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The aerobic reaction of the multidentate ligand 2,6-bis-(3-oxo-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-propionyl)-pyridine, H$_4$L, with Co(II) salts in strong basic conditions produces the clusters [Co$_8$(L)$_2$(OH)(py)$_2$]NO$_3$ (1) and [Co$_8$Na$_4$(L)$_2$(OH)$_2$(CO$_3$)$_2$(py)$_{12}$][BF$_4$]$_2$ (2). Analysis of their structure unveils unusual coordination features including a very rare bridging pyridine ligand or two trapped carbonate anions within one coordination cage, forced to stay at an extremely close distance (d$_{CO–CO}$ = 1.946 Å). This unprecedented non-bonding proximity represents a meeting point between long covalent interactions and “intermolecular” contacts. These original motifs have been analysed here through DFT calculations, which have yielded interaction energies and the reduced repulsion energy experimented by both CO$_3^{2–}$ anions when located in close proximity inside the coordination cage.

1. Introduction

The coordination chemistry of 1,3-dicarbonyl-based multi-dentate ligands constitutes now an important subarea of structural molecular chemistry. The good chelating ability of β-diketones together with a particular distribution throughout a given organic scaffold, in combination or not with additional donor groups has led to novel features in coordination chemistry. Some examples are; a whole category of oxygen based donor groups has led to novel features in coordination chemistry. One subclass of this kind of ligands exhibits two β-diketone groups separated by an m-pyridinediyl spacer (Scheme 1A). Their interesting coordination chemistry is illustrated by an impressive family of heterometallic clusters with a chain-like [M-Ln-M]$^{7+}$ core (M$^{2+}$ = Cu, Ni; Ln$^{3+}$ = any lanthanide) sandwiched by two ligands in the coordination mode shown in Scheme 1B.$^{10,11}$

We present here the unexpected (some unprecedented) features resulting from aerobic reactions of the related ligand 2,6-bis-(3-oxo-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-propionyl)-pyridine, H$_4$L (Fig. 1A), with Co(II) in pyridine, under basic conditions. This ligand had only been used once in the past, also with Co(II). On that occasion, the chemistry was performed in the absence of any base, and the result was the formation of a cluster with formula [Co$_8$O(OH)(H$_2$L)$_6$]NO$_3$, which encapsulates a [µ$_5$-O-H···µ$_3$-O] moiety while the ligand H$_2$L was found to retain its phenolic base, and the result was the formation of a cluster with formula [Co$_8$O(OH)(H$_2$L)$_6$]NO$_3$, which encapsulates a [µ$_5$-O-H···µ$_3$-O] moiety while the ligand H$_2$L was found to retain its phenolic

![Scheme 1](image_url)

**Scheme 1** Pyridine-spaced bis-β-diketone ligands (A), and coordination mode in complexes of the type [M–Ln–M]$^{7+}$ (B).
intermolecular O···O distance (1.946 Å) is found to be within 0.03 Å from the longest detected stable O–O bond (1.915 Å). These occurrences are studied in detail, through physical and theoretical methods.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis

2,6-Bis-(3-oxo-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-propionyl)-pyridine, H4L.

This molecule was prepared as previously reported by our group.4 Crystals were obtained here by mixing H4L (20 mg) with CH2CN, CHCl3 or MeOH (4 mL) and heating to the boiling point of the solvent until complete dissolution and then letting the solution to slowly cool down. Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction form after several minutes.

[Co4(L)2(OH)(py)7]NO3 (1).

A solution of H4L (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) and NButOH (0.6 mL of a 1 M methanolic solution, 0.6 mmol) in pyridine (15 mL) was added dropwise with continuous stirring to a solution of Co(NO3)2·6H2O (72.2 mg, 0.25 mmol) and Gd(NO3)3·6H2O (36.4 mg, 0.08 mmol) in pyridine (15 mL). The mixture was brought to reflux for 2.5 hours and then cooled down to room temperature. A brown solid was removed by filtration and the red solution was layered with ether (ratio 1 : 1.5). After two weeks, dark red crystals were collected and washed with ether and water to remove traces of the remaining ligand and salts. Final yields in the 8–21% range were obtained. IR (KBr pellet): v/cm−1 = 3419 m, 3072 m, 1652 w, 1598 s, 1566 s, 1530 s, 1505 s, 1452 s, 1384 s, 1317 s, 1256 m, 1230 m, 1207 s, 1150 s, 1121 m, 1067 m, 1033 m, 958 w, 864 w, 754 s, 699 s, 668 m, 650 m, 584 m, 545 w, 490 m. Anal. calc. (Found) for [Co4L4(OH)2(py)7]NO3: C, 54.1 (53.7); H, 3.6 (3.7); N, 6.6 (7.0).

