

PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 8171

Nickel nitride as an efficient electrocatalyst for water splitting†

Menny Shalom,* Debora Ressnig, Xiaofei Yang, Guylhaine Clavel, Tim Patrick Feller and Markus Antonietti

Efficient, robust and low cost materials as electrocatalysts for energy-related applications are highly desired for the future of renewable energy production. Here we show a simple method to fabricate nickel nitride (Ni_3N) on nickel (Ni) foam for electrocatalytic applications. The $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}$ -foam exhibits extremely low overpotential (~ 50 mV), high current density and excellent stability for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) in alkaline solution. In addition, the modified foam demonstrates enhanced activity in the oxygen evolution (OER) and reduction (ORR) reaction compared to original Ni-foam. The activity enhancement can be attributed to the facile formation of a $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ layer on the nitride layer due to improved lattice matching. The formation of the $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ catalyst results in lower overpotentials due to easier water dissociation on the nickel hydroxide layer. In addition, the HER is further improved due to stronger adsorption of hydrogen to the metal nitride than to the pure metal. We believe that the utilization of nickel nitride as an electrocatalyst opens opportunities for energy-related devices such as batteries and fuel cells.

Received 5th January 2015
Accepted 7th March 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ta00078e

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

Introduction

The development of new electrocatalysts for water-splitting applications is a key challenge for the future of clean and renewable energy production.^{1,2} The two reactions that water splitting comprises are the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER).³ The HER is extremely important for the production of clean fuels and in electrolyzer cells,⁴ while the OER, along with the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is of great interest for many energy-related devices such as metal air batteries, electrolyzers, and fuel cells.⁵ In the last years, significant progress in the development of new HER, OER and ORR catalysts has been made, alongside fundamental studies regarding the nature of the corresponding reaction mechanisms. Nevertheless, there is still a real need for new materials to efficiently catalyze these reactions. The desired electrocatalysts should demonstrate low overpotential and high current densities for the given reaction in order to enhance the overall energy conversion efficiency. Up to now, and despite the development of new electrocatalysts that are based on non-noble and earth-abundant metals (*i.e.* metal alloys,^{6,7} metal carbides and nitrides⁸ and molecular metal complexes⁹), the most efficient catalysts still consists of precious metals such as

Pt, Ir and Ru.¹⁰ One promising class of non-precious metal catalysts for energy-related devices are based on nickel, its alloys (NiCo ,⁷ NiMo ,¹¹ NiFe^{12}) or the corresponding metal oxides.¹³ In particular, Ni-foams, which have originally been used as electrode-support in batteries, are interesting for electrocatalysis due to their high specific and electrochemically accessible surface area, which results in high current densities.¹⁴ In addition, Ni-foams are remarkably stable in alkaline solution and can be manufactured on a large scale with diverse surface and structure modifications.

As an alternative to metals and their oxides, metal nitrides attract a lot of attention thanks to their excellent catalytic activities in a variety of reactions for energy related applications.^{2,15,16} Metal nitrides (Ni-Mo-N or Co-Mo-N) show particularly high activity as electrocatalysts towards the HER.¹⁷ Moreover, theoretical calculations predict that metal nitride electrocatalysts possesses many advantages over the pure metals.² In the hydrogen evolution reaction, the superior activity of the metal nitride is explained on the one hand by the high binding energy for hydrogen, which results in higher hydrogen adsorption to the metal nitride surface. On the other hand, the presence of nitrogen strongly influences the electronic properties of the metal by increasing the density of electrons on the surface. Consequently, metal nitrides are found to have higher (electro)catalytic activities in reduction reactions, compared to the corresponding pure metals.^{2,16-18} Another strategy to enhance the performance of Ni in the HER through synergistic effects, was shown recently by the deposition of $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ onto Ni electrodes.^{19,20} The improvement of the

Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, Department of Colloid Chemistry, Research Campus Golm, 14424 Potsdam, Germany. E-mail: menny.shalom@mpikg.mpg.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Further chemical (XRD, SEM, FIB and XPS) and electrochemical characterizations. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ta00078e

catalytic performances was explained by better water dissociation by the $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ near the metal which leads to higher hydrogen adsorption on the Ni.

