Is there a universal reaction mechanism of Li insertion into oxidic spinels: a case study using MgFe$_2$O$_4$†
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Structural and electronic changes during Li insertion into spinel-type MgFe$_2$O$_4$ particles with different sizes were studied applying a multi-method approach yielding a detailed picture about distinct reaction steps during Li uptake. A small amount of Li is intercalated into the smaller particles (8 nm) at the beginning of the reaction while no such reaction step occurs for the large crystallites (ca. 100 nm). Li uptake is accompanied by a reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ ions and simultaneous movement from the tetrahedral to an empty octahedral site. After uptake of 2 Li per formula unit all ions have moved from tetrahedral to free octahedral voids resulting in the formation of a disordered NaCl-type material. Insertion of 4 further Li atoms transforms the crystalline material to an amorphous and inhomogeneous product consisting of a Li$_2$O matrix with embedded nanosized metallic Fe and MgO particles. During the charge process Fe is oxidized to FeO and Li$_2$O is converted to Li.

1. Introduction

Today’s portable consumer electronics and electronic vehicles are under development and demand for new battery materials with high energy density and fast charge/discharge kinetics.$^{1-4}$ On the one hand new materials for Li-ion batteries (LiBs) need to be developed.$^{5-7}$ On the other hand characterization of reversible and irreversible phase transitions during charge and discharge is vital for the understanding of processes which determine the applicability of battery materials.$^{8-10}$ In conventional batteries graphite is used as an anode material, and Li intercalation and deintercalation are the basic reactions in this type of batteries.$^{11}$ Alternatives for graphite are nanomaterials like transition metal oxides. The advantages and disadvantages of nanomaterials in LiBs were discussed extensively in the literature.$^{12-14}$ A careful control of the nanoparticle size and shape can greatly improve the performance, capacity and cyclability of nanoparticle based Li batteries.$^{15,16}$ Using nanoparticulate metal oxides as anode materials leads to a change in the reaction mechanism from “classic” intercalation to a so-called conversion reaction. The reversible electrochemical reaction mechanism of Li with transition metal oxides like MO (M = Co, Ni, Cu or Fe) proceeds like a displacive redox reaction (eqn (1)).$^{16-18}$

$$M^{x+}O + 2Li^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow Li_2O + M^{x+} \quad (1)$$

Such conversion reactions are not limited to oxides and they can be generally formulated with eqn (2):

$$TM_yQ_x + 2ye^- + 2yLi^+ \leftrightarrow x[TM]^{x+} + yLi_2Q \quad (TM = \text{transition metal}, Q = O, S, Se). \quad (2)$$

At the end of the conversion reaction a Li$_2$O matrix is formed with embedded nanosized metal particles. $2y/x$ Li ions can be converted per TM ion leading to specific capacities beyond 500 mA h g$^{-1}$. A disadvantage of conversion reactions is that the formation of a new phase may lead to large volume changes, often resulting in strong capacity fading during the first cycles, or even to the total loss of contact to the current collector.$^{19}$

The conversion materials exhibit higher capacities if TM ions with higher oxidation states are applied, and the potential of the battery cell can be adjusted by the strength of the metal-oxygen bond.$^{13}$ Transition metal spinel oxides with the general formula AB$_2$O$_4$ (A = Zn, Fe, Mn, Ni, Co; B = Fe, Co, Mn) are intensively investigated because their theoretical capacities are larger than 1000 mA h g$^{-1}$.$^{20-24}$ Ferrites with the formula MFe$_2$O$_4$ (M = transition metal) with carbon coating, graphene supported surfaces and special morphology show good cycle stability over more than 100 cycles.$^{25-30}$
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MgFe$_2$O$_4$ is environmentally friendly, non-toxic, based on earth abundant elements and hence the material is cheap. Some groups already investigated MgFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles as an anode material for LiBs: Sivakumar et al. used nanoparticles obtained via ball milling showing a capacity of 1480 mA h g$^{-1}$, but the cycling performance was poor with only 300 mA h g$^{-1}$ after ten cycles. Pan et al. synthesized nanoparticles by the sol-gel method by mixing nanoparticles with 20 wt% carbon black, leading to a better cyclic stability and after 50 cycles the capacity was still 493 mA h g$^{-1}$. Yiu et al. prepared 33 nm particles mixed with 40 wt% Super P Carbon and 20 wt% PVDF showing an initial discharge capacity of 1123 mA h g$^{-1}$ and 635 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 50 cycles. Gong et al. used carbon coated polydisperse nanoparticles (20 to 175 nm) providing a better cyclic stability after 50 cycles of about 600 mA h g$^{-1}$.

The capacity loss between the first and the following cycles is a general observation made for ferrites MFe$_2$O$_4$ (Mn, Fe, Co, Ni). One reason may be that the elemental metallic nanoparticles formed in the Li$_2$O matrix generate a large interface area where the formation of a solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) irreversibly consumes Li and significantly reduces the reversibility of Li introduction and extraction.

