



CrossMark
click for updates

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 4273

Received 28th February 2015

Accepted 27th April 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00731c

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Enantioselective annulation of enals with 2-naphthols by triazolium salts derived from L-phenylalanine†

Guo-Tai Li,^{ab} Qing Gu^b and Shu-Li You^{*abc}

A series of chiral triazolium salts have been synthesized from methyl L-phenylalaninate hydrochloride. The NHCs derived from this class of novel triazolium salts were found to be highly efficient catalysts in the annulation reaction of enals and 2-naphthols. These reactions proceeded with high chemoselectivity and wide substrate scope affording enantioenriched β -arylsplitomicins in good yields with up to 96% ee.

The naphthopyran-3-one core is a characteristic structural motif existing in a variety of biologically active natural products and pharmaceuticals (Fig. 1).¹ Specifically, β -arylsplitomicin and its analogues have recently been extensively investigated and they were found to exhibit highly interesting biological activities such as recombinant SIRT2 inhibition, antiproliferative properties and tubulin hyperacetylation in MCF7 breast cancer cells.^{1c-e,g} Moreover, they are also important synthetic intermediates in both organic synthesis and medicinal chemistry. Consequently, various approaches to access these β -arylsplitomicin scaffolds have been established. Although the synthesis of racemic β -arylsplitomicin derivatives has been extensively carried out,² limited progress on the synthesis of enantioenriched β -arylsplitomicins has been reported.^{1g,3} In 2008, Jung and coworkers reported a cyclization of β -naphthol with propiolic acid, followed by a chiral rhodium-catalyzed conjugated addition of arylboronic acids to benzof[*f*]chromen-3-one,

delivering the β -arylsplitomicin in excellent enantioselectivity but with poor yield (18%).^{1g} Lately, Zhang and Feng employed a chiral bifunctional thiourea-tertiary amine-catalyzed annulation between β -naphthols and alylidene Meldrum's acids to afford β -arylsplitomicins in good yields but with moderate enantioselectivity.^{3a}

Recently, N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC)⁴-catalyzed reactions involving α,β -unsaturated acyl azolium intermediates generated from enals,^{3b,5} ynals,⁶ α -bromoaldehydes,⁷ α,β -unsaturated acyl fluorides,⁸ α,β -unsaturated esters,^{8a,9} and α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids¹⁰ have received great attention and enjoyed rapid development. In 2009, Bode and coworkers reported an elegant work on the NHC-catalyzed enantioselective Claisen rearrangement of ynals and 2-naphthols, affording β -phenylsplitomicin in 79% yield with 68% ee.^{3b,c} Later, the asymmetric annulation of 2-bromoaldehydes and β -naphthols was also realized by Biju and coworkers to deliver β -phenylsplitomicin in moderate yield and enantioselectivity.^{3d} Despite these pioneering studies, highly enantioselective synthesis of β -arylsplitomicins by NHC catalysis remains to be an unsolved project (Fig. 2). In particular, the chemoselectivity of annulation over ester byproduct formation is responsible for the low yield of the desired splitomicin product. As part of our continuous interest




Fig. 1 Selected bioactive compounds and natural products containing the naphthopyran-3-one core.

^aSchool of Pharmacy, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Mei-Long Road, Shanghai 200237, China. E-mail: slyou@sioc.ac.cn

^bState Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032, China

^cCollaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: CCDC 1051429 and 1051473. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00731c





Fig. 2 NHC-catalyzed annulation reactions of β -naphthol.



in NHC catalysis,^{5d,11} we envisioned that the introduction of novel chiral triazolium salts might provide a solution for this highly challenging but interesting reaction. In this paper, we report the synthesis of novel NHCs derived from *L*-phenylalanine and their excellent performance in the annulation reaction of enals with 2-naphthols.⁵

Our study began with the preparation of a series of triazolium salts from the commercially available methyl *L*-phenylalaninate hydrochloride (Scheme 1). The synthesis commenced with the transformation of *L*-phenylalaninate hydrochloride to the lactam.¹² The addition of a Grignard reagent to the ester group in lactam **1** and protection of the hydroxyl group by TMSOTf or TBSOTf afforded the corresponding silyl ethers. ¹³ Several homologous triazolium salts **4a–4g** were then prepared by following the procedures developed by Rovis and coworkers.¹⁴ Desilylation of **4a–4b** under acidic reflux conditions gave triazolium salts **5a–5b** bearing a free hydroxyl group.¹⁵ Furthermore, the structure of **4a** was confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic analysis as shown in Scheme 1.

