Palladium carbene complexes as persistent radicals†
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A series of palladium(ii) radical carbene complexes, [PC(sp²)P]PdL, [PC(sp²)P]PdBr, and [PC(sp²)P]PdCl ([PC(sp³)H]₂P = bis[2-(di-iso-propylphosphino)-phenyl]methane), is described. Compound [PC(sp³)P]PdI dimerizes to ([PC(sp³)P]PdI)₂ in the solid state, akin to the formation of Gomberg’s dimer. While the bromo and the iodo derivatives could be obtained from the oxidation of [PC(sp³)P]Pd(PMe₃) by the respective dihalogens, a halogen transfer reaction from CH₂Cl₂ was used for the formation of [PC(sp³)P]PdCl. The halogen transfer from CH₂X₂ (X = Cl, Br, I) could be used to obtain all three radical carbene palladium complexes and also allowed the isolation of [PC(CH₂)P]Pd(PMe₃), which is the result of methylene group transfer from CH₂X₂. Compound [PC(CH₂)P]Pd(PMe₃) was independently synthesized from [PC(CH₃)HP]ClI, which contains a supporting ligand analogous to that of the radical carbene complexes but has one of the hydrogen atoms replaced by a methyl group. All three carbene radical species abstract a hydrogen from 9,10-dihydranthracene or ℎBu₃SnH.

Introduction

Radicals have long fascinated scientists in general and chemists in particular. Controlling the reactivity of such species has been challenging but rewarding; the isolation of stable radicals opens new avenues for finding interesting reactions. One way to control these species is by coordination to transition metals, which can impart selectivity to the reactions of these radicals via metal control and/or auxiliary ligands.

Although transition metal carbene complexes, which can display electrophilic or nucleophilic character, have been studied for some time, the corresponding radical species have been known mostly for electrophilic carbenes (Fischer type) of late transition metals. They are obtained by the reduction of the corresponding complexes, and are mostly observed as transient species with intricate reactivity. However, these radicals are very reactive and their characterization proved to be challenging. A few examples of two-coordinate metal complexes containing cyclic alkylamino carbene ligands with singlet biradicaloid character were recently reported. Herein, we report the synthesis of such a series, i.e., palladium(II) carbenes as persistent radicals originating from a nucleophilic carbene.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of palladium radical carbene complexes

We previously established that the carbene carbon in [PC(sp³)P]Pd(PMe₃) (1, PC(sp³)P = bis[2-(di-iso-propylphosphino)-phenyl]methane) has nucleophilic character. DFT calculations indicated that the HOMO of 1 is localized on the carbene carbon atom, therefore, the loss of an electron might occur from the same orbital. Compound 1 shows a reversible oxidation wave at ~0.15 V vs. Cp₂Fe/Cp₂Fe⁺ by cyclic voltammetry (ESI: Fig. S2†). Although the chemical oxidation of 1 with [Cp₂Fe]⁺ did not result in an isolable product, the analogous reaction with I₂ (Scheme 1) allowed the observation in solution of a green paramagnetic species, [PC(sp³)P]PdI (2), in good yield (70%).

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterization data for all new compounds, computational results, single crystal X-ray structure analysis of complexes (2), 3–6, 8, 9, 11, 12. CCDC 1002269, 1058170–1058177. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc01441g
Compound 2 represents, to the best of our knowledge, the first example of a palladium radical carbene complex. The radical nature of 2 in solution is supported by the value of the magnetic moment of 1.76 $\mu_B$, corresponding to one unpaired electron.

Interestingly, 2 dimerizes in the solid state to form $[2]_2$ (Fig. 1), the result of radical coupling.

The formation of $[2]_2$ from 2 (Scheme 1) is analogous to the formation of Gomberg’s dimer.\textsuperscript{11} Metrical parameters for $[2]_2$ agree with this interpretation. For example, the C–C distances C(51)–C(52), C(52)–C(53), C(53)–C(54), C(54)–C(55), C(55)–C(56), and C(56)–C(51) of 1.465(4), 1.345(4), 1.496(4), 1.508(4), 1.339(4), and 1.465(4) $\AA$, respectively, in the dearomatized phenyl ring show bond alternation. In addition, the C(2)–C(1) distance of 1.380(4) $\AA$ indicates double bond character, while the C(2)–C(61) distance of 1.481(4) $\AA$ is consistent with a single bond. Moreover, the C(1)–C(54) distance of 1.588(4) $\AA$ indicates an elongated C–C bond, in agreement with a weak interaction between the two monomers in the solid state. The Pd(1)–C(1) distance of 2.127(3) $\AA$ is slightly longer than the corresponding value in 1 (2.086(4) $\AA$). To investigate the dimerization process further, a variable temperature magnetization study in solution indicated that by lowering the temperature, the magnetic moment of 2 decreases from 1.76$\mu_B$ at room temperature to 1.39$\mu_B$ at 220 K, in agreement with the formation of the dimer at lower temperatures.