2.2 X-Ray crystallography

Data for ligand H4L and for compound 1 were collected, respectively, on a yellow needle and on a red block at 150 K on a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer on Advanced Light Source beamline 11.3.1 at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, from a silicon 111 monochromator (λ = 0.7749 Å). Data were collected for compound 2 on an orange plate at 100 K on a Bruker APEX II QUAZAR diffractometer equipped with a microfocus multilayer monochromator with Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Data reduction and absorption corrections were performed with SADABS15 respectively. The structures were solved with SIR9716 (H4L) and SHELX-TL1.4,17 (1 and 2) and refined on F2 with SHELX-TL suite.15,17 In 1, one of the oxygens of the nitrate ion is disordered over two equivalent positions. The atoms of both this nitrate ion and one pyridine molecule sitting on the symmetry operation were refined with displacement parameters restraints. In 2 one of the sodium atoms is disordered over two positions with similar occupation, while one of the coordinated pyridines is disordered over two positions sharing the same nitrogen (N7). These as well as oxygen coordinated to the disordered sodium atom and a number of carbon atoms from phenyl groups of the ligands and of coordinated pyridines were refined with displacement parameters restraints, due to disorder. Three of the four lattice pyridines also required the use of rigid body restraints for their refinement to converge, in addition to displacement parameters restraints. The tetrafluoroborate ion was refined with both distance and displacement parameters restraints. At the end of the refinement, there remained a number of weak electron diffraction peaks that seemed to form two partial and highly disordered lattice pyridine molecules. Their refinement was unstable even with strong displacement parameters restraints and the corresponding space was thus analyzed and taken into account with SQUEEZE as implemented in the PLATON package.18 A total of 310 electrons per cell were recovered by SQUEEZE, mostly over two voids of 580 cubic angstrom each. These figures are reasonable for at least six additional diffuse pyridine molecules per cell, i.e. three per [Co4] formula unit. These have been included in the formula.

2.3 Physical Measurements

Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility data were obtained with a Quantum Design MPMS5 SQUID magnetometer. Pascal’s constants were used to estimate diamagnetic corrections to the molar paramagnetic susceptibility. The elemental analysis was performed with a Elemental Microanalyzer (A5), model Flash 1112 at the Servei de Microanalisi of CSIC, Barcelona, Spain. IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellet.
samples on a Nicolet AVATAR 330 FTIR spectrometer. Positive ion ESI TOF mass spectrometry experiments were performed on a LC/MSD-TOF (Agilent Technologies) at the Unitat d’Espectrometria de Masses de Caracterització Molecular (CCiT) of the University of Barcelona. The experimental parameters were: capillary voltage 4 kV, gas temperature 325 °C, nebulizing gas pressure 15 psi, drying gas flow 7.0 L min⁻¹, and fragmentor voltage ranging from 175 to 300 V. The samples (µL) were introduced into the source by an HPLC system (Agilent 1100), using a mixture of H₂O/MeCN (1/1) as eluent (200 µL min⁻¹).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Synthesis

