

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 165

# From Tc<sup>VII</sup> to Tc<sup>I</sup>; facile syntheses of bis-arene complexes [<sup>99(m)</sup>Tc(arene)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> from pertechnetate<sup>†</sup>

Michael Benz,<sup>‡</sup> Henrik Braband,<sup>‡</sup> Paul Schmutz, Jonathan Halter and Roger Alberto\*

Bis-arene complexes of technetium represent a fundamental class of organometallic compounds. Due to complex synthetic routes, no detailed insights into their properties have been reported so far. Reacting [<sup>99</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> with arenes in the exclusive presence of AlCl<sub>3</sub> gives highly stable [<sup>99</sup>Tc(arene)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> in good yields. These complexes have extraordinarily high stabilities, where oxidation is found to occur at potentials higher than +1.3 V and reduction at potentials below -2 V vs. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>. The <sup>99m</sup>Tc analogues are similarly synthesised by applying a novel ionic liquid extraction pathway. Complexes of <sup>99m</sup>Tc with suitably functionalized arenes will represent new building blocks for bioorganometallic pharmaceuticals in molecular imaging.

Received 13th August 2014  
Accepted 4th September 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4sc02461c

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

## Introduction

The realm of organometallic chemistry emerges from a relatively small number of basic ligand types, CO and cyclopentadienyl being two of them. Aromatic hydrocarbons constitute a further fundamental class of ligands, being isoelectronic with [C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (Cp<sup>-</sup>) but providing a different hapticity and different coordinating properties. Whereas Cp<sup>-</sup> is a ubiquitous ligand in organometallic chemistry, complexes with aromatic hydrocarbons C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>n</sub>R<sub>6-n</sub> are much less explored, likely due to synthetic challenges and lower thermodynamic or kinetic stabilities. Since arene ligands are uncharged, vacancies at the metal center are more easily generated by haptotropic shifts than with Cp<sup>-</sup>. Binary arene complexes represent precursors for numerous reactions.<sup>1-3</sup> After their discovery by Fischer and Hafner in 1955, a multitude of synthetic approaches were reported. A recent, excellent review comprehensively describes these synthetic strategies in detail.<sup>4</sup> Of particular interest are syntheses starting from metal halides, in the absence or presence of reducing agents such as aluminum or zinc. Where metals such as Fe<sup>II</sup> or Ru<sup>II</sup> are already present in the desired oxidation state, no reducing agents are needed; higher starting oxidation states require reduction for coordination to the arenes.<sup>5,6</sup>

Bis-arene complexes [Re(η<sup>6</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>n</sub>R<sub>6-n</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (*n* = 1-6) are known but have been rarely studied and not in great detail. The

first bis-arene complex of <sup>99</sup>Tc was prepared by a very uncommon method, namely by element transmutation from [<sup>99</sup>Mo(arene)<sub>2</sub>] via β<sup>-</sup> decay <sup>99</sup>Mo → <sup>99m</sup>Tc → <sup>99</sup>Tc, the latter resulting directly from weighable amounts of <sup>99</sup>TcCl<sub>4</sub> in the presence of Al<sup>0</sup> and AlCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>7,8</sup> in low yields.<sup>9</sup> Only recently, Kudinov and coworkers reported an improved synthesis of [Re(arene)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> in moderate yields directly from K[ReO<sub>4</sub>] with Zn<sup>0</sup> as the reductant, AlCl<sub>3</sub> as a Lewis acid and the arene as solvent.<sup>10</sup> For over 50 years, no progress was made in the study of [<sup>99</sup>Tc(η<sup>6</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>n</sub>R<sub>6-n</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> type compounds, not only due to the radioactivity of this element but also because of synthetic difficulties. The preparation of binary halides such as <sup>99</sup>TcCl<sub>4</sub> as starting materials for accessing bis-arene complexes has made a significant impact over the past couple of years.<sup>11-13</sup> Their syntheses are well described but their preparation and isolation are still not routine. A direct, high yield route to [<sup>99</sup>Tc(arene)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes from [<sup>99</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> salts would therefore be highly desirable since it would allow for the chemical exploration of these fundamental complexes and for classifying their properties in relation to neighboring elements. In addition, arene complexes with metastable <sup>99m</sup>Tc are potential molecular imaging agents: phenyl groups are frequent in pharmaceuticals and coordination of a <sup>99m</sup>Tc fragment to such arenes enables labeling without additional, pendant ligands,<sup>14</sup> a concept verified with the {Cr(CO)<sub>3</sub>} moiety bound to *e.g.* a phenyl ring in estradiol.<sup>15,16</sup> The importance of the {M(arene)}<sup>n+</sup> moiety is corroborated by the impact of the {Ru(cymantrene)}<sup>2+</sup> fragment in medicinal inorganic chemistry.<sup>17,18</sup> Among their endeavors for new myocardial imaging agents, Wester *et al.* reported the synthesis of [<sup>99m</sup>Tc(arene)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes in the early nineties. However, since the yields were “variable”, the syntheses were multi-step, and the pharmacology was not satisfactory, the compounds were not studied any further.<sup>19</sup> Enthusiastic about new <sup>99</sup>Tc chemistry and convinced that the application of

