Chemical Science



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 2275

Rhodium-catalyzed C–H functionalization-based approach to eight-membered lactams⁺

Shangze Wu,^a Rong Zeng,^a Chunling Fu,^a Yihua Yu,^c Xue Zhang^{*b} and Shengming Ma^{*ab}

A Rh(m) catalyzed formal [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization of *N*-pivaloyloxybenzamides **1** with 1,6-allene-enes **2** by C–H functionalization is reported. The reactions occur at room temperature and are compatible with air and moisture with a tolerance of many synthetically useful functional groups. The follow-up modifications of the products have been demonstrated. After careful mechanistic studies and DFT calculation, a reaction mechanism was proposed.

Introduction

Received 10th January 2015

Accepted 5th February 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00092k

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

It is well known that medium-sized rings are hard to synthesize due to entropic and enthalpic reasons.¹ Among them, eightmembered lactams are found in many natural products and bioactive molecules.² The normal approach to these molecules often require harsh conditions (such as high temperatures and highly diluted solutions), at least stoichiometric amount of metal reagents, or pre-functionalized starting materials by several steps.³ Therefore, developing a catalytic procedure for the efficient synthesis of eight-membered lactams under mild conditions is still desired.

In principle, [2 + 2 + 2 + 2] or [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization would be the most straightforward yet challenging approach to the eightmembered lactams.⁴ On the other hand, in recent years, directed Rh(m)-catalyzed C-H functionalization reactions have been extensively studied.⁵ Among them, the formal [4 + 2]cyclization between arenes or alkenes with an appropriate directing group and unsaturated compounds such as alkenes, alkynes or allenes facilely affording six-membered products have been well established.⁶⁻¹² We envisioned a strategy for the efficient construction of eight-membered rings if a [2 + 2]-atom component, *i.e.*, a molecule contains two unsaturated carbon– carbon bonds, is applied instead of alkenes, alkynes or allenes. The challenge is the highly unfavorable selectivity for the

^aLaboratory of Molecular Recognition and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, Zhejiang, P. R. China. E-mail: masm@sioc. ac.cn formation of an eight-membered ring since it is much easier to form six-membered rings (A' ν s. B) with the participation of just one C–C π -bond (Scheme 1). Herein, we wish to report the realization of such a concept by applying an allene-ene as the [2 + 2]-atom component catalyzed by [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ with *N*-piv-aloyloxy benzamides as the [4]-atom component under very mild conditions.



Scheme 1 Rh(III)-catalyzed cyclization: [4 + 2] vs. [4 + 2 + 2].

Results and discussion

After some trial and error with compounds bearing at least two unsaturated C–C bond, we identified such a reaction, in which 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1,2,6-heptatriene **2a** acts as a [2 + 2]-atom component while *N*-pivaloyloxybenzamide **1a** behaves as the [4]atom component. When **1a** was treated with **2a** in a mixed solvent of MeOH and water (v/v 20/1) under the catalysis of [Cp*RhCl₂]₂, the expected [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization product, *i.e.*, the benzo-fused eight-membered lactam **3aa**, was indeed formed in 75% NMR yield although together with the [4 + 2] regioisomeric mixture¹³ of two products **4aa** and **5aa** with the isolated carbon– carbon double bond being reacted as the minor products (Table 1, entry 1). The structure of **3aa** was confirmed by X-ray diffraction study (Scheme 2),¹⁴ indicating that the allene unit

^bState Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China. E-mail: xzhang@sioc.ac.cn

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance, Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Data for new compounds and experimental procedures and theoretical studies on mechanisms. CCDC 979559 and 990983. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5sc00092k

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions: the Rh-catalyzed cyclization of 1a with 2a^a



	Solvent	Base	t/h	NMR yield ^{b} (%)	
Entry				3aa	4aa + 5aa
1	MeOH/H ₂ O ^c	NaOAc	11	75	12
2	MeOH	NaOAc	21	80	14
3	DCE	NaOAc	21	58	17
4	DCM	NaOAc	21	65	21
5	Toluene	NaOAc	21	44	17
6^d	MeOH	NaOAc	14	80	16
7^e	MeOH	NaOAc	14	74	15
8	MeOH	KOAc	14	68	14
9	MeOH	CsOAc	14	69	14
10	MeOH	Na_2CO_3	14	69	14
11	MeOH	K ₂ CO ₃	14	$81(72)^{f}$	14
12	MeOH	Cs_2CO_3	14	76	14
13	MeOH	K ₃ PO ₄	11	73	13
14^g	MeOH	_	11	_	_
15^h	MeOH	K_2CO_3	13	75	14
16^i	MeOH	K ₂ CO ₃	13	72	13
17 ^j	MeOH	K ₂ CO ₃	13	18	7
$18^{g,k}$	MeOH	K ₂ CO ₃	13	_	_
		2 - 5			

^a The reaction was conducted with 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.2 mmol), [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ (0.004 mmol), K₂CO₃ (0.06 mmol), MeOH (1.2 mL), and monitored by TLC. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR using dibromomethane as internal standard. ^c The ratio of MeOH/H₂O was 20/1 (1.2 mL/0.06 mL). ^d Under N₂ atmosphere. ^e Under N₂ atmosphere and 4 Å MS was added. f Isolated yield in parentheses. g Recovery of 1a was 98% with 2a disappeared. h 10 mol% K₂CO₃ was added. i 50 mol% K₂CO₃ was added. j 1 equiv. K₂CO₃ was added. ^k The reaction was conducted in the absence of the Rh(III) catalyst.