As mentioned in the Introduction, in absence of a base, H₄L was found to react with a Co(II) salt leading to a cluster where the phenol groups of the ligand remain protonated and do not coordinate. It has now been found that the use of a strong enough base allows removing all the ionisable protons from H₄L, which facilitates the involvement of the resulting phenolate groups in the coordination. This concept had been proved previously with the related ligand H₅L₁, featuring an m-phenylene spacer instead of the m-pyridinediyl. In that case, the presence of AcO⁻ allowed only removing the β-diketone protons, leading to complexes with a [M₄(H₅L₁)₂] core. Instead, stronger bases such as NBu₄OH or NaH react also with the phenols of H₄L, serving to engage more metals to the coordination with formation of linear molecules of the type [M₄(H₄L)₂]ₐ. Here the reactivity becomes richer. Full deprotonation of H₄L seems to favour the oxidation of some of the Co(II) ions to Co(III) with atmospheric oxygen (see structural analysis) by stabilization of the latter ions through chelation. Thus the reaction between H₄L and Co(NO₃)₂ in pyridine, in the presence of NBu₄OH or NaH react also with the phenols of H₄L, serving to engage more metals to the coordination with formation of linear molecules of the type [M₄(H₄L)₂]ₐ. Here the reactivity becomes richer. Full deprotonation of H₄L seems to favour the oxidation of some of the Co(II) ions to Co(III) with atmospheric oxygen (see structural analysis) by stabilization of the latter ions through chelation. Thus the reaction between H₄L and Co(NO₃)₂ in pyridine, in the presence of NBu₄OH, leads to the formation of a new cluster, [Co₄(L)₂(OH)(py)]₂[NO₃](1). It must be mentioned that the procedure was originally intended to incorporate a lanthanide central metal ion in solution is most likely a solvated form of the [L₂Co₄]²⁺ basic unit bearing H₂O and/or pyridine ligands and also exhibiting several distributions of +2 and +3 oxidation states of the Co centers (e.g. [L₂Co₅]²⁺, [L₂Co₄(py)]²⁺, [L₂Co₃(py)]³⁺, [L₂Co₂(py)(H₂O)]²⁺, etc.). Some fragments lacking one of the central metal ions were also observed (such as [L₂Co₅] + 2H⁺, [L₂Co₃] + 3H⁺, [L₂Co₂(py)] + 2H⁺) as well as moieties incorporating a K⁺ into that vacant position ([L₂Co₂K(py)]⁺ + H⁺, [L₂Co₂K[py](MeCN)]⁺ + H⁺, [L₂Co₂K[py](py)]⁺ + H⁺, [L₂Co₂K[py](MeCN)]⁺, [L₂Co₂K[py](H₂O)]³⁺, etc.), the presence of K⁺ and MeCN being inherent to the technique and thus very common). From this point of view, complex 2 in solution is essentially no different than compound 1. These results indicate that a prevalent moiety in solution is most likely a solvated form of the [L₂Co₄]³⁺ rhombic fragment.

3.2 Description of structures

H₄L. The solid state molecular structure of H₄L has now been determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Table S1†), which shows that in the crystal, the molecule is fully in an enolic form (Fig. 1B and S5†, as was previously observed in a chloroform solution using 'H NMR.44 This tautomer is perhaps favored by a series of complementary three-center hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2), which add to the numerous π–π contacts established between oxidation of Co(II) by atmospheric oxygen and the capture of CO₂ from air through conversion to CO₃²⁻ or HCO₃⁻. This process, favored by strong basic conditions and coordination to metals has been widely documented.22–24 In one of the few mechanistic studies performed,25 it is proposed that it occurs following the insertion of CO₂ within the Ni–O coordination bond of a terminal hydroxide from a Ni(u) square planar mononuclear complex. However, this reaction has been more commonly observed on precursors containing bridged M(u)OH₂⁺ moieties.26–27 This is likely to be also the case in complex 2 since it contains Co(II)(μ-OH) moieties (see below). Other schemes involving three metals seem to proceed first by a nucleophilic attack of bound OH to CO₂, which subsequently coordinates to the other two metals, yielding a μ₂-CO₂⁻ ligand.28 The chemical process leading to complex 2, starting from the initial reagents, can be described with a balanced equation (eqn (2)).

8Co(BF₄)₂ · 6H₂O + 4H₄L + O₂ + 2CO₂ + 18NaH + 10py
→ [Co₄Na₄(OH)₂(L)₂(py)₇][BF₄]₂ + 14NaBF₄
+ 18H₂ + 46H₂O
(2)

In both reactions, the yields of isolated crystals are relatively low. Thus, eqn (1) and (2) are only means of describing the possible processes of formation of 1 and 2, respectively, without implying that other processes and equilibria are not also occurring. The main focus here is analyzing and describing the fascinating novel coordination features unveiled within these new compounds. Once isolated, the crystals could be re-dissolved in various solvents (acetone, MeOH, ACN, DMF).
the molecules in the crystal (Fig. S6†). The intra- and intermolecular bonding parameters of this structure are listed in Tables S2 and S3.†

