



CrossMark
click for updates

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 7343

Redox-induced umpolung of transition metal carbenes†

Peng Cui and Vlad M. Iluc*

Metal carbene complexes have been at the forefront of organic and organometallic synthesis and are instrumental in guiding future sustainable chemistry efforts. While classical Fischer and Schrock type carbenes have been intensely studied, compounds that do not fall within one of these categories have attracted attention only recently. In addition, applications of carbene complexes rarely take advantage of redox processes, which could open up a new dimension for their use in practical processes. Herein, we report an umpolung of a nucleophilic palladium carbene complex, $[(PC(sp^2)P)^{tBu}Pd(PMe_3)]$ ($(PC(sp^2)P)^{tBu} = \text{bis}[2-(di\text{-}i\text{-}propylphosphino)\text{-}4\text{-}tert\text{-}butylphenyl]methylene$), realized by successive one-electron oxidations that generated a cationic carbene complex, $[(PC(sp^2)P)^{tBu}Pd]^+$, via a carbene radical, $[(PC(sp^2)P)^{tBu}Pd]$. An EPR spectroscopic study of $[(PC(sp^2)P)^{tBu}Pd]$ indicated the presence of a ligand-centered radical, also supported by the results of reactions with 9,10-dihydroanthracene and PhSSPh. The cationic carbene complex shows electrophilic behavior toward nucleophiles such as NaH, $^p\text{ToInHLi}$, PhONa, and PMe_3 , resulting from an inversion of the electronic character of the Pd–C_{carbene} bond in $[(PC(sp^2)P)^{tBu}Pd(PMe_3)]$. The redox induced umpolung is reversible and unprecedented.

Received 3rd August 2015

Accepted 25th September 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5sc02859k


www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Introduction

Transition metal carbene complexes, usually classified as Fischer and Schrock type according to the polarity of the $M=C_{\text{carbene}}$ bond,¹ are among the most extensively studied organometallic species.² While the reactivity of the $M=C_{\text{carbene}}$ fragment is generally governed by the electronic properties of the metal center and the substituents of the carbene moiety,³ its behavior in response to redox reactions has not been studied in detail.⁴ For example, although the one-electron reduction of a Fischer-type carbene leading to a carbene radical anion, which upon a second one-electron reduction generates a dianion, is known,⁵ the corresponding oxidation processes that could be applied to Schrock-type carbenes (Fig. 1) have not been reported so far. These electron transfer processes cause an umpolung of the original carbene's character, namely the inversion of the $M=C_{\text{carbene}}$ bond polarity,⁶ and could open up new applications for these compounds in synthesis.

The development of redox chemistry of transition metal carbenes and reactivity studies of the species generated under redox conditions are still in their infancy,⁷ with only a few examples reported exclusively for Fischer carbenes.⁸ Interestingly, Fischer

Fischer type carbene:



From Electrophilic to Nucleophilic

Schrock type carbene:



From Nucleophilic to Electrophilic




Fig. 1 Top: Umpolung of transition metal carbenes by redox reactions. Bottom: Illustration of umpolung of palladium carbene's character by redox processes described in this work.

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556, USA. E-mail: viluc@nd.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterization data for all new compounds, computational results, single crystal X-ray structure analysis of complexes 4–6, 8–12. CCDC 1404570–1404575, 1416513–1416514. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc02859k



type carbenes of group 9 metals in the +2 oxidation state exhibited a remarkable radical character, their redox non-innocent behavior being considered crucial to the asymmetric cyclopropanation of olefins catalyzed by Co^{II}(porphyrins).^{8d-f} Pioneering work by Casey and later by Cooper *et al.* showed that Fischer type carbene complexes could be reduced to the corresponding radical and dianionic entities.⁵ The latter reacted with CO₂ to form a malonate, indicating an umpolung from the electrophilic character of the Fischer type carbene to nucleophilic.^{5b}

As mentioned above, the redox behavior of Schrock type carbenes has not been explored. We reasoned that palladium nucleophilic carbenes⁹ represent good candidates for such a study given the higher stability of late transition metal carbene complexes when compared to that of their early metal counterparts. In addition, the combination between palladium, which can undergo two-electron processes (oxidative addition/reductive elimination), and a redox active ligand, which can undergo sequential one electron processes, has only recently been investigated.¹⁰ No examples in which a carbene carbon is the site of redox activity are known.

On the other hand, although it is known that palladium carbene species generated from diazo compounds show unique properties and undergo novel transformations, their isolation and characterization is still challenging due to their highly reactive nature.¹¹ We previously reported the nucleophilic Pd(II) carbene complexes $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^H Pd(PR_3)]$ (1: R = Me; 2: R = Ph) ($[PC(sp^2)P]^H = \text{bis}[2-(\text{di-iso-propylphosphino})\text{phenyl}]\text{-methylene}$)^{9a,12} and $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} Pd(PMe_3)]$ (3, $\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} = \text{bis}[2-(\text{di-iso-propylphosphino})\text{-}4\text{-tert-butylphenyl}]\text{methylene}$),^{9b} in which the Pd–C_{carbene} bonds are best described as ylide-like, M⁺–C[–] type, as demonstrated by the reactivity of 1 toward MeI, HCl, MeOH and *para*-toluidine^{9a} and also by C–H activation reactions.¹³ The strong nucleophilic character of 1 and 3 was also demonstrated by the rapid Lewis acid/base “quenching” reactions with B(C₆F₅)₃.^{9b} As indicated by DFT calculations, the HOMO of 1 is largely localized on the carbene carbon atom, therefore, an oxidation process to induce the loss of electrons might occur from that orbital. Consequently, the one-electron oxidation of 1 with 0.5 equivalents of I₂ afforded a stable radical complex, $[\{PC^+(sp^2)P\}^H PdI]$, which persisted in solution but dimerized in the solid state. Interestingly, the chloride and bromide congeners are monomers in both phases.¹⁴

These results prompted us to study the oxidation of the radical species further to the corresponding cations, and therefore to realize an umpolung of a nucleophilic carbene. In order to prevent dimerization and also simplify the synthetic procedure, carbene complex 3 bearing bulky *tert*-butyl groups was employed instead of 1.¹⁴ The good solubility provided by the *t*-Bu groups also facilitated reactivity studies. Herein, we report the successive one-electron oxidation of 3 leading to a cationic carbene complex, $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI][BAR^F_4]$ (5), *via* a monomeric radical species $[\{PC^+(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI]$ (4). Reactivity studies on both complexes are consistent with their unique electronic properties, and the umpolung of carbene complex 3 was undoubtedly demonstrated by the reactions of 5 with various nucleophiles. Notably, the electron transfer processes

to generate the carbene, radical, and cationic species are reversible.


Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of palladium radical and cationic carbene complexes

Slow addition of 0.5 equivalents of I₂ to the dark-brown solution of $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} Pd(PMe_3)]$ (3) in THF at –35 °C instantly generated a dark-green solution, from which dark-green crystals of $[\{PC^+(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI]$ (4) were isolated in 97% yield (Scheme 1). Complex 4 is silent by both ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. The magnetic susceptibility measurement at 298 K using the Evans method gave an effective magnetic moment μ_{eff} of 1.85 μ_B , thus indicating an *S* = 1/2 ground state. The X-band EPR spectrum recorded at 298 K revealed an isotropic signal typical for a carbon centered radical complex with a *g* value of 2; no hyperfine coupling was observed (Fig. S1†). Complex 4 is stable toward water but highly sensitive toward oxygen. Upon exposing the dark-green solution of 4 to air, the color changed immediately to yellow. However, attempting to react 4 with a stoichiometric amount (1 or 0.5 equivalents) of pure oxygen only led to complicated mixtures of products. Interestingly, in contrast to radicals generated *in situ* from Fischer type carbenes,^{4,5} complex 4 is thermally robust: no significant decomposition was observed after heating 4 in C₆D₆ at 80 °C for a week, likely a consequence of the radical delocalization over both phenyl rings and of the steric protection from the two *tert*-butyl groups present in 4.