The dimerization of 2 is the consequence of radical coupling of one of its resonance structure (radical on the para position of the phenyl ring, Scheme 1). The resonance structures possible for 2 show that the radical creates an increased electron density on the ortho and para positions of the phenyl ring; both the central carbene carbon and the two ortho positions are sterically hindered, therefore, the contribution of the negatively charged para resonance structure is significant in determining the coupling position.

We also pursued the synthesis of the chloro and bromo analogues of 2. In a similar manner, the reaction between 1 and Br$_2$ (Scheme 1) generated a new paramagnetic species, $[\text{PC}'(\text{sp}^3)\text{PPdCl}]$ (3). In the reaction mixture we also observed $[\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HPdBr}]$ (4), likely due to the presence of small amounts of HBr in Br$_2$. We previously reported the protonation of carbene 1 with HCl$_{\text{aq}}$ and, in a similar reaction, the formation of $[\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HPdBr}]$ (4) could be accomplished from 1 and HBr. Interestingly, in this case, the radical carbene 3 is monomeric in both solution and the solid state. The solution magnetic moment (2.19 $\mu_B$) confirms a one electron radical species. While mononuclear Pd(II) complexes are known,\textsuperscript{12} in this case the oxidation takes place on the ligand, similar to the oxidation of PNP or Me$_2$PNP (PNP = (o-PPr$_2$C$_6$H$_4$)$_2$N; Me$_2$PNP = (2-PPr$_2$-4-MeC$_6$H$_4$)$_2$N) complexes that generate a nitrogen-based radical.\textsuperscript{13} In the solid state (Fig. 1), the Pd(ii) metal center is distorted square planar, with a Pd–C distance of 2.020(3) $\AA$, slightly shorter than that observed for 1 (2.086(4) $\AA$) or $[\text{PC}(\text{sp}^3)\text{HPdBr}]$ (4, 2.071(4) $\AA$). The carbene carbon is planar with a sum of angles of 359.8$^\circ$.

The last complex of the series, the chloro derivative, $[\text{PC}'(\text{sp}^3)\text{PdCl}]$ (5) was synthesized by halogen atom abstraction from dichloromethane in an analogous manner with the synthesis of $[^{13}\text{F}(\text{PNP})][\text{BF}_4]$ \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{*}14} ($[^{13}\text{F}(\text{PNP})]$ = (4-F-2-(iPr$_2$P)C$_6$H$_3$)$_2$N; Ar$^*$ = 3,5-(CF$_3$)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$) to generate a nitrogen based radical on the PNP ligand.\textsuperscript{14} The reaction proceeds slowly at room temperature and, after 2.5 days, the product was obtained in 75% of the theoretical yield (Scheme 2).

Similarly to the bromo derivative, the chloro substituted complex 5 is monomeric in both solution (1.86$\mu_B$) and the solid state. The molecular structure of 5 (Fig. 1) indicates that the carbene carbon is planar and found at 2.005(2) $\AA$ from the metal center. Like the bromo and the iodo derivatives, 5 is best described as a Pd(ii) metal center attached to a radical carbon, interpretation supported by DFT calculations (see below and ESI: Fig. S27†).

EPR spectroscopy indicates that all three radical species, $[\text{PC}'(\text{sp}^3)\text{PdCl}]$ (2), $[\text{PC}'(\text{sp}^3)\text{PdBr}]$ (3), and $[\text{PC}'(\text{sp}^3)\text{PdCl}]$ (5)
display g-factors close to 2, supporting the radical state of the backbone. However, the g-factor increases slightly from 5 (g = 2.0100) to 3 (g = 2.0105), and from 2 (g = 2.0111) to 3. No hyperfine structure was resolved for [PC’(sp²)P]PdBr and [PC’(sp³)P]PdI, but dilute solutions of [PC’(sp³)P]PdCl display a well-resolved hyperfine splitting (Fig. 2) attributed to 8 phenyl ring protons: a₁(2H) = 4.5 G; a₂(2H) = 2.6 G; a₃(2H) = 2.1 G; a₄(2H) = 1.2 G. The computed hyperfine interactions for 5, a(2H, 4, 4’) = 4.54 G; a(2H, 6, 6’) = 4.18 G; a(2H, 5, 5’) = 2.00 G; a(2H, 3, 3’) = 1.90 G, are in agreement with experimental values. Hyperfine coupling to ¹⁰⁵Pd nucleus (nuclear spin 5/2, natural abundance 22.33%) gives rise to broad satellites on either side of the central multiplet (Fig. 2). In 2 and 3, hyperfine coupling to ring protons contributes to the inhomogeneous line width (ESI: Fig. S3–S5† for details).