\[\text{[Co}_4\text{(OH)(L)}_2\text{py}_7\text{]}^{+}\text{NO}_3\text{(1).} \] Compound 1 crystallizes in the \(C\text{2/c} \) space group (Table S1†). Its structure consists of one cluster cation with charge +1 together with one nitrate group (Fig. 3). The metric parameters of this complex are listed in Table S4.† The asymmetric unit is formed by one half of the formula content and three molecules of pyridine, whereas the unit cell includes eight such units. The complex cation \([\text{Co}_4\text{(OH)(L)}_2\text{py}_7\text{]}^{+}\) is formed by two Co(II) ions and two Co(III) ions describing a very anisotropic rhombus. The long octahedral geometry of each Co(III) center (Co1 and Co3) is completed by two axial pyridine ligands, lying symmetry equivalent, s.e.) is completed by two axial pyridine ligands, lying trans to each other, while the very distorted octahedral of coordination around the Co(II) ions (Co2 and s.e.) comes about with the concurrence of one terminal pyridine group per metal, lying in trans to the bonds with the \(\mu\)-pyridine group.

The NO\(_3\) counter ion is disordered, pivoting around the N atom over two slightly different orientations and forming a hydrogen bond with the \(\mu\)-OH \(^-\) ligand. The oxidation states postulated for the Co(n) ions are consistent with the charge of the cluster and were very clearly confirmed by bond valence sum (BVS) analysis (Table S5†). Of all the unusual structural features of compound 1, perhaps the most remarkable is the presence of a bridging pyridine ligand in between two Co(n) centers (see the details in Table 1). This bridge interacts with both Co(n) ions in a slightly asymmetric manner, thus featuring a shorter (2.367(5) Å) and a longer (2.700(5) Å) Co–N distance. In fact the occupation of this pyridine group within the crystal lattice is shared in equal amounts over two symmetric orientations corresponding to having the N donor closer to either one or the other Co(n) ion (Fig. 4). These two orientations form a mutual calculated angle of 20.18°. In addition, the angles of each ring with the idealized equatorial planes around the Co(n) ions are 45.48° and 65.65°, respectively. The molecule exhibits a crystallographic \(C_2\) axis passing through the donor atoms of the \(\mu\)-OH ligand and bisecting the two orientations of the disordered \(\mu\)-pyridine group.

This peculiar bridging interaction of pyridine with two metals has been termed a “crevice” interaction and is extremely rare in the literature. It originates at the exposed two-site “clef” of a molecular scaffold in the absence of any better bridging ligand. It was observed for the first time on a dinuclear Mo(\(v\)) complex,\(^{29,30}\) and since then, very few further examples have been reported involving Ag(\(i\)),\(^{31}\) Ti(\(v\)),\(^{32}\) Cs(\(i\)),\(^{33}\) or Cu(\(i\)).\(^{34}\) Here we study it by means of theoretical methods for the first time (see below).

\[\text{[Co}_8\text{Na}_4\text{(L)}_4\text{(OH)}_2\text{(CO}_3\text{)}_2\text{py}_10\text{]}^{+}\text{(BF}_4\text{)}_2\text{(2).} \] This complex crystallizes in the space group \(P\text{2}_1/\text{c} \) (Table S1†). The asymmetric unit contains one half of the formula unit (the latter including also ten pyridine molecules of crystallization), whereas the unit cell includes two full molecules and the corresponding amount of pyridine solvate molecules. The main molecule is formed by a centrosymmetric \([\text{Co}_8\text{Na}_4\text{(L)}_4\text{(OH)}_2\text{(CO}_3\text{)}_2\text{py}_10\text{]}^{2+}\) complex cation and two BF\(_4\)\(^-\) groups. The cluster (Fig. 5, Table S6† for metrics) comprises two rhombic tetranuclear \([\text{Co}_4\text{n}]\) units very similar to that featured in 1 (see above), each bound to three additional Na(\(i\)) ions; two of them via the \(\beta\)-diketonate groups of the L\(^4\)\(^-\) ligands and the third one through the end phenolate oxygen atoms of these ligands (see in Fig. 1D, the coordination mode of L\(^4\)\(^-\)). Two of these ions are in fact shared by both [Co\(_4\)] rhombuses thus acting as the link between them.

![Molecular structure of [Co\(_4\)(L\(_4\))](OH)(py\(_7\))NO\(_3\)](1) with crystallographically unique heteroatoms labelled. The carbon atoms are in grey except those of the central \(\mu\)-pyridine group, which have been emphasized in black. The hydrogen atoms are not shown. Only one of two disordered positions of NO\(_2\)\(^-\) and \(\mu\)-pyridine are shown.

