

Analytical Methods

www.rsc.org/methods



ISSN 1759-9660



ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

CRITICAL REVIEW

Peter Vandenabeele *et al.*

Methodological evolutions of Raman spectroscopy in art and archaeology

175 YEARS

CrossMark
click for updatesCite this: *Anal. Methods*, 2016, 8, 8395

Methodological evolutions of Raman spectroscopy in art and archaeology

Danilo Bersani,^a Claudia Conti,^b Pavel Matousek,^c Federica Pozzi^d
and Peter Vandenabeele^{*e}

During the last decades, Raman spectroscopy has grown from research laboratories to a well-established approach that is increasingly often used in archaeometry and conservation science. When looking at these research fields, some novel trends can be detected and therefore we would like to review the recent literature on the technical aspects and new evolutions of Raman spectroscopy applied to art analysis. This article reviews Raman instrumentation, with a special focus on the use of mobile and portable instruments, recent developments in the field of surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS), and the introduction of spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS) in the field of art and archaeology.

Received 18th August 2016

Accepted 5th October 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ay02327d

www.rsc.org/methods

1. Introduction: Raman spectroscopy in art and archaeology

During the last decades, Raman spectroscopy has grown from research laboratories to a well-established approach that is increasingly often used in archaeometry and conservation science.^{1–5} The technique is well-appreciated for its non-destructive character, its small spectral footprint and its ability to record molecular spectra of inorganic as well as organic materials. In general, Raman spectra are typically less complex and have narrower bands compared to infrared spectra.^{6–8}

Although the Raman effect was discovered in the first half of the 20th century, it is only in the 1980's and 1990's that the technique became increasingly accessible for art analysis. This is mainly the consequence of decades of technical improvements, such as the introduction of lasers and charge-coupled device (CCD) detectors, which allowed researchers to expand the range of possible applications. The coupling of spectrometers with microscope optics was an especially favourable evolution, enabling researchers to record Raman spectra of particles down to a diameter of 1 μm – the typical order of magnitude of pigment grains. Additional technical advances, including the introduction of fibre optics Raman spectroscopy, paved the way for new applications of the technique in the

cultural heritage field. Overtime, typical uses of Raman spectroscopy evolved from pigment identification^{9–12} (by comparison against a reference database) to more complex cases, such as the study of degradation¹³ and corrosion processes,^{14,15} the analysis of organic materials^{16,17}, complex microsamples,¹⁸ *etc.*

When observing this research field, some novel trends can be detected and therefore we would like to review the recent literature on the technical aspects and new evolutions of Raman spectroscopy applied to art analysis. This article will review Raman instrumentation, with a special focus on the use of mobile and portable instruments, recent developments in the field of surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS), and the introduction of spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS) in the field of art and archaeology.

2. Laboratory experiments

Benchtop micro-Raman systems, designated for laboratory use, are usually equipped with multiple lasers, but their advantages, with respect to mobile instruments, are not only limited to the choice of the excitation wavelength. These added benefits will be discussed in the following. First, the stable environment allows researchers to use high magnification microscope objectives with large numerical apertures. In addition to the improved sensitivity (due to the large collection angle), high magnification objectives facilitate high spatial resolution.^{19–21}

Laboratory micro-Raman spectrometers, thanks to their larger focal length, usually also reach a better spectral resolution than their mobile counterparts, *i.e.* 1–2 cm^{-1} for standard micro-Raman setups (depending on the wavelength used) and even less, a few tenths of cm^{-1} for long focal systems. A good spatial and spectral resolution is fundamental when discriminating between similar phases or when a precise Raman shift is required to obtain information on the composition, or on

^aDepartment of Physics and Earth Sciences, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze, 7/A, 43124 Parma, Italy

^bConsiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto per la Conservazione e la Valorizzazione dei Beni Culturali (ICVBC), Via Cozzi 53, 20125, Milano, Italy

^cCentral Laser Facility, Research Complex at Harwell, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Oxford, OX11 0QX, UK

^dDepartment of Scientific Research, Metropolitan Museum of Art, 1000 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10028, USA

^eGhent University, Department of Archaeology, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 35, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium. E-mail: Peter.Vandenabeele@UGent.be



temperature or pressure effects.^{22,23} As an example, in the study of ceramics, the precise knowledge of the position and width of the Raman bands of iron oxides is necessary to differentiate natural hematite from that obtained by heating goethite (α -FeOOH),^{24,25} to estimate the presence of Al in the hematite structure,²⁶ to evaluate purity, disorder and degree of crystallinity^{27,28} as well as finite-size effects in nano-crystalline hematite²⁹ and to relate them to raw materials' provenance and firing conditions. Also, in the study of modern pigments, such as azo dyes, a good spectral resolution is required to distinguish between similar spectra, very rich in fine bands.^{11,30}

Benchtop micro-Raman spectrometers can be easily coupled with automatised micrometre XY (or XYZ) positioning stages, useful to collect Raman maps.^{31,32} Lofrumento *et al.*³³ studied the distribution of feldspars in the ceramic body, and in particular around the body-coating boundary, by means of Raman imaging. In the study of the source of blue colour in blue enamels in Melfi castle (south of Italy), Caggiani *et al.* acquired maps of the S^{3-} Raman signal of haüyne at different temperatures.³⁴ Conti *et al.*^{35,36} proposed recently the use of SORS for studying opaque paint layers. More complex hyphenated techniques with interesting applications in art and archaeometry are also possible for benchtop Raman spectrometers. For instance, coupling with scanning electron microscopy/energy-dispersive X-ray (SEM/EDX) spectroscopy^{37,38} has shown great potential for simultaneous elemental and molecular analysis, while the combined use of Raman and atomic force microscopy (AFM) has been exploited for tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS) applications.³⁹

Many different combinations of laser sources^{40–48} are used in benchtop Raman systems, as reported in Table 1. Except when using large systems based on double or triple monochromators,⁴⁹ the rejection of spurious wavelengths in the laser beam is achieved using notch filters or low-pass filters that are specific to each laser line. The most common filters, appearing on the first commercial micro-Raman spectrometers, are the holographic notch filters, allowing researchers to obtain both Stokes and anti-Stokes bands, with a low-wavenumber cut-off at 70–100 cm^{-1} . The main problem is related to the aging of the notch filters, shifting and widening the rejection zone. Modern low-pass dielectric filters, sometimes called “edge” filters, are usually cheaper and more stable, with a low-wavenumber cut-off

down to 30–40 cm^{-1} from the excitation line. They typically allow obtaining only the Stokes part of the spectrum. Their main problem is the presence of a periodic modulation of their transmittance. This can cause evident ripples in presence of a fluorescence background, sometimes highly disturbing. In the last years, a new kind of volume Bragg filters appeared on the market. They are notch filters in a glass matrix, very stable, with a very low cut-off, very near to the laser line (5–15 cm^{-1}) allowing exploration of the low-wavenumber part of the Stokes and anti-Stokes spectra. Volume Bragg filters are more expensive than standard notch filters and require a very good alignment of the system. They have also been used in some studies related to cultural heritage or analysis of minerals.^{50–54}

The main advantage of using different laser sources is the possibility to reduce the fluorescence background by the choice of laser excitation wavelength, as discussed later. In some cases, however, the detection of a characteristic fluorescence (or photoluminescence) emission while performing Raman measurements can be helpful in the characterization of the material.⁵⁵ For example, when studying white ceramic pigments, for instance, alumina can be easily detected by its typical photoluminescence doublet at 694–693 nm due to Cr^{3+} impurities, appearing at the Stokes Raman shifts of 1367–1389 cm^{-1} when exciting with the 632.8 nm line.⁵⁶ We would like to point out that the shifting of this photoluminescence doublet (when using a different excitation laser) is very often used to measure the pressure in high-pressure (HP) Raman studies performed in diamond anvil cells.⁵⁷

The fluorescence detected during the Raman measurements was also used to study the presence and the local environment of Cr^{3+} ions in emeralds^{58,59} and selected silicates in unusual ceramics from Norway.⁴² The use of a 473.1 nm laser line enabled detection of very sharp photoluminescence bands ascribed to Dy^{3+} , Er^{3+} and Eu^{3+} ions from baddeleyite inclusions in a natural ruby; this was crucial in identifying Madagascar as the provenance place of the gemstone.⁶⁰

The possibility to choose the laser line can allow enhancement of the Raman signal by several orders of magnitude, reaching the resonance condition when the wavelength of the incoming or scattered light is close to an electronic absorption band. Fig. 1a shows this effect, as it occurs with the mineral crocoite. Another typical example of this, well-known since 1970, is represented by carotenoids (Fig. 1b), giving rise to an intense Raman enhancement when excited in the green and blue range.^{61,62} The resonance enhancement in carotenoids is so strong (up to 10^5), when excited at 488 nm, that it is possible to perform fast resonant Raman imaging of carotenoid pigments distribution even without a spectrometer, just using a band-pass filter centred on the resonant $\text{C}=\text{C}$ mode at 1525 cm^{-1} .⁶³ Many other pigments show strong resonance effects too: chromate yellow and red pigments, as well as lazurite, display strong enhancements when using the typical He–Ne laser emission at 632.8 nm for excitation.^{64,65}

Resonance effects can be combined with the powerful enhancements offered by SERS (discussed later) obtaining the so-called SERRS (surface-enhanced resonance Raman spectroscopy) effect, allowing extremely high sensitivity and

Table 1 Laser sources used in Raman spectroscopy for art and archaeology. The most common wavelengths are presented in bold

Wavelength (nm)	Source
413.1	Ar^+ ions
457.9	Ar^+ ions
473.1	Doubled Nd:YAG
488	Ar^+ ions
514.5	Ar^+ ions
532	Doubled Nd:YAG
632.8	He–Ne
647.1	Kr^+ ions
780–785	GaAlAs diode
830	GaAlAs diode
1064	Nd:YAG