With these triazolium salts in hand, we began to test their catalytic activity in the annulation reaction between 3-methylnaphthalen-2-ol (**6a**) and (*E*)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylaldehyde (**7a**). To our delight, in the presence of 10 mol% *N*-Mes substituted triazolium salt **4a**, 20 mol% DBU and 1 equivalent of quinone **8** as oxidant in THF, the reaction proceeded smoothly to afford the corresponding β -arylsplitomicin in 68% yield and 78% ee, along with 24% yield of byproduct ester **10a** (Table 1, entry 3). Interestingly, the enantioselectivity obtained by **4a** is much higher than those obtained by previously reported NHC precursors **A** and **B** (Table 1, entries 1–2). The ee value of the product could be further increased to 84% ee when 1 equivalent of **7a** was used (Table 1, entry 5). However when **7a** was used in excess, it was difficult to isolate **9a** from the reaction mixture due to the similar R_f value between **7a** and **9a**. Other NHC precursors with different substituents were then investigated. As summarized in Table 1, NHC precursors **4b** and **4c**, bearing 4-MeOC₆H₄ and C₆H₅ groups on the N atom, respectively, gave moderate enantioselectivity and low conversions (Table 1, entries 7–8). In the presence of NHC precursors either bearing

Table 1 Screening NHC precursors^a


| Entry | Triazolium salt | 6a/7a | Time (h) | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) | 9a/10a ^d |
|-------|-----------------|-------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | A | 3 | 4 | 74 | –24 | — |
| 2 | B | 3 | 15 | 58 | –24 | — |
| 3 | 4a | 3 | 2 | 68 | 78 | — |
| 4 | 4a | 2 | 2 | 68 | 81 | — |
| 5 | 4a | 1 | 2 | 61 | 84 | 1/0.40 |
| 6 | 4a | 0.5 | 2 | — | 85 | — |
| 7 | 4b | 1 | 24 | 34 | 53 | — |
| 8 | 4c | 1 | 48 | 8 | 51 | — |
| 9 | 4d | 1 | 30 | <5 | — | — |
| 10 | 4e | 1 | 30 | <5 | — | — |
| 11 | 4f | 1 | 30 | 24 (30) ^e | 75 | 1/1.27 ^f |
| 12 | 4g | 1 | 48 | 7 | 42 | — |
| 13 | 5a | 1 | 2.5 | 62 | 84 | 1/0.42 |
| 14 | 5b | 1 | 24 | 30 | 54 | — |

^a Reaction conditions: **7a** (0.2 mmol), **8** (0.2 mmol), triazolium salt (0.02 mmol), DBU (0.04 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL) at rt. ^b Isolated yield for **9a**. ^c Determined by HPLC. ^d Determined by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture. ^e Isolated yield for **10a**. ^f Determined after isolation.

an electron-withdrawing group such as 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃ (**4d**) and C₆F₅ (**4e**) or a TBS-protected hydroxyl group (**4f**, **4g**), this annulation reaction became sluggish and only gave trace amounts of the desired product (Table 1, entries 9–12). Notably, NHC precursor **5a** bearing a free OH group gave comparable results (Table 1, entry 5 vs. entry 13).¹⁵

With **4a** as the NHC precursor, further optimization of the reaction conditions was carried out. The results are summarized in Table 2. Various solvents such as THF, toluene, CH₂Cl₂, ether and dioxane were tolerated well, providing the desired product in moderate to good yields and enantioselectivity. The reaction in THF gave the highest ee (Table 2, entry 1, 61% yield, 84% ee), although toluene gave a higher yield (Table 2, entry 2, 83% yield, 74% ee). Lowering the reaction temperature to 0 °C led to an increased yield and ee (Table 2, entry 8, 72% yield, 85% ee).

Several bases including DBU, KOAc, Cs₂CO₃, K₃PO₄, KHCO₃ and KHMDS were further evaluated, and most of them gave the desired product in good yields and enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 10–17, 61–73% yields, 80–87% ee). Among them, K₃PO₄ gave the best result (Table 2, entry 14, 72% yield, 87% ee). Other NHC precursors synthesized by utilizing different Grignard reagents were evaluated in this annulation reaction; unfortunately no better results were obtained compared with **4a** (see the



Scheme 1 Synthesis of chiral triazolium salts derived from *L*-phenylalanine and the X-ray crystal structure of **4a**.