Scheme 2 ORTEP representation of 3aa

has been reacted first. After screening the solvent, we found that MeOH was the best (Table 1, entries 1–5). Moisture, air and 4 Å MS had very little influence on the yield (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). Then, the base effect was investigated and 30 mol% of K₂CO₃ was found to be the optimal (Table 1, entries 2 and 8-13). Control experiments showed that no reaction occurred in the absence of [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ or bases. Finally, the reaction of **1a** and 2a in methanol under the catalysis of [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ with 30 mol%

K₂CO₃ as base at room temperature was chosen as the optimal reaction conditions for further study (Table 1, entry 11).

When the reaction was conducted on a gram-scale, 3aa was also formed in 62% yield (Table 2, entry 2). The reaction of substrates with electron-donating groups such as methyl, tertbutyl and methoxy or electron-withdrawing groups such as COOMe, Cl, Br, CF_3 and NO_2 in the *para* position of the aryl unit all gave corresponding lactams 3ba-3ia in moderate to good yields, showing the potential for further elaboration (Table 2, entries 3-10); when o-methyl N-pivaloyloxybenzamide 1j was used, lactam 3ja could also be formed in a lower yield (23%) with full conversion, most probably due to the steric effect (Table 2, entry 11). It is important to note that silvl group could be replaced with aryl groups with either electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents, a hetero-aryl and even an alkyl group, showing the broad substrate scope (Table 2, entries 12-16). The structure of 3ae was further confirmed by X-ray diffraction study (see p. 26 in the ESI[†]).¹⁵ It should be noted that 4- and 5-type by-products were also formed as by-products as judged by ¹H NMR analysis (Table 2).

Importantly, N-pivaloyloxy-2-naphthylamide 1k afforded tetracyclic product 3ka in 48% yield with the 3-position C-H bond being exclusively functionalized (eqn (1)). The substituent on the internal side of the alkene moiety was also tolerated affording lactam 3ag with a methyl group on the quaternary carbon center which was hard to form in 67% yield (eqn (2)).



Other frequently used directing groups 6b-e⁵ failed to yield any expected products with a high recovery of the starting materials (Scheme 3a). The steric effect of the silvl group in the allene moiety was also observed: when TMS was replaced by the bulkier TIPS group, the allene moiety was untouched: only the isolated C-C double incorporated regioisomeric products 4ah and 5ah were formed (Scheme 3b). When N-methoxybenzamide 6a was used to react with 2a under the standard conditions for 96 h, both unsaturated units were involved in the transformation, however, the most commonly observed β-H elimination occurred, affording a five-membered ring in the final product 7aa in 10% isolated yield (Scheme 3c).

Furthermore, when the reaction of **1a** was conducted in $[D_4]$ methanol under the standard conditions in the absence or



Entry	R	\mathbb{R}^1	t/h	Yield of 3 (%)	NMR yield of $[4 + 5]^b$ (%)
1	H (1a)	TMS (2a)	2	68 (3aa)	11
2^c	H (1a)	TMS (2a)	2	62 (3aa)	$N.D.^d$
3	4-Me (1b)	TMS (2a)	2	57 (3ba)	10
4	4^{-t} Bu (1c)	TMS (2a)	2	47 (3ca) ^e	8
5	4-OMe (1d)	TMS (2a)	2	55 (3da)	10
6	$4\text{-CO}_2\text{Me}(1e)$	TMS (2a)	2.5	60 (3ea)	10
7	4-Cl (1f)	TMS (2a)	2	50 (3fa)	10
8	4-Br (1g)	TMS (2a)	2	50 (3ga)	11
9	$4-CF_3$ (1h)	TMS (2a)	3	53 (3ha)	11
10	$4-NO_2(1i)$	TMS (2a)	12	62 (3ia)	7
11	2-Me (1j)	TMS (2a)	48	23 (3ja)	8
12	H (1a)	Ph (2b)	5	56 $(3ab)^{f}$	N.D.
13	H (1a)	$p-\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4$ (2c)	18	63 (3ac) ^g	N.D.
14	H (1a)	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (2d)	10	45 $(3ad)^h$	N.D.
15^i	H (1a)	3-Thienyl (2e)	48	47 (3ae) ^{<i>j</i>}	N.D.
16^k	H(1a)	Bu (2 f)	14	34 (3af)	N.D.

^{*a*} The reaction was conducted with **1** (1 mmol), **2** (1 mmol), [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ (0.02 mmol), K₂CO₃ (0.3 mmol) and MeOH (6 mL), and monitored by TLC. ^{*b*} Determined by ¹H NMR using dibromomethane as internal standard. ^{*c*} Reaction was conducted on 6 mmol scale. ^{*d*} Not determined. ^{*e*} 97% purity. ^{*f*} 94% purity. ^{*g*} 92% purity. ^{*h*} 91% purity. ^{*i*} Reaction was conducted at 55 °C. ^{*j*} 90% purity. ^{*k*} **1a** (1.5 mmol), **2f** (1 mmol) and [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ (0.04 mmol) were used.



presence of allene-ene 2a, no obvious deuterium incorporation was detected from the recovered 1a and formed 3aa, suggesting that the C–H activation step was irreversible (Scheme 4a and b). Then the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) value of 1a and 1a-d₅ was measured by parallel experiments. The high KIE value of 12.3 demonstrated that C–H activation must be involved in the rate-determining step (Scheme 4c).¹⁶

The orders of each reactant were also measured. A linear relationship was observed for $\ln([\mathbf{1a}])$ *vs.* reaction time, indicating a first-order dependence of the reaction rate with $\mathbf{1a}$ $[\ln([\mathbf{1a}]) = -kt + \ln([\mathbf{1a_0}])]$ (y = a + bx in Scheme 5). A zero-order with the allene moiety $\mathbf{2a}$ ($[\mathbf{2a}] = -kt + [\mathbf{2a_0}]$) (y = a + bx in Scheme 6) was also determined by the linear relationship of $[\mathbf{2a}]$ *vs.* reaction time. Thus, the rate equation for this reaction is d $[\mathbf{3aa}]/dt = k[\mathbf{1a}]^1[\mathbf{2a}]^0$.





