Introduction

Campylobacter species are considered to be the most common causative agents of foodborne diseases globally.\(^1\) According to a recent UK-wide survey by the Food Standards Agency,\(^2\) almost 73% of chicken sold by major retailers tested positive for the presence of Campylobacter. Overall in the UK, Campylobacter is conservatively estimated to be responsible for over 280,000 cases of food poisoning with an estimated 100 fatalities per annum, costing the UK economy £900 million (>US $1.4 billion).\(^3\) Although Campylobacter jejuni is in many studies,\(^7-20\) which may explain the remaining undiagnosed cases of gastroenteritis.\(^10\) Therefore, development and application of new analytical technologies capable of rapid detection and differentiation of these organisms may provide critical information towards the understanding of distribution and risk factors associated with different strains and their transmission routes.

Although the main source of Campylobacter infection is contaminated chicken,\(^11\) probably due to its ubiquitous consumption as an inexpensive protein source and the high body temperature of avian species (41–45 °C),\(^12\) there are other sources of infection, including raw milk,\(^13\) contaminated water,\(^14-16\) and direct contact with animals (e.g. pets).\(^17-20\) The application of appropriate detection and identification techniques may also provide valuable information on the attribution of sporadic infections to sources, assisting in the design of rigorous prevention and control strategies, which have also been successfully demonstrated by several recent studies.\(^21-23\) Due to the relatively fastidious and biochemically unreactive
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nature of *Campylobacter* spp., traditional methods of culture isolation and biochemical testing are laborious, and a number of assays have been developed to allow more rapid detection and identification. These include: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), latex agglutination tests, as well as molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Immunoassays, such as ELISA, are arguably the simplest and, currently, most rapid methods, but cannot differentiate between the most common species (*C. jejuni*, *C. coli* and *C. upsaliensis*). Whilst DNA-based technologies are considered as reliable tools for bacterial identification and detection, they are time consuming; require highly skilled personnel and most importantly, do not provide any real information regarding the phenotypic characteristics of the sample under investigation, and cannot readily differentiate between live and dead cells. In addition, most PCR assays are tailored for the detection of common species, with very few allowing for the simultaneous identification of the more ‘emerging’ foodborne *Campylobacter* species.

During the past two decades, the application of metabolomics-based approaches and technologies into various fields of microbiology has opened up exciting new opportunities. For an overview on metabolomics and its applications in different areas of microbiology, the reader is directed to the following excellent reviews. Metabolic fingerprinting is generally described as a rapid, untargeted, semi-quantitative approach for the detection of intracellular metabolites. Various studies have demonstrated the applications of Raman and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopies as metabolic fingerprinting techniques requiring minimal sample preparation. Furthermore, these are both vibrational spectroscopy methods which can be considered as holistic techniques, providing biochemical (metabolic) fingerprints of bacterial cells which can be used for detection, identification, classification, or as a diagnostic tool for industrial and clinical applications.

Recently, the use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry for *Campylobacter* speciation, amongst other important bacteria, has also attracted a lot of attention. In comparison to molecular techniques, MALDI-TOF-MS requires minimal sample preparation, while offering a rapid and uniform approach for identification and classification of a wide range of bacteria.

This study is focused on employing MALDI-TOF-MS together with Raman and FT-IR spectroscopies, combined with multivariate statistical analysis for differentiation of *Campylobacter* down to subspecies level. The classifications achieved via these techniques were compared with 16S rRNA sequence-based phylogenetic analysis, for confirmation and comparison purposes.