Fig. 1 Raman spectra of crocoite (a) and carotenoids in coral (b) recorded with different excitation wavelengths (473.1 and 632.8 nm), illustrating the resonance Raman effect.

enhancements up to 10^{14} times.⁶⁶ Efremov *et al.*⁶⁶ published a comprehensive review on resonance Raman spectroscopy that includes a few interesting examples of application of SERRS to the analysis of pigments and minerals.^{67–71} Colomban *et al.*⁴³ used four different laser lines to study pigments and coloured glazes of ancient ceramics in order to obtain Raman enhancement, through resonance effects, in Cr_2O_3 , SnO_2 , CoO , Sb_2O_5 and CuO . In a report published by Faurel *et al.*, the authors used four different laser lines to examine Cr-doped Sn-based pink pigments by means of resonance Raman spectroscopy.⁷²

When choosing a laser excitation wavelength, it is also important to consider that the intensity of the Raman scattering is proportional to the fourth power of the frequency of the incident light. This means that, using the same power for the incident light, the intensity of a Raman band at 1000 cm^{-1} measured with the 1064 nm line is only 3.4% of that measured at 488 nm.^{73,74}

The efficiency of the CCD detector should also be taken into account. A typical silicon CCD increases its efficiency from 400 nm to nearly 650 nm. Then the efficiency decreases, becoming zero at $\sim 1100\text{ nm}$. For that reason, in order to study hydrogen-related stretching modes as the OH stretching in water containing materials, a better choice would be the use of a short wavelength laser.^{32,58} When exciting with very long wavelengths (*e.g.* using 830 nm), the highest wavenumber part of the Stokes spectrum could be completely lost. It should be noted that Raman spectroscopy using a 1064 nm excitation wavelength is performed using a different type of detector, as discussed later on, and the above detector restrictions do not apply.

Another effect due to the change of excitation wavelength is the variation in the spectral resolution. When using the same spectrometer with the same configuration (in particular, the same grating and the same slit), increasing the excitation wavelength will result in better spectral resolution. Fig. 2 illustrates this effect, where the same sample of aragonite is recorded with two different instruments. In the case of excitation

with the 632.8 nm laser, where a higher spectral resolution is achieved, a doublet is observed.

To evaluate the effect of using different lasers on the Raman spectra, Burrafato *et al.*⁷⁵ assembled a spectral database of pigments, pure and with various binders, obtained at 532, 632.8 and 780 nm excitation. In a recent study, six different laser lines were used for the very challenging Raman identification of the copper resinate and verdigris pigments in works of art.⁴⁸ This work clearly shows that it is not possible to define *a priori* an optimum excitation source for a given class of materials. On the other hand, in a multi-laser Raman study of natural ochres, Froment *et al.* found that the most useful excitation for this class of pigments was 532 nm.⁷⁶ Pan *et al.* reported a detailed comparative Raman study aiming at optimizing the detection of walnut oil, used as a protective coating on Galician granite monuments,⁷⁷ using five different laser wavelengths, taking advantage from the higher efficiency of the shorter wavelengths used (488 and 532 nm).

The main strategy to reduce the fluorescence is the use of low-photon energy (long wavelength) laser excitation lines. Near infrared (785–1064 nm) lasers are often used to study fluorescent molecules, in particular organics or samples with a biological origin.^{74,78} However, even when using the 785 nm excitation, it is possible to find samples where the fluorescence background can completely overwhelm the Raman spectrum. In these cases, the best way to quench the fluorescence is by using the 1064 nm fundamental emission of the Nd:YAG laser. A high radiance is often employed to compensate for the lower Raman cross-section,⁷⁴ with the risk of undesired overheating of the sample.

The use of a 1064 nm laser line in the first Raman systems, however, required the adoption of the same interferometric technology used in Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometers, leading to the birth of FT-Raman systems. This is because the CCDs used as multichannel detectors in dispersive Raman systems are made of silicon, whose band-gap (1.1 eV) causes a complete loss in sensitivity for wavelengths higher






Fig. 2 Raman spectra of the same sample of aragonite, recorded with a different spectral resolution with 632.8 and 473.1 nm excitation wavelengths.

than 1100 nm. This made it impossible to detect the Raman Stokes spectrum at this wavelength; in rare cases, CCDs were used to collect anti-Stokes Raman spectra at 1064 nm excitation.⁷⁹ The use of a single channel detector made of germanium or other low-bandgap semiconductors in a dispersive spectrometer would require a very long time to collect a Raman spectrum. Despite some disadvantages (low scattering efficiency and consequently high acquisition times, sample heating, bulky apparatus, difficult coupling with microscopes), FT-Raman spectrometers have been largely used, up to the present days, in the cultural heritage field. Reported applications include the analysis of inks – historical (iron-gall)⁸⁰ and contemporary⁸¹ –, pigments from a Hindu statue⁸² and from an Augustinian friary,⁸³ a red pigment from a mediaeval corbel,⁸⁴ lacquerware pigments,⁸⁵ a dye–mineral composite similar to Maya blue,⁸⁶ the indigo dye,⁸⁷ native American rock art,⁸⁸ patinas in stone artefacts,⁸⁹ ancient Egyptian pigments,⁹⁰ and a painted Italian canvas dated to the 19th century.⁹¹ Comprehensive spectral databases of FT-Raman pigments have been published and widely used as a reference in identification studies.^{10,92}

The first attempts at realizing a multichannel dispersive Raman spectrometer incorporating a 1064 nm laser date back to 1986,⁷³ but only in the past few years, the development of multichannel indium gallium arsenide (InGaAs) detectors, along with their commercial availability at convenient prices, gave rise to a new generation of dispersive instruments, sometimes called dispersive near infrared (DNIR) Raman. The low bandgap of InGaAs detectors enables a good sensitivity up to 1650–1700 nm, with quantum efficiencies above 80%, much higher than that of germanium detectors^{93,94} that enabled detecting Raman signals up to 3400–3500 cm⁻¹. Current commercial dispersive spectrometers show a S/N ratio that is more than one hundred times larger than that of FT-Raman systems at the same excitation wavelength.

The advantages of a dispersive NIR Raman system compared to FT-Raman are: higher robustness and compactness, better coupling with fibre optics, faster analysis, low laser power requirements, higher spatial resolution, easier coupling with microscopes, allowing for use with a confocal geometry (not possible with FT-Raman systems), and the possible integration of multiple laser lines within the same spectrometer.

In addition to 1064 nm, some companies proposed 980 nm as the excitation wavelength for dispersive NIR Raman systems. A dispersive Raman spectrometer working at 1064 nm and equipped with an InGaAs linear array detector was used by Schmidt and Trentelman to obtain *in situ* Raman spectra to be used in the identification of different red colorants highly fluorescent under visible excitation.⁹⁵ The same experimental configuration was employed to compare normal Raman and SERS spectra obtained from the Cape Jasmine dye using dispersive Raman systems at visible and NIR excitation.⁹⁶

3. Mobile instrumentation and *in situ* analysis

In the past, new applications in the field of Raman spectroscopy were often the result of technological evolutions, such as innovative developments in Raman instrumentation. The possibility to couple a Raman spectrometer with a fibre optics probe head paved the way for the development of mobile spectrometers for *in situ* analysis of art objects. Indeed, Raman spectroscopy can be considered as a non-destructive approach, provided the laser power at the sample is kept sufficiently low to avoid any thermal damage. Using fibre optics, it is possible to perform direct investigations of an artefact, preserving its appearance and physical integrity.

'*In situ*' (on site) analysis means using mobile instrumentation that is transported to the art object, while 'direct' analysis, on the other hand, refers to the fact that the artefact is investigated without sampling.^{45,97,98} Also, researchers should be careful when speaking about 'transportable', 'mobile', 'portable', 'handheld' or 'palm' instruments.⁴⁵ 'Mobile' spectrometers are designed in such a way that no complex alignment procedures are needed when set-up on site. 'Portable', 'handheld' and 'palm' devices are smaller and typically equipped with batteries. 'Handheld' and 'palm' Raman instruments are normally less useful for art analysis, due to difficulties associated with focusing, to the lower sensitivity and inability to modify the spectral acquisition settings. Typically, the latter types of instruments have pre-set spectral parameters (e.g. integration time and laser power) and a built-in spectral database for identification of unknowns. However, recently, a handheld instrument that combines two laser sources with sequentially shifted excitation was tested for applications in cultural heritage.⁹⁹ By combining two different laser sources, it is possible to obtain spectra over a broad spectral range, whereas the sequentially shifted excitation algorithm¹⁰⁰ allows for removal of the fluorescence background. This approach seems very promising, and all data processing (background removal and merging of spectra obtained at different



excitations) is performed automatically. However, one should note that the so-called 'photon shot noise' associated with the fluorescence background and stemming from the fundamental nature of light cannot be removed by this method and remains imprinted on the resulting spectra. The method removes principally instrumental spectral artefacts, for example, due to filter ripples, CCD etaloning and channel to channel variation in detection sensitivity associated with high fluorescence backgrounds.

Handheld Raman spectrometers have proven useful for the identification of minerals and for the analysis of different gemstones in various collections.^{101–103} Also, portable instruments were used to investigate so-called glyphs¹⁰⁴ – engraved stones and glass objects, used as stamp – or tesserae from Roman glass mosaics.^{105,106}

Mobile Raman spectroscopy has been used for the investigation of several types of art objects. The suitability of the technique is well demonstrated for the direct analysis of wall paintings,^{45,107,108} rock art,^{109,110} statues¹¹¹ or coloured glass in lead.^{112,113} Remarkable case studies involved the analysis of 'sun' rock art^{110,114} or the analysis of stained glass windows of 'Sainte Chapelle' in Paris.^{113,115} In the first case, transportation and positioning of the equipment (including an electrical generator) were challenging. In the case of the analysis of stained glass, avoiding interference from ambient light was also an issue.