The halogen atom transfer reaction from CH₂X₂ to 1 also proved a good way to synthesize [2]₂ (X = I) and 3 (X = Br). In all cases, the identity of the paramagnetic species was confirmed by X-ray crystallography, solution magnetic moment, and subsequent reactivity studies (see below). A new diamagnetic complex was observed in all three crude reaction mixtures (Scheme 2). The corresponding ¹H NMR spectra show a new resonance as a doublet at 3.81 ppm in the olefinic region, while the ³¹P NMR spectra display an AX₂ spin system, δ (A) = –31.55 ppm (t, 3J_Pp = 21.6 Hz) and δ (X₂) = 33.85 ppm (d, 3J_Pp = 21.7 Hz), consistent with the presence of PMe₃ in the molecule. The ¹³C NMR spectra show the backbone carbon atom resonating at 112.16 ppm as a doublet of triplets, due to coupling to both types of phosphorus nuclei present in the molecule (J_Cp = 17.6 Hz, J_CP = 2.3 Hz), and a methylenic carbon is found at 64.44 ppm as a triplet of doublets due to long range phosphorus coupling (J_Cp = 7.5 Hz, J_CP = 5.1 Hz). X-ray crystallography indicates that the new product, [PC(CH₃)P]Pd(PMe₃) (6), is the result of coupling of the CH₂ group of dihalogenomethane with the carbene carbon of 1 to generate a new carbon–carbon double bond. The C=C bond formation in 6 is somewhat reminiscent of the formation of the C=O bond in the iridaepoxide [PC(O)OP]IrCl (PC(sp³)H₂P₂ = bis(2-di-isopropylphosphino)-benzothiophene)methane), isolated from the reaction of the iridium PC(carbene) complex [PC(carbene)IrCl and N₂O].

To the best of our knowledge, a similar “CH₂” transfer reaction as that described above has not been previously characterized, although examples of nickel¹⁷ or iron¹⁸ catalysed cross-coupling reactions of CH₂Cl₂ with Grignard reagents are known. It has also been reported that Kharasch addition reactions of perhalogenated reagents to olefins involve Pd(0)/Pd(II) oxidations and halogen transfer, but the intermediate metal species have not been characterized.⁹ It is important to note that in the reactions of 1 with CH₂X₂ (X = Cl, Br, I), palladium is not oxidized and the electron transfer takes place at the carbene ligand.

In 6 (Fig. 3), the metal centre is coordinated in a side-bound fashion to the new C–C double bond.²⁰ The metrical parameters for 6 point to a distorted tetrahedral palladium(0) metal center (P(1)–Pd–P(3) = 109.33(19)°, P(2)–Pd–P(3) = 118.94(19)°, P(1)–Pd–P(2) = 115.86(19)°). The C(1)–C(2) distance of 1.398(3) Å is slightly longer than 1.34 Å (C(sp²)–C(sp²)), likely due to π-backbonding.

The formation of 6 in the above reactions (Scheme 2) occurs in a relatively low yield since two thirds of 1 convert to the radical species, while the rest converts to 6 (75%, 38%, and 64% conversion to 6 observed besides the formation of 2, 3, and 5, respectively, isolated yield). Therefore, an independent synthesis of compound 6 was designed. We reasoned that the deprotonation of a methyl group that is a substituent of the carbon atom that connects the two phosphine phenyl rings in [PC(CH₃)₂P] (7) would lead to the isolation of 6. Compound 7 was synthesized in three steps from bis(2-bromophenyl)methanone (Scheme 3). Reaction of bis(2-bromophenyl)methanone with methyl lithium led to the isolation of the 1,1-bis(2-
bromophenyl]ethan-1-ol. Reduction of this carbinol in the presence of red phosphorous and hydroiodic acid generated 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethane, the precursor for 7. [PC(CH₃)HP] (7) was synthesized from this precursor by double lithiation with t-BuLi followed by metathesis with iPr₂PCl in 75% yield, as a clear oil. From 7, a palladium(II) complex could be isolated in 76% yield by mixing (COD)PdCl₂ and [PC(CH₃)HP] at room temperature in THF to give [PC(CH₃)HP]PdCl₂ (8).