### Materials and methods

#### Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains tested in this study are listed in Table 1. All *Campylobacter* strains were grown on Columbia agar (Oxoid Ltd) supplemented with 5% horse blood (TCS Biosciences). Cultures of *C. jejuni*, *C. coli* and *C. lari* were grown in a microaerobic VA500 workstation (85% N₂, 10% CO₂, and 5% O₂) (Don Whitley Scientific Ltd) at 42 °C except for *C. fetus* which was grown at 37 °C. *C. concisus* and *C. hyointestinalis* were grown at 37 °C in a microaerobic atmosphere containing 5% hydrogen (generated using CampyGen™ gas generation system and sodium borohydride). Harvested cells were suspended in Mueller Hinton broth to an optical density (OD₆₀₀ nm) of 11–12. Cells were then plated onto blood agar plates as replicates (n = 5) and incubated for 48 h as described above. All the strains were grown using the same batch of culturing plates, in order to reduce any potential unwanted phenotypic variation.

#### Sample preparation

Bacterial slurries were prepared by harvesting the biomass from the surface of each plate using sterile inoculating loops and resuspended in 1 mL of sterile normal saline solution (0.9% wt/vol NaCl). From this stage onwards all samples were kept on ice. The prepared bacterial slurries were washed by centrifugation at 5000g for 5 min at 4 °C using a Thermo MicroCL 17R centrifuge (Thermo Scientific, UK).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strain</th>
<th>Original source/Reference</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em> NCTC 11168H</td>
<td>Human faeces*⁹⁷</td>
<td><em>C. j</em>-11168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em> 81–176</td>
<td>Human faeces*⁹⁸</td>
<td><em>C. j</em>-81176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em> 81116</td>
<td>Human faeces*⁹⁹</td>
<td><em>C. j</em>-81116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. coli</em> RM2228</td>
<td>Chicken carcass*¹⁰⁰</td>
<td><em>C. c</em>-RM2228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. coli</em> DW1</td>
<td>Retail chicken meat</td>
<td><em>C. c</em>-DW1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. coli</em> DW6</td>
<td>Retail chicken meat</td>
<td><em>C. c</em>-DW6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. lari</em> RM2100</td>
<td>Human faeces*¹⁰¹</td>
<td><em>C. l</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. hyointestinalis</em> subsp. <em>hyointestinalis</em> NCTC 11608</td>
<td>Porcine intestine*¹⁰²</td>
<td><em>C. h</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. fetus</em> subsp. <em>fetus</em> NCTC 10842</td>
<td>Foetal sheep brain*¹⁰³</td>
<td><em>C. f</em>-fetus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. fetus</em> subsp. <em>venerealis</em> NCTC 10354</td>
<td>Heifer vaginal mucus*¹⁰³</td>
<td><em>C. f</em>-ven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. concisus</em> NCTC 11485</td>
<td>Human gingival sulcus*¹⁰⁴</td>
<td><em>C. con</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
natants were removed and cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of normal saline solution. OD$_{600}$ nm of all samples were recorded using an Eppendorf BioSpectrometer (Eppendorf, Cambridge, UK) and used for sample normalisation. All samples were stored at -80 °C until further analysis.

**FT-IR analysis**

20 µL aliquots from each of the samples were spotted onto a pre-washed Bruker 96-well silicon plate (Bruker Ltd, Coventry, UK), as previously described. The samples were heated to dryness (30 min) at 55 °C using a standing oven. FT-IR analysis of the samples was carried out using a Bruker Equinox 55 infrared spectrometer. Spectral data were collected in the mid-IR range (4000–600 cm$^{-1}$) with 64 spectral co-adds and 4 cm$^{-1}$ resolution. The extended multiplicative signal correction (EMSC) method was employed to scale the FT-IR spectral data, followed by removal of CO$_2$ vibrations (2400–2275 cm$^{-1}$). A total of 45 FT-IR spectra were collected for each strain, which included five biological replicates, three analytical replicates and three machine replicates.