The importance of stable placement of the equipment is often underestimated. On the one hand, successful and reliable analysis can only be performed upon positioning the instrumentation in a stable configuration, which however should also allow for a certain degree of flexibility to adapt to the situation on hand. Especially when several types of art objects (paintings, statues, manuscripts, *etc.*) are measured within the same measurement session, the set-up should be modifiable. Typically, such flexibility is needed when performing investigations in museums, where several types of artefacts (and storage or display cases) are found.^{111,116} Moreover, stability is often an issue, especially when investigations are carried out on a scaffolding.^{107,108} When studying mediaeval wall paintings on the ceiling of a church or chapel, or other artworks in outdoor environments, measurements can be performed at night to avoid interference of ambient light.

Often, *in situ* studies require using complementary methods.^{117–125} One of the analytical techniques most frequently used in association with Raman spectroscopy is X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy. This technique reveals the elemental composition of the area under investigation, whereas Raman spectroscopy identifies the molecules contained in the artwork. The comparison and interpretation of the results, however, is not straightforward. Typically, the spectral footprint of handheld XRF measurements is much larger, with a beam size of *ca.* 0.5 cm in diameter. Also, while the area probed by Raman spectroscopy is limited to the top layers of the artwork, X-rays penetrate much deeper into the artefact. This was clearly shown¹²⁶ in the analysis of the oil painting 'Mad Meg' by Flemish renaissance artist Pieter Brueghel. In this case, a combination of optical microscopy, mobile Raman spectroscopy, handheld XRF and mobile X-ray diffraction (XRD) was

used in the investigation of specific art historical-related issues concerning this famous painting. Typically, analytical campaigns using multiple techniques require significant organization efforts, and all measurements need to be well coordinated.¹²⁷ Other examples of such a multi-technique approach include an *in situ* campaign for the investigation of the tomb of Menna, in the Theban necropolis in Egypt, and the studies performed in Pompeii (Italy). Typically, during such campaigns, researchers are faced with many practical issues, such as transportation of the equipment, the need for providing power supply, protection against dust, *etc.*¹¹⁷

Some research groups go beyond simple pigment identification by using mobile Raman spectroscopy to evaluate the preservation state of certain objects and to examine degradation processes.^{128–132} Typically, this is done by combining Raman spectroscopy with physicochemical modelling in order to estimate the relative ratios of salts that may be found on the surface of the artefact and to evaluate possible degradation pathways of the original materials.

It is clear that mobile Raman instrumentation can be very useful for art analysis. Depending on the questions and artefacts in hand, handheld Raman instrumentation may be sufficient, although often portable/mobile devices are required. This is mainly the case when small details need to be analysed and focusing is of high importance. We foresee that the technical advances and implementation of algorithms for background removal may lead to a more widespread use of handheld instruments in archaeometry research. However, in the current state, some improvements (especially for what concerns the focusing and non-automatic setting of parameters) are needed to bring the Raman technique to the next level in the field of art and archaeology.

4. Microscale-spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (micro-SORS)

Although confocal Raman microscopy is a widely applicable technique in the areas of art and archaeology, its use in non-destructive analysis of stratified turbid (diffusely scattering) matrices is severely restricted by its inability to form direct optical images within such samples. Painted layers are by their nature highly turbid and typically only a few tens of micrometres thick, often spreading in multiple stratigraphy.^{36,133} Therefore, many analytical problems in the conservation field requiring deeper non-invasive probing in these turbid matrices are therefore not addressable by this conventional approach. The limitation often necessitates resorting to cross-sectional analysis to retrieve chemical information from such sub-layers. This is, however, often highly undesirable and, in some situations, not even permitted due to the uniqueness and high value of the object under analysis.

Recently, a new technique in Raman spectroscopy capable of overcoming some of these limitations has emerged – micro-SORS.^{36,133,134} The method builds on earlier advances of a parent technique, spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS).¹³⁵ To date, two basic micro-SORS modalities have been proposed and



demonstrated: defocusing and full micro-SORS.¹³⁶ The defocusing micro-SORS³⁶ is the simplest variant. Despite being less effective than full micro-SORS, as it does not involve fully separated laser illumination and Raman collection zones leading to lower contrast between individual layers derived from SORS, it is the most practical in existence. This is due to its suitability for use within existing conventional, unmodified Raman microscopes. The concept of defocusing micro-SORS is illustrated in Fig. 3. The basic measurement relies on the collection of at least two Raman spectra: (i) the first spectrum is acquired with the sample in a standard 'imaged' position where conventional Raman microscopy would traditionally be performed to analyse the surface of the sample, (ii) the second measurement is taken with the sample moved away from the microscope objective by a 'defocusing distance Δz '. The result of the sample displacement is the enlargement ('defocusing') of both the laser illumination and Raman collection zones on the sample surface. The larger the defocusing distance, the greater the enlargement of both the laser illumination and Raman collection zones on the sample surface. The 'imaged' position measurement typically yields a Raman spectrum dominated by the surface layer³⁵ and would correspond conceptually to a zero-spatially offset measurement in conventional SORS analysis. The measurement carried out in the 'defocused' position produces a Raman spectrum which has a significantly higher relative degree of Raman contribution from sublayers, in analogy with a non-zero spatially offset spectrum acquired in a conventional SORS measurement. The relative intensity change within spectral subcomponents typically signifies the presence of multiple layers in the sample.

A simple mathematical manipulation of the 'imaged' and 'defocused' spectra can be then used to retrieve a pure Raman spectrum of the sublayer, in the case of a two-layer system. This process involves a scaled subtraction of the 'imaged' spectrum from the 'defocused' spectrum, erasing the contribution of the top layer. More defocused spectra need to be acquired for the separation of a larger number of layers than two.¹³⁴

Full micro-SORS utilises completely separated laser illumination and Raman collection zones (Fig. 3). As such, it exhibits much higher contrast enhancement between the surface and subsurface layers and larger penetration depths.¹³⁶ However, as mentioned above, its deployment requires some modifications to the existing Raman microscopes in order to be practiced in an unrestricted manner. The principle of use is analogous to that described above with the measurement involving the collection of Raman spectra at zero and non-zero spatial offsets

(the separation between the laser illumination and Raman collection zones on the sample surface – Δx) and subsequent numerical processing to reveal Raman signatures of individual layers as in conventional (macro-) SORS.¹³⁵

In addition to establishing the chemical makeup of individual layers, the micro-SORS techniques, in general, are also capable of determining the order in which layers are laid. This is achieved by examining the relative rate of the decay of signals from individual layers.³⁵ It is also possible to determine whether two chemical components detected by micro-SORS belong to two separate layers or if they are mixed within a single-layer.¹³⁷ A study by Conti *et al.*¹³⁸ provides further insight into micro-SORS *versus* the conventional confocal Raman microscopy, and emphasises differences and commonalities between the two techniques.

The practical applicability of micro-SORS has been demonstrated in isolating the chemical signatures of different layers of paint with both artificially assembled systems³⁶ and the real objects of art.¹³³ The latter included painted sculptures and plasters. Fig. 4 exemplifies the use of defocusing micro-SORS for the subsurface analysis of the blue mantle of a Christ's sculpture from 'Ossuccio Sacred Mount' (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage site), a prestigious devotional place in Northern Italy. The sculpture was repainted many times over the centuries and, consequently, its stratigraphy comprises a number of layers.¹³³ The micro-SORS Raman measurements allowed researchers to elucidate its stratigraphy. The 'imaged' position spectrum exhibits only lazurite Raman bands, although the presence of traces of Prussian blue and azurite cannot be completely excluded. By displacing away the sample surface from the microscope objective, it was possible to discern the contribution from the most internal layer, Prussian blue, which increased dramatically relative to the surface layer Raman signal (lazurite). The findings were substantiated by cross-sectional Raman analysis.¹³³

In general, the limitations of the micro-SORS technique include its inapplicability to highly absorbing top layers, extremely thin sublayers and compounds with low Raman cross-sections or highly fluorescent at the subsurface position. Recently, the ability of full micro-SORS to retrieve the chemical composition of a sublayer covered by a fluorescent top layer has been demonstrated on mock-up samples; micrometric layers of fluorescent pigments were spread over marble or different layers of pigments. A comparative study between the fluorescence suppression of the top layer achieved by defocusing and full micro-SORS was also carried out, highlighting that the latter modality is capable of recovering the sublayer signal, even when conventional Raman measurement yields only strong fluorescence.¹³⁹

Samples possessing very high heterogeneity across their surface or within sublayers are also challenging and may require the use of a more complex data acquisition methodology.¹⁵³ It should also be noted that the spatial resolution of micro-SORS is significantly inferior to that of conventional Raman microscopy and as such it should only be used when conventional confocal Raman microscopy is not applicable.




Fig. 3 Schematic diagram of conventional backscattering Raman microscopy, defocusing micro-SORS and full micro-SORS modalities.






