A mediatorless sulfide electrochemical sensing platform utilising a novel nanocopper-oxide screen-printed electrodes (CuSPE) is reported for the first time. The state-of-the-art screen-printed electrochemical sensors demonstrate their capability to quantify sulfide within both the presence and absence of an array of interferents with good levels of sensitivity and repeatability. The direct sensing (using linear sweep voltammetry) of sulfide utilising the CuSPEs provides a mediatorless approach for the detection of sulfide, yielding useful analytical signatures that can be successfully quantified. The proposed novel protocol using the CuSPEs is successfully applied to the sensing of sulfide within drinking water exhibiting a high level of recovery.

**Introduction**

Monitoring the levels of sulfide is extremely important from both an environmental and a medical diagnostic point of view; apart from being a common by-product of various industrial processes, sulfide in low concentrations plays a very important role in mammalian physiological processes such as cellular signalling and redox biology.1 For example, high concentrations of hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) are reported to have a toxic effect upon human health3 while within the human body, anomalies in the concentration of H$_2$S can act as a marker for some diseases. For instance, a low concentration of H$_2$S can be an indicator of Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases, whereas a high concentration of H$_2$S is typically an indicator of Down’s syndrome and type 1 diabetes.3 Furthermore, sulfide is one of the most common by-products released via sulfate reducing bacteria which thrive in swamps, stale protein-rich food (composed of sulfur-containing amino acids) and hot water springs.4 All the aforementioned factors demand the need for low-cost, rapid and highly sensitive detection methods for sulfide.

Electrochemical sensors are a cost effective alternative for the detection of target analytes,5–8 offering an efficient technique with improved scope for clinical assays and environmental applications whilst also having a high efficacy compared to other conventional techniques such as fluorescence,4 gas chromatography,9 chemiluminiscence,10 ICP-AES11 or mass spectrometry.12 Metallic nanoparticles and semiconductor-based electrodes have been reported for the direct sensing of H$_2$S gas.13–17 Such metals are often expected to have increased catalytic effects for the electrochemical oxidation of sulfide.18,19 However, until now, there are very limited reports of using metallic based electrodes such as platinum, gold and nickel electrodes for the direct sensing of sulfide within aqueous media.18,20 Table 1 provides an overview. Owing to the excellent affinity towards sulfide in comparison to various other metals, copper is extensively used in construction of optical and semiconductor based sulfide sensors.13,14,16,21,22 Although perhaps surprisingly, there are no reports of sensors based solely on copper electrodes for the electrochemical sensing of sulfide in aqueous media.

Screen-printed electrodes (SPEs) have added a new dimension to the field of electroanalysis due to their commendable properties, which make them highly suitable for point-of-care diagnostics.6,23–29 Screen-printing technology has emerged as a very promising alternative for the fabrication of low cost, highly reproducible one-shot sensors.30,31 SPEs also overcome the additional step of mechanical polishing which is routinely
Table 1  A brief overview of metallic-based electrochemical sensors for the detection of sulfide in aqueous media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode material</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Sensitivity µA µM⁻¹ cm⁻²</th>
<th>LOD µM</th>
<th>Linear range µM</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>9.9 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>200–800</td>
<td>pH 10 Borax buffer</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>1.8 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>25–50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrochemically generated nickel oxide layer at GCE and SPE</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>5.57 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20–90</td>
<td>In situ analysis in pH 4 acetate buffer. Deposition time 180 s</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-oxidised nickel macroelectrode</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1–140</td>
<td>Determination in acidic media (pH 4 acetate buffer). Deposition time 120 s</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel hydroxide: macroelectrodes and microelectrodes</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>20–200</td>
<td>Detection in alkaline media. Deposition time 300 s</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indium Tin Oxide (ITO)</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>50–350</td>
<td>0.1 M KNO₃ aq.</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuSPEs</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>2.83 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>50–1000</td>
<td>Neutral media (pH 7 B–R buffer). This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


used in the case of solid electrodes to remove the adsorbed species to avoid any fouling of the electrode. Moreover, using SPEs for the direct oxidation of sulfide can be potentially advantageous because sensing can be achieved without the use of a mediator or modification with redox active polymers or metal composites.