Department of Chemistry, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstr. 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: ariel@chem.uzh.ch

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional synthetic and characterization details (including HPLC, NMR, CV), and crystallographic details. CCDC 1017765, 1017766, 1017767, 1017768 and 1017769. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4sc02461c

<sup>‡</sup> The first two authors contributed equally to this work.



$[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes will extend beyond myocardial imaging, we developed practical, one step syntheses of  $[\text{}^{99(\text{m})}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes in high yields and radiochemical purities, working towards a deeper understanding of the chemical and reactive properties of fundamental  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes.

## Results and discussion

The reaction of  $\text{NH}_4[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]$ ,  $\text{Zn}^0$  and  $\text{AlCl}_3$  gave yields of <15% when applying reaction conditions analogous to those described for rhenium by Kudinov *et al.* The products were difficult to separate from a black, colloidal material (ESI, Section 1†). In contrast to classical Fischer–Hafner conditions, we carried out the reaction without  $\text{Zn}^0$ , assuming that  $\text{Zn}^0$  could over-reduce the starting material to metallic, colloidal  $\text{Tc}^0$ . Addition of toluene to solid  $\text{AlCl}_3$  and  $\text{K}[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]$  immediately produced a brown suspension. Heating the reaction mixture to 85 °C for 4 h gave a dark colored suspension. After extraction with water and precipitation with  $\text{NH}_4\text{PF}_6$ , we obtained  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_3)_2]^+$  as  $[2][\text{PF}_6]$  in 75% isolated yield (Scheme 1). Comparable yields were obtained with mesitylene ( $[3][\text{PF}_6]$ ) and tetralin ( $[4][\text{PF}_6]$ ) (ESI, Section 1†). Only the reaction with benzene to afford  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)_2]^+$  ( $[1][\text{PF}_6]$ ) required  $\text{Zn}^0$  (*vide infra*). With tetralin and  $\text{AlCl}_3$ , we obtained product  $[4]^+$  but also a side product caused by Friedel–Crafts trans-alkylation reactions. Accordingly, the complex  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})(\text{OHPhen})]^+$  ( $\text{OHPhen} = 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\text{-octahydrophenanthrene}$ ) ( $[5][\text{PF}_6]$ ) was isolated and structurally characterised as a major side product of the reaction to form  $[4][\text{PF}_6]$  (ESI Section 1 and 4†).

To confirm the identities of  $[1][\text{PF}_6]$ ,  $[2][\text{PF}_6]$ ,  $[3][\text{PF}_6]$  and  $[4][\text{PF}_6]$ , their structures were elucidated by single crystal X-ray diffraction (ESI, Section 4†). An ellipsoid representation of the tetralin complex is given in Fig. 1. These structures complete the series of bis-arene complexes with respect to the neighboring group 6 and 8 elements and the straight forward preparation paves the way for exploring fundamental chemistry and physico-chemical properties of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_n\text{R}_{6-n})_2]^+$  type complexes.