In the last few years we investigated the Li insertion behavior of several compounds with the focus on the reaction mechanism, structural and magnetic properties as well as Li mobility properties. The studies exhibited remarkable differences in Li insertion behavior for different selenides e.g. Cr$_2$TiSe$_6$ or CuCr$_2$Se$_4$ and the spinel MnFe$_2$O$_4$. For MnFe$_2$O$_4$ we were able to formulate a step-by-step reaction mechanism from the beginning of Li uptake until full conversion was reached applying a multi-method approach including in situ QuickXAFS, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, HRTEM, $^7$Li MAS NMR spectroscopy and electrochemical experiments. The main findings of this investigation are: (i) only a very small amount of Li can be intercalated while simultaneously Fe$^{3+}$ on the tetrahedral site is reduced and moves to an empty octahedral site; (ii) insertion of 1 Li/MnFe$_2$O$_4$ further reduces Fe$^{3+}$ which is accompanied by the movement of Mn$^{2+}$ to empty octahedral sites generating NaCl-like oxide domains and Li$_2$O is simultaneously formed; (iii) after uptake of 2 Li per MnFe$_2$O$_4$ all Fe$^{3+}$ ions are reduced and only NaCl-type monoxide and Li$_2$O could be detected; (iv) full conversion of the monoxide into metallic Fe and Mn nanoparticles in a Li$_2$O matrix is achieved after insertion of 8 Li/MnFe$_2$O$_4$; (v) during the charge cycle nanosized transition metal oxides are formed. The key question is whether the reaction steps proceed in a similar or different way is MgFe$_2$O$_4$ with Mg$^{2+}$ on the tetrahedral site exhibiting distinct electrochemical behavior compared to transition metal cations on this site. Here we report a detailed reaction mechanism for the conversion of MgFe$_2$O$_4$ particles of two different sizes during Li uptake. The comparison of the progress of the reaction applying one material with two different sizes should give hints about the advantages/disadvantages of using small nanoparticles compared to major large particles and its impact on the mechanisms. The pristine materials were thoroughly characterized using XRD, EDX, SEM, TEM and $N_2$-sorption. Electrochemical performance was investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic discharge/charge. Samples with distinct Li contents were characterized by XRD, $^7$Li MAS NMR and $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1 Synthesis

MgFe$_2$O$_4$ was synthesized applying a precursor route. All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without purification. 5.0 mmol Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (98%, ABCR), 10 mmol Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O (98%, Riedel-de Haen) and 15 mmol citric acid (99%, Merck) were ball milled for 2 h in a Fritsch Pulverisette with 15 mm agate balls, at 500 rpm in air. The viscous product was transferred to an open cup and heated in a pre-heated oven at 500 °C (MgFe$_2$O$_4$A) and 1000 °C (MgFe$_2$O$_4$B) for 3 h.

### 2.2 Characterization

XRD patterns were recorded with an XPERT PRO PANalytical with a Göbel mirror and a PIXcel detector using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation. EDX spectra were recorded on a Philips ESEM XL 30 with an EDAX New XL-30 Detecting Unit. SEM images were taken in a Zeiss Ultra 55 with an FE-Cathode. Nitrogen sorption experiments were performed with a BELSORP Max from Bel Japan INC. The samples were activated for 14 h at 200 °C under a vacuum (0.1 mbar). TEM investigations were performed in a Tecnai F30 G2-Twin microscope at 300 kV with a field emission gun cathode and a Si/Li detector for nanoprobe EDX (EDAX system). For TEM experiments the samples were suspended in $n$-butanol and treated in an ultrasonic bath to induce particle separation. The dispersions were dropped onto a holey-carbon copper grid.

### 2.3 Electrochemical measurements

For the electrochemical characterization 80 wt% MgFe$_2$O$_4$ powder was mixed with 10 wt% SUPER C65 Carbon (Timcal, Switzerland) and 10 wt% PVDF (Solvay, Germany). The mixture was dissolved with NMP (N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone), deposited on copper foil, dried overnight at room temperature and sintered at 100 °C for 24 h in a vacuum drying chamber. Afterwards 10 mm discs were cut with about 2 mg active material and applied as a cathode in a Swagelok type test cell, using Li metal as the anode, glass fiber filter disks (Whatman, United Kingdom) as the separator, and a solution of 1 M LiPF$_6$ in an ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate mixture (Merck, Germany) as the electrolyte. Test cells were discharged/charged with C/10 rate, performed with a Materials Mates 510 DC.

For XRD, Mössbauer and $^7$Li NMR experiments on lithiated samples, 70 wt% MgFe$_2$O$_4$ was mixed with 15 wt% SUPER C65 Carbon and 15 wt% PVDF as a binder. The mixture was pressed into pellets (210 kg m$^{-2}$) and used as a cathode in a Swagelok
type test cell with Li metal as the anode, glass fiber filter disks as the separator, and the electrolyte mentioned above. The cells were assembled in an argon filled glove box (<1 ppm O₂, <1 ppm H₂O). A test cell was discharged with C/20 rate until the desired formal composition LiₓMgFe₂O₄ was reached (Materials Mates 510 DC). The cells were opened in the glove box and the recovered powders were used for further measurements without purification. Typically about 25 mg of Li containing powder could be obtained in a 10 mm Swagelok cell. For XRD measurements, materials were transferred to an iron sample holder and protected with Kapton foil.

³⁷Li magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR was performed at room temperature on a Bruker Avance 200 MHz spectrometer at a magnetic field of 4.7 T corresponding to a Larmor frequency of ν_L = 77.8 MHz. A spinning speed of 60 kHz was applied using 1.3 mm rotors in a dry nitrogen atmosphere. A 1 M LiCl solution served as a ³⁷Li reference (0 ppm). The typical value for the recycling delay of ³⁷Li was 1 s. ³⁷Li MAS NMR experiments were implemented with a rotor-synchronized Hahn-echo sequence (π/2–τ–π–τ–acquisition) and a typical π/2 pulse length of 2 μs. ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra were acquired using ⁵⁷Co(Rh) as the γ-ray source in the transmission geometry. The velocity scale was calibrated with metallic α-Fe foil. Isomer shifts are given relative to that of α-Fe at room temperature.