Heating [PC(CH₃)HP]PdCl₂ (8) at 100 °C in toluene resulted in the C-H activation of the backbone (Scheme 4); a subsequent dehydrohalogenation generates the square planar complex [PC(CH₃)P]PdCl (9). A second dehydrohalogenation, using KN(SiMe₃)₂, in the presence of PMe₃, achieved the second C-H activation, now at the methyl group, and led to the isolation of 6 (Scheme 4) in high yield (75%).

DFT calculations

DFT calculations were carried out using Gaussian09 on model complexes of the three carbene radical species, 2', 3', and 5', in which the iso-propyls on phosphines were replaced by methyl groups. Geometry optimization results indicate a good agreement between the calculated structures of 3' and 5' and the corresponding experimental structures (Table 1).

DFT calculations indicate that the unpaired electron is localized mostly on the carbene carbon atom and slightly delocalized over the two phenyl rings in 2', 3', and 5' (Fig. 4). These results together with the fact that ca. 64% of the spin density was found on the former carbene carbon atom for all three radical carbene complexes support the interpretation that most of the spin density rests on this atom (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the composition of the SOMO for the three radical species indicates that 63.9%, 63.7%, and 63.4% of the p orbital of the carbon atom and 2.2%, 2.3%, and 2.4% of the palladium d orbital contribute in 2', 3', and 5', respectively. Since the three radical species are formed by the oxidation of 1 and the electron is removed from an antibonding orbital, the order of the Pd-C bond increases for 2', 3', and 5', respectively, as also shown by the decrease of the Pd-C distance in the radical species (see above).

In agreement with experimental results, the corresponding coupling product (2)₂ is less stable than 2 by 4.96 kcal mol⁻¹, consistent with the observation of the monomeric species in solution. Consequently, the difference between the respective dimers, (3)₂ and (5)₂, and the radical species increases from the iodo to the bromo (6.45 kcal mol⁻¹) and chloro (8.03 kcal mol⁻¹) derivative. The fact that 3 and 5 do not dimerize in the solid state is also supported by a slight decrease of the spin density on the para carbon involved in the coupling reaction (18.23% in 2, 18.06% in 3, and 18.03% in 5).

Reactivity studies of palladium radical carbene complexes

The radical nature of all three carbene radical species was probed by their reactions with hydrogen atom donors, 9,10-dihydroanthracene and t-Bu₃SnH (Scheme 5). In all cases, the reactions were slow and it took a few hours in order to achieve moderate conversions; consequently, the isolated yields were relatively low: 14% for 10, 16% for 4, and 17% for 11 for the reaction with 9,10-dihydroanthracene and 48% for 10, 24% for 4, and 78% for 11 for the reaction with t-Bu₃SnH. In order to confirm the identity of the respective products of these reactions, [PC(sp³)HP]PdCl (10), [PC(sp³)HP]PdBr (4), and [PC(sp³)HP]PdI (11) were compared with samples synthesized by independent methods (Scheme 5). Compound [PC(sp³)HP]PdCl (10) was previously reported. Compound [PC(sp³)HP]PdBr (4) was synthesized using a method analogous to that used for the synthesis of [PC(sp³)HP]PdCl: [PC(sp³)H₂P]PdBr₂ (12) could be isolated by reacting PC(sp³)H₂P (13) with (COD)PdBr₂ and heating 100 °C in dry toluene.

Table 1  Selected metrical parameters for the calculated and experimental structures of 3 and 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3' (DFT)</th>
<th>3 (X-ray)</th>
<th>5' (DFT)</th>
<th>5 (X-ray)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd–C (Å)</td>
<td>2.047</td>
<td>2.020(3)</td>
<td>2.042</td>
<td>2.005(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd–X (Å)</td>
<td>2.604</td>
<td>2.511(4)</td>
<td>2.463</td>
<td>2.388(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
at ambient temperature\(^2\) in 91% yield. This complex undergoes dehydrohalogenation through ligand C–H activation by heating it at 100 °C in toluene to generate [PC(sp\(^3\))HP]PdBr (4) in high yield (83%). On the other hand, compound [PC(sp\(^3\))HP]PdI was synthesized from the reaction of [PC(sp\(^3\))H\(_2\)P]PdCl with one equivalent of I\(_2\) in THF. A usual workup with Et\(_2\)O allowed the isolation of 11 as light yellow crystals in 68% yield.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we described the formation of a series of palladium(II) radical carbene complexes, [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdI (2), [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdBr (3), and [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdCl (5). These radical species are persistent in solution and, for 3 and 5, also in the solid state as indicated by X-ray crystallography. Metrical parameters for 3 and 5 indicate that Pd–C distances are slightly shorter than the corresponding values observed for [PC(sp\(^3\))P]Pd(PMe\(_3\)) (1) and [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PDtX (X = Cl, Br). The carbene carbon is planar and palladium shows a distorted square planar geometry in both metal complexes. Compound 2 dimerizes in the solid state to \((2)_2\), akin to the formation of Gomberg’s dimer.