8





Scheme 6 The determination of the reaction order with 2a.

Time (s)

2000

4000

6000

According to the experimental results above, a plausible mechanism has been proposed as shown in Scheme 7. First, [Cp*Rh(CO₃)] was formed to be the catalytic species from the [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ dimer and CO₃²⁻. Then base-promoted C-H bond rhodation would form rhodacyclic intermediate Int 1,5-12 which is followed by the insertion of the allene moiety in the 1,6allene-ene 2a, affording seven-membered rhodacycle Int 2.17 Subsequent cyclic carborhodation of the terminal C-C double bond would yield nine-membered rhodacyclic intermediate Int 3 (Int 3').¹⁷ The *N*-pivaloyloxy containing intermediate Int 3 (R =Piv) would undergo reductive elimination to form the final [4 + 2+ 2] product 3aa. Alternatively, the N-methoxy intermediate Int 3' (R = Me) leads exclusively to the methylenecyclopentene product 7aa by β -H elimination (Scheme 3c). Thus, it is concluded that the OPiv group is important since the coordination of the carbonyl oxygen atom in the Piv group of Int 3 with Rh(III) makes a coordination-saturated stable 18e complex, which must have prevented the β -H elimination, avoiding the formation of 7aa-type product.18

Follow-up modifications of the products

N-Protected eight-membered benzolactams have been found to be bioactive as dopamine D_3 receptor ligands.²ⁱ Thus, product **3aa** has been treated with 1-(3-chloropropyl)-4-(*p*-methoxyphenyl)piperazine to afford the analogue **9** in 75% yield (Scheme 8a). To further demonstrate the synthetic applications of the products, the functionalization of the TMS group in **3aa** had been conducted: (a) desilylation product **10** could be formed in 63% yield by treating **3aa** with TiCl_4 in DCM for 16 h.^{17e,19} (b) The TMS group of **3aa** could be transformed to synthetically useful vinyl iodide **11**,²⁰ which will be useful for any elaboration based on cross coupling reactions (Scheme 8b).



Scheme 8 Follow-up modifications of the products.

Theoretical studies on mechanism

DFT calculations have been performed, using 3-methyl-1,2,6-heptatriene (2a') as the model of substrate 2a and *N*-acetoxybenzamide (1a') as the model of *N*-pivaloyloxybenzamide 1a, in which the TMS group in 2a and the tertiary butyl group in 1ahave both been replaced with the methyl group (eqn (3)). We believe that the catalytic species involved in this reaction is a carbonate-ligated species, Cp*Rh(CO₃), which may be generated via Rh dimer dissociation and ligand exchange from $[Cp*RhCl_2]_2$ and K_2CO_3 . The energetic profiles for the initial steps of the C-H activation and the subsequent carborhodations are provided in Fig. 1. The initial formation of the complex IN1 (selected as the free energy reference) involves the coordination of the nitrogen atom in the N-acetoxybenzamide substrate (2a') with the Rh center of Cp*Rh(CO₃), which would be followed by the deprotonation of the N-H unit by the CO_3^{2-} . This N-H deprotonation step is computed to require a 12.2 kcal mol^{-1} activation barrier (TS1) to afford the *N*-metalated intermediate IN2, which is 4.5 kcal mol^{-1} less stable than the precursor IN1. Subsequently, IN2 isomerizes to a more stable intermediate IN3, in which the Rh…O_{hydroxyl} coordination in IN2 is replaced with the Rh…O_{carbonyl} coordination, with a free energy of 6.6 kcal mol^{-1} lower than the starting point (IN1).



The following aryl C–H activation step is realized by a concerted metalation/deprotonation (CMD) process^{21*a*-*k*} (also termed as ambiphilic metal ligand activation (AMLA))^{21*l*} via a six-membered cyclic transition state **TS2**, in which the Rh–C bond formation occurs simultaneously with the deprotonation of the *ortho* aromatic proton to afford the rhodacyclic intermediate **IN4**. This CMD step is computed to feature the highest relative energy barrier of the whole profile (20.8 kcal mol⁻¹, **TS2**, Fig. 1) and may, thus, be rate-determining. This calculated prediction is in consistent with the results of the above mentioned kinetics and deuterium exchange experiments (Schemes 4–6).

The dissociation of H_2CO_3 from **IN4** and the coordination of the allene moiety in the 1,6-allene-ene 2a' affords **IN5** with a



Fig. 1 The energetic profiles for the C–H activation and the carborhodations of IN1.

relative energy of 4.0 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than the starting point. Then the coordinated distal allenic double bond undergoes an insertion into the Rh–C bond *via* **TS3** to provide the sevenmembered rhodacycle intermediate **IN6**, in which the *N*-acetoxy moiety coordinates to Rh center with the pendant carbonyl oxygen. This cyclic carborhodation step is computed to be exergonic ($\Delta G_{sol} = -11.4$ kcal mol⁻¹) and requires a 14.7 kcal mol⁻¹ activation barrier (**TS3**, Fig. 1).

According to the experimental results, the [4 + 2] regioisomeric products **4aa** and **5aa** are obtained as the minor byproducts simultaneously with the desired [4 + 2 + 2] product **3aa**. To validate the selectivity, the pathways for the competing insertion of the isolated carbon–carbon double bond with either direction into the Rh–C bond have also been calculated, which are depicted in Scheme 9. The two competing insertion pathways are realized *via* **TS3**' and **TS3**'', respectively, with similar activation energy barriers of over 17 kcal mol⁻¹, which are about 2.5 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than **TS3**, indicating that the terminal allenic double bond is more reactive than the isolated double bond in this insertion step. So the terminal allenic double bond is calculated to carborhodate preferentially, which is in accordance with experimental observations of **4aa** and **5aa** as minor by-products.