In this work, a mediatorless sulfide sensing platform based upon a novel nanocopper-oxide SPE (CuSPE) is reported for the first time. Although copper and copper nanoparticles based SPEs (electrochemically deposited thus requiring a pre-step prior to use) have been reported for various analytes, the present paper is the first documented work on use of a nanocopper oxide screen-printed electrodes for direct sensing of sulfide which provides a novel electrochemical sensing platform that requires no pre-treatment or prior preparatory step.

Experimental

All chemicals were of highest analytical grade (Sigma Aldrich) and used as received. All the solutions were prepared with deionised water of resistivity 18.2 MΩ cm. Phosphate buffer solutions (PBS) of pH 7 were made with disodium hydrogen phosphate (0.025 M) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (0.025 M). For the solution of pH 2.5 to 11, Britton–Robinson buffer (B–R buffer) comprising of 0.04 M each of acetic, phosphoric and boric acids was adjusted to the desired pH by adding 0.2 M NaOH. Stock sulfide solutions (0.05 M) were prepared from sodium sulfide using degassed PBS and used within 4 h to minimise concentration losses due to aerial oxidation. Water samples were collected from a drinking water tap after running for one minute, diluted with PBS (1:1, pH 7), stored at room temperature and used within a day of sampling.

Voltammetric measurements were carried out using an EmStat² potentiostat and controlled by PS Trace 4.4 software. All the electrochemical measurements were carried out using screen-printed electrodes (SPEs) consisted of copper working electrodes (3 mm diameter), a graphitic counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl pseudo reference electrode. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) experiments were performed either in Britton–Robinson (B–R buffer) (pH 2.5 to pH 11) or phosphate buffer solution (PBS) (pH 7) applying deposition time and potential of 60 s and −0.4 V respectively. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images and surface element analysis were obtained with a JEOL JSM-5600LV model equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) microanalysis package.

The novel CuSPEs (3 mm working electrode diameter) were fabricated in-house utilising appropriate stencil designs using a microDEK1760RS screen-printing machine (DEK, Weymouth, UK). A carbon–graphite ink formulation (Product Code: C2000802P2; Gwent Electronic Materials Ltd, UK) was first screen-printed onto a polyester flexible film (Autostat, 250 micron thickness). This layer was cured in a fan oven at 60 degrees for 30 minutes. Next a silver/silver chloride reference electrode was included by screen-printing Ag/AgCl paste (Product Code: C2040308P2; Gwent Electronic Materials Ltd, UK) onto the polyester substrate. A dielectric paste/ink (Product Code: D2070423D5; Gwent Electronic Materials Ltd, UK) was next printed to cover the connection and define the carbon–graphite working electrode (3 mm diameter). For the creation of the CuSPE the addition of a commercially available nanocopper-based ink (Product Code: C2110127D3; Gwent Electronic Materials Ltd, UK) was finally printed over the carbon–graphite working electrode, presenting a uniform surface. After curing at 60 degrees for 30 minutes the screen-printed electrode is ready to use, after curing the electrodes were connected via an edge connector to ensure a secure electrical connection.
Results and discussion

The nanocopper-oxide screen-printed electrodes were fabricated as described with in the Experimental section. An optical image of a typical CuSPE is presented within ESI Fig. 1† where the CuSPE is fabricated via screen-printing a commercial available nanocopper ink upon a flexible polyester substrate with the sensor comprising a graphite counter and a Ag/AgCl pseudo reference electrode. Closest inspection of the working electrode was obtained using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) which was performed upon the underlying graphitic-SPE before and after screen-printing with the nanocopper ink. ESI Fig. 2A and B† show the unmodified graphitic surface which is consistent with our prior literature reports.40,41 The fabrication of the copper nanoparticulate SPEs as described in the Experimental section produces a relatively rough, porous and uniform surface which is clearly observed in ESI Fig. 2C–F†. Complementary Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy analysis (EDX) confirms the SPE surface comprises nanocopper/nanocopper oxide (ESI Fig. 3†).