Cyclic voltammetry studies of 4 performed in a THF solution (Fig. 2) showed a quasi-reversible event at $E_{1/2} = -0.38$ V, assigned to $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI]^+ / [\{PC^+(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI]$, and an irreversible reduction event at $E = -2.03$ V (*vs.* Fc/Fc⁺), assigned to the reduction to $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI]^-$.

Treatment of the dark-green solution of 4 with an equivalent of $[Cp_2Fe][BAR^F_4]$ in diethyl ether at –35 °C instantly formed a dark-red solution, and the dark-red crystalline complex $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu} PdI][BAR^F_4]$ (5) was isolated in 94% yield (Scheme 1). To the best of our knowledge, only two examples of non-heteroatom-stabilized cationic Pd(II) carbene complexes are known: complex A (Fig. 3) was prepared from a cationic precursor by triflate abstraction with di-*p*-tolyl diazomethane,¹⁵ while compound B was synthesized by hydride abstraction from a PCHP pincer complex;¹⁶ reactivity studies have not been reported for these species. In addition, cationic alkylidene complexes of other late transition metals are also rare.¹⁷



Scheme 1 Synthesis of radical and cationic carbene complexes: (1) 0.5 I₂, –35 °C, THF, 30 min; (2) $[Cp_2Fe][BAR^F_4]$, –35 °C, diethyl ether, 15 min; (3) K₂C₈, PMe₃, THF, 5 min; (4) K₂C₈, THF, 5 min.






Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammetry of **4** in THF (1×10^{-3} M), scan rate: 100 mV, electrolyte: $[N^tBu_4][PF_6]$ (0.1 M), ambient temperature, Pt electrode. Referenced to Fc/Fc⁺.




Fig. 3 Cationic Pd(II) carbene complexes synthesized by triflate or hydride abstraction.

Cationic Ir(III) alkylidene complexes generated either by chloride or hydride abstraction showed electrophilic reactivity toward PMe_3 and $LiAlH_4$ to form the phosphonium ylide and the alkyl species, respectively.¹⁸ A cationic Pt(II) alkylidene complex synthesized by hydride abstraction also showed similar reactivity toward Lewis bases such as DMAP (4-dimethylaminopyridine).¹⁹ It is worth mentioning that, unlike the synthesis of the previously reported cationic carbene complexes, the synthesis of **5** is based on a redox process.

Due to its ionic nature, **5** is only soluble in ethers and chlorinated solvents. The 1H NMR spectrum of **5** in $CDCl_3$ indicates a C_2 symmetric species. The $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum shows one sharp singlet at $\delta = 72.04$ ppm, which shifted to lower field compared to that of 61.86 ppm in **3**. The counter anion $[BARF_4]^-$ was confirmed by singlets at $\delta = -6.62$ and -65.79 ppm in the $^{11}B\{^1H\}$ and $^{19}F\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra, respectively. The characteristic signal for the carbenium carbon was observed at $\delta = 284.47$ ppm as a singlet in the $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum, which is significantly shifted to lower field compared to the corresponding values of 136.06 ppm for **3**, -18.3 ppm for $[Cy_2P(S)CP(S)Ph_2]Pd(PPh_3)_2$,^{9d} and 189.6 ppm for the cationic carbene complex $[P_2C=PdCl]X$ (**B**, Fig. 3).¹⁶ However, this value is close to that of 313.4 ppm for $[(Trpy)Pd=C(p-Tol)]_2[BARF_4]$ ($Trpy = 3,4,8,9,13,14$ -hexaethyl-2,15-dimethyltripyrinato, **A**, Fig. 3).¹⁵

The structures of **4** and **5** were unambiguously determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. As shown in Fig. 4 and 5, both complexes contain square-planar palladium centers bound to the sp^2 hybridized backbone carbons (the sum of the angles at C is 359.8° for **4** and 359.7° for **5**). The Pd–C_{carbene} distance of 2.076(3) Å in **3** contracts to 2.022(3) Å in **4** and further to 1.968(3) Å in **5**. The Pd–C_{carbene} distance of 1.968(3) Å in **5** is comparable to that of 1.999(4) Å in $[P_2C=PdCl][PF_6]$ (**B**, Fig. 3).¹⁶ Although the Pd–C_{carbene} bond in **3** is better described as a single bond,^{9a,9b,14,20} the contraction observed for **4** and **5** can be attributed to the increased bond order between the metal center and the carbene carbon, achieved by the sequential removal of electrons from the π^* antibonding orbital (*vide infra*). This trend also indicated a change of the electronic property of this atom from an anion in **3** to a cation in **5** via the radical in **4**. Therefore, the isolation of **4** and **5** is remarkable and represents the first example of successive oxidations of a transition metal nucleophilic carbene leading to a well-defined radical and cation, with all three complexes characterized by X-ray crystallography.

DFT calculations

DFT calculations were performed on a model of the cationic carbene **5** (Fig. 6). In contrast to the antibonding character of HOMO observed for **3**, a similar antibonding π type interaction was found for the LUMO of **5** and the SOMO of **4**, resulting from the successive removal of electrons from the HOMO of **3** that is largely localized on the carbene carbon. The bonding component of this π bond was found in HOMO–11 for **5**. Therefore, consistent with the observed contraction of the Pd–C_{carbene} distances from **3** (2.076(3) Å) to **5** (1.968(3) Å), the frontier molecular orbital analysis also indicates an increase of the Pd–




Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **4** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.022(3), Pd–P(1) = 2.2880(7), Pd–P(2) = 2.2931(8), Pd–I = 2.675(5), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 163.13(3), Pd–C–C(11) = 118.5(2), Pd–C–C(21) = 118.8(2), C(11)–C–C(21) = 122.5(2).






Fig. 5 Molecular structure of **5** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the counter anion are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 1.968(3), Pd–P(1) = 2.3161(7), Pd–P(2) = 2.2884(7), Pd–I = 2.6255(3), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 164.98(3), Pd–C–C(11) = 117.59(19), Pd–C–C(21) = 121.2(2), C(11)–C–C(21) = 120.9(2).




Fig. 6 Molecular orbitals for the Pd–C π interaction for **5**. Left: HOMO–11, right: LUMO.

C_{carbene} bond order for **5**. This trend was observed in the calculated Mayer bond indices²¹ of the Pd– C_{carbene} (0.82 for **3** and 0.95 for **5**) as well as the Wiberg bond indices²² (0.91 for **3** and 0.98 for **5**). The calculated charge on the carbene carbon decreases from -0.37 for **3** to -0.19 for **4** and -0.03 for **5**, in agreement with the observed reactivity (*vide infra*).


A successive addition of electrons to the LUMO of **5** should regenerate the carbene radical **4** and, ultimately, the carbene **3**. Treatment of the dark-red THF solution of **5** with KC_8 (1 eq.) at room temperature immediately led to a dark-green solution of **4**, as confirmed by its reaction with 9,10-dihydroanthracene in C_6D_6 to form **6** (*vide infra*). In the presence of PMe_3 , the further reduction of **4** with KC_8 (1 eq.) in THF also regenerated **3**. Such reversible electron transfer among the carbene species **3**, **4**, and **5** allowed us to tune their electronic properties by redox methods (Fig. 1).⁴ Accordingly, treatment of cation **5** with 1 eq.

of carbene **3** in diethyl ether immediately generated a dark green solution containing carbene radical **4** and the cationic radical complex $[\{\text{PC}^+(\text{sp}^2)\text{P}^{\text{tBu}}\text{Pd}(\text{PMe}_3)\}[\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]]$ (Fig. S41[†]), which were confirmed by their hydrogen atom abstraction reactions with 9,10-dihydroanthracene (*vide infra*).