3. Results

3.1 Characterization of the pristine materials

In Fig. 1 X-ray powder patterns of the two samples together with the calculated diagram are shown. Only reflections of cubic MgFe₂O₄ can be observed. Reflections of the sample MgFe₂O₄_A are significantly broadened compared to MgFe₂O₄_B indicating coherently scattering domains within the nanoregime and the average size of the domains was estimated from the XRD pattern using the fundamental approach implemented in the TOPAS-4 software⁵⁶ yielding 8(0.1) nm for MgFe₂O₄_A. The refined lattice parameter a of sample A is 8.386(1) Å. The domain size of MgFe₂O₄_B is 96(1) nm and the refined lattice parameter a is slightly larger (8.3955(1) Å). The smaller value for a for sample A could be attributed to defects and stress/strain as observed for MgO or CeO₂ (ref. 45 and 46) and also for nanoparticles of MgFe₂O₄ with a between 8.386 and 8.430 Å,⁴⁷ depending on the synthesis conditions.

The EDX elemental analysis yields a ratio of Mg to Fe of about 1 : 1.71 for A and 1 : 1.97 for B (ESI†). The root mean square deviation of four measured locations is 3.5% for A and 4.4% for B, and therefore they are less than the experimental standard deviation of EDX analysis (about 5%).

SEM images of MgFe₂O₄_B display spongy agglomerated particles with sizes in the range of micrometers (Fig. 2). The N₂-sorption curve for MgFe₂O₄_A shows a type-IV-isotherm (ESI†) typically for particles with textural porosity. The specific surface area according to the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method is S_BET = 48 m² g⁻¹ for A. The sample MgFe₂O₄_B exhibits no N₂-sorption due to a very small specific surface area.

TEM results of MgFe₂O₄_A and MgFe₂O₄_B are presented in Fig. 3 and 4, respectively. Nanoprobe EDX analyses gave no indication for chemical inhomogeneity, and the SAED pattern exhibits only reflections of cubic MgFe₂O₄. The SAED pattern of sample A shows smaller d-values compared to B as also observed in the XRD patterns. A polydisperse size distribution of particles ranging from about 4 to 14 nm with an average particle size of 8.7 nm as determined via statistical analysis is observed for sample A (Fig. 3, left). This value matches well with
that estimated from the XRD data (8 nm). MgFe₂O₄_B mainly consists of particles larger than 100 nm, but also some smaller crystallites smaller than 100 nm can be identified.

The room temperature ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of MgFe₂O₄_B (Fig. 5, top) is dominated by a broadened sextet without a central doublet. The sextet consists of two superimposed individual sextets caused by Fe³⁺ in tetrahedral (A) and octahedral (B) sites. In contrast, the spectrum of MgFe₂O₄_A (Fig. 5, bottom) exhibits a sextet and a central doublet with broadened lines, suggesting a mixture of magnetic and superparamagnetic particles with isomer shift (IS) values characteristic for Fe³⁺ (Table 1). The very small value for the quadrupole splitting (QS) of the doublet is typical for Fe³⁺ in a highly symmetric environment. The broad asymmetric lines of the sextet are caused by a distribution of hyperfine fields with a maximum of 41 T for sample A and about 46 T for B (Table 1). The spectrum of MgFe₂O₄_B (Fig. 5, top) is very similar to that reported in ref. 49 and 50 and also similar to the spectrum of 72 nm particles presented in ref. 51, while that for MgFe₂O₄_A is similar to the spectrum presented in ref. 52 for 20 nm particles. Smaller particles just lead to the broadened doublet because of superparamagnetic behavior of the particles.

At 3 K, a sextet is seen in the Mössbauer spectrum for both samples due to magnetic ordering (Fig. 6, upper spectra). The width of the absorption lines indicates that the sextet is a superposition of two individual sextets corresponding to Fe³⁺ located on two different crystallographic positions (also seen in Fig. 5, upper spectrum). Because the resolution is not good enough to evaluate the relative fractions of the two contributions, an external field was applied vertical to the γ-ray direction. This experiment allows separation of the two sextets and two well-defined absorption lines can be seen, as expected for ferrimagnetic MgFe₂O₄ (Fig. 6, middle and bottom). The two hyperfine sextets were least-squares fitted applying the following model, \( [\text{Mg}_{x}\text{Fe}_{1-x}]_A[\text{Mg}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x]_BO_4 \), where the subscripts A and B denote the tetrahedral and octahedral sites, respectively. The degree of inversion was calculated from the areas of the Mössbauer sub-spectra. For MgFe₂O₄_A a distribution of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IS/mm s⁻¹</th>
<th>QS/mm s⁻¹</th>
<th>Line width/mm s⁻¹</th>
<th>( B_{hf}/T )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgFe₂O₄_A (8 nm)</td>
<td>0.305 ± 0.011</td>
<td>−0.011 ± 0.071</td>
<td>2.468 ± 0.046</td>
<td>40.56 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe₂O₄_B (96 nm)</td>
<td>0.252 ± 0.052</td>
<td>0.228 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.475 ± 0.018</td>
<td>40.56 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Region I</th>
<th>Region II</th>
<th>Region III</th>
<th>Charge capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgFe₂O₄_A</td>
<td>1232 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>1.5 V; 23 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.8 V; 79 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.4 V; 580 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>798 mA h g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe₂O₄_B</td>
<td>1118 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.7 V; 44 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.6 V; 83 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.3 V; 600 mA h g⁻¹</td>
<td>648 mA h g⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cations according to $\text{[Mg}_{0.30}\text{Fe}_{0.70}]\text{[Mg}_{0.70}\text{Fe}_{1.30}]\text{BO}_4$ is obtained and a quite similar distribution is observed for $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ with $\text{[Mg}_{0.24}\text{Fe}_{0.76}]\text{[Mg}_{0.76}\text{Fe}_{1.24}]\text{BO}_4$. We note that different $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Mg}^{2+}$ distributions are reported in the literature e.g. $\text{[Mg}_{0.64}\text{Fe}_{0.36}]\text{[Mg}_{0.36}\text{Fe}_{1.64}]\text{BO}_4$ or $\text{[Mg}_{0.12}\text{Fe}_{0.82}]\text{[Mg}_{0.82}\text{Fe}_{1.12}]\text{BO}_4$ depending on the synthesis conditions like calcination temperature and the preparation method.