![Representation of three molecules of H\(_4\)L emphasizing the various three-center hydrogen bonding interactions established between them.](image1)

\[
\begin{array}{l}
\text{Table 1} \quad \text{Distance (Å) and angles (°) describing the bridging pyridine moiety in the structure of 1, together with parameters derived from DFT calculations (see text). The binding energies are in kcal mol}^{-1}\) \text{.}
\\
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{Co2-N5} & 2.367(4) & \text{Co2-N5A-Co2A} & 80.32(10) \\
\text{Co2-N3A} & 2.700(3) & \text{Co2-O7-Co2A} & 116.22(11) \\
\text{Co2-O7} & 1.9300(12) & \text{Co-N calc.} & 2.214/2.861 \\
\text{Co2...Co2A} & 3.2774(7) & \text{Binding energy} & -38.8/-33.6 \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\(a\) Symmetry operation: A: \(1-x, y, 0.5-z\).
BVS analysis (Table S7†) clearly indicates that Co1 is in the oxidation state +3, whereas Co2 and Co3 are +2. However, the sum for Co4 seems ambiguous as to whether it is +2 or +3. Possible reasons for bonds slightly longer than expected for Co(III) are the strains related with the dimerization through the Na+ ions and longer bonds to carbonate (see below), or more significantly, the detrimental effect of employing atom \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) from atmosphere under strong basic conditions by incorporating into transition metal complexes is now very well documented. In any case, two carbonate anions have never been fixated of more than one carbonate unit by one molecule is much rarer. In such cases, these species usually act essentially as spacers between metals or are subtended by metal ions conforming the external surface of a cage. In lesser occasions, the incorporated \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) moieties may be rather considered as being encapsulated inside the coordination cage. In any case, two carbonate anions have never been forced to lie so close to each other as within complex 2. To the best of our knowledge, the closest intermolecular contact between \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) species observed to date (2.487 Å) was found within the compound [\( \text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}\text{(C}_2\text{O}_4)\text{(CO}_3)_2 \), from a structure resolved by powder diffraction methods. The occurrence here is quite remarkable since the O–O contact now observed positions from a disordered structure. In any case, charge balance and the magnetic properties (see below) are fully consistent with the postulated \([\text{Co}^{II}]_2\text{Co}^{III} \text{]_2} \) distribution of oxidation states.

In fact, the \([\text{Co}_4] \) cages are distributed over two equally populated and very similar disordered positions (Fig. S7†). The cage offers the conditions to encapsulate two \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) anions, which are brought to lie extremely close to each other in both disordered positions (O16A-O16A† = 1.946 Å and O16B-O16B† = 1.971 Å, respectively) considering the sum of the van der Waals radii for oxygen (\( r(O) = 1.4 \) Å). Both \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) groups are stabilized within the cage by interactions with the metals (see details in Table 2). In one of the disordered positions the number of interactions is six; three Na(1), two Co(u) and one Co(m) cations. In the other, the sodium atom Na2 is slightly removed away from the cage (distant by 0.912(8) Å from the first position, Fig. S7†), and thus loses contact with the internal \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) ions. In comparison to cluster 1, the coordination geometry of the Co(u) ions (Co2 and Co3) is also distorted octahedral, replacing the \( \mu\text{-py} \) group with a bridging oxygen atom from one \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) ligand. Half of the Co(m) centers have the same environment as in 1 (Co1), whereas the other half (Co4) replace one axial pyridine ligand by one oxygen atom from \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) on that position. Encapsulation of \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) from atmospheric carbon dioxide under strong basic conditions by incorporation into transition metal complexes is now very well documented. Fixation of more than one carbonate unit by one molecule is much rarer. In such cases, these species usually act essentially as spacers between metals or are subtended by metal ions conforming the external surface of a cage. In any case, two carbonate anions have never been forced to lie so close to each other as within complex 2. To the best of our knowledge, the closest intermolecular contact between \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) species observed to date (2.487 Å) was found within the compound [\( \text{Y}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}\text{(C}_2\text{O}_4)\text{(CO}_3)_2 \), from a structure resolved by powder diffraction methods. The occurrence here is quite remarkable since the O–O contact now observed