Here, we show the facile, direct growth of nickel nitride (Ni_3N) on Ni-foam by solid state synthesis for electrocatalytic applications. The growth of the material was achieved by using supramolecular complexes of cyanuric acid, melamine and small amounts of barbituric acid (referred here as CMB). The modification of the Ni-foam results in the formation of a rough Ni_3N layer at the electrode surface, covered with carbon nitride, which can be removed with repeated cyclic voltammetry scans. The modified foam exhibits high electrocatalytic activity in the OER, ORR and especially in the HER.

Results and discussion

The direct growth of the Ni_3N layer was achieved by covering the Ni-foam with the supramolecular CMB complex. The complex was prepared simply by mixing 1 : 1 : 0.1 cyanuric acid, melamine and barbituric acid in water²¹ (detailed information is given in the Experimental part). A thin layer of the solid complex was placed between and on top of two Ni-foams and heated to the desired temperature for 4 hours in a ceramic crucible under a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating ramp of 2.3 K min^{-1} . After the reaction, the electrodes were cleaned with a strong air stream in order to remove loose excess material (characterizations of the removed powder are shown in Fig. S1†). The residual carbon-nitride-like powder could be subsequently removed by running up to 500 cyclic voltammetry cycles as discussed later in this manuscript.

In order to study the synthesis intermediates and products, we heated the mixture to different temperatures, from 475°C up to 600°C . Fig. S2† shows temperature-dependent X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the modified Ni-foam. At the lowest temperature (475°C), an amorphous carbon-nitride structure is formed on the Ni-foam by the condensation of CMB complexes to melem.²² Increasing the reaction temperature to 520 – 550°C results in the growth of $\beta\text{-Ni}_3\text{N}$ with lattice parameters calculated for a hexagonal system of $a = 4.581 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 4.344 \text{ \AA}$ (Fig. 1a and S3†). Stoichiometric deviations and carbon impurities likely lead to lattice adaptations and shifted reflections compared to other Ni_3Ns .²³ Increasing the synthesis temperatures above 550°C results in the decomposition of the metastable metal nitride through loss of the nitrogen, hence only the reflections of metallic nickel remain (Fig. S2†). It is also important to note that during the standard synthesis (at 550°C), the Ni-foam slightly loses its flexibility, while at higher temperatures ($< \sim 600^\circ\text{C}$) the elasticity is completely lost making it less usable for electrochemical applications.

We found that the use of the supramolecular precursor is mandatory for the formation of Ni_3N . Fig. S4† compares images of Ni-foams, either modified with the CMB complex or melamine. The use of melamine results in the growth of a thick carbon-nitride layer on the surface of the Ni-foam which could not be removed with common physical methods. However, the CMB complex carbon-nitride forms a loose, removable powder while the surface of Ni-foam is modified. Strong intermolecular




Fig. 1 (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of a Ni/ Ni_3N -foam with the reference pattern of Ni_3N [ICDD 04-007-3753]. The red circles indicate fcc-Ni and assign reflections of the pristine foam. The in-set shows a representative HRTEM image and the corresponding (Fast Fourier Transforms) FFT pattern of Ni_3N particles that form on the electrode surface. The lattice fringes that match well with $d(110)$ and $d(111)$ of $\beta\text{-Ni}_3\text{N}$; (b) SEM images of the modified foam before; (c) and after the removal of the carbon-nitride layer.

hydrogen bonds within CMB apparently allow smooth modification of the foam without massive intergrowth of carbon-nitride by-products with the foam surface. The synthetic approach is flexible and equally allows growth of nickel sulfide by exchanging melamine with trithiocyanuric acid in the complex. The modification of the foam with this complex results in the formation of a homogenous $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_2$ layer as shown in Fig. S5.† The electrochemical characterization of the $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}_3\text{S}_2$ is however beyond the scope of this paper.

SEM images of the modified foam in comparison to the pristine Ni-foam are shown in Fig. 1, S6 and S7.† While the unmodified Ni-foam surface is rather smooth the modified Ni is covered with a thin layer ($\sim 300 \text{ nm}$) that is composed of Ni_3N and amorphous carbon-nitride according to XRD (Fig. 1a), XPS and HRTEM. More detailed, HRTEM analysis shows that the layer contains small particles ($< 10 \text{ nm}$) with lattice fringes corresponding to Ni_3N (Fig. 1a, inset).