While 2 and 3 could be obtained from the oxidation of [PC(sp\(^3\))P]Pd(PMe\(_3\)) by the respective dihalogens, a halogen transfer reaction from CH\(_2\)Br\(_2\) was used for the formation of 5. The halogen transfer from CH\(_2\)Br\(_2\) and CH\(_2\)I\(_2\) also led to the isolation of the corresponding radical carbene palladium complexes; in addition, this reaction allowed the isolation of [PC(CH\(_2\))P]Pd(PMe\(_3\)) (6), the result of methylene group transfer. Compound 6 was independently synthesized from [PC(CH\(_2\))HP]PdCl\(_2\), which contains a supporting ligand analogous to that of the radical carbene complexes but has one of the hydrogen atoms replaced by a methyl group.

The radical nature of the carbene carbon was also confirmed by the results of DFT calculations and EPR spectroscopy. All three radical species, [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdI (2), [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdBr (3), and [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdCl (5), display g factors close to 2, supporting the radical state of the backbone. In addition, although no hyperfine structure was resolved for [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdBr and [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdI, dilute solutions of [PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdCl display a well-resolved hyperfine splitting attributed to 8 phenyl ring protons and 105Pd nucleus.

Reactivity studies with 2, 3, and 5 showed that all three compounds abstract a hydrogen from 9,10-dihydroanthracene or \(^{11}Bu_3SnH\) supporting their radical nature. In addition to hydrogen-abstraction reactions, the radical carbene species discussed herein may be involved in redox reactions, conferring the supporting ligand a non-innocent behavior.\(^2\) We are currently exploring these possibilities.

**Experimental**

All experiments are performed under an inert atmosphere of N\(_2\) using standard glovebox techniques. Solvents, hexane, n-pentane, CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), and diethyl ether, were dried by passing through a column of activated alumina and stored in the glovebox. THF was dried over LiAlH\(_4\) followed by vacuum transfer and stored in the glovebox. Deuterated solvents, CDCl\(_3\) and CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves under N\(_2\), while CuD\(_8\) and CuD\(_8\)/CD\(_3\) were dried over CaH\(_2\) followed by vacuum transfer, and stored in the glovebox. Bis(2-bromophenyl)methane,\(^2\) [PC(sp\(^3\))P]Pd(PMe\(_3\)) (1),\(^1\) \[PC(sp\(^3\))P]PdCl (10),\(^1\) and PC(sp\(^3\))H\(_2\)P (13)\(^9\) were prepared according to literature procedures. All other materials were used as received. \(^1\)H, \(^13\)C\(^{(1)}\)H) and \(^3\)P\(^{(1)}\)NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 400 or 500 spectrometer. All chemical shifts are reported in δ units with references to the residual solvent resonance of the deuterated solvents for proton and carbon chemical shifts or to external H\(_2\)PO\(_4\) for \(^31\)P. Magnetic moments were determined by the Evans method\(^2\) using capillaries containing hexamethylsiloxane in CuD\(_8\) as a reference and hexamethylsiloxane in the sample solution. EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker EMXplus EPR spectrometer with a standard X-band EMXplus resonator and an EMX premiumX microwave bridge. Electrochemical data was collected on a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT-128N instrument. CHN analyses were performed on a CE-440 Elemental Analyzer or by Midwest Microlab, LLC. Gaussian 03 (revision D.02) was used for all reported calculations.\(^2\) The B3LYP (DFT) method was used to carry out the geometry optimizations on model compounds specified in text using the LANL2DZ basis set. The validity of the true minima was checked by the absence of negative frequencies in the energy Hessian.