**Raman analysis**

Calcium fluoride (CaF$_2$) disks were washed three times using 70% ethanol and rinsed twice using deionised water. 5 µL aliquots from each of the samples were spotted onto prewashed CaF$_2$ discs and air-dried in a desiccator at room temperature following a previously published protocol. Raman analysis was carried out using a 785 nm laser on a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope (Renishaw Plc., Gloucestershire, UK). All spectra were acquired using 20 s exposure time, in the 466–1878 cm$^{-1}$ range, with three accumulations and 600 l mm$^{-1}$ grating and laser power adjusted on the sample to ~30 mW. Spectral data were collected using the GRAMS WiRE 3.4 software (Galactic Industries Corp. Salem, NH). A total of five Raman spectra were collected for each of the bacterial strains. All Raman spectra were baseline corrected, and then scaled using the EMSC method.

**MALDI analysis**

Bacterial cell pellets were resuspended in 700 µL of water containing 0.1% TFA. The matrix was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of sinapinic acid (SA) in 500 µL of 2% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and 500 µL of acetonitrile (ACN), followed by mixing an equal volume (10 µL) of matrix and bacterial slurry. The mixture was then vortexed for 3 s and 2 µL from this mixture was spotted onto a MALDI-TOF-MS stainless steel plate, and air dried for 60 min at ambient temperature (21 ± 1 °C). An AXIMA-Confidence MALDI-TOF-MS (Shimadzu Biotech, Manchester, UK) equipped with a nitrogen pulsed UV laser with a wavelength of 337 nm was employed for the analysis. The laser power was set at 120 mV, and 70 profiles were collected with 20 shots from each profile using what is known as a square raster pattern, taking approximately 3 min for each sample. The MALDI device was operated using a linear TOF and positive ionization mode, and the mass-to-charge (m/z) of the samples ranged from 500–15 000. A protein mixture of insulin (5735 Da), cytochrome c (12 362 Da), and apomyoglobin (16 952 Da) (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to calibrate the MALDI-TOF-MS device. Five biological replicates and three analytical replicates were collected from each strain, resulting in a total number of 165 MALDI-TOF-MS spectra (11 isolates from *Campylobacter* × 5 biological replicates × 3 analytical replicates). All biological replicates were analysed on five consecutive days, with each replicate on a separate day.

**LC-MS analysis**

Bacterial cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of cold (−20 °C) methanol : chloroform (1 : 2) solution and vortexed for 15 min at ambient temperature, followed by addition of 0.5 mL of water to aid the extraction of lipids by phase separation. All samples were centrifuged at 5000 g for 3 min at −9 °C. Equal volume (500 µL) from the bottom layer of each sample was transferred to a new 2 mL Eppendorf microcentrifuge tube and dried at 40 °C. Dried pellets were normalised according to OD$_{600}$ nm of each sample by reconstituting in different volumes of LC-MS grade water: methanol (1 : 4 v/v). Quality control (QC) samples were prepared by combining 20 µL from each of the normalised extracts. Samples were transferred to LC-MS clear vials with a fixed 200 µL insert.

Analysis was carried out on an Accela UHPLC auto sampler system using a Hypersil Gold C$_{18}$ reverse phase column (L = 100 mm, ID = 2.1 mm, particle size 1.9 µm) coupled to an electrospray LTQ-Orbitrap XL hybrid mass spectrometry system (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany). Excalibur and TunePlus software were used for instrument operation and tuning and calibration was carried out following manufacturer’s instructions. 10 µL of each sample was injected on to the column and a methanol/water (with 0.1% formic acid) solvent gradient (Table S1†) was used for separation of the metabolites over the column. Samples were analysed in positive ESI mode using the following settings: 1 micro scan per 400 ms, 100–1000 m/z range, ESI ion source transfer tube set at 275 °C, tube lens voltage = 110 V, capillary $V$ = 35 V, sheath gas = 40, aux gas = 5, sweep gas = 1, resolution = 30 000 in centroid mode.