Fig. 4 Micro-SORS on a painted sculpture of Christ from Ossuccio Sacred Mount (a); optical image and scheme of the stratigraphy (b); defocused spectra shown for different distances Δz from the 'imaged' plane indicated next to each spectrum (0 = 'imaged' position) (c). The spectra are offset for clarity. The dashed lines are provided for guidance to emphasise the varying relative Raman intensities of lazurite and Prussian blue with the defocusing distance; the reference spectra are shown in red.¹³³

To enable micro-SORS to perform *in situ* measurements, a conventional portable Raman equipped with 785 nm excitation wavelength was adapted for defocusing micro-SORS analysis.¹⁴⁰ The new set-up allowed obtaining significant results on artificial micrometric layer systems consisting of spray paint on stone and painted stratigraphy. Given the wide applicability of the technique and potential for further improvements by developing portable full micro-SORS, the concept is expected to find a number of application niches in several areas providing a beneficial complementary analytical capability to conventional Raman microscopy in situations where its accessible depths are inadequate.

5. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)

The fortuitous observation of a massive enhancement in the intensity of the Raman spectrum of pyridine at a roughened silver electrode, in 1974,¹⁴¹ paved the way for the development of SERS.¹⁴² In SERS, target organic molecules are adsorbed onto noble metal nanostructures, resulting in the simultaneous enhancement of their Raman signal intensity and quenching of their fluorescence emission *via* combined^{143,144} electromagnetic¹⁴⁵

and charge-transfer¹⁴⁶ mechanisms. The discovery of SERS, along with the subsequent demonstration of single-molecule analyte detection,^{147,148} prompted researchers to pursue possible applications of this technique in biochemistry, medical diagnostics, threat detection, forensics, and in all those areas where the ability to probe trace levels of target specimens is crucial to the success of the analysis.

In the field of art and archaeology, SERS has been highly valued for its sensitivity to organic colourants, *i.e.* materials that are typically present in artworks in minute concentrations and within complex matrixes, and whose successful detection by normal Raman spectroscopy is often prevented by their inherent fluorescence properties. The first application of SERS to the study of cultural heritage materials, dating back to 1987, is by Guineau and Guichard, who reported spectra of synthetic alizarin and extracts of the plant dye madder, the source of alizarin, and related anthraquinoid derivatives, from an 8th century textile sample.¹⁴⁹ With the exception of a report published in 1990 also focusing on madder,¹⁵⁰ it is not until 2004 that SERS found increasing applications in the art conservation field. Since then, the great potential of this technique for the ultrasensitive detection and identification of historical dyes has been explored at length and described in several comprehensive reviews.^{154–158}



Much of the research initially conducted in the field of SERS for cultural heritage focused on the characterization of reference substances and interpretation of their spectral patterns, on the study of their physicochemical properties and degradation processes catalysed by various nanoplasmonic substrates, and on the development of analytical strategies tailored to their ultrasensitive detection in samples from artworks and museum objects. While synthetic dyes have been featured in a limited number of publications,^{81,159–164} a substantial amount of work to date has dealt with natural organic colourants, especially red anthraquinones such as alizarin, purpurin, carminic and laccic acids, and related compounds;^{151,152,165–169} yellow dyes mostly belonging to the flavonoid,^{170–176} alkaloid,^{177–179} carotenoid,⁹⁶ and curcuminoid^{176,180} molecular classes; as well as blue and purple colourants including indigo,^{181,182} Tyrian purple,^{182,183} orcein,¹⁸⁴ and anthocyanins.¹⁸⁵

The availability of individual references^{186–188} and comprehensive SERS libraries of natural dyes is crucial to ensure positive identification of query spectra from works of art and historical artefacts; however, manually matching spectra from unknowns with series of references from a given database often turns out to be a time-consuming, not always fruitful process. A recent study has explored the use of statistical techniques and library search approaches to provide rapid, trustworthy classification of unknown samples by automatically highlighting their similarities and differences with respect to data from a reference database.¹⁸⁹ In addition to proposing a clear library search protocol, this work has addressed the most commonly raised concern on the SERS technique's lack of reliability by showing that, in spite of spectral variations arising from chemical and instrumental factors, it is indeed possible to identify unknown spectra by automatically searching them against a reference library.

Most of the SERS work on reference dyes and cultural heritage materials to date has been carried out on silver nanoparticles obtained *via* chemical reduction of silver nitrate with sodium citrate, according to the synthesis protocol proposed by Lee and Meisel.¹⁹⁰ A second type of colloids becoming increasingly popular in the conservation science field is produced by microwave-supported glucose reduction of silver sulfate in the presence of sodium citrate as a capping agent.¹⁹¹ The latter nanoparticles, stable and efficient over the course of several months, display a considerably narrower absorption band and particle size distribution compared to the traditional citrate-reduced ones, contributing to more reproducible SERS performances. Alternative approaches for the preparation of silver colloids include laser ablation¹⁹² and photoreduction,^{193–196} whose main advantage lies in the absence of unreacted species or oxidation byproducts in the suspension that may give rise to spurious bands in the SERS spectra, a phenomenon that is well documented for citrate-reduced colloids.^{183,197,198} The convenience of use of silver nanoparticles produced with the methods described above, as well as their enhancement efficiency, stability, and other interfacial characteristics such as the pH, surface availability and potential, has been compared in a recent review.¹⁹²

Among the solid-state SERS substrates most commonly used in conservation science, silver island films (AgIFs) and silver films over nanospheres (AgFONs) are also present. Either directly deposited^{199,200} onto the pigment particles under study or thermally evaporated onto an Ag–Al₂O₃ support prior to adsorption of the analyte,¹⁶⁵ AgIFs have been used to characterise anthraquinoid dyes. The unevenness of these substrates, however, resulting in a substantial lack of spectral reproducibility, caused them to be gradually replaced by AgFONs, whose excellent stability and tunability properties are yet counterbalanced by the possible occurrence of carbon contamination issues during the silver deposition phase.^{159,201,202}

While distinctive spectra of pure commercial colourants can be acquired relatively effortlessly with SERS, the analysis of dyes in artworks poses additional challenges due to the fact that, in paints and fabrics, these materials are typically precipitated onto an inorganic substrate (lake pigments) or bound to textile fibres through bridging metal ions (mordant dyes). For this reason, the application of hydrolysis pretreatments is often required to isolate the dyes from their chemical environment and favor their adsorption on the metal surface. Initially exploited for their high dye extraction yield, heated solutions of strong acids or alkali, such as hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide,^{153,165,203} were gradually replaced by alternative procedures involving milder reagents and experimental conditions.^{177,201,204,205} Noteworthy among the latter is a non-extractive gas–solid hydrolysis based on the use of hydrofluoric acid (HF)²⁰³ that has enabled rapid identification of natural dyes in samples as small as 20 × 20 μm from a great variety of polychrome historical objects and works of art with increased sensitivity.^{206,210}

The successful detection of alizarin, carminic and laccic acids on filter paper coated with a thickness-controlled layer of silver nanoparticles¹⁶⁵ paved the way for the direct identification of organic colourants without the need for pretreating the samples. Additional examples of *in situ* non-hydrolysis SERS include the analysis of reference dyed fibres on photoreduced silver nanoparticles,^{194,195} and the characterization of Greco–Roman cosmetics,⁴⁶ coloured and plain canvas linen fibres⁹¹ and samples from 18th-century French objects on borohydride-, hydroxylamine- or citrate-reduced silver colloids.¹⁷ While in the last three instances samples were covered with a drop of colloid and analysis was performed before its complete evaporation, other research groups have found it more effective to collect SERS spectra upon drying of the droplet.²¹¹ *In situ* non-hydrolysis SERS has also been used in combination with concentrated pastes of Lee–Meisel colloids to examine natural dyes on various supports^{96,212} and for the identification of organic colourants from textile fibres, pastels and watercolours.^{155,201,213–215} Recent studies have also considered extending the applicability of colloidal pastes to the direct SERS identification of lake pigments in cross-sections,²¹⁶ sometimes with the addition of hydroxypropyl cellulose as a stabilising agent and to achieve greater control over the sampled area.¹⁹⁶ Among the main drawbacks of *in situ* on-the-specimen non-hydrolysis SERS with colloids and colloidal pastes are its poor spatial resolution and unevenness of sample coating, resulting in the competitive adsorption of species from adjacent



paint layers on the silver substrate and in a substantial lack of spectral reproducibility.^{215,217} The difficulty to obtain a thin, uniform, well-adhered film of silver nanoparticles with this method was partly circumvented by the use of a hyphenated system interfacing a micro-Raman spectrometer with a scanning electron microscope (SEM),²¹⁸ which aids the localization of evenly coated sample areas that are suitable for analysis. In general, it has been shown that the introduction of a hydrolysis step in the SERS analytical procedure not only avoids slower analysis compared to non-hydrolysis methodologies, but, in some cases, is also the only way to ensure highly reproducible, conclusive dye identification in samples from coloured textiles and lake-containing glaze with additional paint materials.^{186,206–208} Recently, several treatment strategies using acids and organic solvents were integrated into a flowchart approach designed to enable the detection and identification of unknown blue and yellow pigments of varying resonance properties and surface affinities in a single microscopic sample.²¹⁹

Recent efforts towards minimally invasive SERS have explored the use of various types of peelable gels that are able to deliver the SERS-active nanoprobe to the object under study while preserving its appearance and physical integrity. A first notable example consists of a polymer hydrogel loaded with a solution containing water, dimethylformamide and disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA).²²⁰ First, the gel is applied to the area of interest and the dye is extracted owing to the combined action of the organic solvent and chelating agent; upon removal of the gel, the target dye is analysed by SERS by focusing the laser beam directly onto the gel surface. It has been shown that the amount of dye transferred is so small that no fading can be perceived. A second type of cheap, environmentally friendly hydrogel, firstly tested on mock-up fabrics and a pre-Columbian textile dyed with anthraquinoid dyes, is based on Ag-agar.²²¹ The efficiency of this substrate has been attributed to the shrinkage of its nanocomposite structure upon drying, which is thought to induce the interaction among silver nanoparticles by creating high plasmon density sites. The initial formulation was then improved by the addition of EDTA, which has been found to play a key role as a matrix stabiliser and for the improvement of the gel's micro-extractive performances.²²² Other instances of SERS-active peelable gels include a methyl-cellulose gel matrix doped with glucose-reduced and -stabilised silver nanoparticles,²²³ tested on anthraquinones as such and in unvarnished mock-up tempera panel paintings by taking SERS measurements across the dried gel drop. An upgraded formulation in which both the viscosity and nanoparticle preparation were optimised compared to the initial recipe²²⁴ has been shown to significantly reduce substrate losses that were previously observed during the peeling process.