**Synthesis of \([PC(sp^3)P]PdI_2\) (2\(_2\))**

58.1 mg of [PC(sp\(^3\))P]Pd(PMe\(_3\)) (1, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in THF and cooled at −50 °C. Then, 0.5 mL of a chilled I\(_2\) solution in THF (0.1 M, 0.05 mmol, −50 °C) was added dropwise and a
Synthesis of [PC(sp3)HP]PdBr (3)

A cold solution of 58.1 mg [PC(sp3)HP]Pd(PMe3) (1, 0.1 mmol) in 5 mL THF at –35 °C was stirred for 5 min prior to the addition of 0.05 mL of solution of Br2 (0.1 M in n-pentane). The colour changed rapidly to green. In the crude mixture, the presence of [PC(sp3)HP]PdBr (4) was determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy but was not quantified. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue extracted in Et2O, concentrated, and filtered over Celite. Analytically pure 3 crystallized at –35 °C (36.8 mg, 63%). For 3: 1H NMR, 31P{1H} NMR and 13C NMR spectra show no signals. Magnetic moment (298 K): μeff = 2.19μB. EPR: g = 2.0105. Anal. calc. for C53H38Br2P2Pd: C, 51.34; H, 6.20. Found: C, 50.99; H, 6.15.

Synthesis of [PC(sp3)H2]PdBr2 (12) (Scheme 6)

A mixture of 420.5 mg of [PC(sp3)H2]Pd(PMe3) (13, 1.05 mmol) and 374.4 mg (COD)PdBr2 (1 mmol) in 10 mL THF was stirred for 3 hours. Over this period of time, the mixture changed colour from cream to pale orange/light yellow. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was triturated 3 times with 5 mL of n-pentane. The resulted orange powder was dried under reduced pressure and was analytically pure by 1H and 31P {1H} NMR spectroscopy. Yield: 340.7 mg, 91%. For 12: 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD2Cl2, 248 K) δ = 7.63 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.60–7.55 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.51 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.42 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.37 (dt, J = 14.8, 6.3 Hz, 3H, ArH), 7.28 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 6.69 (dd, J = 14.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H, –C6H4), 4.03 (dd, J = 14.8 Hz, 1H, –C6H4), 3.96 (dt, J = 13.3, 6.9 Hz, 1H, CH(CH3)2), 3.67 (m, 1H, CH(CH3)2), 2.64 (m, 1H, CH(CH3)2), 1.77 (dd, J = 20.3, 7.4 Hz, 3H, CH(CH3)2), 1.62 (dd, J = 18.2, 13.6, 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH3)2), 1.45 (dd, J = 19.7, 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH(CH3)2), 1.34 (dd, J = 18.3, 7.0 Hz, 3H, CH(CH3)2), 1.07 (dd, J = 15.7, 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH(CH3)2), 0.89 (dd, J = 14.2, 6.8 Hz, 3H, CH(CH3)2), 0.69 (m, 1H, CH(CH3)2), 0.04 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.3 Hz, 3H, CH(CH3)2), 31P{1H} NMR (202 MHz, CD2Cl2, 248 K) δ = 44.66 (d, J = 15.0 Hz), 30.65 (d, J = 15.1 Hz). 13C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CD2Cl2, 248 K) δ = 144.99 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, ArC), 141.15 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, ArC), 133.12 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, ArC), 132.82 (s, ArC), 132.49 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, ArC), 132.02 (s, ArC), 131.46 (s, ArC), 130.62 (s, ArC), 130.52 (s, ArC), 126.61 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, ArC), 126.41 (m, ArC), 43.17 (t, J = 10.5 Hz, –CH2–), 31.30 (d, J = 29.4 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 30.36 (d, J = 32.3 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 25.34 (dd, J = 18.1, 4.9 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 24.61 (d, J = 23.9 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 24.38 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 22.47 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 21.95 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 21.21 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, CH(CH3)2), 20.50 (s, CH(CH3)2), 19.75 (s, CH(CH3)2), 19.58 (s, CH(CH3)2), 17.38 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, CH(CH3)2). Anal. calc. for C53H38Br2P2Pd: C, 51.04; H, 5.74. Found: C, 51.42; H, 5.57.

Reaction of [PC(sp3)P]Pd(PMe3) (1) with CH3Cl2

To a solution of 58.1 mg of [PC(sp3)P]Pd(PMe3) (1, 0.1 mmol) in 5 mL THF, was added 1 mL solution of CH3Cl2 (0.1 M) in THF and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 days. The color gradually changed from dark brown to green. The reaction was monitored by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. [PC(CH3)2]Pd(PMe3) (6) was present in the crude mixture by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The residue was dissolved in Et2O. Analytically pure 5 crystallized from this Et2O solution layered with n-pentane at –35 °C. Yield for 5: 29 mg, 80%. The supernatant contained mostly 6. The volatiles were removed under reduced
Synthesis of 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethan-1-ol

To a solution of 5.4 g of bis(2-bromophenyl)methanone (16 mmol) in 50 mL of Et₂O, 10 mL of MeLi (1.6 M in Et₂O) was added dropwise at room temperature with stirring over a period of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. 50 mL of H₂O was carefully added to the reaction mixture. The aqueous layer was extracted 3 times with 50 mL of Et₂O. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (3 times, 24 mL) and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was isolated as a clear oil. Yield: 5.5 g, 96%. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification. An analytically pure sample of 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethan-1-ol was obtained through separation by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes : ethyl acetate = 95 : 5).