Table 2 Screening solvents and bases^a


| Entry | Solvent | Base | <i>T</i> (°C) | Time (h) | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) | 9a/10a ^d |
|-------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | THF | DBU | rt | 3 | 61 | 84 | 1/0.40 |
| 2 | Toluene | DBU | rt | 18 | 83 | 74 | 1/0.16 |
| 3 | Et ₂ O | DBU | rt | 3 | 64 | 78 | 1/0.42 |
| 4 | DCM | DBU | rt | 48 | 76 | 65 | 1/0.19 |
| 5 | Dioxane | DBU | rt | 16 | 57 | 80 | 1/0.62 |
| 6 | PhOMe | DBU | rt | 12 | 75 | 73 | 1/0.13 ^e |
| 7 | CH ₃ CN | DBU | rt | 48 | 43 | 53 | 1/0.30 ^e |
| 8 | THF | DBU | 0 | 23 | 72 | 85 | 1/0.32 |
| 9 | Cyclohexane | DBU | rt | 24 | 39 | 81 | 1/0.27 ^e |
| 10 | THF | KOAc | 0 | 72 | 72 | 84 | 1/0.27 |
| 11 | THF | Cs ₂ CO ₃ | 0 | 2 | 67 | 86 | 1/0.31 |
| 12 | THF | Cs ₂ CO ₃ | -10 | 8 | 73 | 86 | 1/0.20 |
| 13 | THF | K ₂ CO ₃ | 0 | 8 | 72 | 85 | 1/0.28 |
| 14 | THF | K ₃ PO ₄ | 0 | 8 | 72 | 87 | 1/0.23 |
| 15 | THF | KO ^t Bu | 0 | 1.5 | 72 | 80 | 1/0.38 |
| 16 | THF | KHCO ₃ | 0 | 72 | 61 | 83 | 1/0.28 |
| 17 | THF | KHMDS | 0 | 1.5 | 73 | 81 | 1/0.36 |



^a Reaction conditions: **6a** (0.2 mmol), **7a** (0.2 mmol), **8** (0.2 mmol), **4a** (0.02 mmol), base (0.04 mmol) in solvent (2.0 mL). ^b Isolated yield for **9a**.

^c Determined by HPLC. ^d Determined by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture. ^e Determined after isolation.

ESI[†] for details). Under the optimized conditions (10 mol% of **4a** and 20 mol% of K₃PO₄ in THF at 0 °C), the reactions of various 2-naphthols with α,β -unsaturated aldehydes were tested to investigate the generality of the reaction. The results are summarized in Table 3. Firstly, the effect of the substituents on the naphthol ring was investigated. Various 3-substituted 2-naphthols (OMe, OBn, Bn, 4-CF₃C₆H₄ and OCH₂CHCH₂) were all tolerated well, and their corresponding annulation products were obtained in 46–90% yields and 84–90% ee (Table 3, entries 1–7). When 3-Br, 3-Ph and 3-PhCONH substituted 2-naphthols were used, relatively longer time was required to obtain the satisfactory results (Table 3, entries 8–10; 73–84% yields, 76–95% ee). When the 6-COOMe 2-naphthol was used, the annulation product was obtained in 86% yield and 83% ee (Table 3, entry 11), and the 7-OMe 2-naphthol gave excellent enantioselectivity with moderate yield (Table 3, entry 12; 62% yield, 91% ee). Next a wide range of substituted cinnamaldehydes bearing either an electron-donating or electron-withdrawing group were further tested. In all cases, the annulation proceeded smoothly to afford their corresponding products in good yields and enantioselectivity (Table 3, entries 15–23; 82–96% yields, 71–96% ee). Notably, (*E*)-3-(furan-2-yl)acrylaldehyde and (*E*)-but-2-enal were also suitable substrates, affording annulation products in 91% yield, 85% ee and 65% yield, 75% ee respectively (Table 3, entries 24–25).

In order to determine the absolute configuration of the products, a crystal of enantiopure **9h** was obtained and X-ray crystallographic analysis determined its configuration as *R*.