Reactivity of palladium carbene radical complex

The carbene radical complex **4** is expected to undergo ligand centered radical-type reactions, such as hydrogen atom abstraction.¹⁴ Despite this prediction, heating a C_6D_6 solution of **4** with $^n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}$ at 80°C for one week did not produce the hydrogen atom abstraction product, and the color of the solution remained dark green. However, **4** reacted slowly with 0.5 equivalents of 9,10-dihydroanthracene under similar conditions to generate a bright yellow solution, from which the expected hydrogen abstraction product $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HP}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}]\text{I}$ (**6**) was isolated as an orange crystalline solid in 81% yield, after recrystallization from *n*-pentane (Scheme 2). The formation of the by-product anthracene was also confirmed by NMR spectroscopy.

The ^1H NMR spectrum of **6** in C_6D_6 showed C_s symmetry as observed for its chloride analogue $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HP}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdCl}]\text{Cl}$.^{9b} The benzylic proton of **6** was observed at $\delta = 6.41$ ppm as a singlet, while the benzylic carbon was observed at $\delta = 58.35$ ppm as a triplet ($^2J_{\text{PC}} = 4.4$ Hz) in the corresponding $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum. Both values are slightly downfield shifted compared to those of the chloride analogue ($\delta = 6.21$ and 50.59 ppm for the ^1H and $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra, respectively).^{9b} In the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum, only one sharp singlet at $\delta = 51.25$ ppm was observed. The crystal structure of **6** is analogous to that of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HP}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdCl}]\text{Cl}$ (Fig. 7), in that both contain an sp^3 hybridized backbone carbon atom. The Pd– C_{backbone} distance of



Scheme 2 Reactions of carbene radical **4**.



2.094(2) Å is also close to that of 2.078(2) Å in $[\{PC(sp^3)HP\}^{tBu}PdCl]$,^{9b} but longer than the Pd–C_{carbene} distance of 2.022(3) Å for **4**.

Coupling of the radical in **4** with a thiyl radical occurs in the reaction with diphenyl disulfide (Scheme 2). Albeit slow, heating **4** with 0.5 equivalents of PhSSPh in C₆D₆ at 80 °C for 7 days formed $[\{PC(sp^3)(SPh)P\}^{tBu}PdI]$ (**7**), which was isolated as a yellow waxy solid in 94% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **7** in C₆D₆ showed C_s symmetry, indicating an sp³ hybridized backbone carbon. No benzylic proton was observed and the quaternary backbone carbon showed a triplet at δ = 79.58 (²J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz) in the ³¹C{¹H} NMR spectrum, both facts being consistent with a C–S bond formation between the carbene radical of **4** and the [PhS][•] radical. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum showed only a sharp singlet at δ = 48.59 ppm. However, all attempts to crystallize **7** failed due to its high solubility in hydrocarbons. Therefore, complex **7** was treated with an equivalent of AgOTf in THF,²³ and the expected complex $[\{PC(sp^3)(SPh)P\}^{tBu}Pd(OTf)]$ (**8**) was obtained as yellow blocks in 61% yield after recrystallization from diethyl ether. The crystal structure of **8** was determined by X-ray diffraction (Fig. 8), showing the sp³ hybridized backbone carbon bound to a PhS group. The phenyl ring of the PhS group is pointing somewhat toward the triflate substituent with the corresponding dihedral angles between the plane of the phenyl ring and the plane defined by O, Pd, C_{backbone} and S being 73.49°.

According to the standard redox potential of PhSSPh (−1.7 V vs. SCE, −2.1 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺)²⁴ and the observed value for the $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu}PdI]/[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu}PdI]^+$ redox couple (−0.38 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺), it is less likely that the carbene radical **4** was oxidized by PhSSPh to the carbene cation $[\{PC(sp^2)P\}^{tBu}PdI]^+$ which reacted with the [PhS][−] anion to form **7**. Therefore, compound **7** was




Fig. 7 Molecular structure of **6** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Most hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.094(2), Pd–P(1) = 2.3123(7), Pd–P(2) = 2.2698(7), Pd–I = 2.6763(3), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 165.40(2), Pd–C–C(11) = 109.32(16), Pd–C–C(21) = 115.91(16), C(11)–C–C(21) = 116.7(2).





Fig. 8 Molecular structure of **8** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the solvent molecule are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.051(2), Pd–P(1) = 2.2745(6), Pd–P(2) = 2.3554(6), Pd–O(61) = 2.1895(17), S–C = 1.840(2), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 154.14(2).

probably formed by direct radical coupling between the carbene radical and [PhS][•] generated by the homolysis of PhSSPh.

It is also interesting to note that the carbene complex **3** can be readily oxidized by PhSSPh. Treatment of **3** with an equivalent of PhSSPh in C₆H₆ immediately generated a bright yellow solution at room temperature, from which the yellow crystalline solid of $[\{PC(sp^3)(SPh)P\}^{tBu}Pd(SPh)]$ (**9**) was isolated in quantitative yield after recrystallization from *n*-pentane (Scheme 3). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **9** in C₆D₆ also showed C_s symmetry. No benzylic proton was observed and the corresponding quaternary backbone carbon showed a triplet at δ = 74.78 (²J_{PC} = 3.2 Hz) in the corresponding ³¹C{¹H} NMR spectrum. Only a sharp singlet at δ = 44.26 ppm was observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. The structure of **9** was further confirmed by X-ray diffraction studies. As shown in Fig. 9, complex **9** contains two PhS moieties, one bound to the sp³ hybridized carbon backbone and the other to the palladium center. Unlike **8**, the phenyl ring of the PhS group on the backbone carbon points away from the Pd center.



Scheme 3 Reaction of carbene **3** with PhS-SPh.







Fig. 9 Molecular structure of **9** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the solvent molecule are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.119(2), Pd–P(1) = 2.2666(7), Pd–P(2) = 2.3449(8), Pd–S(1) 2.3980(7), C–S(2) 1.852(2), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 164.32(2).

When carbene **3** was treated with only 0.5 equivalents of PhSSPh in C_6D_6 , compound **9** and unreacted **3** were observed by 1H and ^{31}P NMR spectra; which upon the addition of another 0.5 equivalents of PhSSPh, the mixture led to **9**. It is worth noting that a radical complex $[PC(sp^2)P]^{tBu}PdSPh$ (**C**) was not formed under these conditions, but it could be an intermediate species that generates **9** by rapid coupling with the $[PhS]^{\cdot}$ radical. This intermediate, **C**, can be generated by an one-electron transfer from carbene **3** to PhSSPh, however, direct addition of PhSSPh across Pd^+-C^- carbene moiety or oxidative addition to palladium followed by the migration of the PhS^- moiety to the carbene carbon to form **9**, cannot be ruled out.