We emphasise that no metallic reducing agent is present in these reactions. Whilst chloride reduces  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}^{\text{VII}}\text{O}_4]^-$  to  $\text{Tc}^{\text{V}}$  or  $\text{Tc}^{\text{IV}}$ , arenes may also act as reductants under Friedel–Crafts conditions to achieve oxidation states lower than  $\text{Tc}^{\text{IV}}$ . Indeed, when the reaction was carried out in cyclohexane and  $\text{AlCl}_3$  with  $[\text{NBu}_4][\text{}^{99}\text{TcOCl}_4]$  and with no arene present, a yellow precipitate





Fig. 1 ORTEP representation<sup>20</sup> of the  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$  ( $[4]^+$ ) cation of the  $[4][\text{PF}_6]$  structure. Thermal ellipsoids are represented at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Tc1–C1 2.219(2), Tc1–C2 2.228(2), Tc1–C3 2.231(2), Tc1–C4 2.227(2), Tc1–C5 2.250(2), Tc1–C6 2.250(2), C1–Tc1–C4 77.82(10), C2–Tc1–C5 78.34(9), C3–Tc1–C6 78.43(9).

formed from a blue solution. Evaporation of the solvent and crystallization of the crude solid under  $\text{N}_2$  in dimethylformamide (DMF) gave large yellow crystals. From X-ray analysis the intermediate was confirmed to be  $[\text{Al}(\text{DMF})_6][\text{}^{99}\text{TcCl}_6]\cdot\text{DMF}$ . The presence of this  $\text{Tc}^{\text{IV}}$  complex supports the role of  $\text{AlCl}_3$  as a reducing agent of  $\text{Tc}^{\text{VII}}$  or  $\text{Tc}^{\text{V}}$  to form  $\text{Tc}^{\text{IV}}$ . Consequently, the reaction to afford  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes can also be performed from  $\text{K}_2[\text{}^{99}\text{TcCl}_6]$  with otherwise identical conditions as those from  $\text{K}[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]$  (ESI, Section 1†). The complexes  $[2][\text{PF}_6]$  and  $[4][\text{PF}_6]$  were prepared by this route in good yields.

These reactions represent a new procedure towards bis-arene complexes of group 7 elements. They combine pure arene substitution with elements already present in the desired oxidation state with concerted reduction–coordination, but without additional reductants such as  $\text{Zn}^0$  or  $\text{Al}^0$ . Only one similar process, for  $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)_2]^{2+}$ , has been reported in the literature.<sup>21</sup>

The reaction of  $\text{K}[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]$  in benzene with  $\text{AlCl}_3$  did not lead to  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)_2]^+$  ( $[1]^+$ ). In this reaction, only unidentified products formed and  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR spectra gave no evidence for  $\text{Tc}^{\text{I}}$  species. Significant amounts of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]^-$  (~50%) could be recovered. We concluded that substituted alkenes with enhanced donating properties stabilised the intermediate oxidation states better than unsubstituted benzene. This interpretation is supported by the marked electrochemical differences found between the complexes (*vide infra*). Complex  $[1][\text{PF}_6]$  could be synthesized from  $[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]^-$  *via* the Fischer–Hafner route in moderate yields with  $\text{Zn}$  dust as a reductant.

$^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR spectroscopy provides valuable insights into the symmetry and electronic properties of technetium complexes.<sup>17,22</sup>  $\text{Tc}^{\text{I}}$  signals are typically found between –3000 and –1000 ppm relative to  $[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]^-$  at 0 ppm.<sup>23</sup> Due to a scalar coupling of the nuclear spin ( $I = 9/2$ ) to the quadrupole moment of the  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  nucleus, decreasing symmetry of the complexes is accompanied by a strong line broadening. However, the presented  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes have highly symmetrical first coordination spheres, reflected in their small half line widths (8–26 Hz). The observed  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR signals,  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{benzene})_2]^+$  at –1860 ppm ( $\nu_{1/2} = 8$  Hz),  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{toluene})_2]^+$  at –1744 ppm ( $\nu_{1/2} = 26$  Hz),  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$  at –1586 ppm ( $\nu_{1/2} = 11$  Hz) and



Scheme 1 Reaction sequence for the preparation of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes.