Initially, RAW data files were converted into netCDF format within the software conversion option of Excalibur and deconvolution via the XCMS (http://massspec.scripps.edu/xcms/xcms. php) based algorithm in R. A data matrix formatted in Excel (.csv) that indicates retention time vs. mass and peak areas linked to each sample injection was created as an output. QC data from this file was then used to check for analytical reproducibility. Out of the deconvolved feature set those that had percentage coefficient of variance (%CV) of more than 20% in sample groups were removed for robustness in data. Statistical analysis was performed on the resulting matrix containing retention time and m/z pairs for features.

**Multivariate statistical analysis**

All statistical analysis was carried out in MATLAB version 2013a (The Mathworks Inc., Natwick, US). Principal component analysis (PCA), an unsupervised exploratory statistical approach, was applied to all collected data to reduce the
dimensionality of the data. The supervised method of principal component-discriminant function analysis (PC-DFA) was also employed,
which functions by minimising within class variance, while maximising between class variance, to discriminate between the groups according to a priori knowledge (strain information). To simplify the interpretation of the PC-DFA scores plots, hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was applied on the mean of DFA scores from each class of data, to visualise the distance between different clusters. In order to validate the models generated by PC-DFA, the projection approach was applied by which 60% of the samples from each class were randomly selected and used to generate the model (training set), while the remaining 40% were projected into the PC-DFA space to test the model.

All collected data in this study are available upon request from the authors.

### Molecular identification

Genomic DNA was extracted using ArchivePure DNA Cell/Tissue Kit (5 PRIME, Inc.). 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene regions were amplified using Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR master mix (New England Biolabs Inc.) with primers 8F (5′-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3′) and C1288R (5′-CAT TGT AGC ACG TGT GTC-3′).

Amplicons were sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA analyser (Life Technologies) using primers C1412F (5′-GGA TGA CAC TTT TCG GAG C-3′) and 805R (5′-GAC TAC CAG GGT ATC TAA T-3′). Approximately 1040 bases of sequence data from each strain were aligned using ClustalW, and a neighbour-joining tree constructed using MEGA 6 software.

### Results

All spectra collected during the analysis are presented (Fig. 1) and illustrate visually the results obtained from the three analytical methods applied. In relation to results from both of the vibrational spectroscopies, complementary biochemical information from the FT-IR (Fig. 1a) and Raman (Fig. 1b) spectra are readily apparent. By contrast the data from MALDI-TOF-MS has a very different structure in that it is measuring discrete signals, largely from proteins (including ribosomal subunits) and peptides. The next stage was therefore to investigate whether these different physicalchemical approaches could be used to separate out these bacteria into groups that corresponded with the expected species classifications.

### FT-IR analysis

PC–DFA scores plot of the FT-IR data (Fig. 2b), displayed clear separation of C. concisus from all other strains according to DF1, while DF2 separated the rest of the samples. The C. jejuni and C. coli strains formed a tight cluster, while C. lari, C. fetus subspecies fetus and C. hyointestinalis also clustered closely (see Table 1 for abbreviations of species used in Fig. 2 and 3). As DF1 was dominated by C. concisus, and to discriminate between the remaining strains further, a 3D PC–DFA scores plot of the data was constructed using scores from the first three discriminant functions, which clearly separated all the species based on DF2 and DF3 (Fig. 2a).

The generated PC-DFA model was validated using a test set projection validation approach (Fig. S1a), explained in the methods section and employed in other studies, which displayed tight clustering of the training and test sets. The congruent clustering of the test spectra with the spectra used to construct the model does indeed suggest that the clustering pattern seen was wholly representative of the bacterial phenotypes, and that such clustering into the respective bacterial groups was reproducible.

According to DF1 loadings plot (Fig. S2†), the main vibrational regions that contribute toward the separation of C. concisus from other strains in this study include 2920 and 2851 cm$^{-1}$ (CH$_3$ from lipids: 2920 cm$^{-1}$ for asymmetric C–H stretching and 2851 cm$^{-1}$ for symmetric C–H stretching), 1736 cm$^{-1}$ (esters, C=O stretching), amide I (proteins and peptides, 1638 cm$^{-1}$, C=O stretching), and 1200–900 cm$^{-1}$ (polysaccharides). Yet, on DF2 and DF3 loadings plots (Fig. S2†), the most important areas seem to be amides and the fingerprint region (1500–500 cm$^{-1}$).