Reactivity of palladium cationic carbene complex

The cationic carbene complex **5** is expected to be electrophilic, therefore its reactivity toward various nucleophiles was studied. We had previously isolated complex **6** from a hydrogen atom abstraction reaction with the carbene radical **4**, and thus assumed that a nucleophilic attack on the cationic carbene **5** by a H^{\cdot} nucleophile would also produce **6**. Treatment of a dark-red solution of **5** with an equivalent of NaH in THF at room temperature gradually generated a bright yellow solution within 24 hours. Both 1H and $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra showed that the **6** was formed in quantitative yield together with the by-product $Na[BARF_4]$ (Scheme 4). Since the cationic $Ir(III)$ metallacyclic alkylidene complex was reported to react with $LiAlH_4$ even at low temperature,^{18b} the slow reaction with **5** was attributed to the poor nucleophilicity of NaH, but also to its poor solubility in THF.



Scheme 4 Reactions of the cationic carbene **5** with nucleophiles.

We then studied the reaction of **5** with the anionic nucleophiles $LiNH^pTol$ and $PhONa$, since both salts are readily soluble in THF. Slow addition of a THF solution of $LiNH^pTol$ to the dark-red solution of **5** at $-35\text{ }^\circ C$ immediately formed a brownish-green solution. Similarly, the addition of $PhONa$ to **5** resulted in the formation of a bright-yellow solution. The expected products $[PC(sp^3)(NH^pTol)P]^{tBu}PdI$ (**10**) and $[PC(sp^3)(OPh)P]^{tBu}PdI$ (**11**) were isolated in moderate yields (Scheme 4) as yellow crystalline solids, which are soluble in aliphatic solvents. Multiple recrystallizations were performed to remove the $Na[BARF_4]$ salt. The structures of **10** and **11** were confirmed by X-ray diffraction studies. As shown in Fig. 10 and 11, both contain a square planar palladium center anchored with the sp^3 hybridized carbon. The hydrogen atom of the NH group was located in the electron density map. The short $N-C_{ipso}$ distance of 1.378(4) Å indicated a delocalization of the lone pair of the nitrogen atom to the phenyl ring of the *para*-toluidine group and the geometry at the nitrogen atom is essentially trigonal planar (sum of the angles of 360°). Interestingly, both phenyl rings of the NH^pTol and OPh groups point somewhat toward palladium, with the corresponding dihedral angles between the planes of the phenyl rings and the planes defined by $I, Pd, C_{backbone}$ and X ($X = N$ or O) being 64.05° and 58.91° , respectively, which are smaller than that of 73.49° in **8**.

As expected, the 1H NMR spectra of **10** and **11** in C_6D_6 showed C_s symmetry. The proton of the NH group in **10** was observed at $\delta = 4.22$ ppm as a triplet ($^4J_{PH} = 2.8$ Hz). Interestingly, the $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectra of **10** and **11** at 298 K showed only broad singlets at $\delta = 49.89$ ($\Delta\nu_{1/2} \approx 909$ Hz) and 51.09






Fig. 10 Molecular structure of **10** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Most hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.116(3), Pd–P(1) = 2.3206(7), Pd–P(2) = 2.2609(8), Pd–I = 2.6903(3), C–N = 1.461(3), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 155.81(3).




Fig. 12 Variable temperature $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of **10** in toluene- d_8 (*designates a small amount of impurity of **6**).




Fig. 11 Molecular structure of one of the two crystallographically independent molecules of **11** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.089(4), Pd–P(1) = 2.3282(10), Pd–P(2) = 2.2741(11), Pd–I = 2.6746(4), C–O = 1.477(5), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 157.20(4).

($\Delta\nu_{1/2} \approx 505$ Hz) ppm, respectively. Upon cooling, complex **10** showed coalescence at 278 K, and further splitting to two sharp doublets at 238 K (Fig. 12). For **11**, a slightly lower temperature (268 K) was required to reach coalescence and two sharp doublets were observed at 228 K (Fig. S34[†]). Both **10** and **11** showed only one sharp singlet in the corresponding $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra at 338 K. Since a similarly dynamic behavior was not observed for **8** and **9**, in which both backbone carbons are

bound to PhS groups, we attribute the dynamic behavior of **10** and **11** to the relatively short N–C_{backbone} (1.461(3) Å) and O–C_{backbone} (1.477(5) Å) distances compared to the S–C_{backbone} distance of 1.840(2) in **8** and 1.852(2) Å in **9**, causing a higher energy barrier for the conformational exchange of the ligand framework and the rotation of the *p*TolNH and PhO groups. The activation parameters obtained from Eyring plots for **10** are: $\Delta G^\ddagger = 11.1 \pm 0.2$ kcal mol⁻¹ (298 K), $\Delta H^\ddagger = 13.9 \pm 0.4$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = 10 \pm 2$ cal (mol⁻¹ K); and for **11** are: $\Delta G^\ddagger = 10.7 \pm 0.4$ kcal mol⁻¹ (298 K), $\Delta H^\ddagger = 11.8 \pm 0.4$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = 4 \pm 2$ cal (mol⁻¹ K).

Although the nucleophiles H⁻, *p*TolNH⁻, and PhO⁻ afforded the expected products **6**, **10**, and **11**, respectively, the reaction of **5** with PhCH₂K did not lead to an isolable product. Also, the lithium salts MeOLi and PhOLi did not react with **5**, probably due to their weaker nucleophilic character compared to that of the sodium salt PhONa.

A neutral nucleophile such as PMe₃ also reacts instantly with **5** in diethyl ether to form a bright-yellow solution; yellow crystals of [(PC(sp³)(PMe₃)P)^tBU₂PdI][BAF₄] (**12**) were isolated in quantitative yield by the diffusion of *n*-pentane into a fluoro-benzene solution at room temperature. Compound **12** is only soluble in ethereal and chlorinated solvents. Its ¹H NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ showed C_s symmetry as was also observed for compounds **6**–**11**. The protons of the PMe₃ group were observed at $\delta = 1.31$ ppm as a doublet ($^2J_{\text{HP}} = 11.5$ Hz), which correlates with a doublet at $\delta = 13.86$ ppm ($^1J_{\text{CP}} = 54.3$ Hz) in the $^{31}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum. In the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR, two sharp singlets at $\delta = 42.17$ and 31.01 ppm were observed for the two ¹Pr₂P phosphines and the PMe₃ on the backbone carbon, respectively. Both signals remain sharp when the temperature was lowered to 248 K, indicating a lower energy barrier for the rotation of PMe₃ group compared to those for the *p*TolNH and PhO groups in **10** and **11**. In the $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum, the backbone carbon resonance was observed at $\delta = 63.65$ (dt, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 21.5$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 2.4$ Hz) ppm, which is significantly shifted to higher field compared to 284.47 ppm observed for **5**, and close to the value of 58.35 ppm in **6**. Thus, the strong donation from PMe₃ to the carbenium carbon effectively offsets the charge on this atom.






Fig. 13 Molecular structure of one of the two crystallographically independent molecules of **12** with displacement parameters at 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and the counter anion are omitted for clarity. Selected distances (Å) and angles (degree): Pd–C = 2.119(7), Pd–P(1) = 2.264(2), Pd–P(2) = 2.3279(18), Pd–I = 2.6340(7), C–P(3) = 1.856(7), P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 162.70(7).