The degree of inversion is comparable for the two samples while the lattice parameter differs and it can be assumed that the difference is an effect of the particle sizes as discussed above.

### 3.2 Electrochemical performance of the differently sized $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ particles

The first discharge curve of both samples $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ shows four regions (Fig. 7). In the first region (region I) the potential drops very fast. The discharge curve of $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ exhibits a narrow plateau at 1.5 V with a length of ca. 26 mA h g\(^{-1}\), while for $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ a broader plateau (44 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) at a lower potential of 0.74 V is observed. During discharge of $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ a second plateau at 0.8 V (region II, 79 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) appears. The second plateau of sample $B$ is located at 0.6 V (region II, length: 83 mA h g\(^{-1}\)). Afterwards both samples exhibit a broad plateau at about 0.4 V with a length of 580 mA h g\(^{-1}\) (region III) for $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$, respectively at 0.3 V for $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ with a length of 600 mA h g\(^{-1}\) (region III). At this plateau for both samples a potential drop to 0.01 V follows (region IV). During charging the cell a not well resolved plateau at 1.6 V ($\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$) and 1.7 V ($\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$) appears (region V). The insertion of 1 Li\(^{+}\) into $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ corresponds to a capacity of 132 mA h g\(^{-1}\). The theoretical discharge capacity is 792 mA h g\(^{-1}\) per $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ assuming a full conversion of $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ to the metal. Pure $\text{MgO}$ nanoparticles show no Li uptake [ESI,† Fig. 3] due to the fact that a conversion of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ cannot be realized. Sample $\text{MgFe}_2\text{O}_4$ exhibits an entire first discharge capacity of 1232 mA h g\(^{-1}\) which is larger than
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3.4 Ex situ XRD on lithiated MgFe₂O₄ A

In Fig. 9 are displayed the ex situ XRD patterns of MgFe₂O₄ A after electrochemical insertion of 0.3, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 6 and 9 Li per formula unit and after the first charge/discharge cycle. Note that for these experiments pellets consisting of MgFe₂O₄ A, a binder and carbon were prepared as described in Section 2.3.

After insertion of 0.3 Li (Fig. 7 and 8; region I) the reflections slightly shift to lower scattering angles which is typical for an intercalation reaction (ESI,† Fig. 4). For Li₀.₃MgFe₂O₄ the refined value for the a axis of 8.4023(2) Å (expansion: ≈ 0.2%) is slightly larger than that for the pristine material which may be caused by intercalation of a small amount of Li. The average size of the coherently scattering domains amounts to 8(1) nm. Increasing the Li content to 1 per formula unit, a two phase refinement of the powder pattern was obtained. The second phase was identified as the monoxide, i.e. a mixed crystal Mg₀.₃₃Fe₀.₆₆O (cubic symmetry, space group: Fm3m) with all metal cations occupying octahedral sites with a slightly larger Mg/Fe–O bond length (2.115 Å). For Li₀.₃MgFe₂O₄ the refined value for the a axis of 4.222(5) Å, being between that of FeO (4.334 Å) and MgO (4.211 Å) indicating the formation of a mixed Mg²⁺/Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ containing oxide. We note that the a axis is smaller than that reported for Mg₀.₂₄Fe₀.₇₆O of 4.296 Å exhibiting a composition near to that proposed here. But one should keep in mind that after insertion of 1 Li into the host not all Fe³⁺ cations are reduced to Fe²⁺ with the former exhibiting a smaller ionic radius. In addition, the monoxide-like crystalline domains within the spinel material may be slightly non-stoichiometric, and it can be expected that the structure contains an appreciable number of defects and also some distortion which also affect the lattice parameter. The ratio of the spinel phase to monoxide is about 50 : 50% after insertion of 1 Li. For the spinel phase the a axis is slightly larger at 8.4078(3) Å and the size of coherent scattering domains is not significantly altered.