From the seven-membered rhodacycle IN6, subsequent second insertion of the terminal C-C double bond is a key step for the formation of the final eight-membered lactam 3aa product. Besides the second cyclic carborhodation pathway, the possibility of other competitive pathways, such as C-N bond formation and β -H elimination from IN6, are also be investigated. The energetic profiles for possible reactions from IN6 are presented in Fig. 2. To facilitate the second cyclic carborhodation, the seven-membered rhodacycle IN6 should first isomerize to the more stable intermediate IN7 (11.7 kcal mol^{-1} lower in energy than IN6), in which the Rh center coordinates with the terminal C-C double bond instead of the carbonyl moiety in IN6. Then the subsequent insertion of the terminal C-C double bond into the Rh-C bond occurs via TS4 with an activation barrier of 9.1 kcal mol^{-1} . This step is exergonic with the generation of the nine-membered rhodacyclic intermediate IN8, which is also stabilized by the coordination of the pendant carbonyl oxygen in the N-acetoxy moiety with the Rh center.



Scheme 9 The activation free energies of forming 4aa' and 5aa' (ΔG_{sol} ($\Delta G_{298~K}$)).



Fig. 2 The energetic profiles for possible cyclic carborhodation, C–N bond formation and β -H elimination pathways from IN6.

The activation free energy of direct reductive elimination from IN6 is computed to be 35.5 kcal mol^{-1} (TS5, Fig. 2), indicating that this direct C-N formation step is not favorable kinetically. Based on the mechanistic investigations of a closely related systems,²² a more kinetically favorable pathway of C-N formation from IN6 is discovered through a high oxidation state Rh(v) nitrene species IN9,23 generated by the migration of the acetoxy moiety from N to Rh via a five-membered transition state (TS6, Fig. 2). This migration step is computed to be slightly endergonic ($\Delta G_{sol} = 6.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) and requires a 13.8 kcal mol^{-1} activation barrier (**TS6**). The nitrene Rh(v) species IN9 has a Rh=N distance of only 1.867 Å, which is much shorter than the Rh-N single bond distance of 2.162 Å in IN8. The following reductive elimination of nitrene Rh(v) species **IN9** is much easier with a barrier of only 7.7 kcal mol^{-1} via **TS7**, affording IN10 irreversibly with high exergonicity. Overall, the activation energy for the C-N bond formation pathway from **IN6** is 14.5 kcal mol⁻¹, as defined by the energy gap between TS7 and IN6.

Another competitive pathway from **IN6** is the β -H elimination process, affording the allenylation product. In this step, **IN6** should first isomerize into **IN11** (Fig. 2), in which the β -H coordinates with the Rh center to be perfectly oriented for elimination, with the free energy increased by about 2.5 kcal mol⁻¹. The β -H elimination occurs subsequently *via* **TS8** with an activation barrier of 5.8 kcal mol⁻¹, leading to rhodium hydride species **IN12**, which is slightly higher in energy by 4.4 kcal mol⁻¹ than the corresponding precursor (**IN11**). However, the following reductive elimination of the rhodium hydride species **IN12** is not as facile as the β -H elimination. This reductive elimination step is computed to require an activation barrier of 11.8 kcal mol⁻¹ (**TS9**, Fig. 2). Hence, it should overcome an activation energy of 18.7 kcal mol⁻¹ totally for the allenation product generation, which is the free energy gap between **TS9** and **IN6**.

Thus, as can be deduced from the energetic data mentioned above, the second cyclic carborhodation pathway forming the nine-membered rhodacyclic intermediate **IN8** ($\Delta G_{sol}^{\neq} = 9.1$ kcal mol⁻¹) is the most kinetically favorable among the several alternative pathways from **IN6**, which is in good agreement with the experimental observations.

Continuing with the favorable pathway from the ninemembered rhodacyclic intermediate **IN8**, there are also two competitive pathways, forming the final [4 + 2 + 2] product **3aa'** by reductive elimination and the methylenecyclopentene product **7aa'** by β -H elimination, respectively. The energetic profiles of both possible pathways from **IN8** are presented in Fig. 3 for comparison.



Fig. 3 The energetic profiles for possible C–N bond formation and β -H elimination pathways from IN8.

Similar with the about mentioned C–N formation pathway from **IN6** (Fig. 2), the migration of the acetoxy moiety from N to Rh occurs prior to the C–N bond formation, generating a high oxidation state Rh(v) nitrene intermediate **IN14**, which is 4.8 kcal mol⁻¹ higher in energy than the precursor (**IN8**, Fig. 3). This migration step is realized *via* a five-membered transition sate **TS11**, overcoming an activation barrier of 14.2 kcal mol⁻¹. Then subsequent reductive elimination of the nitrene Rh(v) species **IN14** is very facile *via* **TS12** with a barrier of only 0.8 kcal mol⁻¹, exergonic largely by over 68 kcal mol⁻¹, to form the eightmembered ring in **IN15**. Then the protonolysis of the N atom of **IN15** by HCO₃⁻ would regenerate the catalytically active species Rh(m) and yield the final product **3aa**', with an overall exergonicity of 130 kcal mol⁻¹. For the β -H elimination process from **IN8** (Fig. 3), isomerization of **IN8** into **IN16** should occur first, with the free energy increased by 5.2 kcal mol⁻¹, which is caused by the lower stability of C–H···Rh coordination in **IN16** than O_{carbonyl}····Rh coordination in **IN16** the following step, rhodium hydride species **IN17** would be formed by β -H elimination of **IN16** *via* **TS13** with an activation barrier of only 3.1 kcal mol⁻¹. The subsequent reductive elimination of the rhodium hydride species **IN17** is highly energy demanding *via* **TS14**, hence, raising the barrier of the whole β -H elimination process of **IN8** to 27.1 kcal mol⁻¹, which is 12.9 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than the alternative C–N bond formation pathway. Therefore, the final [4 + 2 + 2] product is obtained experimentally, instead of the 7-type methylenecyclopentene product **7aa**'.