Interestingly, although C. fetus subspecies fetus and C. fetus subspecies venerealis are subspecies from within the same species and are phylogenetically closely related, according to DF2 axis they cluster some considerable distance away from each other (Fig. 2a). This is also evident from the HCA dendrogram (Fig. 3a), where the subspecies cluster as two distinct groups. To compare and to explore the differences further between the biochemical fingerprints of just these strains, their FT-IR spectral data were examined by PCA. The PCA scores plot (Fig. 4a) displayed clear separation of C. fetus subspecies fetus and C. fetus subspecies venerealis based on PC1, accounting for 69.1% of the total explained variance (TEV). According to PC1 loadings plot (Fig. 4b), the main variants are in the lipids (2920 and 2851 cm$^{-1}$) and the fingerprint region (1500–500 cm$^{-1}$). FT-IR spectra of C. fetus subspecies fetus and C. fetus subspecies venerealis (Fig. 4c), confirmed the PCA findings, as C. fetus subspecies venerealis displayed higher spectral intensities in the lipid region, while C. fetus subspecies fetus exhibited more spectral features in the fingerprint region.

Previous studies have demonstrated the temperature dependence changes in the FT-IR CH$_2$ symmetric vibrational frequency at 2851 cm$^{-1}$ to be linked with membrane fluidity resulting from changes (so called homeoviscous adaptation) in the composition of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids. With this in mind, the ratio of 2851/2920 cm$^{-1}$ for all the samples were compared using box whisker plots (Fig. S3†), which revealed a clear difference between samples incubated at 42 °C (C. coli, C. jejuni and C. lari) and those incubated at 37 °C (C. fetus, C. hyointestinalis and C. concisus). However, no significant differences between C. fetus subsp. fetus and C. fetus subsp. venerealis were observed, emphasising the fact that the PC-DFA (Fig. 2) and PCA (Fig. 4) clustering patterns are not temperature dependent but due to other phenotypic differences.
Raman analysis

PC-DFA scores plot of the Raman spectral data (Fig. 2d) displayed similar clustering patterns to that of the FT-IR data (Fig. 2b), where *C. concisus* was discriminated from all other strains based on DF1. The 3D PC-DFA scores plot of the Raman spectral data (Fig. 2c), also exhibited a very similar clustering pattern to the FT-IR results, where all the remaining species were discriminated based on DF2 and DF3. This is perhaps not surprising, as FT-IR and Raman are considered as complementary vibrational spectroscopy techniques. The generated PC-DFA model was validated by adopting a similar approach to the FT-IR data, which again revealed acceptable reproducibility (Fig. S1b†).

However, unlike the FT-IR findings (Fig. 2a and b), *C. fetus* subspecies *fetus* and *C. fetus* subspecies *venerealis* clustered closely on the Raman PC-DFA plot (Fig. 2c and d), which is also evident from the HCA dendogram of the Raman cluster analysis (Fig. 3b). Initial justification of these results pointed towards the restricted spectral range (466–1878 cm\(^{-1}\)) used for the Raman analysis, which mainly focused on the amide and fingerprint regions (leaving out the fatty acid region) (Fig. 1b).\(^7\) To test this hypothesis, PC-DFA of the FT-IR spectral data was also restricted to 600–1878 cm\(^{-1}\). However, the

---

**Fig. 1** Comparison of FT-IR (a), Raman (b) and MALDI-TOF-MS (c) spectra of all the *Campylobacter* strains examined in this study. Each spectrum represents the average spectra of all the replicates of each *Campylobacter* strain. All spectral data have been scaled,\(^6\) while the Raman data (b) have also been baseline corrected. (c) Also shows an expansion from ca. 3000–1300 m/z to allow additional mass spec. features to be visualised.
two *C. fetus* subsp. were again clearly separated (Fig. S6†), suggesting that the inability to separate these two subspecies using the Raman spectral data is not entirely due to the restriction of the acquired spectral range, but is perhaps due to other underlying factors (e.g. the complementarity of Raman to IR being based on molecular bond polarisability during the vibration compared with net change in dipole moment in the molecule, respectively).