A further decrease of the intensity of the reflections of the spinel phase occurs for the sample containing 1.5 Li per formula unit (Fig. 7 and 8; region II), while the intensity of the reflections of the monoxide increased. The two phase Rietveld

![Fig. 8](image) CV curves for MgFe₂O₄ A (left) and MgFe₂O₄ B (right) in a potential range from 0–3.0 V with a scan rate of 0.05 mV s⁻¹.
refinement yields for the spinel type phase $a = 8.411(4)$ Å and $a = 4.231(2)$ Å for the monoxide. Increasing the Li content to 2 per formula unit (Fig. 7 and 8; beginning of region III) only small amounts of the spinel phase exist ($\approx 1\%$), and the monoxide phase is dominating ($99\%$) with $a = 4.237(2)$ Å and 7(1) nm for the coherently scattering domains. The formation of a monoxide with a NaCl-type structure requires that Mg and Fe cations located on tetrahedral position 8a in the spinel move to the neighboring octahedral position 16c while Fe$^{3+}$ is reduced to Fe$^{2+}$. When 16c and 16d are occupied in the spinel phase the symmetry changes and space group $Fm\overline{3}m$ is adopted with about half of the $a$ axis ($8.4023\ A/2 = 4.2012\ Å$). Increasing the Li amount per formula unit leads to an increase of the size of the coherently scattering domains and an enlargement of the $a$ axis due to the formation of larger particles with less defects, less strain and a monoxide consisting of Mg$^{2+}$ and solely Fe$^{2+}$ in the matrix of O$^{2-}$ anions.

The XRD patterns of the samples after insertion of 3 and 6 Li per formula unit show a decrease of the intensity of the reflections of the monoxide during the long plateau at about 0.3 V (see Fig. 7 and 8, region III). The particle size is further reduced from 5.4(1) nm (3 Li) to 3.0(1) nm (6 Li). Finally, no reflections can be detected for the material containing 9.0 Li per formula unit (Fig. 7 and 8; end of region IV). The very broad modulation centered at 43° 2θ may be caused by nanosized metallic Fe. During the long plateau at 0.3 V the monoxide is converted to an amorphous product or the crystallites are too small to be detected by XRD. After the first charge/discharge cycle the reflections for the monoxide appear again, with a size of coherently scattering domains of 2.6(3) nm and a slightly smaller value for the $a$ axis of 4.209(4) Å. The value for the $a$ axis after the first cycle is very close to that of MgO (4.211 Å).65

3.5 Ex situ XRD on lithiated MgFe$_2$O$_4$ B

Fig. 10 shows the ex situ XRD patterns of MgFe$_2$O$_4$ B, after electrochemical insertion of 0.3, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, and 7 Li per formula unit and after a full charge/discharge cycle. The pellets of MgFe$_2$O$_4$ B were prepared as mentioned in Section 2.3.

After insertion of 0.3 Li (Fig. 7 and 8, corresponding to region I) the reflections do not shift in contrast to the observation made for sample A. For Li$_{0.3}$MgFe$_2$O$_4$ the value for the $a$ axis is 8.3969(3) Å, which is only about 0.02% larger than that for the pristine material and compared with sample A (expansion = 0.2%) the expansion can be neglected. The average sizes of the coherently scattering domains decreased to 74(2) nm, being 32% smaller than that for the starting material. The data
suggest that no intercalation takes place in MgFe$_2$O$_4$-B. Despite
that no shift of the reflection can be observed, the asymmetry of
the (400) reflection (ESI,† Fig. 5) indicates the presence of small
amounts of a second phase and the two phase Rietveld refine-
ment yields better reliability factors. It seems that the monoxide
phase is formed immediately by Li insertion in contrast to what
is found for sample A. Increasing the Li content to 1 per formula
unit, the amount of the second phase starts to grow. The a axis
value for the monoxide was refined to 4.2288(2) Å and the
particle size was obtained as 45(1) nm. For the spinel phase the
size of coherent scattering domains decreases to 61(4) nm and
the a axis is now slightly larger with a = 8.3993(5) Å. After
insertion of 1.5 Li, the particle size of the monoxide is nearly
constant at 46(1) nm, but the intensity of the reflections is
enhanced, while the intensity of the reflections of the spinel
phase decreases. Reflections of the spinel can hardly be detected
in the XRD pattern after insertion of 2 Li per formula unit
and the transformation to Mg$_{0.33}$Fe$_{0.66}$O ($d = 36(1)$ nm) with a
lattice parameter of 4.2278(4) Å seems to be completed. A
possible explanation for the decrease of the crystallite size may
be that some Li inserted into the material leads to the conver-
sion of the domains to form nanosized metal particles.

The results of the Rietveld refinements suggest that the sizes
of coherently scattering domains of the newly formed monoxide
depend on the particle size of the pristine particles, indicating
that the monoxide is directly formed out of the spinel structure
by movement of Fe and Mg cations from tetrahedral to octa-
hedral sites. Compared to sample A, the a axis value is nearly
identical at this stage of Li insertion (difference: 0.2%).

During the broad plateau (Fig. 7, region III) and the large
peak in the CV curve (Fig. 8, III) the monoxide is converted to
amorphous products as observed for sample A. After a full
charge/discharge cycle the XRD pattern shows only reflections
with very low intensity and a monoxide consisting of very small
particles is formed as also seen for sample A (Section 3.4). But
for sample B the particles are much smaller than A.