Next, the voltammetric behaviour of 1 mM sulfide was explored. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was performed in pH 8 B-R buffer solution utilising CuSPEs (Fig. 1), where it is clearly observed that the CuSPE exhibits a large voltammetric signature. This useful electroanalytical signal is likely the result of the formation of a copper sulfide layer, which strips off at anodic potentials (eqn (1a) and (1b)) giving rise to a large voltammetric peak current/analytical signal. An important observation upon the utilisation of the CuSPEs is that an oxidation peak for sulfide only occurs when the copper particles upon the surface of the SPE have been displaced (within the solution) during agitation. This behaviour is similar to the study reported by Giovanelli et al.18 for the case of nickel oxide modified electrodes.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CuO} + \text{HS}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{CuS} + \text{OH}^- & (1a) \\
\text{CuS} & \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- + 2\text{e}^- & (1b) \\
2\text{Cu} + \text{HS}^- + \text{OH}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- & (2a) \\
\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + 2\text{xOH}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{Cu}_{2x-2}\text{S} + \text{xCuO} + \text{xH}_2\text{O} + \text{xe}^- & (2b)
\end{align*}
\]

Note that to ensure electrode reproducibility a protocol in the form of a deposition time at a suitable potential was found to be required. Through trial and error the largest and most reproducible electrochemical response was observed when the deposition time and potential were chosen to be 60 seconds and −0.4 V; this electrochemical protocol was used herein. It is likely that there is disruption of the nanocopper-oxide layer by the initiation of the electrochemical sweep in the presence of sulfide. This electrochemical protocols then aid in the facilitation and formation of CuS at the electrode surface (see eqn (1) and (2)) which helps ensure an optimal electroanalytical signal for sensing of sulfide. This is similar to that reported by Giovanelli et al.18 for the case of nickel oxide modified electrodes.

To optimise the solution composition for the electrochemical oxidation of sulfide, the voltammetric response of CuSPEs was recorded in the presence of 1 mM sulfide within a range of pHs. As is represented in ESI Fig. 4,† the observed voltammetry shows a negative shift in peak potential and a considerable decrease within the peak current upon the increase of the solution pH. The high current at lower pHs can be attributed to the fast electron kinetics of copper within an acidic media. Additionally, at alkaline pHs the formation of a thick layer of copper oxide film can passivate the CuSPE and thus decrease the peak current.44 As such, to make this electrochemical system more feasible for practical applications, e.g. environmental and biological settings, pH 7 was selected in subsequent experiments given it still maintains a satisfactory level of sensitivity. Further note the following pKₐ values: HS⁻ → H⁺ + S²⁻; pKₐ = 14.15; H₂S → H⁺ + HS⁻; pKₐ = 6.88. Thus according at this pH the dominant species is the singly protonated HS⁻ ion which agrees with the mechanism presented in eqn (1) and (2).

The electroanalytical performance of CuSPEs towards the detection of sulfide was next evaluated. A calibration curve was constructed using LSV and a single CuSPE over the entire concentration range (0.0 to 1.0 mM) in a pH 7 phosphate buffer solution. As expected, there was an increase of the peak current upon increasing the concentration of sulfide (Fig. 2A). The corresponding calibration plot (Fig. 2B) demonstrates a