The exclusive formation of **12** is consistent with its strong electrophilic character. Heating this compound at 60 °C in CDCl₃ for 24 h did not lead to any decomposition. However, the cationic Ir(III) alkylidene hydride species [(C₅Me₅)HIr⁺Pr₂P(Xyl)] [BAR^F₄] generated by chloride abstraction was reported to react with PMe₃ to form a similar phosphonium ylide only as a kinetically favored product, which converts to the Ir(III) alkyl phosphine adduct at 45 °C by migratory insertion of the hydride ligand into the alkylidene functionality.^{18a}

The structure of **12** was also determined by X-ray diffraction studies (Fig. 13). Compound **12** contains an sp³ hybridized backbone carbon bound to PMe₃, with an average dihedral angle of 78.9° between the planes defined by P(3), C_{backbone}, Pd, I(1) and P(1), P(2), Pd, C_{backbone}. The P(3)–C_{backbone} distance of 1.856(7) Å is in the range of 1.85–1.90 Å, typical for a P–C single bond²⁵ and close to the corresponding values in the ionic compounds [Me₃PCPh₃][B(C₆F₅)₄] (1.887(4) Å)²⁶ and [Mes(Me)₂PCHPh₂][OTf] (1.854(2) Å).²⁷ Other phosphines, such as Ph₃P did not afford an isolable product probably because of steric crowding. A broad peak at δ = 72 ppm was observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of **5** and Ph₃P, indicating that some interaction between the carbene carbon and Ph₃P occurred upon mixing, but a complicated mixture was formed after heating the reaction at 60 °C.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the one-electron oxidation of the palladium carbene complex [{PC(sp²)P}^{tBu}Pd(PMe₃)] (**3**) with I₂ generated a monomeric radical carbene complex [{PC[•](sp²)P}^{tBu}PdI] (**4**), which upon a second one-electron oxidation with [Cp₂Fe][BAR^F₄] formed a cationic carbene complex, [{PC(sp²)P}^{tBu}PdI][BAR^F₄] (**5**). We were able to isolate and characterize for the first time a whole series (Fig. 1) of an anionic carbene (**3**), a carbene radical (**4**), and a cationic carbene (**5**). Our studies show that: (1) transition metal carbene complexes possess a rich redox chemistry that allows the tuning of the electronic properties of the carbene

carbon; (2) an umpolung of the M=C_{carbene} bond can be accomplished by successive electron transfer processes; and (3) the electron transfers among the carbene, radical, and cationic species are reversible.

Experimental

All experiments are performed under an inert atmosphere of N₂ using standard glovebox techniques. Solvents hexanes, *n*-pentane, diethyl ether, and CH₂Cl₂ were dried by passing through a column of activated alumina and stored in the glovebox. THF was dried over LiAlH₄ followed by vacuum transfer and stored in the glovebox. CDCl₃ was dried over 4 Å molecular sieves under N₂, while C₆D₆ was dried over CaH₂ followed by vacuum transfer, and stored in the glovebox. Complex **3**, [Cp₂Fe][BAR^F₄] and KC₈ were prepared according to literature procedures.^{9b,28} ^pTolNHLi was prepared by deprotonation of *p*-toluidine with ⁿBuLi, while PhONa was prepared from NaH and phenol. ¹H, ¹³C{¹H}, ³¹P{¹H}, ¹⁹F{¹H} and ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 500 spectrometer. All chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) with reference to the residual solvent resonance of deuterated solvents for proton and carbon chemical shifts, and to external H₃PO₄, BF₃·OEt₂, and CFCl₃ for ³¹P, ¹¹B, and ¹⁹F chemical shifts, respectively. Magnetic moments were determined by the Evans method²⁹ by using a capillary containing 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene in C₆D₆ as a reference. EPR spectrum of compound **4** was recorded on a Bruker EMXplus EPR spectrometer with a standard X-band EMXplus resonator and an EMX premium microwave bridge. Cyclic voltammetry was performed on a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT-128N instrument. Elemental analyses were performed on a CE-440 Elemental analyzer, or by Midwest Microlab. Gaussian 03 (revision D.02)³⁰ was used for all reported calculations. The B3LYP (DFT) method was used to carry out the geometry optimizations on model compounds specified in text using the LANL2DZ basis set. The validity of the true minima was checked by the absence of negative frequencies in the energy Hessian.

Synthesis of [{PC[•](sp²)P}^{tBu}PdI] (**4**)

Iodine (11 mg, 0.043 mmol) in 1 mL of THF was slowly added to a dark-brown solution of **3** (60 mg, 0.087 mmol) in 1 mL of THF at –35 °C. Upon addition, a dark-green solution was formed immediately and stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the dark-green residue was extracted with *n*-pentane (2 × 4 mL). After reducing the volume of the pentane solution to about 1 mL, the solution was stored at –35 °C to give **4** as a dark-green crystalline solid; yield 62 mg (97%). Compound **4** is paramagnetic. Magnetic moment (Evans method, 298 K): μ_{eff} = 1.85 μ_B; EPR: *g* = 2.0000. Anal. calcd for C₃₃H₅₂IP₂Pd (744.04 g mol⁻¹): C, 53.27; H, 7.04. Found: C, 53.05; H, 7.24.

Reduction of **4** with KC₈

KC₈ (2.3 mg, 0.016 mmol) was mixed with **4** (12 mg, 0.016 mmol) and PMe₃ (32 μL, 0.032 mmol, 1 M in THF) in 1 mL THF at room



temperature. The mixture turned dark-brown immediately. After stirring the reaction mixture for about 5 min, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with 1 mL of *n*-pentane. The solution was filtered through celite. Compound 3 was obtained as dark brown crystalline solid from this *n*-pentane solution at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. ^1H and ^{31}P $\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra were identical with the previously reported data.^{9b} Yield 9 mg (80%).

Synthesis of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^2)\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]$ (5)

$[\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]$ (83.2 mg, 0.079 mmol) in 3 mL of diethyl ether was slowly added to a dark-green solution of 4 (59 mg, 0.079 mmol) in 2 mL of diethyl ether at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Upon addition, a dark-red solution was formed immediately that was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. After reducing the volume of the ether solution to about 1 mL, *n*-pentane (about 8 mL) was layered and the mixture was stored at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ overnight to afford compound 5 as a dark-red crystalline solid, which was washed with *n*-pentane and dried under vacuum; yield 119 mg (94%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 7.93$ (td, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 3.8$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 1.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.73 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.64 (s, 8H, *ortho*-Ar^FH), 7.46 (s, 4H, *para*-Ar^FH), 2.99 (m, 4H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.39 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.36 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 9.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 9.5$ Hz, 12H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.26 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 9.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.0$ Hz, 12H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 284.47$ (s, $\text{C}_{\text{carbene}}$), 169.05 (s, ArC), 161.81 (q, $^1J_{\text{CB}} = 49.94$ Hz, *ipso*-Ar^FC), 156.24 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 15.0$ Hz, ArC), 148.03 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 14.6$ Hz, ArC), 136.30 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 7.0$ Hz, ArC), 134.89 (s, *ortho*-Ar^FC), 132.11 (s, ArC), 131.50 (s, ArC), 129.00 (q, $^2J_{\text{FC}} = 30.3$ Hz, *meta*-Ar^FC), 124.58 (q, $^2J_{\text{FC}} = 273.1$ Hz, CF_3), 117.55 (s, *para*-Ar^FC), 37.26 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 30.34 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 26.66 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 11.6$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 26.57 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 11.5$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.34 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.62 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, CDCl_3 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 72.04$ (s) ppm; $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (160 MHz, CDCl_3 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = -6.62$ (s) ppm; $^{19}\text{F}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (470 MHz, CDCl_3 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = -65.79$ (s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{65}\text{H}_{64}\text{BF}_{24}\text{IP}_2\text{Pd}$ (1607.25 g mol⁻¹): C, 48.57; H, 4.01. Found: C, 48.37; H, 3.91.

Reduction of 5 with KC_8

KC_8 (2.6 mg, 0.018 mmol) and 5 (30 mg, 0.018 mmol) were mixed in 2 mL of THF at room temperature, which formed a dark-green solution immediately. After stirring the reaction mixture for about 5 min, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with 4 mL of *n*-pentane, filtered, and the pentane was removed under reduced pressure. The resulted green solid was mixed with 0.5 equiv. of 9,10-dihydroanthracene (2 mg, 0.010 mmol) in C_6D_6 and heated at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 7 d to afford compound 6 (*vide infra*). Yield 12 mg (92%).