An alternative approach for the minimally invasive *in situ* identification of inks and colourants on questioned documents and artworks relies on inkjet nanoparticle delivery.²²⁵ This technique is based on the use of thermal and piezoelectric print heads to deliver minute volumes of silver colloid (≈ 60 – 220 picoliters, corresponding to impact diameters of ≈ 50 – 150 μm) onto substrates with great accuracy and precision. Successful examples of application include the analysis of textile fibres, gel

pen ink writing on paper, and a Japanese woodblock print dating to the end of the 19th century. Among the drawbacks are the microscopic deposits of silver nanoparticles that are left on the surface of the object upon analysis, which, although nearly invisible by optical microscopy, may be deemed unacceptable for invaluable works of art. On the other hand, the higher spatial resolution compared to SERS-active gel substrates was proven beneficial to selectively investigate single features or parts of a feature of complex objects.

The recently proposed coupling with laser ablation (LA) micro-sampling has lent the SERS technique a degree of spatial resolution of a few micrometers and subpicogram sensitivity.²²⁶ In this hyphenated method, the sample under study is placed in a holder within a sealed vacuum chamber and ablated by an intense visible laser pulse emitted by a tunable optical parametric oscillator (OPO)²²⁷ adjusted to the resonance of the target molecule; the ablated sample is then collected onto a 10 nm SERS-active silver nanoisland film made by vapour deposition that decorates one side of the vacuum chamber's quartz window; after that, excitation of the ablated analytes at 488 nm is provided to produce SERS spectra. The LA-SERS approach has enabled researchers to detect and identify water-insoluble compounds, such as copper phthalocyanine, that are difficult to probe with regular silver colloids and other standard substrates. Moreover, the technique has afforded means to successfully resolve multiple-component mixtures, such as Pigment Orange 48 (a 50 : 50 mixture of quinacridone and quinacridone quinone), as well as, upon HF treatment, to characterise dyes in ancient leather samples. In a second step of this research, the LA-SERS method was refined by ablating in the ultraviolet (UV) range, which has proven especially advantageous for the analysis of multilayered, heterogeneous samples, such as single pigment particles in paint cross-sections, due to a significantly lower contamination from the matrix and materials in adjacent layers.²²⁸ Recently, the use of a fast Fourier filtering approach in combination with UV-LA-SERS was key to the successful detection and identification of particularly challenging analytes such as flavonoid-based yellow lakes with an improved signal-to-noise ratio and cleaner background.²²⁹

Combining the sensitivity and ability to provide vibrational information of SERS with the outstanding spatial resolution of scanning probe microscopy, tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS) was only recently introduced in the field of cultural heritage research. A proof-of-concept study³⁹ described the development and application of AFM-TERS instrumentation to characterize indigo and iron gall ink directly on rice paper and on a fragment of historical document with handwritten text dating to the 19th century. Measurements are performed by irradiating a nanometer-scale silver scanning tip with a focused laser beam, leading to the specific excitation and enhanced detection of those molecules that are located within the tip apex region. In addition to further improving the SERS technique's spatial resolution, this approach is minimally invasive, as dyes were shown not to be subject to transferring after the tip is retracted from the object under study.

Despite having significantly reduced the sample size and analysis time compared to chromatographic techniques, SERS has shown a substantial inability to resolve complex dye



mixtures and tendency to preferentially detect one component due to resonance, solubility, affinity for the metal surface, or a combination of these factors.¹⁵¹ This constitutes a major limitation as, throughout history, colourants were often used in combination to obtain particular colour shades.²³⁰ Although recent studies have offered occasional proof of the simultaneous SERS detection of multiple colourants in commercial pigments and samples from paintings and textiles,^{208,209,215,226} in most cases when both HPLC and SERS were used for identification, while the presence of multiple dyes in a single sample had been determined by HPLC, only one could be detected by SERS.^{177,205} Recent research confirmed that, for instance, when alizarin and purpurin are present in mixtures with other colourants, they are always preferentially detected by the SERS technique even if present in lower concentration (up to 1 : 10) than the primary dyestuff.²³¹ This has prompted researchers to pursue alternative ways to accomplish analysis of dye mixtures by SERS upon physical separation of the individual constituents. The coupling of thin-layer chromatography (TLC) with SERS has been proposed as a first, immediate solution exploiting an equipment- and cost-effective separation method. Examples of application of TLC-SERS include the separation and characterization of anthraquinones in reference solutions and extracts from a dyed wool fibre;²⁰¹ of dye components in ballpoint pen inks of interest to forensic science;⁸¹ of a mixture of alkaloids found in the Syrian rue plant, which are relevant as dyes and drugs;¹⁷⁹ and of the structurally related components of mauve, the first synthetic organic dyestuff.¹⁶³ More recently, an online hyphenated system combining HPLC with photodiode array (PDA) and SERS detection was shown to provide a detailed electronic and vibrational characterization of natural dyes in mixtures.²³² Ongoing research has also demonstrated the viable use of microfluidics devices, typically created by imprinting polymer structures of polydimethylsiloxane, to combine efficient separation with the fingerprinting ability typical of vibrational spectroscopies.²³³ Along with the substantial body of work discussed above, the relevance and incidence of the advances reported in this area of SERS demonstrate the great interest and high impact that this technique continues to generate in the field of cultural heritage research.

6. Conclusions

In this review, the recent evolutions and trends in Raman spectroscopy applied to art and archaeology are described. Many advances in Raman spectroscopy result from technical advances. These involve new hardware (e.g. fibre optics), software improvements (e.g. automated shifted baseline subtraction), new insights (e.g. micro-SORS) and new approaches (e.g. SERS). It is clear that, in light of the remarkable progresses made over the past years, new steps are set paving the way for more novel developments in this research domain.

References

- 1 *Raman Spectroscopy in Archaeology and Art History*, ed. H. G. M. Edwards and J. M. Chalmers, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2005.

- 2 P. Vandenabeele, H. G. M. Edwards and L. Moens, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 675.
- 3 F. Casadio, C. Daher and L. Bellot-Gurlet, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2016, **374**, 62.
- 4 J. M. Madariaga, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, **7**, 4848.
- 5 F. Casadio and R. P. Van Duyne, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 7276.
- 6 R. L. McCreery, *Raman Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 2000.
- 7 *Handbook of Raman Spectroscopy: From the Research Laboratory to the Process Line*, ed. I. R. Lewis and H. G. M. Edwards, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 2001.
- 8 P. Vandenabeele, *Practical Raman Spectroscopy: An Introduction*, John Wiley, Chichester, 2013.
- 9 I. M. Bell, R. J. Clark and P. J. Gibbs, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 1997, **53**, 2159.
- 10 L. Burgio and R. J. H. Clark, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2001, **57**, 1491.
- 11 P. Vandenabeele, L. Moens, H. G. M. Edwards and R. Dams, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2000, **31**, 509.
- 12 W. Fremout and S. Saverwyns, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2012, **43**, 1536.
- 13 R. Schütz, L. Bertinetti, I. Rabin, P. Fratzl and A. Masic, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 5594.
- 14 G. Bertolotti, D. Bersani, P. P. Lottici, M. Alesiani, T. Malcherek and J. Schluter, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, **402**, 1451.
- 15 V. Hayez, V. Costa, J. Guillaume, H. Terry and A. Hubin, *Analyst*, 2005, **130**, 550.
- 16 P. Vandenabeele, B. Wehling, L. Moens, H. Edwards, M. De Reu and G. Van Hooydonk, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **407**, 261.
- 17 C. Daher, L. Drieu, L. Bellot-Gurlet, A. Percot, C. Paris and A. S. Le Ho, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1207.
- 18 A. Kaminska, M. Sawczak, M. Oujja, C. Domingo, M. Castillejo and G. Sliwinski, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2006, **37**, 1125.
- 19 E. Basso, C. Invernizzi, M. Malagodi, M. F. La Russa, D. Bersani and P. P. Lottici, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 238.
- 20 G. Barone, D. Bersani, V. Crupi, F. Longo, U. Longobardo, P. P. Lottici, I. Aliatis, D. Majolino, P. Mazzoleni, S. Raneri and V. Venuti, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1309.
- 21 G. Bertolotti, D. Bersani, P. P. Lottici, M. Alesiani, T. Malcherek and J. Schlüter, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, **402**, 1451.
- 22 D. Bersani, S. Andò, P. Vignola, G. Moltifiori, I.-G. G. Marino, P. P. Lottici and V. Diella, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2009, **73**, 484.
- 23 F. Ospitali, D. Bersani, G. Di Lonardo and P. P. Lottici, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 1066.
- 24 D. L. A. de Faria and F. N. Lopes, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2007, **45**, 117.
- 25 A. Rousaki, C. Bellelli, M. C. Calatayud, V. Aldazabal, G. Custo, L. Moens, P. Vandenabeele and C. Vazquez, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 1016.
- 26 E. Cantisani, M. Cavalieri, C. Lofrumento, E. Pecchioni and M. Ricci, *Archaeol. Anthropol. Sci.*, 2012, **4**, 29.
- 27 M. J. Ayora-Cañada, A. Domínguez-Arranz and A. Domínguez-Vidal, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2012, **43**, 317.