Synthesis of 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethane

A mixture of 5 g of 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethan-1-ol (14 mmol), 15 g of red phosphorous (483 mmol) and 15 mL of HI (57%) was refluxed for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL H₂O, and extracted multiple times with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic extract was washed with diluted NaOH, water, brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to generate the crude product as an oil. The product was purified on a silica gel column using hexanes : ethyl acetate 95 : 5. Yield: 4 g, 84%. For 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethane; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.92 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.50 (dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.42–7.34 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.20–7.11 (m, 2H, ArH), 3.57 (s, 1H, –CH(OH)–CH₂), 2.05 (s, 1H, –CH(OH)–CH₂). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 144.94 (s, ArC), 134.79 (s, ArC), 129.70 (s, ArC), 129.09 (s, ArC), 127.34 (s, ArC), 124.86 (s, ArC), 77.94 (s, Ar₂C(OH)–CH₂), 28.35 (s, Ar₂C(OH)–CH₂).

Synthesis of PC(CH₃)HP (7)

To a solution of 1,1-bis(2-bromophenyl)ethan-1-ol (4 g, 11.8 mmol) in 50 mL of Et₂O, 15 mL of a BuLi solution (1.6 M in hexanes, 24 mmol) was added dropwise at –50 °C in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for an additional hour. To this mixture, a solution of 3.66 g of ³P₂Cl₂ (24 mmol) in Et₂O was added dropwise over a period of 30 minutes and stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with 5 mL of a degassed, saturated NH₄Cl solution in water. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in n-pentane. The pentane solution was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product, 7, crystallized as a white solid at this solution at –35 °C. Yield: 3.8 g, 78%. For 7; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = 7.39–7.33 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.19 (dd, J = 7.8, 3.8, 1.3 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.08 (td, J = 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.03 (td, J = 7.3, 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.25 (m, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, –CH(OH)CH₂), 2.05 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂H₂), 1.90 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂H₂), 1.76 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, –CH(CH₃)₂), 1.17 (dd, J = 14.2, 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.09 (dd, J = 13.6, 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.91 (dd, J = 11.3, 7.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.86 (dd, J = 13.5, 7.1 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (202 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = –8.28. ¹³C {¹H} NMR (126 MHz,
C_{6}D_{5} \delta = 153.55 (d, J = 25.8 Hz, ArC), 136.71 (d, J = 19.9 Hz, ArC), 132.97 (s, ArC), 128.81 (s, ArC), 128.57 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, ArC), 125.72 (s, ArC), 40.71 (t, J = 23.2 Hz, -CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 26.34 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, CH(\mathbf{C}(H)C)), 25.39 (dd, J = 11.7 Hz, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 24.33 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, -CH(\mathbf{C}(H)C)), 22.02-21.50 (m, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 21.32-20.82 (m, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 20.37 (m, CH(CH_{3})_{2}).

**Synthesis of [PC(CH_{3})_{3}PdCl_{2}] (8)**

A mixture of 85 mg of [PC(CH_{3})_{3}PdCl_{2}] (7) (0.206 mmol) and 57 mg of (COD)PdCl_{2} (0.2 mmol) was stirred in 5 mL of THF for 3 hours at room temperature. The solution remained cloudy yellow throughout the reaction. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was triturated 3 times with 5 mL of n-pentane. The resulting yellow powder (91 mg, 76%) was analytically pure based on 	extsuperscript{1}H and 	extsuperscript{31}P[	extsuperscript{1}H] NMR spectroscopy. Because the room temperature 	extsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum was broad, additional NMR data was recorded at 300, 310, and 320 K. For 8: 	extsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_{3}, 320 K) \delta = 7.60-7.52 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.44 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.27 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.00-6.91 (m, 1H, -CH(\mathbf{C}(H)C)), 3.76-3.50 (m, 2H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.80 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H, -CH(\mathbf{C}(H)C)), 1.71 (br s, 2H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.66 (dd, J = 15.9, 7.1 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.46 (dd, J = 17.4, 15.1, 7.2 Hz, 12H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 0.94 (dd, J = 13.5, 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}).