![Fig. 1 Linear sweep voltammograms using CuSPEs in the absence (dashed line) and presence of 1 mM sulfide (solid line) in pH 8 B–R buffer solution. Deposition time and potential of 60 s at −0.4 V respectively (vs. Ag/AgCl) and scan rate 100 mV s⁻¹.](image-url)
linear response \( (I/\mu A = 202.04 \mu A \text{ [mM}^{-1}] - 6.89 \mu A; R^2 = 0.992; N = 3) \) over the analytical range studied (0.0 to 1.0 mM) and a very high sensitivity (2832.3 \( \mu A \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)) alongside a limit of detection \( (3\sigma) \) of 41.0 \( \mu M \). Analytical suitability for sulfide determination in aqueous media using the CuSPEs is demonstrated comparing the results obtained with the data reported in the literature from different metallic-based electrodes, as shown in Table 1. In terms of sensitivity, CuSPEs show an acceptable LOD value considering the low deposition potential applied within the methodology proposed and its feasibility for the sulfide determination in neutral media which make it more appropriate for \textit{in situ} environmental and point-of-care applications.

Additionally, to evaluate the reliability of the proposed methodology, interference tests were performed in the presence of various anions, cations and organic compounds which are likely to be present with sulfide within real samples.\(^{45}\) Interference from chloride, nitrate, nitrite, carbonate, sulfite, thiosulfate, citrate and acetate were tested by spiking an aqueous solution (PBS pH 7) with 2 mM of the corresponding sodium salts within the presence of 0.1 mM sulfide. For the cations, copper, nickel, magnesium, cobalt, lead and ammonium buffer solution was spiked with 2 mM of their corresponding nitrate or chloride salts in the absence of sulfide to avoid formation of insoluble metal sulfides.\(^ {45}\) Apart from these anions and cations, the effect of organic compounds thiamine, riboflavin, urea and ascorbic acid were also studied. As illustrated in Fig. 3, significant interferences were observed with sulfite, nitrite, thiosulfate, acetate, citrate and urea having an inhibitory effect upon the current of the sulfide oxidation peak. This could be potentially avoided by coupling CuSPEs with sulfide selective membranes and/or electrochemically inert anion complexing agents. Additionally, no interferences were reported to cations mentioned above.

The proposed analytical protocol utilising LSV with CuSPEs was successfully applied to determine sulfide concentration in real tap water samples. For this purpose, apparent recovery studies were carried out within tap water (spiked with known concentrations of sulfide) and the results attained are shown in Table 2. The RSD values following testing are shown to be no more than \( \pm 3\% \) \((N = 3)\) and indicate suitability of CuSPE for the monitoring of sulfide in real samples.

![Fig. 2](A) LSV of a CuSPE towards increasing concentration of sulfide over a range of 0.0 to 0.1 mM at pH 7 PBS. Deposition time and potential of 60 s at \(-0.4 \text{ V} \) respectively \((\text{vs. Ag/AgCl})\), scan rate 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) applied between each addition. (B) The corresponding calibration plot \((N = 3)\).

![Fig. 3](LSV peak current ratio of sulfide \((2 \text{ mM})\) in the presence of co-existent interferents \([\text{sulfide}] : [\text{interferents}] 1 : 20\) at CuSPE sensor. Note that concentration of riboflavin is 0.2 mM as it is sparingly soluble in aqueous solutions.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Spiked/ mM</th>
<th>Recovered/ mM</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
<th>% Apparent recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>102.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

This paper has reported for the first time the fabrication and application of nanocopper-oxide screen-printed electrodes for the mediatorless electrochemical sensing of sulfide in aqueous media. These robust and disposable sensing platforms produced in mass with a relatively low cost present a new genre of sulfide sensors wherein the sensitivity is competitive in comparison with other reported metallic-based electrochemical sensors which involve multiple fabrication steps. Quantification of sulfide by using fabricated CuSPEs was also evaluated within the presence of a series of potential interferents such as nitrite, sulfite, citrate, acetate, urea and thiosulfate. Moreover, the CuSPEs exhibited acceptable recovery values for analysis of sulfide within a tap water sample marking their potential for use in different fields.
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