- 28 A. Raškovska, B. Minčeva-Šukarova, O. Grupče and P. Colombari, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2010, **41**, 431.
- 29 J. Zuo, C. Xu, C. Wang and Z. Yushi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 1999, **30**, 1053.
- 30 N. C. Scherrer, Z. Stefan, D. Francoise, F. Annette and K. Renate, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2009, **73**, 505.
- 31 C. Baita, P. P. Lottici, E. Salvioli-Mariani, P. Vandenabeele, M. Librenti, F. Antonelli and D. Bersani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 114.
- 32 J. R. Petriglieri, E. Salvioli-Mariani, L. Mantovani, M. Tribaudino, P. P. Lottici, C. Laporte-Magoni and D. Bersani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 953.
- 33 C. Lofrumento, A. Zoppi and E. M. Castellucci, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2004, **35**, 650.
- 34 M. C. Caggiani, P. Acquafredda, P. Colombari and A. Mangone, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1251.
- 35 C. Conti, M. Realini, C. Colombo, K. Sowoidnich, N. K. Afseth, M. Bertasa, A. Botteon and P. Matousek, *Anal. Chem.*, 2015, **87**, 5810.
- 36 C. Conti, C. Colombo, M. Realini, G. Zerbi and P. Matousek, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2014, **68**, 686.
- 37 I. Guerra and C. Cardell, *J. Microsc.*, 2015, **260**, 47.
- 38 D. Bersani, P. P. Lottici, S. Virgenti, A. Sodo, G. Malvestuto, A. Botti, E. Salvioli-Mariani, M. Tribaudino, F. Ospitali and M. Catarsi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2010, **41**, 1556.
- 39 D. Kourouski, S. Zaleski, F. Casadio, R. P. Van Duyn and N. C. Shah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 8677.
- 40 C. Fotakis, D. Anglos, V. Zafropoulos, S. Georgiou and V. Tornari, *Lasers in the Preservation of Cultural Heritage: Principles and Applications*, CRC Press, New York, 2006.
- 41 M. Hoehse, A. Paul, I. Gornushkin and U. Panne, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, **402**, 1443.
- 42 U. Zimmermann, E. S. Kristoffersen, P. D. Fredriksen, S. A. R. Bertolino, S. Ando and D. Bersani, *Sediment. Geol.*, 2015, **336**, 183.
- 43 P. Colombari, G. Sagon and X. Faurel, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2001, **32**, 351.
- 44 M. Bouchard and D. C. Smith, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2003, **59**, 2247.
- 45 D. Lauwers, A. G. Hutado, V. Tanevska, L. Moens, D. Bersani and P. Vandenabeele, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2014, **118**, 294.
- 46 E. Van Elslande, S. Lecomte and A. S. Le Hô, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 1001.
- 47 L. Medeghini, P. P. Lottici, C. De Vito, S. Mignardi and D. Bersani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1244.
- 48 C. Conti, J. Striova, I. Aliatis, E. Possenti, G. Massonnet, C. Muehlethaler, T. Poli and M. Positano, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **45**, 1186.
- 49 L. Burgio, R. J. H. Clark and H. Toftlund, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1999, **53**, 81.
- 50 P. Schmidt, G. Porraz, L. Bellot-Gurlet, E. February, B. Ligouis, C. Paris, P.-J. Texier, J. E. Parkinson, C. E. Miller, K. G. Nickel and N. J. Conard, *J. Hum. Evol.*, 2015, **85**, 22.
- 51 J. Aramendia, L. Gomez-Nubla, L. Bellot-Gurlet, K. Castro, G. Arana and J. M. Madariaga, *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.*, 2015, **104**, 59.
- 52 J. Aramendia, L. Gomez-Nubla, L. Bellot-Gurlet, K. Castro, C. Paris, P. Colombari and J. M. Madariaga, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1076.
- 53 I. Aliatis, E. Lambruschi, L. Mantovani, D. Bersani, S. Andò, G. Diego Gatta, P. Gentile, E. Salvioli-Mariani, M. Prencipe, M. Tribaudino and P. P. Lottici, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 501.
- 54 L. Mantovani, M. Tribaudino, I. Aliatis, E. Lambruschi, P. P. Lottici and D. Bersani, *Phys. Chem. Miner.*, 2014, **42**, 179.
- 55 S. Lowry, D. Wieboldt, D. Dalrymple, R. Jasinevicius and R. T. Downs, *Spectroscopy*, 2009, **24**, 52.
- 56 J. M. Pérez and R. Esteve-Tébar, *Archaeometry*, 2004, **46**, 607.
- 57 H. K. Mao, J. Xu and P. M. Bell, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1986, **91**, 4673.
- 58 D. Bersani, G. Azzi, E. Lambruschi, G. Barone, P. Mazzoleni, S. Raneri, U. Longobardo and P. P. Lottici, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1293.
- 59 I. Moroz, M. Roth, M. Boudeulle and G. Panczer, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2000, **31**, 485.
- 60 G. Barone, D. Bersani, P. P. Lottici, P. Mazzoleni, S. Raneri and U. Longobardo, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1002/jrs.4919.
- 61 L. Bergamonti, D. Bersani, D. Csermely and P. P. Lottici, *Spectrosc. Lett.*, 2011, **44**, 453.
- 62 D. Gill, R. G. Kilponen and L. Rimai, *Nature*, 1970, **227**, 743.
- 63 I. V. Ermakov, M. Sharifzadeh, M. Ermakova and W. Gellermann, *J. Biomed. Opt.*, 2005, **10**, 64028.
- 64 A. M. Correia, M. J. V. Oliveira, R. J. H. Clark, M. I. Ribeiro and M. L. Duarte, *Anal. Chem.*, 2008, **80**, 1482.
- 65 P. Colombari, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2003, **34**, 420.
- 66 E. V. Efremov, F. Ariese and C. Gooijer, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2008, **606**, 119.
- 67 C. Coupry, A. Lutiè, G. Sagon and F. Froment, in *Raman Spectroscopy in Archaeology and Art History*, ed. H. G. M. Edwards and J. M. Chalmers, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2005, p. 207.
- 68 S. E. J. Bell, in *Raman Spectroscopy in Archaeology and Art History*, ed. H. G. M. Edwards and J. M. Chalmers, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2005, p. 292.
- 69 S. Karampelas, E. Fritsch, J.-Y. Mevellec, J.-P. Gauthier, S. Sklavounos and T. Soldatos, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2007, **38**, 217.
- 70 R. M. Seifar, J. M. Verheul, F. Ariese, U. A. T. Brinkman and C. Gooijer, *Analyst*, 2001, **126**, 1418.
- 71 G. Massonnet, P. Buzzini, G. Jochem, M. Stauber, T. Coyle, C. Roux, J. Thomas, H. Leijenhorst, Z. Van Zanten, K. Wiggins, C. Russell, S. Chabli and A. Rosengarten, *J. Forensic Sci.*, 2005, **50**, 1028.
- 72 X. Faurel, A. Vanderperre and P. Colombari, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2003, **34**, 290.
- 73 M. Fujiwara, H. Hamaguchi and M. Tasumi, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 1986, **40**, 137.
- 74 Y. Wang and R. L. McCreery, *Anal. Chem.*, 1989, **61**, 2647.