3.6 Ex situ Li-NMR spectra of Li$_x$MgFe$_2$O$_4$

$^7$Li MAS NMR spectra of lithiated samples A and B are presented
in Fig. 11. Solid state NMR in common provides a probe for the
local environment of lithium ions. It is well known that
paramagnetic ions in the neighborhood of Li$^+$ ions can have
strong effects on the NMR spectra due to the Fermi-contact
interaction, i.e. the transfer of spin density from the unpaired
electrons of the paramagnetic ions to the Li nucleus. The value
of the resulting hyperfine shift depends on the specific local
environment. Furthermore, dipolar coupling between the Li
nuclei and the unpaired electrons constitutes a major source of
large spinning sideband manifolds in MAS spectra. For the
Li insertion of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles a strong shift was
observed for the samples with low Li content. MgFe$_2$O$_4$-A
(Fig. 11) does not show such a strong shift, because the paramagnetic Mn$^{2+}$ ions are replaced by diamagnetic Mg$^{2+}$ ions. For a Li content of 0.3 Li per formula unit the shift is 10 ppm with broad sideband patterns, indicating that Fe–O–Li bridges are formed. Small amounts of Li are intercalated into the spinel structure which is consistent with the results of XRD observations. Similar shifts in NMR spectra were also observed for α-LiFeO$_2$ suggesting a lower limit of 20 ppm per Li–O–Fe linkage in such ternary compounds. Increasing the Li amount up to 2 Li the shift is close to 0 ppm revealing that the local environment of the Li nuclei is diamagnetic. According to the results discussed above the existence of direct Li–O–Fe bonds can be now excluded and diamagnetic Li$_2$O is formed. In the next steps Fe is reduced to the metallic state and more diamagnetic Li$_2$O is generated. Consistently, the huge width of the spinning sideband manifold is caused by the presence of metallic Fe particles close to the Li ions. After the first full cycle the width of the sideband pattern decreases and the width of the isotropic peak is reduced, but compared to sample A the effect is less pronounced.

3.7 $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy on lithiated MgFe$_2$O$_4$ -A

The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of sample A collected at RT without external field after insertion of 2 and 9 Li and after the full discharge/charge cycle are displayed in Fig. 12. After uptake of 2 Li a broad absorption occurs between +1 and +2 mm/s consisting of two doublets (Fig. 12, top). At this stage of Li insertion the reflections of the spinel phase in the XRD pattern (Fig. 9)
disappeared and the signature of two overlapping doublets appears in the Mössbauer spectrum being reminiscent of FeO.\(^{71}\) The doublet with the larger QS value and the larger IS clearly belongs to Fe\(^{2+}\) and the second doublet (with smaller QS and smaller IS) is caused by small amounts of Fe\(^{3+}\).

After insertion of 9 Li the XRD pattern exhibits no structural information due to the amorphous nature of the material (Fig. 9). More information can be extracted from the Mössbauer spectrum (Fig. 12, middle) being composed of two overlapping doublets with very small values for the IS (Table 3), which was also observed for fully discharged CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) and NiFe\(_2\)O\(_4\).\(^{72,73}\) The central absorption is caused by superparamagnetic metallic Fe nanoparticles, i.e. metallic Fe is formed during insertion of 9 Li per formula unit. These particles are not isolated but rather intimately dispersed in the Li\(_2\)O matrix (see Li-NMR). The second doublet may be assigned also to metallic Fe atoms which are located at the surface of the particles being in a different environment compared to those in the centre of the particles. While fully converted CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) (ref. 72) exhibits a similar strong split signal, the splitting for converted MnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) and CuFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) is less pronounced.\(^{42,72,74}\)

After the first discharge/charge cycle the Mössbauer spectrum of MgFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)_A exhibits no sextet as expected for magnetic ordering, and is characterized by asymmetric broadened doublets which could be separated into two split signals (Fig. 12, bottom) similar to the spectrum after insertion of 2 Li per formula unit. The small particles are superparamagnetic with the doublet exhibiting the larger IS and QS values belonging to Fe\(^{2+}\) and the second doublet is caused by a small amount of Fe\(^{3+}\). These observations indicate that during charging metallic Fe is oxidized to Fe\(^{2+}\) as predicted in ref. 31 and 32.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IS/mm s(^{-1})</th>
<th>QS/mm s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Line width/mm s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgFe(_2)O(_4)_A + 2Li</td>
<td>0.456 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.560 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.596 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe(_2)O(_4)_A + 9Li</td>
<td>0.298 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.943 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.680 ± 0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgFe(_2)O(_4)_A 1 cycle</td>
<td>0.449 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.698 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.690 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

In the structure of MgFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) the Li\(^{+}\) ions can either enter empty tetrahedral (8b and 48f) or octahedral (16c) sites. But for all sites Li\(^{+}\)–Mg\(^{2+}\)/Fe\(^{3+}\) distances are below 2 Å leading to strong repulsive interactions (ESI,† Fig. 5 and 6). During Li uptake sample A consisting of nanosized crystallites shows an expansion of the lattice parameter, indicating that a small amount of Li can be incorporated. Further indication for the Li insertion is given by \(^7\)Li NMR spectra exhibiting a large shift of the resonance line which may be interpreted by magnetic interactions via Li–O–Fe bonds. But during Li insertion Fe\(^{3+}\) is reduced to Fe\(^{2+}\) which has a larger ionic radius and the lattice parameter expansion may be caused by this effect. The intercalation takes place at 1.6 V visible by the cathodic peak in the CV curve (Fig. 8) and by the plateau in the galvanostatic discharge curve (Fig. 7, region I). The Li atoms reduce Fe\(^{3+}\) on the tetrahedral site (8a) to Fe\(^{2+}\), which moves to the empty 16c site, as was observed in a previous study for MnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\).\(^{42}\) The uptake of the first 0.3 Li may then be formulated according to eqn (3):

\[
(M_{0.30}Fe^{3+}_{0.70})_A[M_{0.70}Fe^{3+}_{1.30}]O_4 + 0.3Li \leftrightarrow (M_{0.30}Li_{0.3}Fe^{3+}_{0.40})_A[M_{0.70}Fe^{3+}_{1.30}]B[Fe^{2+}_{0.1}]_C O_4 \quad (3)
\]

Assuming that 0.3 Fe\(^{3+}\) moved from the 8a site to the empty 16c site yielding the formula \((M_{0.30}Li_{0.3}Fe^{3+}_{0.40})_A[M_{0.70}Fe^{3+}_{1.30}]B[Fe^{2+}_{0.1}]_C O_4\) an average cation radius can be calculated for the three cations located on the tetrahedral site. Using the Shannon radii a value of 0.514 Å is calculated for the pristine material and an average radius of 0.544 Å for the intercalated sample. Hence, one would expect a lattice parameter expansion of about 5% instead of 0.2% obtained experimentally. Therefore, on the basis of this consideration one can assume that only a very small amount of Li is intercalated during this reaction step.