To our great delight, when an ynal or 2-bromoenal was used, this annulation reaction occurred without external oxidant to give comparable results by running the reaction at room temperature (eqn (1) and (2)).



In order to shed light on the reaction mechanism,¹⁶ compound **10o** was synthesized and subjected to the identical reaction conditions. Product **9o** was isolated in 22% yield with 48% ee after 7 days at room temperature, along with **6h** in 44% yield (eqn (3)). In addition, real time monitoring of the reaction of **6a** with **7a** by ¹H NMR showed that there was little change in the ratio of product **9a** to byproduct **10a** during the progress of the reaction, which suggested that an α,β -unsaturated acyl azolium intermediate is directly generated from the enal with the NHC and oxidant rather than from byproduct **10a** (see the ESI[†] for details).

A gram-scale reaction between **6h** and **7a** was carried out to give the desired product in 85% yield and 93% ee without any




Table 3 Substrate scope^a

| Entry | R ¹ | R ² | 9 | Time (h) | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) |
|-----------------|--|---|----|----------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | 3-Me | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9a | 12 | 73 | 87 |
| 2 | H | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9b | 11 | 46 | 88 |
| 3 | 3-OMe | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9c | 18 | 75 | 88 |
| 4 | 3-OBn | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9d | 4 | 79 | 90 |
| 5 | 3-OCH ₂ CHCH ₂ | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9e | 13 | 76 | 88 |
| 6 | 3-Bn | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9f | 5 | 90 | 84 |
| 7 | 3-(4-CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄) | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9g | 5.5 | 87 | 88 |
| 8 | 3-Ph | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9h | 24 | 84 | 95 |
| 9 | 3-Br | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9i | 24 | 73 | 82 |
| 10 | 3-PhCONH | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9j | 5 days | 73 | 76 |
| 11 | 6-COOMe | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9k | 12 | 86 | 83 |
| 12 | 7-OMe | 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9l | 12 | 62 | 91 |
| 13 | H | Ph | 9m | 36 | 60 | 85 |
| 14 | 3-Me | Ph | 9n | 24 | 65 | 79 |
| 15 | 3-Ph | Ph | 9o | 8.5 | 82 | 91 |
| 16 | 3-Ph | 2-MeC ₆ H ₄ | 9p | 36 | 89 | 96 |
| 17 | 3-Ph | 2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 9q | 30 | 85 | 92 |
| 18 | 3-Ph | 4-MeC ₆ H ₄ | 9r | 6 | 92 | 92 |
| 19 | 3-Ph | 4-Me ₂ NC ₆ H ₄ | 9s | 36 | 91 | 92 |
| 20 | 3-Ph | 4-FC ₆ H ₄ | 9t | 1.5 | 94 | 86 |
| 21 | 3-Ph | 4-ClC ₆ H ₄ | 9u | 2 | 95 | 85 |
| 22 | 3-Ph | 4-BrC ₆ H ₄ | 9v | 6 | 96 | 85 |
| 23 | 3-Me | 4-MeCO ₂ C ₆ H ₄ | 9w | 5.5 | 82 | 71 |
| 24 | 3-Ph | 2-Furyl | 9x | 3 | 91 | 85 |
| 25 ^d | 3-Ph | Me | 9y | 5 days | 65 | 75 |

^a Reaction conditions: **6** (0.2 mmol), **7** (0.2 mmol), **8** (0.2 mmol), **4a** (0.02 mmol), K₃PO₄ (0.04 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL) at 0 °C unless noted otherwise.

^b Isolated yield for **9**. ^c Determined by HPLC. ^d At rt.



Scheme 2 Gram-scale synthesis and transformations of the product.

loss of either yield or enantioselectivity, which further demonstrated the practicality of this methodology. Highly enantioenriched products obtained here can undergo diverse transformations. For example, the reduction of **9h** by DIBAL-H gave hemiacetal **11** in 96% yield and 92% ee. Ring opening of **9h**

by dimethylamine or LiAlH₄ led to amide **12** or diol **13** respectively in high yield without loss of enantiomeric purity (Scheme 2).

Conclusions

We have synthesized a series of novel chiral triazolium salts derived from L-phenylalanine. The NHC derived from **4a** is found to be a highly efficient catalyst for annulation of enals with 2-naphthols under oxidative conditions. Structurally diverse β-arylsplitomicins were formed in good yields with up to 96% ee. Understanding the excellent performance of these novel triazolium salts and further exploration of their application in asymmetric reactions are currently underway in our lab.