Synthesis of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HP}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}]$ (6)

9,10-dihydroanthracene (3.6 mg, 0.020 mmol) and 4 (30 mg, 0.040 mmol) were mixed in 0.6 mL of C_6D_6 and heated at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 7 d, during which time the dark-green solution slowly turned to bright yellow. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with 5 mL of *n*-pentane.

After reducing the volume of the pentane solution to about 1 mL, the by-product anthracene precipitated as a white crystalline solid, which was filtered, and the solution stored at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to give orange crystals. Further recrystallization from *n*-pentane at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ afforded 6 as analytically pure orange crystals; yield 24 mg (81%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 7.46$ (td, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 4.3$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 2.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.42 (dm, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.22 (dm, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.41 (s, 1H, $\text{CH}_{\text{backbone}}$), 2.78 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 2.60 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.44 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.8$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.41 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.8$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.23 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.16 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.12 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.3$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 155.62$ (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 14.7$ Hz, ArC), 148.28 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 3.0$ Hz, ArC), 134.78 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 16.6$ Hz, ArC), 128.71 (s, ArC), 127.68 (s, ArC), 127.04 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 9.6$ Hz, ArC), 58.35 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 4.4$ Hz, $\text{CH}_{\text{backbone}}$), 34.44 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.48 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 26.91 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 9.1$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 26.82 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 7.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 26.73 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 5.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.95 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 2.6$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.42 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 1.8$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.67 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.50 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 51.25$ (s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{53}\text{IP}_2\text{Pd}$ (745.05 g mol⁻¹): C, 53.20; H, 7.17. Found: C, 53.04; H, 7.23.

Synthesis of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)(\text{SPh})\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}]$ (7)

PhSSPh (4.4 mg, 0.020 mmol) and 4 (30 mg, 0.040 mmol) were mixed in 0.6 mL of C_6D_6 and heated at $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 7 d, during which time the dark-green solution slowly turned to bright yellow. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with 5 mL of *n*-pentane and filtered. Removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure gave a yellow waxy solid; yield 32 mg (94%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 7.52$ (m, 2H, ArH), 7.27 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.07 (dm, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.01 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.97 (tt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.3$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.3$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.91 (tt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.2$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.11 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 2.76 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.51 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.44 (m, 12H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.21 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.06 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 156.63$ (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 12.7$ Hz, ArC), 149.44 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 2.9$ Hz, ArC), 136.58 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 3.2$ Hz, ArC), 136.34 (s, ArC), 136.23 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 16.1$ Hz, ArC), 129.60 (s, ArC), 128.84 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 9.0$ Hz, ArC), 128.57 (s, ArC), 128.52 (s, ArC), 126.41 (s, ArC), 79.58 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 6.1$ Hz, $\text{CH}_{\text{backbone}}$), 34.46 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.41 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 28.19 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 11.7$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 27.36 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 11.1$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.00 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.90 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.20 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 48.59$ (s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{39}\text{H}_{57}\text{IP}_2\text{PdS}$ (853.21 g mol⁻¹): C, 54.90; H, 6.73. Found: C, 54.82; H, 6.65.

Synthesis of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)(\text{SPh})\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdOTf}]$ (8)

AgOTf (9.6 mg, 0.038 mmol) in 1 mL of THF was added to 7 (32 mg, 0.038 mmol) in 1 mL of THF at room temperature. The resulting orange slurry was stirred for 4 h, slowly turning to a pale yellow slurry. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with 5 mL of benzene



and filtered. Removal of volatiles under reduced pressure led to a yellow solid, which was recrystallized from diethyl ether at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to give **8** as yellow blocks; yield 20 mg (61%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 7.89$ (dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.3$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.55 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.37 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.11 (m, 4H, ArH), 6.94 (tt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.3$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.09 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 2.35 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.37 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.8$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.31 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.16 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.12 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 0.93 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 154.67$ (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 13.5$ Hz, ArC), 150.66 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 2.5$ Hz, ArC), 137.07 (s, ArC), 134.85 (s, ArC), 134.08 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 16.9$ Hz, ArC), 129.64 (s, ArC), 129.55 (s, ArC), 128.97 (s, ArC), 128.82 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 8.6$ Hz, ArC), 127.03 (s, ArC), 121.24 (q, $^1J_{\text{FC}} = 320.3$ Hz, CF_3), 76.10 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 6.6$ Hz, $\text{C}_{\text{backbone}}$), 34.54 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.22 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 26.52 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 9.6$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 25.88 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.71 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.19 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.70 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 3.0$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.29 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 49.44$ (s) ppm; $^{19}\text{F}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (470 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = -80.11$ (s) ppm. Calcd for $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{57}\text{F}_3\text{O}_3\text{P}_2\text{PdS}_2$ (875.37 g mol^{-1}): C, 54.88; H, 6.56. Found: C, 54.97; H, 6.67.

Synthesis of [$\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)(\text{SPh})\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdSPh}$] (**9**)

PhSSPh (9.4 mg, 0.043 mmol) in 1 mL of benzene was added slowly to a dark-brown solution of **3** (30 mg, 0.043 mmol) in 1 mL of benzene at room temperature. The resulting bright-yellow solution was then stirred for 30 min. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with *n*-pentane (about 5 mL). After reducing the volume of the solution to about 0.5 mL, storing the concentrated solution at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ afforded compound **9** as yellow blocks; yield 36 mg (100%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 8.22$ (dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.19–7.13 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.04–6.93 (m, 5H, ArH), 6.80 (dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.3$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 2.76 (m, 4H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.41 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.40 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.35 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.22 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.10 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 157.33$ (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 12.1$ Hz, ArC), 150.83 (s, ArC), 148.88 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 3.0$ Hz, ArC), 137.96 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 2.5$ Hz, ArC), 136.07 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 16.1$ Hz, ArC), 135.67 (s, ArC), 133.91 (s, ArC), 129.44 (s, ArC), 129.02 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 8.6$ Hz, ArC), 128.48 (s, ArC), 127.72 (s, ArC), 126.13 (s, ArC), 121.31 (s, ArC), 74.78 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 3.2$ Hz, $\text{C}_{\text{backbone}}$), 34.40 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.45 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 26.83 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.7$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 26.72 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 12.0$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.50 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.40 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.35 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 1.9$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.97 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 44.26$ (s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{45}\text{H}_{62}\text{P}_2\text{PdS}_2$ (835.47 g mol^{-1}): C, 64.69; H, 7.48. Found: C, 64.38; H, 7.54.

Reaction of **5** with NaH

NaH (0.6 mg, 0.024 mmol) and **5** (32 mg, 0.020 mmol) were mixed in 1 mL of THF at room temperature. The dark-red

mixture was allowed to stir for 24 h, during which time a yellow solution was slowly formed. Removal of volatiles under reduced pressure led to a yellow solid. The ^1H and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR of the crude yellow solid in C_6D_6 showed clean conversion to **6** and NaBARF_4 .