XPS measurements of the foam further confirm the formation of carbon-nitride along with Ni_3N on the surface (Fig. 2). For the N 1s spectrum several binding energies can be distinguished. The main signal shows occurrence of C–N–C groups (398.9 eV) and tertiary nitrogen groups (399.6 eV), which can be attributed to carbon nitride,²² alongside the metal nitride peak






Fig. 2 (a) High-resolution XPS spectra of N 1s; (b) high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s before carbon-nitride removal; (c) high-resolution XPS spectra of Ni 2p after the carbon-nitride removal (s stands for satellite); (d) high-resolution XPS spectra of O 1s. Black corresponds to the experimental, red to the fitting curve and blue to the background.

at smaller binding energy (398.1 eV).²⁴ In addition, the C 1s spectrum shows two main peaks for aromatic C–C and C–N–C bonds at 284.8 eV and 287.9 eV, respectively.²² The final step in the preparation of the electrocatalyst includes the removal of the carbon nitride that covers the foam by several cyclic voltammetry scans at positive voltages. Fig. S8a† shows the 10 first oxidation cycles of the modified Ni-foam in which a strong oxidation peak evolves at ~ 1.5 V vs. RHE.

Fig. 3a and S8b† compare the 5th and the 500th first CV cycles of the pristine and the modified foam. Only with the later, a

strong oxidation (at ~ 1.5 V vs. RHE) and reduction peak (at ~ 1.35 V vs. RHE) evolve during the cycling while the initially transparent KOH solution changes to yellowish, due to carbon-nitride dissolution from the electrode (Fig. S9†). The oxidation of water with Ni in alkaline solution requires several pre-steps. The first step (0.5–1.35 V vs. RHE) is the formation of stable Ni(OH)₂, which is an irreversible process and occurs only in the first cycles. At higher potentials ($E > 1.35$ V), the Ni(OH)₂ species is reversibly oxidized to NiOOH.²⁵ The formation of NiOOH is required to catalyze the OER that sets in at higher potentials ($E > 1.53$ V). In our measurements, we found that the intensity of the NiOOH oxidation peak reaches a maximum and does not change after ~ 500 CV cycles (Fig. S8a and b,† 3a). The strong intensity of the NiOOH formation peak indicates that the modification increases the amount of the active Ni species on the surface.

Ni-foams exhibit high ECSAs, which is one of their advantages for the utilization in electrochemical devices. The ECSA of the foams were determined by double-layer capacitance measurements (Fig. 3b and S8d). The measurements show a 5-times higher ECSA for the modified foam, by assuming identical specific capacitance for both (Table S1†). This high electrochemically-active surface area (ECSA) likely results from surface restructuration processes and etching during the growth of Ni₃N. Moreover, the integration of the Ni^{2+/3+} oxidation wave indicated that the amount of active Ni species for water oxidation was enhanced by a factor of 52. Therefore, the number of potential catalytically active sites for water splitting is dramatically increased upon modification. It should be noted that all further measurements in this paper were conducted on the modified foam after 500 oxidation cycles.

As mentioned, the intense oxidation peak strongly suggests formation of a nickel hydroxide layer on the Ni₃N/Ni surface. XPS measurement of the foam after 500 oxidation cycles clearly confirms the formation of Ni(OH)₂ on the surface^{19,26} (Fig. 2c). Moreover, the N 1s signal totally disappeared (Fig. S10a†) and a new hydroxide peak (530.5 eV) evolved in the O 1s spectrum,²⁶ suggesting that the Ni(OH)₂ replaces parts of the Ni₃N layer. In addition, the removal of the carbon-nitride also becomes evident from the C 1s spectrum (Fig. S10b†), in which the peak for C–C bond dominates (284.7 eV) along with oxidized carbon (288.6 eV).

The partial removal of the side product, the amorphous carbon-nitride layer is also evidenced by the HRSEM images of the foam after the CV cycles (Fig. S11†). In addition, cross section images show that the thickness of the layer decreases to ~ 100 nm, which is probably due to the removal of the carbon nitride layer (Fig. 1c and S7†), and EDX measurements of the modified foam (before and after 500 oxidation cycles) support the creation of a hydroxide layer by the detection of a clear oxygen signal (Fig. S12†). The surface of the activated foam is rougher and covered with small particles (< 50 nm). In order to confirm that the nanoparticles visible in SEM (Fig. S11†) are Ni hydrate/hydroxide on the Ni/Ni₃N surface we conducted EDX line scans. Fig. S11 and S13† show the SEM images and the corresponding line scans of the modified and the original Ni/Ni₃N foams, respectively. This proves a rather homogeneous