Acknowledgements

We thank the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2015CB856600) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (21272253, 21332009, 21361140373, 21421091) for generous financial support.

Notes and references

- (a) G. Speranza, A. D. Meo, P. Manitto, D. Monti and G. Fontana, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1996, **44**, 274; (b) P. Pahari, M. K. Kharel, M. D. Shepherd, S. G. van Lanen and J. Rohr, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1216; (c) A. Bedalov, T. Gatabont, W. P. Irvine, D. E. Gottschling and J. A. Simon, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2001, **98**, 15113; (d) J. Posakony, M. Hirao, S. Stevens, J. A. Simon and A. Bedalov, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, **47**, 2635; (e) M. Freitag, J. Schemies, T. Larsen, K. E. Gaghlab, F. Schulz, T. Rumpf, M. Jung and A. Link, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **19**, 3669; (f) R. Jangir and N. P. Argade, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 5531; (g) R. C. Neugebauer, U. Uchiechowska, R. Meier, H. Hruby, V. Valkov, E. Verdin, W. Sippl and M. Jung, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 1203.
- Synthesis of racemic β -arylsplitomicins: (a) J. D. Simpson and H. Stephen, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1956, 1382; (b) S. Aoki, C. Amamoto, J. Oyamada and T. Kitamura, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 9291; (c) Z. Zhang, Y. Ma and Y. Zhao, *Synlett*, 2008, 1091; (d) S. Gao, C. H. Tsai and C.-F. Yao, *Synlett*, 2009, 949; (e) D. P. Kamat, S. G. Tilve and V. P. Kamat, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 4469; (f) M. M. Naik, D. P. Kamat, S. G. Tilve and V. P. Kamat, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 5221.
- Synthesis of enantioenriched β -arylsplitomicins: (a) J.-Y. Wang, H. Zhang, Y.-H. Liao, W.-C. Yuan, Y.-J. Feng and X.-M. Zhang, *Synlett*, 2012, **23**, 796; (b) J. Kaeobamrung, J. Mahatthananchai, P. Zheng and J. W. Bode, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8810; (c) J. Mahatthananchai, J. Kaeobamrung and J. W. Bode, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 494; (d) S. R. Yetra, A. Bhunia, A. Patra, M. V. Mane, K. Vanka and A. T. Biju, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 1089.
- Selected reviews on NHC catalysis: (a) D. Enders, O. Niemeier and A. Henseler, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5606; (b) V. Nair, S. Vellalath and B. P. Babu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 2691; (c) A. T. Biju, N. Kuhl and F. Glorius, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 1182; (d) V. Nair, R. S. Menon, A. T. Biju, C. R. Sinu, R. R. Paul, A. Jose and V. Sreekumar, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5336; (e) X. Bugaut and F. Glorius, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3511; (f) J. Douglas, G. Churchill and A. D. Smith, *Synthesis*, 2012, **44**, 2295; (g) A. Grossmann and D. Enders, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 314; (h) J. Izquierdo, G. E. Hutson, D. T. Cohen and K. A. Scheidt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 11686; (i) C. E. I. Knappke, A. Imami and A. J. von Wangelin, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, **4**, 937; (j) H. U. Vora, P. Wheeler and T. Rovis, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 1617; (k) S. De Sarkar, A. Biswas, R. C. Samanta and A. Studer, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 4664; (l) S. J. Ryan, L. Candish and D. W. Lupton, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 4906; (m) D. C. M. Albanese and N. Gaggero, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 5631; (n) J. Mahatthananchai and J. W. Bode, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 696; (o) M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler and F. Glorius, *Nature*, 2014, **510**, 485.
- (a) S. De Sarkar and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 9266; (b) B. Wanner, J. Mahatthananchai and J. W. Bode, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5378; (c) A. Biswas, S. De Sarkar, R. Fröhlich and A. Studer, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4966; (d) Z.-Q. Rong, M.-Q. Jia and S.-L. You, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 4080; (e) A. G. Kravina, J. Mahatthananchai and J. W. Bode, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 9433; (f) S. Bera, R. C. Samanta, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9622.
- (a) Z.-Q. Zhu and J.-C. Xiao, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 2455; (b) E. Lyngvi, J. W. Bode and F. Schoenebeck, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2346; (c) F. Romanov-Michailidis, C. Besnard and A. Alexakis, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4906; (d) Y. Lu, W.-F. Tang, Y. Zhang, D. Du and T. Lu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 321; (e) Z.-Q. Zhu, X.-L. Zheng, N.-F. Jiang, X. Wan and J.-C. Xiao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 8670.
- (a) F.-G. Sun, L.-H. Sun and S. Ye, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 3134; (b) C. Yao, D. Wang, J. Lu, T. Li, W. Jiao and C. Yu, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 1914; (c) B. Zhang, P. Feng, Y. Cui and N. Jiao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7280; (d) C.-S. Yao, W.-H. Jiao, Z.-X. Xiao, R. Liu, T.-J. Li and C.-X. Yu, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 1133; (e) S. R. Yetra, T. Kaicharla, S. S. Kunte, R. G. Gonnade and A. T. Biju, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 5202; (f) S. Mondal, S. R. Yetra, A. Patra, S. S. Kunte, R. G. Gonnade and A. T. Biju, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 14539; (g) Q. Ni, X.-X. Song, G. Raabe and D. Enders, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2014, **9**, 1535; (h) S. R. Yetra, T. Roy, A. Bhunia, D. Porwal and A. T. Biju, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 4245.
- (a) S. J. Ryan, L. Candish and D. W. Lupton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14176; (b) S. J. Ryan, L. Candish and D. W. Lupton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4694; (c) L. Candish and D. W. Lupton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 58; (d) L. Candish, C. M. Forsyth and D. W. Lupton, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9149.
- (a) J. Cheng, Z. Huang and Y. R. Chi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 8592; (b) L. Candish, A. Levens and D. W. Lupton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14397.
- X.-Y. Chen, Z.-H. Gao, C.-Y. Song, C.-L. Zhang, Z.-X. Wang and S. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 11611.
- (a) Y. Li, Z. Feng and S.-L. You, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2263; (b) G.-Q. Li, Y. Li, L.-X. Dai and S.-L. You, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 1258; (c) M.-Q. Jia, Y. Li, Z.-Q. Rong and S.-L. You, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2011, **9**, 2072; (d) K.-J. Wu, G.-Q. Li, Y. Li, L.-X. Dai and S.-L. You, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 493; (e) M.-Q. Jia and S.-L. You, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 6363; (f) M.-Q. Jia and S.-L. You, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 622.
- (a) J. H. Tsai, L. R. Takaoka, N. A. Powell and J. S. Nowick, *Org. Synth.*, 2002, **78**, 220; (b) D. Dou, P. Viwanathan, Y. Li, G. He, K. R. Alliston, G. H. Lushington, J. D. Brown-Clay, R. Padmanabhan and W. C. Groutas, *J. Comb. Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 836.