The DF1 loadings plot (Fig. S3†), revealed the peaks at 1551 cm⁻¹ (amide II, combination of C–N stretching and N–H bending), 1141 cm⁻¹ (ester, C–O–C symmetric stretching, often associated with lipids) and 1004 cm⁻¹ (phenylalanine, ring breathing) as the significant variance. Whilst, DF2 and DF3 loadings plots (Fig. S4†) displayed major differences in the fingerprint region, which is consistent with the FT-IR findings (Fig. S2†).

**MALDI-TOF-MS analysis**

The scores plot obtained from the PC-DFA of the MALDI-TOF-MS data (Fig. 2f), was also in agreement with the Raman and FT-IR clustering patterns, and displayed complete separation of *C. concisus* from the remaining strains according to DF1. Similar to that of the FT-IR, the MALDI-TOF-MS 3D PC–DFA scores plot (Fig. 2e), revealed the distinct separation
of *C. fetus* subspecies *fetus* from *C. fetus* subspecies *venerealis* on the DF3 axis. The PC-DFA loadings plots (Fig. S5†) indicated that despite the detection of proteins in the higher m/z region (2000–14000) (Fig. 1c), which could be linked to various ribosomal subunits, the most significant region contributing towards the discrimination of the strains in this study was between m/z of 500–2000 which is the area that contains information from peptides and potentially lipids.
However, comparison of the m/z features detected in this region did not match any of the significant lipids identified in the LC-MS lipid profiles (Table S2†).

The HCA dendogram (Fig. 3c), also produced three main clusters, where C. concisus exhibited a significant distance from all other strains while C. jejuni and C. coli strains were closely linked.

**LC-MS analysis**

To investigate the FT-IR findings further, where the lipid region was most discriminatory, LC-MS of lipophilic extracts was employed to examine and compare lipid profiles of C. fetus subsp. fetus and venerealis. PCA scores plot (Fig. 5a) of the lipid profiles confirmed the FT-IR findings (Fig. 4a), and revealed clear separation of the two subspecies. PC1 loadings plot (Fig. 5b) was employed to identify the most significant variables contributing to this separation. These initial results revealed major differences in the intensities (Fig. 5c) of several classes of lipids including: phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylycerol, phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidic acids, which are involved in glycerophospholipid metabolism and cellular membrane biosynthesis.78 Perhaps this is not surprising as Lambert and colleagues79 also reported major differences in the fatty acid content of Campylobacter strains, which allowed for the differentiation of 365 strains of Campylobacter down to subspecies level.