Fig. 3 (a) Cyclic voltammetry measurements (25 mV s^{−1} scan rate) (b) cathodic and anodic charging currents measured at 0.86 V vs. RHE plotted as a function of scan rate (the determined double-layer capacitance values of the system are given in Table S1†) (c) linear sweep voltammetry curves (LSV, 1 mV s^{−1} scan rate) for the oxygen evolution reaction and (d) hydrogen evolution reaction of the Ni/Ni₃N-foam compared to the original Ni-foam. (e) Oxygen reduction reaction with the foams recorded at different scan rates and (f) a performance comparison in nitrogen and oxygen saturated solution (25 mV s^{−1} scan rate). All the measurements were performed in 1 M KOH solution.



increase of surface oxide concentration along with the diameter (~ 50 nm) of the patches. However, despite the conversion of the surface to $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$, the XRD data after the electro-oxidation, approves the presence of the metal nitride in the bulk sample (Fig. S14b†).

Linear sweep voltammetry scans (LSV) of the activated Ni-foam towards positive potentials show higher current density in the formation of NiOOH , which leads to a higher catalytic current density for the OER than the pristine foam. In addition, the overpotential for the OER is ~ 60 mV lower with the modified Ni-foam. However, the lower overpotential and the enhanced current density in the OER region can mainly be attributed to the extensive formation of $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ that further oxidize to active NiOOH .

As discussed before, the oxidation of $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}_3\text{N}$ to $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ and subsequently to NiOOH on the electrode surface is thought to be the essential pre-step to oxygen evolution. According to the above data the presence of Ni_3N seems to promote the formation of these hydroxy/oxohydroxy phases. The atomic displacement caused by incorporation of nitrogen to the nickel matrix goes in hand with structural convergence towards these hydroxide phases ($\text{fcc-Ni} \rightarrow \beta\text{-Ni}_3\text{N}$) and weakening of metal-metal bonds, hence likely supports subsequent oxidation during electrolysis from an energetic viewpoint (Fig. S3 and S15†). Furthermore, the increase of the electrochemical activity for the OER can be also attributed to the physical roughening of the Ni surface and to the formation of Ni_3N nanoparticles (Fig. 1a).

Fig. 3d compares LSV curves for the HER of the modified and the pristine Ni-foam. It is important to note that without electrochemical activation that goes in hand with carbon nitride removal (Fig. S9†) the activity of the modified foam is lower (Fig. S16†) and does not change after 500 cycles toward negative potentials (from 1 to -0.5 V vs. RHE). For the pristine Ni-foam the current density also increases after 500 cycles (Fig. S17†), and the overpotential remains almost constant (~ 150 – 180 mV). In contrast the modified foam exhibits a remarkably low overpotential of ~ 50 mV and the current density is twice as high. To the best of the authors' knowledge this overpotential is amongst the lowest for the HER with non-noble metal catalysts²⁷ and slightly higher than that of Pt (Fig. S18†).

To confirm that Ni_3N is essential for the electrocatalytic activity in the OER and HER we modified a Ni foam with $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$, as previously reported (Fig. S19†).¹⁹ In the OER, the $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ exhibited similar performance as the $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}$ electrodes (Fig. S19a†). We note the changes in the current densities can be attributed to the amount of $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ on the surface. However, the importance of the Ni_3N layer for the HER activity is clearly shown in Fig. S19b.† Although the $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ foam showed slightly higher activity than the pristine Ni, the $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}$ foam demonstrated significantly smaller overpotential along with higher current densities in the HER. In addition, the Tafel slope for both, $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}$ and $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ exhibited classical Volmer–Heyrovsky behavior (~ 120 mV dec^{-1}), which is similar to most Ni-based materials in alkaline water electrolysis (Fig. S20†). The Tafel slope of the $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}_3\text{N}$ for the OER side (Fig. S21†) showed a typical value of ~ 60 mV dec^{-1} .²⁸

Furthermore, we characterized the other CMB modified foams, which were prepared at 475 and 550 °C that are not modified with Ni_3N . In all cases both, the OER and HER performances (current densities and onset potentials) were lower than with the pristine Ni-foam and did not show any significant improvement with cycling (Fig. S22†). Hence, the superior performance of the modified foam in HER is likely due to the presence of the $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ junction and not because of the carbon-nitride layer.

