties, insuring the drug-gel construct is an ideal injectable, delivery vehicle.

While direct treatment of cells is prudent in an in vitro setting, during actual cancer treatment other non-cancer cells present in the environment should not be exposed to chemotherapy compounds such as vincristine. Current methods of treating cancers with vincristine lead to negative side effects due to the large, systemic dosages required and healthy tissue exposed. These large dosages are needed because of the lack of specific drug targeting. In order to better treat specific regions of the body, such as the site of a newly resected tumor, a specific, local delivery with an injectable solid delivery system using a shear-thinning hydrogel is a viable strategy. This deposition of chemotherapeutic would minimize the need for repeated treatments or intrusions.

In practice, the sustained release will allow a targeted area to receive treatment continuously over long time periods that will alleviate problems seen in multiple, frequent chemotherapy treatments that are used for systemic treatment today. These multiple treatments expose healthy tissue to vincristine, leading to negative side effects. The shear thinning and immediate re-healing properties of MAX8 hydrogel allows the deposition of the drug-loaded, solid hydrogel directly to a desired injection site. Additionally, the injection would be ideal for post-operative treatment after tumor removal surgeries by depositing the drug-gel construct into the cancer’s previous location. The low dosage and continuous release of the vincristine can target any cancerous cells that may not have been resected as well as preventing the return of any cancer in that area.
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