### Table 2: Distance (Å) and angles (°) describing \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) ions interactions with metal core ions in the structure of 2. Suffixes A and B correspond to the two disordered positions of the \( \text{CO}_3^2 \) ions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.230(18)</td>
<td>Co4–O14A-Na1A 93.7(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.088(15)</td>
<td>Co3#–O15A–Co2# 99.0(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.958(14)</td>
<td>Co3#–O15A-Na2A# 95.8(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.185(16)</td>
<td>Co2#–O15A–Na2A# 92.2(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.785(19)</td>
<td>Na2A–O16A–Na2A 138.8(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.241(14)</td>
<td>Co4–O14B–Na1 90.6(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.970(18)</td>
<td>Co2–O15B–Co3 91.6(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.320(18)</td>
<td>Co2–O15B–Na2B 85.9(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.110(14)</td>
<td>Co3–O15B–Na2B 84.0(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.151(14)</td>
<td>O16A#–O16A# 1.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.248(10)</td>
<td>O16B#–O16B# 1.971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Symmetry operation #: 1 – x, 1 – y, 1 – z.
through single crystal X-ray diffraction methods is very close (within 0.03 Å) to the covalent O–O distance detected by spectroscopic methods on the molecule HOON, found to be stable at near 2 K. This distance was calculated to be, from the experimental data, of 1.915 Å. Therefore, this limiting observation and the one now reported represent the meeting point in the oxygen–oxygen distance when coming from two ends, that of covalent interactions and that of (forced) intermolecular contacts.

### 3.3 DFT calculations

The extremely rare coordination interactions observed here warrant a proper description through a theoretical treatment. For this we employed density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The energy of the “crevice” pyridine has indeed not been studied theoretically yet. The original papers, reporting a Mo–(μ-py)–Mo moiety, speculate about the existence or not of a Mo···py interaction, in view of very long Mo–N distances (2.967 Å and 2.931 Å). When found bridging two Ag(i) ions, the pyridine group was described as “weakly coordinating”, with Ag–N of 2.71 Å. The complex involving Ti(py), is the only reported example where the bridging pyridine has been crystallographically solved as disordered over two equivalent positions, showing two distinctly different (2.532 Å and 3.093 Å) Ti–N distances, as found here in complex 1. In fact, the original solution for the structure of 1 featured a symmetric μ-pyridine ligand. It was in light of the simulation procedure (see below) that the data were refined anew and the disorder unveiled. Thus, the nuclear configuration of [Co₄(OH)(L)₂(py)₇]⁺ was optimized by means of DFT calculations carried out with Gaussian 09 (ref. 54) using the B3LYP functional within the spin unrestricted formalism, together with an Ahlrichs SVP basis set on all atoms and Grimme’s D2 empirical dispersion correction. The result of this optimization showed the μ-py group in a very asymmetric configuration, with very differentiated N–Co distances (2.214 Å and 2.861 Å) and two distinct orientations of the ring with respect to the Co(u) equatorial planes (85.7° and 18.67°). This observation prompted the new refinement of the experimental crystallographic data (see above), which unveiled that this group is indeed bound unsymmetrically (Fig. 4), although not so much as suggested by the simulation. These differences could be explained to a large extent by packing effects. DFT binding energies were then computed at the B3LYP-D2/TZVP level. In these calculations, the basis set superposition error was corrected using the counterpoise method. A binding energy of −38.6 kcal mol⁻¹ was first determined for the μ-py group by using as a model a truncated version of the optimized structure (Fig. S8†), chosen to avoid the inclusion of the distal metals, not relevant for this calculation, since they are too distant to have an influence on the binding energy of interest. Subsequently, calculations were performed employing the same simplified model, using now the coordinates of the experimental solid state structure for all the nuclear positions, except these from μ-py. A very similar value of −33.6 kcal mol⁻¹ was reached. For comparison, the binding energy of terminal pyridine to the distal Co(u) ion was determined by DFT calculations on a fragment of 1 containing the relevant metal (Fig. S8†) and using the experimental coordinates of the atoms involved (with Co–N of 1.943 Å). The calculated value is −41.0 kcal mol⁻¹. This means that the binding energy of the μ-py group in 1 is comparable to that of a true terminal py ligand. The contribution of the individual metal–ligand interactions has been analyzed by calculating the critical points around the Co ions involved in this interaction (Co2 and Co2A) using the AIM method, using the experimental coordinates of one of the disordered components of the structure (Fig. S9†). A list of the critical points encountered and the electron density at these points is in Table S8.† It has been found that indeed there is a critical point for both Co–N vectors featured by the μ-py ligand, which shows that the ligand interacts with each of the metals. The electron density at these critical points is 3.75 × 10⁻² and 1.75 × 10⁻² a.u. for the short and long interaction, respectively. Since the electron density at the bond critical points correlates with the strength of the bond, 68% of the interaction energy (−22.8 kcal mol⁻¹) can be attributed to the short contact and 32% (−10.8 kcal mol⁻¹) to the long one.