For the alkaline HER, water dissociation and hydrogen formation rates are decisive for the productivity. $\text{M}/\text{M}(\text{OH})_2$ catalysts show good productivity because water dissociation is readily achieved with the metal hydroxide, while the protons diffuse to the nearby electron-rich metal nitride surface for the reduction.¹⁹ Recent activity evaluations of different $\text{M}/\text{M}(\text{OH})_2$ couples unveiled the $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ pair to be the most active for the alkaline HER. Here, we show that the activity of $\text{Ni}_3\text{N}/\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_2$ is even higher than the one of the pure nickel electrode, owing to the advantageous electronic configuration of the metal nitride for the hydrogen adsorption and liberation.

It was recently shown by Boettcher *et al.* that Fe impurities in the KOH solution can play a critical role in the activity enhancement of Ni-based electrocatalysts for OER.²⁹ To study the influence of the Fe impurities on our catalysts we measured the HER and OER in Fe-free KOH solution that was prepared as previously described²⁹ (Fig. S23†). For the HER, the electrocatalyst did not demonstrate any noticeable change in activity in the Fe-free KOH solution while the Fe impurities seem to have a small influence on the OER (by slightly quenching the oxidation of Ni^{2+} to Ni^{3+}). The influence of the Fe impurities on the electrocatalytic performance of the Ni-foam seems minor in our study, because of the foams high surface area and the low Fe concentration in the KOH solution, which results in relatively low Fe content in the foam compared to a smooth/thin Ni layer.

The advantage of the modified Ni-foam is also demonstrated in the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). In general, ORR measurements are carried out using a rotating disk electrode (RDE) to increase the diffusion rate of oxygen to the electrode.³⁰ However, due to the monolithic character of the foam it was not possible to use an RDE setup. Moreover, due to strong measurement noise caused by the movement of the electrode in the oxygen stream, it was not possible to bubble oxygen during the measurement, but only before. Consequently, the presentation of ORR results solely compare the activity of the modified foam to the pristine Ni-foam, without detailed quantitative analysis. Fig. 3e shows the LSV profiles of the foams, collected at different scan rates in oxygen- or nitrogen-saturated solution. While the unmodified Ni-foam has no electrocatalytic activity in the ORR, the LSVs of the modified foam clearly shows favorable electrocatalytic behavior. At this stage, it cannot be excluded that remaining carbon impurities (which is a well-known electrocatalyst for ORR) contribute to the electrocatalytic activity.³⁰ The ORR activity is also demonstrated in Fig. 3f, in which both LSV curves (25 mV s^{-1}) in either nitrogen or oxygen saturated solution are shown. The modified $\text{Ni}/\text{Ni}_3\text{N}$ foam exhibits low overpotential for the ORR together with high current densities. However, we note again that due to the monolithic nature of the



foam it is not possible to further analyze the mechanism of this reaction in the current work.

In order to test the stability of the modified Ni-foam as both HER and OER catalyst, we recorded 500 cyclic voltammetry scans, plus for the HER the changes of the overpotential at 10 and 100 mA cm⁻² for two hours. For the OER, only the most critical measurements at 100 mA cm⁻² were performed. Fig. 4a shows HER chronoamperometry measurements at 10 and 100 mA cm⁻² and compares the initial and final overpotentials of the two foams. At a current density of 10 mA cm⁻² the overpotentials remain almost constant for both foams. The overpotential needed to achieve this current density is remarkably lower for the modified foam (~100 mV) than for the pristine foam (~200 mV). The superior performance of the modified foam becomes even more evident at higher current density (100 mA cm⁻²) where the overpotential is only 260 mV compared to 400 mV. Moreover, the overpotential remains almost constant, with a drop of only 13 mV after two hours while the overpotential for the original foam increases by ~60 mV in 2 h. Given these values, the performance of the modified foam is at the forefront of non-noble metal based HER electrocatalysts. Additional information on the stability of the modified foam is given by the measurement of the current voltage properties for 500 cycles at a scan rate of 25 mV s⁻¹. Fig. 4b shows the first and the five-hundredth scan of the modified foam. The electrode demonstrates high stability, with negligible change in the onset potential along with just ~15% current loss. However, these current density losses can be mainly attributed to the formation of gas bubbles, which accumulated during the scanning.