Thus, the whole catalytic cycle for the [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization mechanism is completed by DFT calculations. Shown in Fig. 4 is the reaction coordinate of the most kinetically favorable pathway involving N–H deprotonation/CMD activation of C–H bond/first carborhodation of the distal allenic double bond/ second cyclic carborhodation of the isolated carbon–carbon double/C–N bond formation steps. As can be deduced from the energetic data in Fig. 4, the [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization reaction is calculated to occur irreversibly, as the final eight-membered lactam product lies over 130 kcal mol⁻¹ below the starting point, and the CMD C–H bond activation is the rate-limiting step, with the highest relative energy barrier of 20.8 kcal mol⁻¹ (**TS2**), which agrees well with the observations of the kinetics and deuterium exchange experiments (Schemes 3–6).

To further elucidate the influence of the N–OR moiety on the reaction, we also investigated the possible pathways from the *N*-

methoxy containing intermediate **IN8_Me**. Fig. 5 presents the free energy profiles for the favored pathway of β -H elimination and other two competitive possibilities. **IN8_Me** should first isomerize to **IN16_Me**, in which the β -H atom has an agostic interaction with the Rh center and be well oriented for the following elimination. The subsequent β -H elimination step leads to the rhodium hydride species **IN17_Me** *via* **TS13_Me**,



Fig. 5 The energetic profiles for the β -H elimination pathway from IN8_Me.



Fig. 4 The summary of the free energy profile for the whole [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization reaction.

View Article Online Edge Article

with a barrier of only 5.1 kcal mol⁻¹. The subsequent reductive elimination breaks the Rh–H bond and forms the N–H bond simultaneously by overcoming a free energy barrier of 21.5 kcal mol⁻¹ (**TS14_Me**) to afford **IN18_Me**. Thus, the overall β -H elimination process of **IN8_Me** is facile, with a 24.6 kcal mol⁻¹ activation barrier. What's more, the direct C–N bond forming process from **IN8_Me** via **TS10_Me** with an even higher activation barrier of 53.8 kcal mol⁻¹, could be ruled out safely. And the similar intramolecular oxidation of the Rh(m) species **IN8_Me** to Rh(v) nitrene intermediate by the migration of the N–OMe moiety via a three-center TS is also highly energy demanding (39.7 kcal mol⁻¹, **TS11_Me**). Therefore, the *N*methoxy containing intermediate **IN8_Me** leads to the 7-type methylenecyclopentene product by β -H elimination exclusively (Scheme 3c).

We concluded that the key factor of the influence of the N–OR moiety on the reaction selectivity could be attributed to the extra coordination of the carbonyl oxygen in the *N*-acetoxy moiety with the Rh center in **IN8**. First of all, this extra coordination in **IN8** facilitates the migration of the acyloxy from N to Rh, thus enable the formation of the high oxidation state Rh(v) nitrene intermediate **IN14** (Fig. 3), which is the C–N bond formation precursor. Secondly, the extra stabilization of **IN8** by this kind of coordination increases the barrier of the whole β -H elimination process in the *N*-acetoxy containing system, avoiding the formation of the 7-type methylenecyclopentene product.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed the first [Cp*RhCl₂]₂-catalyzed [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization between *N*-pivaloyloxy benzamides and 1,6-allene-enes forming eight-membered lactams. These reactions proceed at room temperature and are compatible with ambient air and moisture. Many arenes and allene-enes with many synthetically useful functional groups are compatible in the reactions. After careful mechanistic studies and DFT calculation, the reaction mechanism was presented in detail and the role of the N-OR moiety on the selectivity for the formation of the final products is also unveiled. The formed lactams have been transformed to cyclic vinylic iodide or desilylated product as well as the analogue of dopamine D₃ receptor. With easy availability of both starting materials and new concept to readily synthesize the 8-membered rings, which are otherwise hard to construct by other means, this [4 + 2 + 2]cyclization protocol will be of high interest in organic chemistry and related disciplines. Further studies involving three or more components and chiral [4 + 2 + 2] reaction are being carried out in our laboratory.

Experimental section

Materials

[Cp*RhCl₂]₂ was purchased from Strem. *N*-Pivaloyloxybenzamides were prepared according to the literature procedures.^{7c,o} Grignard reagents were prepared from the corresponding aryl halides and magnesium. Other commercially available chemicals were purchased and used without additional purification unless noted otherwise. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker-300 MHz spectrometer (except for **2h**, a Bruker-700 MHz spectrometer was used) and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 75 MHz. All ¹H NMR experiments were measured with tetramethylsilane (0 ppm) or the signal of residual CHCl₃ (7.26 ppm) in CDCl₃ as the internal reference, ¹³C NMR experiments were measured in relative to the signal of CDCl₃ (77.0 ppm), and ¹⁹F NMR experiments were measured in relative to the signal of residual CFCl₃ (0 ppm) in CDCl₃.