Synthesis of [$\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)(\text{NH}^{\text{tBu}}\text{P})\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}$] (**10**)

$^{\text{tBu}}\text{P}(\text{NH})\text{Li}$ (3.3 mg, 0.029 mmol) in 1 mL of THF was slowly added to a dark-red solution of **5** (46 mg, 0.029 mmol) in 1 mL of THF at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The resulting brownish-green solution was then stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with 5 mL of benzene and filtered. Removal of volatiles under reduced pressure gave a greenish-yellow residue, which was extracted with *n*-pentane (8 mL), filtered, and the volume of the pentane solution reduced to about 1 mL. Storing this solution at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ gave compound **10** as yellow crystals; yield 12 mg (49%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 7.52$ (m, 2H, ArH), 7.14 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.10 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.03 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.79 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 4.22 (t, $J_{\text{HP}} = 2.8$ Hz, 1H, ArNH), 2.98 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 2.55 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.95 (s, 3H, ArCH_3), 1.53 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.8$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.47 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.34 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.8$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.17 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.09 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.3$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 157.90$ (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 14.6$ Hz, ArC), 150.08 (s, ArC), 143.11 (s, ArC), 138.33 (m, ArC), 129.64 (s, ArC), 128.73 (s, ArC), 128.35 (s, ArC), 127.16 (s, ArC), 126.85 (br s, ArC), 116.76 (s, ArC), 87.41 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 9.5$ Hz, $\text{C}_{\text{backbone}}$), 34.55 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.37 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 27.28 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.7$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 27.19 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.7$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 27.11 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 27.03 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.2$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.54 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.09 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.90 (s, ArCH_3), 19.60 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.08 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 49.89$ (br s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{60}\text{INP}_2\text{Pd}$ (850.18 g mol^{-1}): C, 56.51; H, 7.11; N, 1.65. Found: C, 56.42; H, 7.10; N, 1.61.

Synthesis of [$\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)(\text{OPh})\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}$] (**11**)

PhONa (5.4 mg, 0.046 mmol) in 1 mL of THF was slowly added to a dark-red solution of **5** (71 mg, 0.044 mmol) in 1 mL of THF at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The resulting bright yellow solution was then stirred at room temperature for 15 min. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with benzene (2 mL \times 6) and filtered. Removal of volatiles under reduced pressure gave a yellow residue, which was then extracted with *n*-pentane (6 \times 5 mL) and filtered. The solution was reduced to about 1 mL and stored at $-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. A yellow oil was obtained that was discarded and the solution carefully transferred to another vial. Slow evaporation at room temperature affords compound **11** as yellow crystals; yield 19 mg (53%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$): $\delta = 7.95$ (dd, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.49 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.27 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.10 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.02 (dd, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.66 (tt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^4J_{\text{HH}} = 1.0$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 3.03 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 2.54 (m, 2H,



$\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$, 1.50 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.42 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.31 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.8$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.13 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.09 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C): $\delta = 157.17$ (s, ArC), 155.55 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 14.7$ Hz, ArC), 150.87 (s, ArC), 137.73 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 14.6$ Hz, ArC), 129.21 (s, ArC), 128.15 (s, ArC), 127.36 (s, ArC), 121.91 (s, ArC), 120.40 (s, ArC), 113.46 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 8.1$ Hz, ArC), 58.35 (t, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 4.6$ Hz, $\text{C}_{\text{backbone}}$) 34.58 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.30 ($\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 27.42 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 10.6$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 26.68 (t, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 11.0$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.02 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.73 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.43 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 18.64 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C): $\delta = 51.09$ (br s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{39}\text{H}_{57}\text{IOP}_2\text{Pd}$ (837.14 g mol $^{-1}$): C, 55.95; H, 6.86. Found: C, 55.61; H, 6.61.

Synthesis of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^2)(\text{PMe}_3)\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]$ (12)

PMe_3 (3.6 mg, 0.047 mmol) in 1 mL of diethyl ether was added to a dark-red solution of **5** (50 mg, 0.031 mmol) in 2 mL of diethyl ether at room temperature. The resulting bright yellow solution was stirred for 5 min. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was crystallized by layering *n*-pentane to a fluorobenzene solution at room temperature, affording compound **12** as yellow blocks; yield 52 mg (100%). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C): $\delta = 7.81$ (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.66–7.63 (m, 13H, ArH and Ar_FH), 7.51 (s, 3H, ArH), 3.02 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 2.67 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.63 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 7.5$ Hz, 12H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.36 (s, 18H, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.31 (d, $^2J_{\text{HP}} = 11.5$ Hz, 9H, $\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 1.20 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.5$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.5$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 0.92 (dt, $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8.0$ Hz, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 8.0$ Hz, 6H, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$) ppm; $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C): $\delta = 161.83$ (q, $^1J_{\text{CB}} = 49.94$ Hz, *ipso*-Ar_FC), 153.43 (m, ArC), 146.01 (td, $J_{\text{CP}} = 4.8$ Hz, $J_{\text{CF}} = 12.6$ Hz, ArC), 137.97 (td, $J_{\text{CP}} = 6.4$ Hz, $J_{\text{CF}} = 15.1$ Hz, ArC), 134.91 (s, *ortho*-Ar_FC), 131.27 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 3.4$ Hz, ArC), 129.59 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 3.7$ Hz, ArC), 129.19 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 6.3$ Hz, ArC), 129.07 (qm, $^2J_{\text{FC}} = 28.9$ Hz, *meta*-Ar_FC), 124.68 (q, $^2J_{\text{FC}} = 273.2$ Hz, CF₃), 117.62 (m, *para*-Ar_FC), 63.65 (dt, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 21.5$ Hz, $^2J_{\text{CP}} = 2.4$ Hz, $\text{C}_{\text{backbone}}$) 34.91 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 31.08 (s, $\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_3$), 30.77 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 13.0$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 28.55 (t, $J_{\text{CP}} = 10.8$ Hz, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.88 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.74 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 20.15 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 19.00 (s, $\text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 13.86 (d, $^1J_{\text{CP}} = 54.3$ Hz, $\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$) ppm; $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (202 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C): $\delta = 42.17$ (s, $\text{PCH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 31.01 (s, $\text{P}(\text{CH}_3)_3$) ppm; $^{11}\text{B}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (160 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C): $\delta = -6.64$ (s) ppm, $^{19}\text{F}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (470 MHz, CDCl_3 , 25 °C): $\delta = -65.48$ (s) ppm. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{68}\text{H}_{73}\text{BF}_{24}\text{IP}_3\text{Pd}$ (1683.33 g mol $^{-1}$): C, 48.52; H, 4.37. Found: C, 48.84; H, 4.56.

Comproportionation reaction between **3** and **5**

A solution of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^2)\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PPMe}_3$ (**3**, 16 mg, 0.022 mmol) in 2 mL of diethyl ether was added to a solution of $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^2)\text{P}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{PdI}][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]$ (**5**, 36 mg, 0.022 mmol) in 1 mL of diethyl ether at -35 °C, which immediately generated a dark green solution. After stirring the mixture at room temperature for 15 min, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a green oil, which was then mixed with 9,10-dihydroanthracene (4 mg, 0.022 mmol) in C_6D_6 (with 10% of THF-*d*₈) and heated at 80 °C for 7

days. The ^1H and ^{31}P NMR spectra showed the clean transformation to compound **6** as well as the cationic complex $[\{\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HP}\}^{\text{tBu}}\text{Pd}(\text{PMe}_3)][\text{BAR}^{\text{F}}_4]$ in about 1 : 1 ratio.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Allen Oliver for crystallographic assistance and the Center for Sustainable Energy at Notre Dame for a fellowship to P. C. We also thank Dr. Mariya Vyushkova for the measurement of the EPR spectrum of **4**. Acknowledgment is made to the Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for partial support of this research (ACS PRF # 53536-DNI3 53536-DNI3).