Fig. 4c compares OER chronoamperometry measurements of the modified and the pristine foam at 100 mA cm⁻² for 1 h. The advantage of the modified foam is demonstrated with the lower overpotential which is required to support this high current (~0.48 V vs. 0.52 V) and even more by its high stability and the low overpotential changes during the measurement. While the overpotential of the original foam increases by ~90 mV after one hour, the overpotential of the modified foam increases by

only ~15 mV with more than 90% Faradaic efficiency. The stability of the modified Ni-foam was also tested by measuring the current voltage properties for 500 cycles at a scan rate of 25 mV s⁻¹ (Fig. 4d). The current density and the overpotential of the modified foam did not change after 500 CV scans, demonstrating the high stability of the modified foam. In addition, longer stability measurements for HER and OER (100 mA cm⁻² at 25 °C for 12 h and 250 mA cm⁻² at 61 °C for 2 h) which further confirmed the high stability of the modified foam are shown in Fig. S24 and S25.† Recent work by Chorkendorff *et al.* showed that the electrochemical stability is not enough to judge the total stability of the material due to partially dissolution of the electrocatalyst.³¹ In order to confirm the stability of the modified foam we tracked the Ni content with ICP-OES (inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry) of the KOH solution before and after 500 oxidation scans. The Ni content was in both cases below 1 ppm and with no noteworthy differences of the signals, indicating insignificant material losses through dissolution processes.

The stability of the Ni/Ni₃N foam was also confirmed by the XRD measurements and the SEM images of the modified foam after all electrochemical measurements (Fig. S13†). The XRD pattern of the nickel nitride remained unchanged, suggesting that the core material is still composed of nickel nitride on Ni.

The properties of the modified foam were also measured in extreme alkaline environment (6 M KOH). Fig. S26a† compares the LSV curves of the hydrogen evolution reaction of the modified foam and the original foam. The overpotential of the modified foam remains low (~85 mV) and the current densities very high. In contrast, the original foam exhibits an overpotential of around 200 mV and 2 times lower current densities. Most importantly, the modified foam exhibits high stability in the chronoamperometry study also under these “accelerated ageing” conditions (Fig. S26b†). The overpotential of the modified foam remained almost constant for 1 h at 100 mA cm⁻². In addition, the overpotential for the OER is reduced down to 280 mV, and high current densities can be obtained (Fig. S26c†). It is important to note that in this strong alkaline solution the original foam also exhibits low overpotential for the OER.




Fig. 4 (a) Electrolysis (HER) at 10 and 100 mA cm⁻² per geometric area. (b) Cyclic voltammetry measurements. The red and the black curves stand for the first and the five hundredth scan respectively. Electrolysis (OER) at 100 mA cm⁻² per geometric area (c) and cyclic voltammetry measurements (d). The red and the black curves stand for the first and the five hundredth scans, respectively. For all the measurements 1 M KOH in water was used as electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry curves were recorded at a scan rate of 25 mV s⁻¹.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated an efficient and generic method to modify the surface of Ni-foams with a Ni₃N layer for electrocatalytic applications. The modification of the foam also results in a five-fold increase of the electrochemically active surface area and in increase of active Ni²⁺ species by more than 50 times. The modified foam exhibits low overpotentials, high current densities and good stability for the hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline solutions. The high performances in HER are explained by *in situ* formation of Ni hydroxide on the Ni nitride layer, potentially promoted by the structural similarities of both materials. The modified foam also exhibits enhanced activity in the oxygen evolution and reduction reaction compared to original Ni-foam. We believe that the simple modification along with the electrocatalytic properties of the



Ni/Ni₃N foam open opportunities for energy-related devices such as water electrolyzers, batteries and fuel cells.

Experimental section

Synthesis of modified Ni-foam

The CMB complexes were prepared by dissolving a 1 : 1 : 0.1 mass ratio of cyanuric acid, melamine and barbituric acid (2.1 g total weight) in 40 ml of water. The dispersion was then mixed for 4 hours with an automatic shaker, inducing precipitation of the CMB complex, which was separated from solution by filtration using a Buchner funnel. The white powder was washed several times with water and dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven. For the films preparation, a CMB powder (thin layer) was placed below and above a piece of commercial Ni foam (MTI Corporation, item number: EQ-bcnf-16 m) in a ceramic crucible (topped with a ceramic cap) and calcined at different temperatures under a nitrogen flow with a heating rate of 2.3 °C min⁻¹ (Fig. S2a†). After the cooling down, the electrodes were cleaned by a strong air stream. For further removal of powder impurities the modified foam was cycled 500 times, between 1.05 and 1.65 V (*vs.* RHE) in an alkaline solution as discussed in the manuscript.