In contrast to sample A, sample B consists of larger particles (96 nm) and no expansion of the lattice parameter could be detected. This finding is in agreement with the CV curve of this material which shows no cathodic peak at 1.6 V. In addition, the absence of a plateau at 1.6 V in the galvanostatic discharge curve points to a different mechanism. The smaller surface of the larger particles may lead to a higher energy barrier for the intercalation process or just kinetic barriers caused by slow diffusion in the larger particles. The domain size of sample B is decreasing (30%) in comparison to sample A (no decrease in particle size) during the first Li uptake step. In \(^7\)Li NMR spectra a strong shift is visible, caused by Li–Fe–O bonds on the surface of the ferrimagnetic particles. XRD and NMR data suggest that a monoxide is deposited at the surface of the pristine particles while the core of the particles is still consisting of the spinel. In contrast to sample A, Li\(_2\)O is formed from the beginning of Li uptake because Li\(^{+}\) ions are not intercalated into the spinel structure (eqn (4)). Due to the strong shift in the \(^7\)Li NMR spectrum the newly formed small amounts of Li\(_2\)O must be located close to the ferromagnetic spinel core.

\[
(M_{0.24}Fe^{3+}_{0.46})_A[M_{0.76}Fe^{3+}_{1.24}]O_4 + 0.3Li \leftrightarrow (M_{0.24}Fe^{3+}_{0.1}Co^{3+}_{0.40})_A[M_{0.76}Fe^{3+}_{1.24}]B[Fe^{2+}_{0.1}]_C O_4 \quad (4)
\]

At this stage it is interesting to compare the behavior with Co\(_2\)O\(_4\) (particle size: 15–100 nm) where the trend of Li uptake occurs in the opposite way: larger particles lead to formation of an intercalated phase Li\(_2\)Co\(_2\)O\(_4\) while smaller particles undergo a direct conversion.\(^{75}\)

Subsequently, the insertion of 0.7 Li in sample A generates a rock salt type phase with sizes of the coherently scattering domains large enough to be clearly identified by XRD (Fig. 9). The Rietveld refinement indicates a further reduction of the sizes of coherently scattering domains of the spinel phase and...
an increase of the NaCl-type domains. The generation of appreciable amounts of Li$_2$O in this reaction step is evidenced by the $^7$Li NMR results. During insertion of 1.5 and 2 Li per formula unit, for both samples the reflections of the spinel phase decrease and the intensity of the reflections of the rock salt phase increases in the XRD patterns. After insertion of 2 Li all Fe$^{3+}$ are reduced to Fe$^{2+}$ and all Mg/Fe ions are now on octahedral 16c sites. Moreover, the $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectrum of the sample containing 2 Li shows the signature of paramagnetic FeO and the $^7$Li NMR spectrum is dominated by a narrow line due to the presence of Li$_2$O for samples A and B. For sample A eqn (5) can be formulated as:

\[
\text{(Mg}_{0.30}\text{Li}_{0.70}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.40})\text{A}[\text{Mg}_{0.70}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{1.30}]\text{B}[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.3}]\text{O}_4 + 1.7\text{Li} \leftrightarrow \text{[Mg}_{0.70}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{2.10}]\text{A}[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.70}\text{Mg}_{0.30}]\text{O}_3 + 1\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (5)
\]

and for B eqn (6) may apply:

\[
\text{(Mg}_{0.24}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.76})\text{A}[\text{Mg}_{0.76}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{1.24}]\text{B}[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.3}]\text{O}_3.85 + 0.15\text{Li}_2\text{O} + 1.7\text{Li} \leftrightarrow \text{[Mg}_{0.70}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{2.12}]\text{A}[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.70}\text{Mg}_{0.30}]\text{O}_3 + 1\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (6)
\]

The occupation of all 16c sites changes the symmetry and space group $Fm\overline{3}m$ is adopted by the monoxide with the chemical formula $\text{Mg}_{0.33}\text{Fe}_{0.66}\text{O}_3$. The formation of the monoxide takes place directly by rearrangement of the spinel structure. The formation of a rock salt structure during Li uptake has already been reported for Fe$_2$O$_3$, Co$_2$O$_4$ and Mn$_2$O$_4$ with no mechanism being in remarkable difference to what is observed in the present study. According to the results obtained for Fe$_2$O$_3$, the first Li uptake step involves a movement of Fe from the tetrahedral site 8a to empty octahedral site 16c, a mechanism proposed also in our work. But further Li is intercalated occupying remaining 16c and accessible 8a/48f sites up to 2 Li per formula unit involving a 2.8% increase in the unit cell volume. For Co$_3$O$_4$ an even larger expansion of the unit cell volume of 8.6% was observed during Li intercalation within the stability range for Li$_x$Co$_2$O$_4$ of $1 < x < 1.92$. These findings are in contrast to our results where the maximum unit cell volume expansion is 0.9% for the nanosized sample A and only 0.14% for sample B with the larger crystallites. For tetragonally distorted Mn$_2$O$_4$ different mechanisms must be formulated because of the formation of a non-cubic intermediate phase LiMn$_2$O$_4$. But after insertion of 2 Li per formula unit the formation of a rock salt structured intermediate MnO was also observed.