Typical procedure for the [4 + 2 + 2] cyclization reactions

To a dried Schlenk tube equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar were added N-pivaloyloxybenzamide 1a (221.6 mg, 1 mmol), [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ (12.7 mg, 0.02 mmol), K₂CO₃ (41.3 mg, 0.3 mmol), 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1,2,6-heptatriene 2a (166.0 mg, 1 mmol) and MeOH (6 mL) sequentially at rt. After being stirred for 2 h, the reaction was complete as monitored by TLC. Filtration through a short column of silica gel (eluent: MeOH) and evaporation afforded the crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: dichloromethane/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to afford 3aa (194.1 mg, 68%): solid; mp 188.2–188.9 °C (hexane/ethyl acetate); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.77-7.65 (m, 1H, NH), 7.48-7.33 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.29 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 3.80 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H, one proton of CH_2), 3.46 (d, I = 13.2 Hz, 1H, one proton of CH_2), 3.26-3.10 (m, 1H, one proton of NCH₂), 2.96-2.75 (m, 2H, one proton of NCH₂ + CH), 2.39-2.16 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.98-1.85 (m, 1H, one proton of CH_2), 1.14–0.94 (m, 1H, one proton of CH_2), 0.26 (s, 9H, 3 × CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.9, 150.6, 137.6, 137.2, 134.6, 130.3, 129.2, 127.4, 126.8, 52.0, 46.5, 35.7, 34.9, 28.9, -0.07; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 3291, 3187, 3063, 2945, 2852, 1660, 1604, 1460, 1446, 1404, 1353, 1338, 1248, 1048, 1038, 1003; MS (EI, 70 eV) m/z (%) 286 (M⁺ + 1, 18.79), 285 (M⁺, 62.45), 256 (100), 255 (100), 73 (100); anal. calc. for C₁₇H₂₃NOSi (*M*_W = 285.5): C 71.53, H 8.12, N 4.91; found: C 71.50, H 8.14, N 4.73%.

Computational methodology

All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 program.²⁴ Geometries have been fully optimized with the density functional theory of M06 method.^{25,26} The standard 6-31G(d,p)²⁷ basis set was used for carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and oxygen atoms and LANL2DZ basis set²⁸ with effective core potential (ECP) for rhodium. Harmonic vibration frequency calculations were carried out for all the stationary points to confirm each structure being either a minimum (no imaginary frequency) or a transition structure (one imaginary frequency). Solvent effect has been considered by using the CPCM²⁹ (UAHF atomic radii) model based on the gas-phase-optimized structures. The reported relative energies are free energies at 298 K ($\Delta G_{298 \text{ K}}$) in the gas phase and the Gibbs free energies (ΔG_{sol}) in methanol (dielectric constant $\varepsilon = 32.61$), unless otherwise specified.

Financial support is acknowledged from National Basic Research Program (2015CB856600) of China and National Natural Science Foundation (21232006 and 21472224). S. M. is a Qiu Shi Adjunct Professor at Zhejiang University. We thank Dr Weiming Yuan in this group for reproducing the results for synthesis of **3ea**, **3ac** and **3ka**.

Notes and references

- 1 For related reviews, see: (*a*) I. Shiina, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 239; (*b*) G. Illuminati and L. Mandolini, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1981, **14**, 95.
- 2 (a) R. R. Arndt, S. H. Eggers and A. Jordaan, Tetrahedron, 1969, 25, 2767; (b) H. Sato, H. Kawagishi, T. Nishimura, S. Yoneyama, Y. Yoshimoto, S. Sakamura, A. Furusaki, S. Katsuragi and T. Matsumoto, Agric. Biol. Chem., 1985, 49, 2969; (c) J. A. Laakso, J. B. Gloer, D. T. Wicklow and P. F. Dowd, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 2066; (d) G. N. Belofsky, J. B. Gloer, D. T. Wicklow and P. F. Dowd, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 3959; (e) A. A. Zintchema, D. N. Bikoboa, A. T. Atchadé, J. N. Mbing, J. Gangoue-Pieboji, R. G. Tih, A. Blond, D. E. Pegnyemb and B. Bodo, Phytochemistry, 2008, 69, 2209; (f) M. Qiao, N. Ji, X. Liu, K. Li, Q. Zhu and Q. Xue, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 5677; (g) J. T. Ndongo, M. Shaaban, J. N. Mbing, D. N. Bikobo, A. Théodore Atchadé, D. E. Pegnyemb and H. Laatsch, Phytochemistry, 2010, 71, 1872; (h) G. B. Njocka, T. A. Bartholomeusz, D. N. Bikoboc, M. Foroozandeh, R. Shivapurkar, P. Christen, D. E. Pegnyemb and D. Jeannerat, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2013, 96, 1298; (i) R. Ortega, E. Raviña, C. F. Masaguer, F. Areias, J. Brea, M. I. Loza, L. López, J. Selent, M. Pastor and F. Sanz, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2009, 19, 1773.
- 3 For reviews, see: (a) P. J. Gritsch, C. Leitner, M. Pfaffenbach and T. Gaich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1208; (b)
 M. E. Maier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 2073; (c)
 G. A. Molander, Acc. Chem. Res., 1998, 31, 603; (d)
 D. Parker, Macrocycle synthesis: a practical approach, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1996, selected examples: (e)
 S. Kitagaki, S. Teramoto and C. Mukai, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 2549; (f) H. Ohno, H. Hamaguchi, M. Ohata and T. Tanaka, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1749; (g) A. Klapars, S. Parris, K. W. Anderson and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 3529; (h) D. R. Spring, S. Krishnan and S. L. Schreiber, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 5656; (i) S. Ma and Z. Gu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 4942; (f) C. Zhu, X. Zhang, X. Lian and S. Ma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7817.
- 4 For a [4 + 2 + 2] of 4,6-heptatrienyl isocyanates with terminal alkynes for the formation of similar [6.3.0]-bicyclic products, see: (*a*) R. T. Yu, R. K. Friedman and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 13250, for the Rh-catalyzed formation of 8-membered ring from 2-(allyloxyphenyl)cyclopropenylcarbinols, see: (*b*) F. Miege, C. Meyer and J. Sossy, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5932.