- 13 (a) Y.-R. Zhang, L. He, X. Wu, P.-L. Shao and S. Ye, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **10**, 277; (b) D. Enders and J. Han, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2008, **19**, 1367.
- 14 M. S. Kerr, J. R. de Alaniz and T. Rovis, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 5725.
- 15 For leading references on the application of NHCs bearing a free hydroxyl group: (a) L. He, Y.-R. Zhang, X.-L. Huang and S. Ye, *Synthesis*, 2008, 2825; (b) L. Baragwanath, C. A. Rose, K. Zeitler and S. J. Connon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 9214; (c) L.-H. Sun, L.-T. Shen and S. Ye, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 10136; (d) H. Lv, W.-Q. Jia, L.-H. Sun and S. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 8607; (e) L.-H. Sun, Z.-Q. Liang, W.-Q. Jia and S. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 5803; (f) H.-M. Zhang, Z.-H. Gao and S. Ye, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 3079; (g) Z.-Q. Liang, Z.-H. Gao, W.-Q. Jia and S. Ye, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 1868.
- 16 (a) J. Mahatthanachai, P. Zheng and J. W. Bode, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1673; (b) R. C. Samanta, B. Maji, S. De Sarkar, K. Bergander, R. Fröhlich, C. Mücke-Lichtenfeld, H. Mayr and A. Studer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 5234; (c) E. Lyngvi, J. W. Bode and F. Schoenebeck, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2346.