Ni(OH)₂-modified electrodes were prepared by chemical deposition as described elsewhere.³² The pristine foam was immersed to an aqueous NiCl₂ solution (0.1 M) for 12 h, and washed thoroughly with water before being introduced into the electrolyte. For the final oxide coverage the foam was cycled from 1 to -0.5 V *vs.* RHE until no change in the activity was observed. Purification of the KOH buffer was achieved according to the procedure that was described by Boettcher *et al.*²⁹

Characterization

X-ray diffraction-patterns were measured on a Bruker D8 Advance instrument using Cu-K α radiation. The reference Ni₃N and Ni₃S₂ patterns were taken from the NIST database (entry number 04-011-7280 and 04-008-8458, respectively). The surface electronic states were analyzed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Perkin-Elmer PHI 5000C). Elemental analysis was accomplished as combustion analysis using a Vario Micro device. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained on a LEO 1550-Gemini. Energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analyses and investigations of the morphology by SEM were performed on a JEOL (JSM – 7500 F) device equipped with an Oxford Instruments X-MAX 80 mm² detector. A cross section of the electrodes was performed using a Helios 600, dual beam SEM, and focus ion beam (FIB) instrument. FT-IR spectra for the characterization of the compounds were recorded on a Varian1000 FT-IR spectrometer. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were performed on a FEI TITAN microscope operated at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. The foams were crushed in a mortar and the obtained powders were dispersed in chloroform and deposited onto copper TEM grids coated with holey carbon. ICP-OES was measured on a Varian Vista – MPX instrument equipped with a CCD detector. Aqueous calibration solutions of 2, 10 and 20 ppm were freshly prepared from Merck millipore standard solutions (1000 ppm).

Electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical tests were performed in 0.1 M, 1 M and 6 M KOH in a three-electrodes cell setup with a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference electrode and a platinum wire as counter electrode using a Gamry Reference 600 potentiostat (Gamry Instruments). Cyclic voltammetry was carried out at a scan rate of 25 mV s⁻¹. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was carried out at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. All the data was not *iR* corrected due to the high current densities values. Chronoamperometry measurements were conducted by monitoring the voltage at constant currents. The double layer capacitances were determined by CV in a potential window of 0.1 V (from 0.81 V to 0.91 V *vs.* RHE) in the non-Faradaic region. For these measurements we used different scan rates from 50 to 400 mV s⁻¹. The working electrode was held at each potential for 10 s before the measurement. The double-layer charging current (*i*_c) is equal to:

$$i_c = \nu C_{DL}$$

where ν is the scan rate and C_{DL} is the electrochemical double-layer capacitance. Therefore, electrochemical double-layer capacitance can be extracted by plotting the double-layer charging current (*i*_c) as a function of the scan rate ν .

For the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), the solution was purged with N₂ for 20 min before each control measurements. Afterward, the solution was purged with O₂ for 20 min before each measurement. However, due to strong noise, during the measurement the gas flow was turned off. All measurements were referred to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by using the relationship: $V_{RHE} = V_{SCE} + 0.244 \text{ V} + \text{pH} (0.059 \text{ V})$.³³ Tafel slopes were obtained by recording the current in different voltages after 10 min chronoamperometry at each voltage. The O₂ evolution (only in the solution) was monitored by an oxygen probe (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Fibox 3 fiber optic oxygen transmitter) in a three neck flask (not sealed). The Faradaic efficiency was calculated by

$$\% = \frac{\text{Oxygen molecules(mol)}}{\text{Mol of electrons/4}}$$

Acknowledgements

M.S. would like to thank “Minerva fellowship” for financial support.

Notes and references

- 1 L. Schlapbach and A. Züttel, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 353.
- 2 W. F. Chen, K. Sasaki, C. Ma, A. I. Frenkel, N. Marinkovic, J. T. Muckerman, Y. M. Zhu and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6131.
- 3 (a) N. M. Markovic, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 101; (b) A. Kudo and Y. Miseki, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 253; (c) J. R. McKone, S. C. Marinescu, B. S. Brunshwig, J. R. Winkler and H. B. Gray, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 865.