- 5 For reviews of Rh(m)-catalyzed C-H activations, see: (a) T. Satoh and M. Miura, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, 16, 11212; (b) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 110, 624; (c) G. Song, F. Wang and X. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, 41, 3651; (d) F. W. Patureau, J. WencelDelord and F. Glorius, *Aldrichimica Acta*, 2012, 45, 31; (e) D. A. Colby, A. S. Tsai, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, 45, 814; (f) C. Zhu, R. Wang and J. R. Falck, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2012, 7, 1502; (g) N. Kuhl, N. Schröder and F. Glorius, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, 356, 1443; for related reactions catalyzed by Ru(n), see: (h) L. Ackermann, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, 47, 281.
- 6 For Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 1]-cyclization, see: (a) C. Zhu,
 W. Xie and J. R. Falck, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 12591; (b)
 Y. Du, T. K. Hyster and T. Rovis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 12074; (c) C. Zhu and J. R. Falck, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 1674; (d) T. K. Hyster, K. E. Ruhl and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 5364; (e) D. Yu, M. Suri and F. Glorius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 8802; (f) T. Ryu, J. Kim, Y. Park,
 S. Kim and P. H. Lee, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 3986; (g) B. Ye and N. Cramer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 7896.
- 7 For Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cyclization of amides and their derivates with alkynes, see: (a) T. K. Hyster and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 10565; (b) G. Song, D. Chen, C. Pan, R. H. Crabtree and X. Li, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 7487; (c) N. Guimond, S. I. Gorelsky and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6449; (d) T. K. Hyster and T. Rovis, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 1606; (e) M. V. Pham, B. Ye and N. Cramer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10610; (f) X. Xu, Y. Liu and C. M. Park, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 9372; (g) T. K. Hyster, L. Knörr, T. R. Ward and T. Rovis, Science, 2012, 338, 500; (h) B. Ye and N. Cramer, Science, 2012, 338, 504; (i) N. Quiñones, A. Seoane, R. García-Fandiño, J. L. Mascareñas and M. Gulías, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 2874; (j) D. Zhao, C. Nimphius, M. Lindale and F. Glorius, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 4504; (k) D. Yu, F. de Azambuja, T. Gensch, C. G. Daniliuc and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 9650; (l) T. K. Hyster, D. M. Dalton and T. Rovis, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 254, for Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cycloaddition of amides and their derivates with alkenes, see: (m) S. Rakshit, C. Grohmann, T. Besset and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 2350; (n) Z. Shi, M. Boultadakis-Arapinis, D. C. Koester and F. Glorius, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 2650 and ref. 6c. For Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]cycloaddition of amides and their derivates with allenes, see: (o) H. Wang and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 7318.
- 8 For Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cyclization of imines and their derivates with alkynes, see: (a) N. Guimond and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12050; (b) P. C. Too, Y. F. Wang and S. Chiba, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5688; (c) Y. Wang, K. K. Toh, J. Lee and S. Chiba, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 5927; (d) P. C. Too, S. H. Chua, S. H. Wong and S. Chiba, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 6159; (e) T. K. Hyster and T. Rovis, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 11846; (f) X. Zhang, D. Chen, M. Zhao, J. Zhao, A. Jia and X. Li,

Adv. Synth. Catal., 2011, 353, 719; (g) J. Jayakumar, K. Parthasarathy and C. H. Cheng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 197; (h) D. S. Kim, J. W. Park and C. H. Jun, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 11334; (i) Y. K. Sim, H. Lee, J. W. Park, D. S. Kim and C. H. Jun, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 11787; (j) W. Dong, L. Wang, K. Parthasarathy, F. Pan and C. Bolm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 11573; (k) S. Chuang, P. Gandeepan and C. H. Cheng, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 5750; (l) K. Muralirajan and C. H. Cheng, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 6198; (m) D. Zhao, Q. Wu, X. Huang, F. Song, T. Lv and J. You, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 6239; (n) H. Lee, Y. K. Sim, J. W. Park and C. H. Jun, Chem.-Eur. J., 2014, 20, 323; (o) D. Zhao, F. Lied and F. Glorius, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 2869; for Rh(III)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cycloaddition of imines and their derivates with alkenes, see: (p) J. M. Neely and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 66, for Rh(III)catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cycloaddition of imines and their derivates with diazo compounds, see: (q) Z. Shi, D. C. Koester, M. Boultadakis-Arapinis and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 12204.

- 9 For Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cyclization of compounds with the OH group with alkynes, see: (a) M. Shimizu, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 3478; (b) S. Mochida, M. Shimizu, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Chem.-Asian J., 2010, 5, 847; (c) K. Morimoto, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 9548; (d) Y. Park, J. Seo, S. Park, E. J. Yoo and P. H. Lee, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 16461; (e) Q. Li, Y. Yan, X. Wang, B. Gong, X. Tang, J. Shi, H. E. Xu and W. Yi, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 23402; (f) J. Seo, Y. Park, I. Jeon, T. Ryu, S. Park and P. H. Lee, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3358; (g) Y. Unoh, Y. Hashimoto, D. Takeda, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 3258; (h) Z. Qi, M. Wang and X. Li, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 9776.
- 10 For Rh(m)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cyclization of compounds with a directing heterocyclic ring with alkynes, see: (a) K. Morimoto, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2068; (b) J. Huang, L. Dong, B. Han, C. Peng and Y. Chen, Chem.-Eur. J., 2012, 18, 8896; (c) G. Zhang, L. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Xie and H. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8850; (d) N. Wang, B. Li, H. Song, S. Xu and B. Wang, Chem.-Eur. J., 2013, 19, 358; (e) C. Luo, P. Gandeepan and C. Cheng, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 8528; (f) J. Huang, Q. Zhang, C. Qu, X. Sun, L. Dong and Y. Chen, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 1878; (g) L. Dong, J. Huang, C. Qu, Q. Zhang, W. Zhang, B. Han and C. Peng, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 6142; (h) T. Iitsuka, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Chem.-Eur. J., 2014, 20, 385.
- 11 For other types of Rh(III)-catalyzed formal [4 + 2]-cyclization reactions, see: (a) J. Karthikeyan, R. Haridharan and C. H. Cheng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 12343; (b) N. Senthilkumar, K. Parthasarathy, P. Gandeepan and C. H. Cheng, Chem.-Asian J., 2013, 8, 2175; (c) D. S. Kim, J. W. Park and C. H. Jun, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 2667; (d) X. Liu, G. Li, F. Song and J. You, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 5030, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6030.

