

## CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015,  
3, 8212

DOI: 10.1039/c5tb90125a

[www.rsc.org/MaterialsB](http://www.rsc.org/MaterialsB)

## Correction: *In situ* crosslinking of electrospun gelatin for improved fiber morphology retention and tunable degradation

A. P. Kishan, R. M. Nezarati, C. M. Radzicki, A. L. Renfro, J. L. Robinson, M. E. Whitley and E. M. Cosgriff-Hernandez\*

Correction for '*In situ* crosslinking of electrospun gelatin for improved fiber morphology retention and tunable degradation' by A. P. Kishan et al., *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/c5tb00937e.

Table 1 in the published article incorrectly indicates the statistical differences between percentage increases in fibre diameters for the 5 $\times$  and 10 $\times$  crosslinked meshes. A corrected version of Table 1 is shown below:

Mesh	Degree of crosslinking (%)	Increase in fiber diameter (%)
1 $\times$	32 $\pm$ 6 <sup>+,×</sup>	170 $\pm$ 13 <sup>a,b</sup>
5 $\times$	61 $\pm$ 7 <sup>+,*</sup>	9 $\pm$ 5 <sup>a</sup>
10 $\times$	91 $\pm$ 1 <sup>*,*</sup>	10 $\pm$ 4 <sup>b</sup>
Glutaraldehyde	57 $\pm$ 1	24 $\pm$ 9 <sup>c</sup>
5 $\times$	61 $\pm$ 7	9 $\pm$ 5 <sup>c</sup>

Statistically significant differences between samples ( $p < 0.05$ ) are indicated by pairs of matching superscript symbols (+, ×, \*, a, b, c).

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