The particle sizes of the monoxide are determined by the particle sizes of the pristine material. In case of sample A the particle size is 8 nm, and the refined size of coherent scattering domains of the monoxide is 7 nm with the lattice parameter $a = 4.237$ Å, formed after insertion of 1.5 Li per formula unit. Starting with larger particles of 96 nm, larger coherently scattering domains of the monoxide ($a_{\text{max}} = 46$ nm) are obtained with a nearly identical parameter $a = 4.2278$ Å (difference between A and B: 0.2%). The refined $a$ axis is slightly smaller than that reported in the literature (4.2710 Å, Mg$_{0.24}$Fe$_{0.76}$O)$_p$ but confirms the existence of a mixed Mg/Fe oxide (see also Section 3.3).

The insertion of another 4 Li per formula unit leads to reduction of Fe$^{2+}$ to the metallic state according to eqn (7):

\[
\text{[Mg}_{0.70}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{1.30}]\text{B}[\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.70}\text{Mg}_{0.30}]\text{O}_3 + 1\text{Li}_2\text{O} + 4\text{Li} \leftrightarrow \text{MgO} + 2\text{Fe}^0 + 3\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (7)
\]

This reaction occurs in both samples during the intensive cathodic peak at 0.3 V in the CV curve (Fig. 8). During Li uptake, the intensity of the reflections of the monoxide decreases continuously during Li insertion as demonstrated by XRD. The Mössbauer spectrum evidences the presence of nanosized metallic Fe with an appreciable amount of Fe atoms on the surface of the particles. At the end of the first discharge metallic Fe nanoparticles are embedded in a Li$_2$O matrix as also described for MnFe$_2$O$_4$, NiFe$_2$O$_4$ and ZnFe$_2$O$_4$. Assuming a statistical occupancy of the cationic sites in the monoxide by Mg$^2+$/Fe$^{3+}$ the reaction formulated in eqn (5) requires destruction of long-range order and phase separation which explain the absence of reflections of MgO in the X-ray powder patterns.

A reduction of Mg$^2+$ to Mg$^0$ or formation of a Mg–Li alloy as reported for Mg$_3$Si$^{84}$ can be excluded due to the investigation of pure MgO (see ES$^+$†). The stronger Mg–O bond in comparison to the Mg–Si bond may suppress the formation of Mg$^0$. The difference in capacity between samples A and B can be explained by the larger surface area of the nanoparticles. After discharge of the cell to 0.01 V metallic iron nanoparticles and amorphous MgO are embedded in a Li$_2$O matrix as schematically depicted in Fig. 13. Mössbauer spectra allow differentiation between iron atoms at the surface and in the core of the small particles.

During the charge process Fe$^0$ can be oxidized to either Fe$^{2+}$ or Fe$^{3+}$. Using Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the pristine material Fe is oxidized to Fe$^{3+}$ after the first cycle. For other spinels with trivalent transition metals like Mn$_2$O$_4$ the formation of MnO after the first cycle was reported. According to the capacity fading after the first cycle, the different CV curves of the first and second cycle, $^7$Li NMR and the Mössbauer results, the charge process and following discharge/charge processes for both samples can be written according to eqn (8).

\[
2\text{FeO} + 4\text{Li} \leftrightarrow 2\text{Fe} + 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (8)
\]

The formation of the iron monoxide was also proposed for converted MgFe$_2$O$_4$, Ca$_2$Fe$_2$O$_5$ and Ca$_3$Co$_2$O$_6$. XRD investigations demonstrate that small particles of a monoxide are

**Fig. 13** Schematic illustration of metallic Fe nanoparticles and amorphous MgO embedded in the Li$_2$O matrix after discharge (left) and the charge/discharge process after the first cycle.
formed with NaCl-type during the charge process. The charge process is again accompanied by phase separation because only nanosized Fe particles are involved in this reaction while MgO is inactive. Such a phase separation phenomenon was reported as a mechanism for interfacial storage of lithium and may also proceed for the material investigated here.65

5. Conclusion

The present study highlights the value of combined and complementary chemical, structural and electronic characterization for the elucidation of the reaction pathways and ion-transport mechanisms interrelated with charge and discharge reactions of potential battery materials. According to the results obtained in the present study the mechanisms for Li insertion strongly depend on the average particle size of the host material. In case of nanosized particles, the complex pathway of the discharging reactions starts with an intercalation of very small amounts of Li. Subsequently, the successive formation of a NaCl-type ternary oxide is induced by movement of the cations located on tetrahedral sites to empty octahedral ones followed by successive conversion for Li contents larger than 2 per formula unit. Li cannot be intercalated into larger crystallites and the material is directly converted first to the NaCl-type monoxide material. Independent from the initial particle sizes, the formation of a composite consisting of a Li2O matrix with embedded Fe nanoparticles and nanosized MgO is observed during the final step of the discharging reaction. The particle sizes of the monoxide formed by conversion depend on those of the pristine spinel-type material due to the affinity between rock salt and the spinel structure. During the charge process Li is recovered and Fe is oxidized to FeO while MgO is not affected.
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