- 12 Selected reports of Rh(III)-catalyzed [4 + 3] cycloadditions based on C-H activation, see: (a) Z. Shi, C. Grohmann and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 5393; (b) S. Cui, Y. Zhang and Q. Wu, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3421; (c) S. Cui, Y. Zhang, D. Wang and Q. Wu, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3912.
- 13 After isolation, these two isomers may be separated. However, we were not able to determine the ratio by analyzing the ¹H NMR spectra of the crude products (see the ESI[†] file).
- 14 Crystal data: **3aa**·H₂O: C₁₇H₂₃NOSi·H₂O, $M_W = 303.47$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, final *R* indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$, $R_1 = 0.1006$, w $R_2 = 0.2419$; *R* indices (all data), $R_1 = 0.1392$, w $R_2 = 0.2672$; a = 7.8451(6) Å, b = 10.8055(10) Å, c = 41.681(3) Å, $\beta = 92.188(5)^{\circ}$, V = 3530.7(5) Å³, T = 293(2) K, Z = 8, reflections collected/unique 15620/6440 ($R_{int} = 0.0483$), number of observations [>2 $\sigma(I)$]: 4214, parameters: 401. ESI.†
- 15 For details, see the ESI† file.
- 16 E. M. Simmons and J. F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3066.
- 17 (a) R. Zeng, C. Fu and S. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 9597; (b) B. Ye and N. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 636; (c) R. Zeng, S. Wu, C. Fu and S. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 18284; for a review on the regioselectivity of allene insertion, see: (d) T. Bai, S. Ma and G. Jia, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2009, 253, 423; for related allene mediated C-H functionalization reactions, see: (e) R. Zeng, J. Ye, C. Fu and S. Ma, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2013, 355, 1963; (f) Y. Zhang, E. Skucas and M. J. Krische, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 4248.
- 18 (a) R. H. Crabtree, The Organometallic Chemistry of The Transition Metals, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 5th edn, 2009, pp. 192–194; (b) G. O. Spessard and G. L. Miessler, Organometallic Chemistry, Oxford University Press, 2nd edn, 2009, pp. 186–191.
- 19 (a) I. Fleming, Org. React., 1989, 35, 501; (b) G. Chai, R. Zeng,
 C. Fu and S. Ma, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2013, 148.
- 20 (a) M. R. Machacek and B. M. Trost, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 4693; (b) B. D. Faulk, M. R. Machacek and B. M. Trost, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 6745.
- 21 For the recent theoretical studies concerning CMD processes, see: (a) D. L. Davies, S. M. A. Donald and S. A. Macgregor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 13754; (b) M. Lafrance, C. N. Rowley, T. K. Woo and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 8754; (c) M. Lafrance and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 16496; (d) D. García-Cuadrado, A. A. C. Braga, F. Maseras and A. M. Echavarren, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 1066; (e) D. Garcia-Cuadrado, P. de Mendoza, A. A. C. Braga, F. Maseras and A. M. Echavarren, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 6880; (f) S. I. Gorelsky, D. Lapointe and K. Fagnou, J. *Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10848; (g) H.-Y. Sun, Am. S. I. Gorelsky, D. R. Stuart, L.-C. Campeau and K. Fagnou, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 8180; (h) B. Chen, X.-L. Hou, Y.-X. Li and Y.-D. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 7668; (i) S. Zhang, L. Shi and Y. Ding, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011,

Edge Article

133, 20218; (*j*) S.-Y. Tang, Q.-X. Guo and Y. Fu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 13866; (*k*) S. Santoro, R.-Z. Liao and F. Himo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 9246; (*l*) Y. Boutadla, D. L. Davies, S. A. Macgregor and A. I. Poblador-Bahamonde, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 5887.

- 22 L. Xu, Q. Zhu, G. Huang, B. Cheng and Y. Xia, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 77, 3017.
- 23 (a) S. K. Brayshaw, E. L. Sceats, J. C. Green and A. S. Weller, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 6921; (b) J. L. McBee, J. Escalada and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 12703; (c) S. F. Vyboishchikov and G. I. Nikonov, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 4160.
- 24 M. J. Frisch, *et al.*, *Gaussian 09, Revision A.02*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- 25 (a) Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, 120, 215; (b) D. G. Truhlar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 16824; (c) Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, 41, 157.

- 26 For reviews of density-functional methods, see: (a) R. G. Parr and W. Yang, Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989; (b) T. Ziegler, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 651; (c) Density Functional Methods in Chemistry, ed. J. Labanowski and J. Andzelm, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- 27 W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1972, **56**, 2257.
- 28 (a) P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 270; (b)
 W. R. Wadt and P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 284.
- 29 (a) V. Barone and M. Cossi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 1995;
 (b) M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani and V. Barone, J. Comput. Chem., 2003, 24, 669; (c) Y. Takano and K. N. Houk, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2005, 1, 70.