- 75 G. Burrafato, M. Calabrese, A. Cosentino, A. M. Gueli, S. O. Troja and A. Zuccarello, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2004, **35**, 879.
- 76 F. Froment, A. Tournié and P. Colomban, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 560.
- 77 A. Pan, E. Rebollar, S. Chiussi, J. Serra, P. González and B. León, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2010, **41**, 1449.
- 78 A. Nevin, I. Osticioli, D. Anglos, A. Burnstock, S. Cather and E. M. Castellucci, *Anal. Chem.*, 2007, **79**, 6143.
- 79 M. L. Lewis, I. R. Lewis and P. R. Griffiths, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2004, **58**, 420.
- 80 A. S. Lee, P. J. Mahon and D. C. Creagh, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2006, **41**, 170.
- 81 I. Geiman, M. Leona and J. R. Lombardi, *J. Forensic Sci.*, 2009, **54**, 947.
- 82 H. G. M. Edwards, E. Beale, N. C. Garrington and J. M. Alia, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2007, **38**, 316.
- 83 H. G. M. Edwards, E. M. Newton, S. O'Connor and D. Evans, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2010, **397**, 2685.
- 84 H. G. M. Edwards, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2003, **661–2**, 271.
- 85 P. Colomban and D. Mancini, *Arts*, 2013, **2**, 111.
- 86 F. S. Manciú, A. Ramirez, W. Durrer, J. Govani and R. R. Chianelli, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 1257.
- 87 A. Baran, A. Fiedler, H. Schulz and M. Baranska, *Anal. Methods*, 2010, **2**, 1372.
- 88 H. G. M. Edwards, L. Drummond and J. Russ, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 1998, **54**, 1849.
- 89 M. Oujja, C. Vázquez-Calvo, M. Sanz, M. Á. De Buergo, R. Fort and M. Castillejo, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2012, **402**, 1433.
- 90 R. David, H. G. M. Edwards, D. W. Farwell and D. L. De Faria, *Archaeometry*, 2001, **43**, 461.
- 91 O. M. M. Gui, A. Fălămaș, L. Barbu-Tudoran, M. Aluaș, B. Giambra and S. Cîntă Pînzaru, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 277.
- 92 K. Castro, M. Pérez-Alonso, M. D. Rodríguez-Laso, L. A. Fernández and J. M. Madariaga, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2005, **382**, 248.
- 93 J. R. Gilchrist, J. Rebello, D. Lanzisera and J. Noonan, *Laser Focus World*, 2000, **36**, 149.
- 94 M. W. W. Meyer, J. S. S. Lupoi and E. A. A. Smith, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **706**, 164.
- 95 C. M. Schmidt and K. A. Trentelman, *e-Preserv. Sci.*, 2009, **6**, 10.
- 96 M. V. Canamares, M. Leona, M. Bouchard, C. M. Grzywacz, J. Wouters and K. Trentelman, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2010, **41**, 391.
- 97 P. Vandenabeele, H. G. M. Edwards and J. Jehlicka, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 2628.
- 98 P. Vandenabeele and M. K. Donais, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2016, **70**, 27.
- 99 C. Conti, A. Botteon, M. Bertasa, C. Colombo, M. Realini and D. Sali, *Analyst*, 2016, **141**, 4599.
- 100 A. P. Shreve, N. J. Cherepy and R. A. Mathies, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 1992, **46**, 707.
- 101 G. Barone, D. Bersani, J. Jehlicka, P. P. Lottici, P. Mazzoleni, S. Raneri, P. Vandenabeele, C. Di Giacomo and G. Larina, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 989.
- 102 C. Baita, P. P. Lottici, E. Salvioli-Mariani, P. Vandenabeele, M. Librenti, F. Antonelli and D. Bersani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 114.
- 103 J. Jehlicka, P. Vitek, H. G. M. Edwards, M. Hargreaves and T. Capoun, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2009, **73**, 410.
- 104 D. Lauwers, A. Candeias, A. Coccato, J. Mirao, L. Moens and P. Vandenabeele, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2016, **157**, 146.
- 105 E. Basso, C. Invernizzi, M. Malagodi, M. F. La Russa, D. Bersani and P. P. Lottici, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 238.
- 106 P. Ricciardi, Ph. Colomban, A. Tournie, M. Macchiarola and N. Ayed, *J. Archaeol. Sci.*, 2009, **36**, 2551.
- 107 A. Deneckere, W. Schudel, M. Van Bos, H. Wouters, A. Bergmans, P. Vandenabeele and L. Moens, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2010, **75**, 511.
- 108 P. Vandenabeele, K. Lambert, S. Matthys, W. Schudel, A. Bergmans and L. Moens, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2005, **383**, 707.
- 109 M. Olivares, K. Castro, M. S. Corchon, D. Garate, X. Murelaga, A. Sarmiento and N. Etxebarria, *J. Archaeol. Sci.*, 2013, **40**, 1354.
- 110 L. C. Prinsloo, A. Tournie, Ph. Colomban, C. Paris and S. T. Bassett, *J. Archaeol. Sci.*, 2013, **40**, 2981.
- 111 P. Vandenabeele, T. L. Weis, E. R. Grant and L. J. Moens, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2004, **379**, 137.
- 112 M. Bouchard, D. C. Smith and C. Carabatos-Nedelec, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2007, **68**, 1101.
- 113 P. Colomban and A. Tournie, *J. Cult. Herit.*, 2007, **8**, 242.
- 114 A. Tournie, L. C. Prinsloo, C. Paris, P. Colomban and B. Smith, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2011, **42**, 399.
- 115 Ph. Colomban, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2012, **43**, 1529.
- 116 P. Vandenabeele, J. Tate and L. Moens, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2007, **387**, 813.
- 117 P. Vandenabeele, R. Garcia-Moreno, F. Mathis, K. Leterme, E. Van Elslande, F. P. Hocquet, S. Rakkaa, D. Laboury, L. Moens, D. Strivay and M. Hartwig, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2009, **73**, 546.
- 118 A. Deneckere, M. De Reu, M. P. J. Martens, K. De Coene, B. Vekemans, L. Vincze, P. De Maeyer, P. Vandenabeele and L. Moens, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2011, **80**, 125.
- 119 C. Miliani, F. Rosi, B. G. Brunetti and A. Sgamellotti, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 728.
- 120 B. G. Brunetti, M. Matteini, C. Miliani, L. Pezzati and D. Pinna, MOLAB, a Mobile Laboratory for *In Situ* Non-Invasive Studies in Arts and Archaeology, in *Proceedings From: Lasers in the Conservation of Artworks*, M. Schreiner, Vienna, Austria, September 21–25 2005, p. 453.
- 121 K. S. Andrikopoulos, S. Daniilia, B. Roussel and K. Janssens, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2006, **37**, 1026.
- 122 X. Zhu, T. Xu, Q. Lin and Y. Duan, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.*, 2013, **49**, 64.
- 123 R. Bruder, V. Detalle and C. Coupry, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2007, **38**, 909.
- 124 M. Hoehse, D. Mory, S. Florek, F. Weritz, I. Gornushkin and U. Panne, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2009, **64**, 1219.
- 125 I. Osticioli, M. Wolf and D. Anglos, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2008, **62**, 1242.



- 126 L. Van de Voorde, J. Van Pevenage, K. De Langhe, R. De Wolf, B. Vekemans, L. Vincze, P. Vandenabeele and M. P. J. Martens, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2014, **97**, 1.
- 127 L. Van de Voorde, M. Vandevijvere, B. Vekemans, J. Van Pevenage, J. Caen, P. Vandenabeele, P. Van Espen and L. Vincze, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B*, 2014, **102**, 28.
- 128 O. Gomez-Laserna, I. Arrizabalaga, N. Prieto-Taboada, M. A. Olazabal, G. Arana and J. M. Madariaga, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2015, **407**, 5635.
- 129 J. Aramendia, L. Gomez-Nubla, K. Castro and J. M. Madariaga, *Microchem. J.*, 2014, **115**, 138.
- 130 O. Gomez-Laserna, M. A. Olazabal, H. Morillas, N. Prieto-Taboada, I. Martinez-Arkarazo, G. Arana and J. M. Madariaga, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 1277.
- 131 J. A. Carrero, N. Goienaga, M. Olivares, I. Martinez-Arkarazo, G. Arana and J. M. Madariaga, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2012, **43**, 1498.
- 132 M. Maguregui, A. Sarmiento, I. Martinez-Arkarazo, M. Angulo, K. Castro, G. Arana, N. Etxebarria and J. M. Madariaga, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2008, **391**, 1361.
- 133 C. Conti, M. Realini, C. Colombo and P. Matousek, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 476.
- 134 P. Matousek, C. Conti, M. Realini and C. Colombo, *Analyst*, 2015, **141**, 731.
- 135 P. Matousek, I. P. Clark, E. R. C. Draper, M. D. Morris, A. E. Goodship, N. Everall, M. Towrie, W. F. Finney and A. W. Parker, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2005, **59**, 393.
- 136 C. Conti, C. Colombo, M. Realini and P. Matousek, *Analyst*, 2015, **140**, 8127.
- 137 C. Conti, M. Realini, A. Botteon, C. Colombo, S. Noll, S. R. Elliott and P. Matousek, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2016, **70**, 156.
- 138 C. Conti, M. Realini, C. Colombo, A. Botteon and P. Matousek, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2016, **47**, 565.
- 139 C. Conti, A. Botteon, C. Colombo, M. Realini and P. Matousek, *Analyst*, 2016, **141**, 5374.
- 140 M. Realini, A. Botteon, C. Conti, C. Colombo and P. Matousek, *Analyst*, 2016, **141**, 3012.
- 141 M. Fleischmann, P. J. Hendra and A. J. McQuillan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1974, **26**, 163.
- 142 R. Aroca, *Surface-Enhanced Vibrational Spectroscopy*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, 2006.
- 143 J. R. Lombardi and R. L. Birke, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 5605.
- 144 J. R. Lombardi and R. L. Birke, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 734.
- 145 D. L. Jeanmaire and R. P. van Duyne, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 1977, **84**, 1.
- 146 M. G. Albrecht and J. A. Creighton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 5215.
- 147 S. Nie and S. E. Emory, *Science*, 1997, **275**, 1102.
- 148 K. Kneipp, Y. Wang, H. Kneipp, L. T. Perelman, I. Itzkan, R. R. Dasari and M. S. Feld, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1997, **78**, 1667.
- 149 B. Guineau and V. Guichard, *ICOM Committee for Conservation: 8th Triennial Meeting, Preprints*, The Getty Conservation Institute, Marina del Rey, CA, 1987, vol. 2, p. 659.
- 150 B. Guineau, *Pigments et Colorants de l'Antiquité et du Moyen Age: Teinture, Peinture, Enluminure Études Historiques et Physico-chimiques*, Colloques International du CNRS, Département des Sciences de l'Homme et de la Société, Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1990, p. 249.
- 151 I. T. Shadi, B. Z. Chowdry, M. J. Snowden and R. Whitnall, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2004, **35**, 800.
- 152 M. V. Cañamares, J. V. Garcia-Ramos, C. Domingo and S. J. Sanchez-Cortes, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2004, **35**, 921.
- 153 M. Leona, in *Proceedings Volume of the Sixth Infrared and Raman Users Group Conference*, ed. M. Picollo, Publisher Il Prato, Florence, TN, Padova, 2005.
- 154 K. Chen, M. Leona and T. Vo-Dinh, *Sens. Rev.*, 2007, **27**, 109.
- 155 K. L. Wustholz, C. L. Brosseau, F. Casadio and R. P. Van Duyne, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 7350.
- 156 F. Casadio, M. Leona, J. R. Lombardi and R. P. Van Duyne, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 782.
- 157 F. Pozzi and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2016, **47**, 67.
- 158 F. Pozzi, S. Zaleski, F. Casadio, M. Leona, J. R. Lombardi and R. P. Van Duyne, in *Nanoscience and Cultural Heritage*, ed. P. Dillmann, L. Bellot-Gurlet and I. Nenner, Springer, 2016, in press.
- 159 N. G. Greeneltch, A. S. Davis, N. A. Valley, F. Casadio, G. C. Schatz, R. P. Van Duyne and N. C. Shah, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 11863.
- 160 M. V. Cañamares, C. Chenal, R. L. Birke and J. R. Lombardi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 20295.
- 161 J. Chang, M. V. Cañamares, M. Aydin, W. Vetter, M. Schreiner, W. Xub and J. R. Lombardi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2009, **40**, 1557.
- 162 Y. Xie, Y. Li, Y. Sun, H. Wang, H. Qian and W. Yao, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2012, **96**, 600.
- 163 M. V. Cañamares, D. A. Reagan, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1147.
- 164 M. V. Cañamares and J. R. Lombardi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 14297.
- 165 K. Chen, M. Leona, K.-C. Vo-Dinh, F. Yan, M. B. Wabuyele and T. Vo-Dinh, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2006, **37**, 520.
- 166 M. V. Cañamares, J. V. Garcia-Ramos, C. Domingo and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2006, **40**, 161.
- 167 M. V. Cañamares and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2007, **38**, 1259.
- 168 A. Baran, B. Wrzosek, J. Bukowska, L. M. Proniewicz and M. Baranska, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2009, **40**, 436.
- 169 D. C. Rambaldi, F. Pozzi, N. Shibayama, M. Leona and F. Preusser, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**(11), 1073.
- 170 Z. Jurasekova, J. V. Garcia-Ramos, C. Domingo and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2006, **37**, 1239.
- 171 T. Teslova, C. Corredor, R. Livingstone, T. Spataru, R. L. Birke, J. R. Lombardi, M. V. Cañamares and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2007, **38**, 802.
- 172 M. Wang, T. Teslova, F. Xu, T. Spataru, J. R. Lombardi and R. L. Birke, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 3038.
- 173 C. Corredor, T. Teslova, M. V. Cañamares, Z. Chen, J. Zhang, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2009, **49**, 190.