**Discussion**

Campylobacteriosis is one of the most prevalent foodborne diseases in the UK,80 costing the UK economy around £900 million.4 Furthermore, it is estimated that preceding infection with Campylobacter spp. may also cause nearly 15% of all cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome,81 an autoimmune-mediated disorder of the peripheral nervous system, which is the most common cause of neuromuscular paralysis.82 Therefore, unequivocal detection and identification of Campylobacter spp. is crucial for both appropriate treatment of Campylobacter infections, routine epidemiological surveillance, and food safety.15,83 Despite the availability of established culturing and molecular techniques for classification and identification of Campylobacter spp., these techniques are generally considered either time consuming or else specific for the most commonly isolated species (C. jejuni and C. coli). Thus, in this study FT-IR and Raman spectroscopies along with MALDI-TOF-MS were employed as metabolic fingerprinting and proteomic fingerprinting approaches (which could also be described as spectral phenotyping) to characterise a set of closely related Campylobacter species representing the key human and veterinary pathogens. The ability of these rapid analytical techniques to discriminate among these taxonomic groups was compared to the standard molecular technique.
and 2851 cm\(^{-1}\) these two strains. Further investigation of the FT-IR spectral sequence, did not display any significant di-
venerealis species resulting in four groups in the FT-IR analyses. These FT-IR separate group (away from cluster (ii) detailed above), thereby both C. fetus species were differentiated into a completely separate group (away from cluster (ii) detailed above), thereby resulting in four groups in the FT-IR analyses. These FT-IR findings agreed with the PC-DFA results of MALDI-TOF-MS data (Fig. 2e), where C. fetus subspecies fetus and C. fetus sub-
species venerealis were differentiated according to DF3 axis. By contrast, the phylogenetic tree generated using the 16S rRNA sequence, did not display any significant differences between these two strains. Further investigation of the FT-IR spectral data however, did reveal distinct differences in the lipid (2920 and 2851 cm\(^{-1}\) and the fingerprint region (1500–500 cm\(^{-1}\)) of the two C. fetus subspecies (Fig. 4). Comparison of the lipid profiles obtained via our initial LC–MS analysis (Fig. 5), were in complete agreement with the FT-IR findings (Fig. 4), revealing significant differences in the lipid content of C. fetus sub-
species fetus and venerealis.

Although C. jejuni and C. coli account for more than 90% of campylobacteriosis cases,\(^8\) the contribution of C. fetus is not uncommon, accounting for around 2.4% of cases.\(^5\) C. fetus is also the most common cause of Campylobacter bacteremia (19–53%),\(^6\),\(^7\) with a reported fatality rate of around 14%,\(^6\),\(^8\)\(^9\) as well as being associated with thrombophlebitis. C. fetus is also an important veterinary pathogen causing significant economic losses. C. fetus subsp. fetus is commensal and an opportunistic pathogen of livestock and can cause abortion in these animals and be transmitted to humans via consumption of contaminated food, while C. fetus subsp. venerealis causes an economically significant disease known as bovine venereal campylobacteriosis resulting in reduced fertility and abortion.\(^9\) Therefore, the ability to rapidly identify the correct C. fetus subspecies is vital.\(^9\)

However, rapid and accurate identification of C. fetus subspecies is a significant issue within the field of Campylobacter research. Identifying C. fetus subsp. can be problematic as some strains, such as C. fetus subsp. venerealis Biovar interme-
dius, behave as C. fetus subsp. fetus upon traditional phenoty-
typic tests.\(^9\),\(^3\) There have also been several attempts to develop molecular assays (predominantly PCR-based) for routine diagnostics, but most have been deemed unreliable upon further scrutiny.\(^4\),\(^5\)

It is perhaps worth noting that although the classification results achieved from all three analytical techniques were in general agreement, the results obtained from PC-DFA of the MALDI-TOF-MS and FT-IR spectral data, not only allowed for the differentiation of the Campylobacter spp., but they also pro-
vided the clear separation of C. fetus subsp. fetus from C. fetus subsp. venerealis. In addition, whilst the FT-IR spectral data provided higher reproducibility, the application of MALDI-TOF-MS provides much higher sensitivity and detailed information for identification of the significant peptides or proteins (predominantly ribosomes, and hence the congruence with 16S rRNA) specific to different species. These findings highlight the potential of these techniques as rapid, high throughput and universal approaches for simultaneous detection and differentiation of different Campylobacter spp. down to subspecies levels. In addition, techniques such as FT-IR and Raman spectroscopies also have potential as handheld detection methods,\(^6\) to be deployed within food supply chains to detect particular species of this important foodborne pathogen, and identify points prone to contamination within the complex food processing supply and distribution systems.
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