The cluster cation of 2 exhibits the shortest non-covalent O···O distance ever observed between two CO₃²⁻ species. The reason that these two species come so close to each other is the stabilization brought by the large number of interactions that they establish with the metals of 2 upon coordination. DFT calculations constitute an invaluable tool to verify and quantify this hypothesis. Thus, the absolute energy of various model systems (Fig. 6) built up using the experimental coordinates of the pertinent atoms of 2 was determined. For this, the atomic positions of the component that locates the CO₃²⁻ anions closest to each other (distance O···O, 1.946 Å) was employed (Fig. 5). The energies associated to the other components were not expected to vary significantly (see below). First, the energy of bringing two CO₃²⁻ anions at the distance observed within 2 in the same relative orientation (E_dimerous = E₂ − 2E₁; Fig. 6 and S10†) without considering any other interaction, is extremely high; +349.6 kcal mol⁻¹. This renders as quite remarkable the observation of these two anions in such relative positions.

**Fig. 6** Simplified scheme of the models used for DFT calculations: ‘1’, a free CO₃²⁻ anion (E₁); ‘2’, a dimer of two CO₃²⁻ anions (E₂); ‘3’, the full cluster anion of 2 without the CO₃²⁻ ligands (E₃); ‘4’, the entire cluster anion of 2 with only one CO₃²⁻ ligand (E₄); ‘5’, the cluster of 2 with both encapsulated CO₃²⁻ groups (E₅). All species have been calculated in the gas phase and their energies obtained at the B3LYP-D2/SVP level.
within the cage. The stabilization attained upon coordination of 
CO$_3^{2-}$ inside the cage was estimated by calculating the energy of 
encapsulating one such anion from the gas phase into the 
cluster ($E_{\text{coord}} = E_4 - E_3 - E_1$; Fig. 6 and S10†), which amounts 
to $-773.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. This already suggests that the system is to 
release energy when including two CO$_3^{2-}$ inside that cavity, 
despite the cost of having them so close to each other. Likewise, 
bringing two infinitely distant carbonate molecules inside the 
cage ($E_{\text{coord}} = E_5 - E_3 - 2E_1$; Fig. 6 and S10†) also represents 
an important gain in stability, the energy of the process being 
calculated as $-1329.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, consistent with the 
experimental observation. The process as calculated is not perfectly 
comparable with the real situation, since the species involved 
are not in the gas phase but in pyridine solution. Nevertheless, a 
medium made of pyridine molecules, which are good Lewis 
bases, should favor the encapsulation even further. The models 
studied also allow to quantify the repulsion of the CO$_3^{2-}$ groups 
once they are inside the cage ($E_{\text{dimer/in}} = E_{\text{coord2}} - 2E_{\text{coord1}} = E_5 + 
E_3 - 2E_4$; Fig. 6 and S10†). Thus the interaction involves an 
energy of $+217.5$ kcal mol$^{-1}$. While this unfavorable interaction 
remains relatively high, it is reduced by 38% as compared to the 
cost of maintaining two CO$_3^{2-}$ ions at such distance in the gas 
phase. This is because the interactions with the metals withdraw 
an important part of the negative charge from the anions, 
diminishing the magnitude of their mutual repulsion when 
they are inside the cage. This last calculated value does not 
depend on the medium outside the cage, since the models used 
ever involve free CO$_3^{2-}$. The conclusions arising from these 
calculations are not expected to vary at all if the atomic coor-
dinates of other disordered components present in the crystal 
lattice (Fig. S7†) were employed. To illustrate this, $E_{\text{dimer/out}}$ was 
calculated using the positions of CO$_3^{2-}$ in this other component 
and a value of +365.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ was extracted, only 16% higher 
than for the component chosen to illustrate the interaction 
energies in 2.