- 174 M. V. Cañamares, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *e-Preserv. Sci.*, 2009, **6**, 81.
- 175 Z. Jurasekova, C. Domingo, J. V. Garcia-Ramos and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2012, **43**, 1913.
- 176 H. E. Mayhew, D. M. Fabian, S. A. Svoboda and K. L. Wustholz, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 4493.
- 177 M. Leona and J. R. Lombardi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2007, **38**, 853.
- 178 M. V. Cañamares, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 1907.
- 179 F. Pozzi, N. Shibayama, M. Leona and J. R. Lombardi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 102.
- 180 M. V. Cañamares, J. V. Garcia-Ramos and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2006, **60**, 1386.
- 181 L. H. Oakley, D. M. Fabian, H. E. Mayhew, S. A. Svoboda and K. L. Wustholz, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 8006.
- 182 E. Platania, C. Lofrumento, E. Lottini, E. Azzaro, M. Ricci and M. Becucci, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2015, **407**, 6505.
- 183 S. Bruni, V. Guglielmi and F. Pozzi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2010, **41**, 175.
- 184 B. Doherty, F. Gabrieli, C. Clementi, D. Cardon, A. Sgamellotti, B. Brunetti and C. Miliani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 723.
- 185 C. Zaffino, B. Russo and S. Bruni, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, **149**, 41.
- 186 C. Zaffino, S. Bruni, V. Guglielmi and E. De Luca, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 211.
- 187 S. Bruni, V. Guglielmi and F. Pozzi, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2011, **42**, 1267.
- 188 E. Casanova-González, A. García-Bucio, J. Luis Ruvalcaba-Sil, V. Santos-Vasquez, B. Esquivel, T. Falcón, E. Arroyo, S. Zetina, M. L. Roldán and C. Domingo, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2012, **43**, 1551.
- 189 F. Pozzi, S. Porcinai, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *Anal. Methods*, 2013, **5**, 4205.
- 190 P. C. Lee and D. J. Meisel, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1982, **84**, 3391.
- 191 M. Leona, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2009, **106**, 14757.
- 192 M. V. Cañamares, J. V. Garcia-Ramos, S. Sanchez-Cortes, M. Castillejo and M. Oujja, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2008, **326**, 103.
- 193 M. V. Cañamares, J. V. Garcia-Ramos, J. D. Gómez-Varga, C. Domingo and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 5210.
- 194 Z. Jurasekova, C. Domingo, J. V. Garcia-Ramos and S. Sanchez-Cortes, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2008, **39**, 1309.
- 195 Z. Jurasekova, E. del Puerto, G. Bruno, J. V. Garcia-Ramos, S. Sanchez-Cortes and C. Domingo, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2010, **41**, 1455.
- 196 K. Retko, P. Ropreta and R. Cerc Korošec, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1140.
- 197 S. Sánchez-Cortés and J. V. Garcia-Ramos, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 1998, **29**, 365.
- 198 S. E. J. Bell and N. M. S. Sirimuthu, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2005, **109**, 7405.
- 199 A. V. Whitney, R. P. Van Duyne and F. Casadio, *Proc. SPIE*, 2005, **5993**, 117.
- 200 A. V. Whitney, R. P. Van Duyne and F. Casadio, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2006, **37**, 993.
- 201 C. L. Brosseau, A. Gambardella, F. Casadio, C. M. Grzywacz, J. Wouters and R. P. Van Duyne, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 3056.
- 202 A. V. Whitney, F. Casadio and R. P. Van Duyne, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2007, **61**, 994.
- 203 M. Leona, J. Stenger and E. Ferloni, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2006, **37**, 981.
- 204 S. Bruni, V. Guglielmi, F. Pozzi and A. M. Mercuri, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2011, **42**, 465.
- 205 F. Pozzi, G. Poldi, S. Bruni, E. De Luca and V. Guglielmi, *Archaeol. Anthropol. Sci.*, 2012, **4**(3), 185.
- 206 F. Pozzi, J. R. Lombardi, S. Bruni and M. Leona, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 3751.
- 207 F. Pozzi, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *Heritage Sci.*, 2013, **1**, 23.
- 208 F. Pozzi, K. J. van den Berg, I. Fiedler and F. Casadio, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1119.
- 209 R. Castro, F. Pozzi, M. Leona and M. J. Melo, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1172.
- 210 F. Pozzi, L. K. Chang and F. Casadio, in *ICOM-CC 17th Triennial Conference Preprints, Melbourne*, ed. J. Bridgland, International Council of Museums, Paris, 15–19 September 2014, p. 8, art. 1808, ISBN 978-92-9012-410-8.
- 211 A. El Bakkali, T. Lamhasni, M. Haddad, S. Ait Lyazidi, S. Sanchez-Cortes and E. del Puerto Nevado, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 114.
- 212 M. V. Cañamares, S. Sanchez-Cortes and J. V. Garcia-Ramos, in *IRUG7 Proceedings*, Museum of Modern Art, New York, 28–31 March 2006, p. 19.
- 213 C. L. Brosseau, K. S. Rayner, F. Casadio, C. M. Grzywacz and R. P. Van Duyne, *Anal. Chem.*, 2009, **81**, 7443.
- 214 C. L. Brosseau, F. Casadio and R. P. Van Duyne, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2011, **42**, 1305.
- 215 A. Idone, M. Gulmini, A.-I. Henry, F. Casadio, L. Chang, L. Appolonia, R. P. Van Duyne and N. C. Shah, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 5895.
- 216 A. Idone, M. Aceto, E. Diana, L. Appolonia and M. Gulmini, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1127.
- 217 L. H. Oakley, S. A. Dinehart, S. A. Svoboda and K. L. Wustholz, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 3986.
- 218 S. V. Prikhodko, D. C. Rambaldi, A. King, E. Burr, V. Muros and I. Kakoulli, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2015, **46**, 632.
- 219 J. Y. Roh, M. K. Mateck, S. A. Svoboda and K. L. Wustholz, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 2028.
- 220 M. Leona, P. Decuzzi, T. A. Kubic, G. Gates and J. R. Lombardi, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 3990.
- 221 C. Lofrumento, M. Ricci, E. Platania, M. Becuccia and E. Castellucci, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 47.
- 222 E. Platania, J. R. Lombardi, M. Leona, N. Shibayama, C. Lofrumento, M. Ricci, M. Becucci and E. Castellucci, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1133.
- 223 B. Doherty, B. G. Brunetti, A. Sgamellotti and C. Miliani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2011, **42**, 1932.
- 224 B. Doherty, F. Presciutti, A. Sgamellotti, B. G. Brunetti and C. Miliani, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 1153.
- 225 D. P. Benedetti, J. Zhang, T. J. Tague Jr, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2014, **45**, 123.



- 226 P. S. Londero, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 5463.
- 227 P. Londero, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2013, **44**, 131.
- 228 A. Cesaratto, M. Leona, J. R. Lombardi, D. Comelli, A. Nevin and P. Londero, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14373.
- 229 A. Cesaratto, P. Londero, N. Shibayama, J. R. Lombardi and M. Leona, *Microchem. J.*, 2016, **126**, 237.
- 230 D. Cardon, *Natural Dyes: Sources, Tradition, Technology and Science*, Archetype Publications, London, 2007.
- 231 F. Pozzi, S. Zaleski, F. Casadio and R. P. Van Duyne, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 21017.
- 232 C. Zaffino, G. D. Bedini, G. Mazzola, V. Guglielmi and S. Bruni, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, 2016, **47**, 607.
- 233 S. Zaleski, A. Zrimsek, F. Casadio, N. C. Shah and R. P. Van Duyne, *Microfluidics Combined With Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy for Multiple Analyte Dyestuff Identification, Presented at Scientific Methods in Cultural Heritage Research Gordon Research Conference*, Sunday River Resort, Newry, ME, July 27–August 1, 2014.