$[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{mesitylene})_2]^+$  at  $-1532$  ppm ( $\nu_{1/2} = 14$  Hz), suggest that a higher substitution pattern at the aromatic backbone leads to a shift in the  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  resonance to lower field. The  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\mathbf{4}][\text{PF}_6]$  (including the side product  $[\mathbf{5}][\text{PF}_6]$ ) is shown in Fig. 2. All  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR spectra are given in Section 3 of the ESI.†  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra show the strong influence of the metal center on the shift of the aromatic protons, which appear in the region around 5.5 ppm. Comparable features are found for isoelectronic complexes of the benzene complexes of  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}$  and  $\text{Cr}^0$ , for which the arene signals are found at around 6.90<sup>24</sup> and 4.21 ppm<sup>25</sup> respectively.

The small but significant chemical shift differences in the  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR spectra of the complexes are caused by the different numbers of groups with negative Hammett constants. Increased electron donation to the  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  center should be paralleled with corresponding electrochemical properties. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) investigations are in agreement with this prediction and reversible oxidation waves for the  $\text{Tc}^{\text{I/II}}$  couple were found at surprisingly high values, generally  $E_{1/2}^0 > +1.4$  V vs.  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$  in acetonitrile (Fig. 3 and ESI, Section 5†). Along the series  $[\mathbf{1}]^+ \rightarrow [\mathbf{4}]^+$ , the oxidation potentials become less positive with an increasing number of donors on the arenes. Irreversible and essentially arene independent reductions assigned to the  $^{99}\text{Tc}^{\text{I/0}}$  couple appear below  $-2$  V. As expected from general trends in the triads of the d-block elements, the rhenium homologues of  $[\mathbf{1}]^+ \rightarrow [\mathbf{4}]^+$  showed the  $\text{Re}^{\text{I/II}}$  couples shifted by about 0.19–0.15 V towards more negative potentials as compared to the  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  compounds (see ESI, Table ESI5.1.1†), *i.e.* they are more easily oxidised than the  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  homologues, albeit still at considerably positive potentials. Comparing the herein reported  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  potentials with those of the neighbouring elements, for those which are available, confirms general trends for d-block elements in the periodic system. For instance,  $E_{1/2}^0$  for  $[\text{Cr}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)_2]^{0/+}$  is reported at +0.82 V vs.  $\text{Ag}/\text{AgCl}$ , and hence, although to our knowledge unreported, the corresponding molybdenum complex should have an even more negatively shifted potential. For  $[\text{Ru}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)_2]^{2+/3+}$ , no potentials for the  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II/III}}$  couple are reported, probably because observation of the oxidation is not possible in common solvents. On the other hand,  $E_{1/2}^0$




Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammograms of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{benzene})_2][\text{PF}_6]$  (green line,  $E_{1/2}^0 = 1.52$  V),  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{toluene})_2][\text{PF}_6]$  (red line,  $E_{1/2}^0 = 1.47$  V), and  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{mesitylene})_2][\text{PF}_6]$  (blue line,  $E_{1/2}^0 = 1.38$  V) in acetonitrile vs.  $\text{Fc}/\text{Fc}^+$ .

for the  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II/I}}$  couple is found at  $-1.02$  V, almost 1 V more positive than the potential of its  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  analogue (Table ESI5.1.1†).<sup>26–28</sup> Spectroelectrochemistry measurements in an OTTE cell supported the reversibility of the  $[\text{Re}(\text{arene})_2]^+ / [\text{Re}(\text{arene})_2]^{2+}$  couple. The  $\text{Re}^{\text{I}}$  complex is oxidised to  $\text{Re}^{\text{II}}$  and reduced back to  $\text{Re}^{\text{I}}$  as is evident from the isosbestic points detected in the electrochemical experiment (Fig. ESI5.10.1†). In agreement with these electrochemical potentials, the  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes are difficult to chemically oxidise and are very stable under ambient conditions. They are also insensitive to pH changes and do not decompose over the whole pH range even at elevated temperatures, an important feature for their potential application as molecular imaging agents.