- 4 M. S. Dresselhaus and I. L. Thomas, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 332.
- 5 (a) A. Grimaud, K. J. May, C. E. Carlton, Y.-L. Lee, M. Risch, W. T. Hong, J. Zhou and Y. Shao-Horn, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2439; (b) Z. P. Shao and S. M. Haile, *Nature*, 2004, **431**, 170.
- 6 J. Cai, L. Xu, J. M. Wang, L. Y. Zhang, H. Zhou, Y. Zhong, D. Chen, H. Q. Fan, H. B. Shao, J. Q. Zhang and C. N. Cao, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2013, **38**, 934.
- 7 S. Chen, J. J. Duan, M. Jaroniec and S. Z. Qiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 13567.
- 8 (a) W. F. Chen, J. T. Muckerman and E. Fujita, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8896; (b) W.-F. Chen, K. Sasaki, C. Ma, A. I. Frenkel, N. Marinkovic, J. T. Muckerman, Y. Zhu and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6131.
- 9 A. Le Goff, V. Artero, B. Jousset, P. D. Tran, N. Guillet, R. Metaye, A. Fihri, S. Palacin and M. Fontecave, *Science*, 2009, **326**, 1384.
- 10 (a) K. A. Kuttiyil, K. Sasaki, W. F. Chen, D. Su and R. R. Adzic, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 591; (b) C. C. L. McCrory, S. H. Jung, J. C. Peters and T. F. Jaramillo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16977.
- 11 M. M. Jaksic, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2001, **26**, 559.
- 12 M. Gong, Y. G. Li, H. L. Wang, Y. Y. Liang, J. Z. Wu, J. G. Zhou, J. Wang, T. Regier, F. Wei and H. J. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 8452.
- 13 I. M. Sadiek, A. M. Mohammad, M. E. El-Shakre and M. S. El-Deab, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 68.
- 14 (a) W. J. Zhou, X. J. Wu, X. H. Cao, X. Huang, C. L. Tan, J. Tian, H. Liu, J. Y. Wang and H. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 2921; (b) N. H. Chou, P. N. Ross, A. T. Bell and T. D. Tilley, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, **4**, 1566; (c) A. J. Esswein, Y. Surendranath, S. Y. Reece and D. G. Nocera, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 499.
- 15 J. G. G. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 1477.
- 16 M. Shalom, V. Molinari, D. Esposito, G. Clavel, D. Ressenig, C. Giordano and M. Antonietti, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 1272.
- 17 B. F. Cao, G. M. Veith, J. C. Neufeld, R. R. Adzic and P. G. Khalifah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 19186.
- 18 G. Clavel, V. Molinari, A. Kraupner and C. Giordano, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 9018.
- 19 N. Danilovic, R. Subbaraman, D. Strmcnik, K. C. Chang, A. P. Paulikas, V. R. Stamenkovic and N. M. Markovic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12495.
- 20 R. Subbaraman, D. Tripkovic, D. Strmcnik, K. C. Chang, M. Uchimura, A. P. Paulikas, V. Stamenkovic and N. M. Markovic, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 1256.
- 21 M. Shalom, M. Guttentag, C. Fettkenhauer, S. Inal, D. Neher, A. Llobet and M. Antonietti, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5812.
- 22 A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J. O. Muller, R. Schlögl and J. M. Carlsson, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 4893.
- 23 Z. L. Schaefer, K. M. Weeber, R. Misra, P. Schiffer and R. E. Schaak, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 2475.
- 24 A. N. Cloud, L. M. Davis, G. S. Girolami and J. R. Abelson, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A*, 2014, **32**, 2.
- 25 R. L. Doyle, I. J. Godwin, M. P. Brandon and M. E. G. Lyons, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 13737.
- 26 M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, L. W. M. Lau, A. Gerson and R. S. C. Smart, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2009, **41**, 324.
- 27 J. R. McKone, B. F. Sadtler, C. A. Werlang, N. S. Lewis and H. Gray, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 169.
- 28 M. E. G. Lyons and M. P. Brandon, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2008, **3**, 1386.
- 29 L. Trotochaud, S. L. Young, J. K. Ranney and S. W. Boettcher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6744.
- 30 Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, M. Jaroniec, Y. G. Jin and S. Z. Qiao, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 3550.
- 31 R. Frydendal, A. E. Paoli, P. B. Knudsen, B. Wickman, P. Malacrida, E. L. I. Stephens and I. Chorkendorff, *ChemElectroChem*, 2014, **12**, 2075.
- 32 N. Danilovic, R. Subbaraman, D. Strmcnik, K.-C. Chang, A. P. Paulikas, V. R. Stamenkovic and N. M. Markovic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12495.
- 33 D. J. G. Ives and G. J. Janz, *Reference electrodes, theory and practice*, Academic Press, 1961.