References

- (a) U. Klabunde and E. O. Fischer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 7141–7142; (b) R. R. Schrock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 6796–6797.
- (a) F. Z. Dorwald, *Metal carbenes in organic synthesis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, New York, 1999; (b) F. Glorius, in *Topics in organometallic chemistry 21*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 2007.
- (a) D. J. Mindiola and J. Scott, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 15–17; (b) R. R. Schrock, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 145–180; (c) A. H. Hoveyda and A. R. Zhugralin, *Nature*, 2007, **450**, 243–251; (d) A. Fürstner, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1229713; (e) K. H. Dötz and J. Stendel, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 3227–3274; (f) H. Werner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4714–4728; (g) S. Conejero, M. Paneque, M. L. Poveda, L. L. Santos and E. Carmona, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 572–580; (h) S. T. Liddle, D. P. Mills and A. J. Wooles, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 2164–2176; (i) T. K. Panda and P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2782–2804.
- M. A. Sierra, M. Gómez-Gallego and R. Martínez-Álvarez, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 736–744.
- (a) P. J. Krusic, U. Klabunde, C. P. Casey and T. F. Block, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 2015–2018; (b) S. Lee and N. J. Cooper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 9419–9420.
- D. Seebach, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1979, **18**, 239–258.
- (a) W. I. Dzik, X. P. Zhang and B. de Bruin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 9896–9903; (b) B. van der Westhuizen, P. J. Swarts, L. M. van Jaarsveld, D. C. Liles, U. Siegert, J. C. Swarts, I. Fernández and D. I. Bezuidenhout, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 6674–6684; (c) D. I. Bezuidenhout, B. van der Westhuizen, P. J. Swarts, T. Chatturgoon, O. Q. Munro, I. Fernández and J. C. Swarts, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 4974–4985; (d) L. Lattuada, E. Licandro, S. Maiorana, H. Molinari and A. Papagni, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 807–812.
- (a) K. Fuchibe and N. Iwasawa, *Org. Lett.*, 2000, **2**, 3297–3299; (b) M. A. Sierra, P. Ramírez-López, M. Gómez-Gallego, T. Lejon and M. J. Mancheño, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 3442–3445; (c) K. Fuchibe and N. Iwasawa, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 905–914; (d) W. I. Dzik, J. N. H. Reek and B. de Bruin, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 7594–7599; (e) L. Zhang and K. S. Chan, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 679–684; (f) Y. Chen, K. B. Fields and X. P. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**,



- 14718–14719; (g) W. I. Dzik, X. Xu, X. P. Zhang, J. N. H. Reek and B. de Bruin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 10891–10902; (h) H. Lu, W. I. Dzik, X. Xu, L. Wojtas, B. de Bruin and X. P. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 8518–8521; (i) N. D. Paul, S. Mandal, M. Otte, X. Cui, X. P. Zhang and B. de Bruin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1090–1096.
- 9 (a) C. C. Comanescu and V. M. Iluc, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 6059–6064; (b) P. Cui, C. C. Comanescu and V. M. Iluc, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 6206–6209; (c) T. Cantat, N. Mézailles, L. Ricard, Y. Jean and P. le Floch, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 6382–6385; (d) V. H. Gessner, F. Meier, D. Uhrich and M. Kaupp, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 16729–16739; (e) S. Molitor, K.-S. Feichtner, C. Kupper and V. H. Gessner, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 10752–10762; (f) D. V. Gutsulyak, W. E. Piers, J. Borau-Garcia and M. Parvez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11776–11779; (g) J. Becker and V. H. Gessner, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 1310–1317.
- 10 (a) D. L. J. Broere, L. L. Metz, B. de Bruin, J. N. H. Reek, M. A. Siegler and J. I. van der Vlugt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1516–1520; (b) B. Sarkar, D. Schweinfurth, N. Deibel and F. Weisser, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **293–294**, 250–262; (c) D. L. J. Broere, B. de Bruin, J. N. H. Reek, M. Lutz, S. Dechert and J. I. van der Vlugt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11574–11577.
- 11 Y. Zhang and J. Wang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **2011**, 1015–1026.
- 12 C. C. Comanescu and V. M. Iluc, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 8517–8528.
- 13 C. C. Comanescu and V. M. Iluc, *Organometallics*, 2015, DOI: 10.1021/acs.organomet.5b00414.
- 14 C. C. Comanescu, M. Vyushkova and V. Iluc, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 4570–4579.
- 15 M. Broring, C. D. Brandt and S. Stellwag, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 2344–2345.
- 16 W. Weng, C.-H. Chen, B. M. Foxman and O. V. Ozerov, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 3315–3320.
- 17 (a) M. A. Esteruelas, A. M. López and E. Oñate, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 3260–3263; (b) M. A. Zhuravel, D. S. Glueck, L. M. Liable-Sands and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 574–578; (c) R. Castro-Rodrigo, M. A. Esteruelas, S. Fuertes, A. M. López, S. Mozo and E. Oñate, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 5941–5951; (d) M. Besora, S. F. Vyboishchikov, A. Lledós, F. Maseras, E. Carmona and M. L. Poveda, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2040–2045; (e) E. M. Leitao, S. R. Dubberley, W. E. Piers, Q. Wu and R. McDonald, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 11565–11572; (f) M. W. Hussong, F. Rominger, P. Krämer and B. F. Straub, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9372–9375; (g) F. M. Alías, M. L. Poveda, M. Sellin and E. Carmona, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 5816–5817; (h) N. G. Connelly, N. J. Forrow, B. P. Gracey, S. A. R. Knox and A. G. Orpen, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1985, 14–16; (i) T. R. Belderrain, E. Gutierrez, A. Monge, M. C. Nicasio, M. Paneque, M. L. Poveda and E. Carmona, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 4431–4442; (j) K. Ilg, M. Paneque, M. L. Poveda, N. Rendón, L. L. Santos, E. Carmona and K. Mereiter, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 2230–2236; (k) A. A. Cherkas, D. Hoffman, N. J. Taylor and A. J. Carty, *Organometallics*, 1987, **6**, 1466–1469; (l) M. S. Sanford, L. M. Henling and R. H. Grubbs, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 5384–5389; (m) C. L. Lund, M. J. Sgro and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 580–587.
- 18 (a) J. Campos and E. Carmona, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 2212–2221; (b) J. Campos, J. López-Serrano, E. Álvarez and E. Carmona, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 7165–7175.
- 19 J. Campos, R. Peloso and E. Carmona, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8255–8258.
- 20 B. J. Barrett and V. M. Iluc, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 2565–2574.
- 21 I. Mayer, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1983, **97**, 270–274.
- 22 K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 1083–1096.
- 23 B. J. Barrett and V. M. Iluc, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 7248–7259.
- 24 J. R. Bradbury, A. F. Masters, A. C. McDonnell, A. A. Brunette, A. M. Bond and A. C. Wedd, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 1959–1964.
- 25 *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2003.
- 26 L. Cabrera, G. C. Welch, J. D. Masuda, P. Wei and D. W. Stephan, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2006, **359**, 3066–3071.
- 27 K. J. T. Noonan, B. Feldscher, J. I. Bates, J. J. Kingsley, M. Yam and D. P. Gates, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 4451–4457.
- 28 (a) I. Chávez, A. Alvarez-Carena, E. Molins, A. Roig, W. Maniukiewicz, A. Arancibia, V. Arancibia, H. Brand and J. Manuel Manríquez, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **601**, 126–132; (b) I. S. Weitz and M. Rabinovitz, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1993, 117–120.
- 29 (a) G. A. Bain and J. F. Berry, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2008, **85**, 532–536; (b) D. F. Evans, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 2003–2005.
- 30 M. J. Frisch, in *Gaussian 03, revision D.02*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.