3.4 Bulk magnetization properties

Complexes 1 and 2 exhibit one and two [L$_2$Co$_4$] moieties in their 
molecule, respectively. The metals in these units are distributed 
in the form of a rhombus (Fig. 3) with two Co(III) ions (Co1 and 
symmetry equivalent) spanning the long diagonals and two 
Co(II) centres (Co2 and Co2A) at the ends of the short one. The 
trivalent metals are expected to be diamagnetic ($S = 0$) whereas 
the Co(II) centres, bridged by one µ-OH$^-$ and the µ-py ligand (or 
one O-atom from CO$_3^{2-}$), must be paramagnetic. Variable 
temperature magnetization measurements were performed on 
powdered microcrystalline samples of both compounds under a 
constant magnetic field of 0.5 T. The results are shown in Fig. 7, 
in the form of $\chi_M T$ vs. $T$ plots (Fig. 7) and $\chi_M$ is the molar paramagnetic 
susceptibility). At 300 K, the $\chi_M T$ product values are 7.21 and 
12.45 cm$^3$ Kmol$^{-1}$, respectively, much higher than those 
expected for two and four uncoupled high spin ($S = 3/2$) Co(II) 
centers (expected at 3.75 and 7.5 cm$^3$ Kmol$^{-1}$, respectively, for $g 
= 2$). This means that the magnetic properties are strongly 
affected by the orbital angular momentum of these ions, not 
quenched despite the significant deviation from the octahedral 
geometry shown by them. $\chi_M T$ decreases as the temperature 
decreases, increasingly faster towards lower temperatures, to 
reach 2.30 and 2.37 cm$^3$ Kmol$^{-1}$, respectively, at 2 K. This may 
be due to the effects of spin orbit coupling, but also to a possible 
interaction between the two Co(II) ions within each rhombus 
(Co2 and Co2A for 1 and Co2 and Co3 for 2). The magnetic data 
were fit by matrix diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in eqn (3), 
using the program PHL.60

$$\hat{H} = 2\lambda \sigma L_{\text{Co}} \hat{S}_{\text{Co}} - 2J(\hat{S}_{\text{Co1}} \hat{S}_{\text{Co2}}) + 2\mu_B(\sigma L_{\text{Co}} + g_{\text{Co}} \hat{S}_{\text{Co}})\vec{B} \quad (3)$$

In this Hamiltonian, $\hat{L}_{\text{Co}}$ and $\hat{S}_{\text{Co}}$ are, respectively, the orbital 
and spin angular momenta of Co(n) (subscripts 1 and 2 refer to 
each of the two metals), while $g_{\text{Co}}$ is the isotropic gyromagnetic 
ratio for this ion. The parameters $J$, $\lambda$ and $\sigma$ correspond, 
respectively, to the exchange-coupling constant between both 
metals, the spin–orbit coupling constant of Co(n) and a 
combined orbital reduction parameter of this metal,61 whereas 
$\mu_B$ and $\vec{B}$ have the usual meanings. Reasonable fits (Fig. 7, solid 
lines) were obtained for the following parameters (in the 1/2 
format); $J = -0.40$ cm$^{-1}$, $\lambda = 2.31$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\sigma = -1.0$ cm$^{-1}$. The 
discrepancies with the experimental data appear more notice-
able in the temperature range between 35 and 100 K. This may 
be due to the approximations inherent to the model employed. 
In fact, treating the exchange between orbitally non-degenerate 
ions is very difficult. The approach used here considers only the 
coupling between true spin states, and not these of the orbital 
angular momentum.63 This is probably the reason why there are 
not magntetostructural correlations of exchanged coupled Co(n) 
ions in the literature. Nevertheless, weak couplings are gener-
ally observed between such ions when linked by oxygen mon-
atomic bridges.63

4. Conclusions

By employing strong basic conditions in reactions of the ligand 
2,6-bis-(3-oxo-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-propionyl)-pyridine, H$_4$L, 
with Co(II) salts, two mixed-valence Co(II)/Co(III) clusters have
been obtained with unprecedented structures. The unconventional disposition of metals within these clusters prompts the isolation of one bridging, very rare “crevice” pyridine group in 1. DFT calculations reveal a binding energy to each Co(u) of approximately 40% of a regular Co–py coordination bond. The cage of 2 is seen to trap two CO$_3^{2–}$ anions that are held at the closest intermolecular distance ever seen for such species. It can be seen through calculations that the repulsion energy between these is strongly reduced inside the cage, by interaction with several Lewis acids, and that the system is very stable, thus rationalizing its formation. The very close lying CO$_3^{2–}$ species, C$_2$O$_6$"$, useful for catalytic CO$_2$ capture from the atmosphere to form a reactive group in 2. D. Aguil`a, L. A. Barrios, O. Roubeau, G. Arom`ı
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