**Synthesis of [PC(CH_{3})_{2}PdCl_{2}] (6)**

To a solution of 50 mg of [PC(CH_{3})_{2}PdCl (9, 0.099 mmol) in 5 mL of THF, one equivalent of PMe_{3} (0.9 mL of a 0.1 M solution in THF) was added and the mixture was cooled to −35 °C. To this cold mixture, 1.36 mL KN(TMS)_{2} (0.066 M in toluene) was added. The solution rapidly changed color to orange, and the reaction was warmed up to room temperature, and stirred for one additional hour. After removal of volatiles under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted with n-pentane and the solution filtered over Celite. Analytically pure product was isolated by crystallization at −35 °C from n-pentane. Yield: 40 mg (75%). For 6: 	extsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_{6}D_{5} \delta = 7.80 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.30 (dd, J = 7.6, 3.6, 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.07 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.00 (td, J = 7.3, 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 3.81 (dd, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, -Pd(C=C=CH_{3})), 2.24 (m, 2H, CH(\mathbf{C}(H)C)), 1.99 (m, 2H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.36 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 9H, -P(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.21 (dd, J = 15.3, 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.04 (dd, J = 13.0, 10.2, 7.1 Hz, 12H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 0.95 (dd, J = 12.4, 7.0 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}).

**Reaction of 5, 3 or {2}, with 9,10-dihydroanthracene**

In a typical experiment a 20 mL scintillation vial, the radical (5, 54 mg, 0.1 mmol; 3, 59 mg, 0.1 mmol; {2}, 64 mg, 0.05 mmol) were mixed with 36 mg of 9,10-dihydroanthracene (0.4 mmol) in 5 mL of THF and stirred at room temperature. After about 12 hours, the color changed to a lighter shade of green. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted in Et_{2}O. The reaction was monitored by 	extsuperscript{1}H and 	extsuperscript{31}P[	extsuperscript{1}H] NMR. The product was isolated by crystallization from this concentrated Et_{2}O solution at −35 °C. The 	extsuperscript{1}H and 	extsuperscript{31}P[	extsuperscript{1}H] NMR spectra matched the spectra previously obtained for the products. Isolated yield: 14% for 10, 16% for 4 and 17% for 11.

**Reaction of 5, 3 or {2}, with \textsuperscript{8}Bu_{2}SnH**

In a typical experiment a 20 mL scintillation vial, the radical (5, 54 mg, 0.1 mmol; 3, 59 mg, 0.1 mmol; {2}, 64 mg, 0.05 mmol) were mixed with 4 mL solution of \textsuperscript{8}Bu_{2}SnH (0.05 M in THF, 0.2 mmol) and stirred at room temperature. After about 12 hours the color changed to light green. The reaction was monitored by 	extsuperscript{1}H and 	extsuperscript{31}P[	extsuperscript{1}H] NMR. The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted in Et_{2}O. The products were isolated by crystallization from this concentrated Et_{2}O solution at −35 °C. The 	extsuperscript{1}H and 	extsuperscript{31}P[	extsuperscript{1}H] NMR spectra matched the spectra previously obtained for these compounds. Yield 48% for 10, 24% for 4 and 78% for 11.
Synthesis of [PC(s)p(H)]PdI (11)

54.1 mg of [PC(s)p(H)]PdI (10, 0.1 mmol) were stirred in 5 mL of THF in a 20 mL scintillation vial, at −50 °C for 30 minutes. To this mixture, 1 mL solution of I2 (0.1 M in THF) was added dropwise. The color gradually changed from cream to bright yellow. After warming up to room temperature, the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional hour. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the yellow residue was extracted with Et2O and filtered over Celite. This Et2O solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and set to crystallize at −35 °C. After 2 days, analytically pure 11 (43 mg, 68%) was isolated as light yellow crystals. For 11: 1H NMR (400 MHz, C6D6), δ = 7.29 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4, 1.0 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.14 (dd, J = 7.5, 3.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.09–7.04 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.90 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 6.37 (s, 1H, Pd–CH), 2.66–2.55 (m, 2H, CH(CH3)2), 2.55–2.44 (m, 2H, CH2(CH3)2), 1.38 (qd, J = 8.3, 7.1 Hz, 12H, CH(CH3)2), 1.06 (dd, J = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 6H, CH2(CH3)2), 1.02 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 6H, CH2(CH3)2). 31P{1H} NMR (162 MHz, C6D6), δ = 51.43 (s).
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