The high yields and one step syntheses to  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes are the incentives for translating the reaction conditions to attain short-lived  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  complexes. Apart from fundamental interests, conjugation of biologically active groups to the arene ring will open a path to novel, functionalised and targeted SPECT imaging agents. In the original preparation of  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes,<sup>19</sup> the multiple steps required for transferring  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{TcO}_4]^-$  from the generator saline eluate into an organic solvent were difficult and time consuming, and ultimately prohibitive for any application. To facilitate this step, we coated a glass vial with a thin layer of ionic liquid (IL) by evaporating a dilute solution of  $[\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13})_3(\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{29})]\text{Br}$  in MTBE. Addition of the generator eluate to this vial resulted in extraction of 80–97% of  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{TcO}_4]^-$  into the IL layer within 10 min by an anion exchange process (Scheme 2). The saline was removed and the IL was dissolved in the corresponding arene and added to a vial charged with  $\text{AlCl}_3$  under  $\text{N}_2$ . The vial was heated for 10 min at  $100$  °C in a microwave reactor. Upon addition of water, the  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes were extracted in yields of





Fig. 2  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  NMR spectrum of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$  ( $-1586$  ppm,  $[\mathbf{4}]^+$ ) and the side product  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})(\text{OHPhen})]^+$  ( $-1471$  ppm,  $[\mathbf{5}]^+$ ).



Scheme 2 Extraction of  $\text{Na}[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{TcO}_4]$  from saline (0.9%  $\text{NaCl}$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) into an ionic liquid.



35–87% and in radiochemical purities of >93%. Loading the aqueous solution onto a SepPak column and rinsing with water removed hydrolyzed  $\text{AlCl}_3$ . The product was then eluted with an aqueous EtOH solution and isolated with >96% radiochemical purity (ESI, Section 1.2†).

The procedure was successfully applied for the toluene and mesitylene complexes. As described for  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$ , the yield of the  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$  complex was reduced due to formation of the trans-alkylated side product in a 1 : 0.8 ratio ( $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+ : [\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})(\text{OHPhen})]^+$ ). Comparable to the reactions with  $^{99}\text{Tc}$ , no additional reducing agent was required (except for the synthesis of  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{benzene})_2]^+$ ). We emphasise that the  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  bis-arene complexes are extraordinarily stable. Heating in an aqueous solution at 180 °C in a microwave oven in the presence of air does not decompose the complexes to a measurable extent. This stability against oxidation (and hydrolysis) is in agreement with the observed redox potentials and makes the complexes resistant to oxidation in air.

Assessment of the identities of the  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  complexes by HPLC coinjection with fully characterised rhenium homologues and subsequent comparison of retention times is an FDA accepted procedure. Due to the serial arrangement of the UV- and radio-detectors, the respective  $\gamma$ -signal ( $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ ) is separated by a constant time delay (here 0.5 min) from the UV signal (Re). This time delay was quantified with  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{toluene})_2]^+$  (UV- and  $\beta^-$ -detection for the same compound, Fig. 4).

Despite their isostructural nature and comparable physico-chemical properties, slightly different retention times ( $R_t$ ) ( $\Delta R_t$  different from the 0.5 min) are sometimes observed for homologous  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  and Re complexes. This makes their identification by  $R_t$  comparison somewhat ambiguous. The reported  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  complexes provide good examples for this ambiguity, where the  $R_t$  for  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{benzene})_2]^+$  is 0.58 min shorter than that of the corresponding Re complex. This effect diminishes with the increasing number of arene substituents ( $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{toluene})_2]^+$ : 0.45 min,  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{mesitylene})_2]^+$ : 0.42 min,  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$ : 0.39 min). These small but non-negligible uncertainties in the assessment of the identities of  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  complexes can only be ruled out by HPLC coinjection with fully characterised  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  analogues;  $\Delta R_t$  must be approximately 0.5

min (for our instrumental arrangement). This has additionally been verified by coinjection of  $[\text{}^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$  and  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{tetralin})_2]^+$ ; the separation of the UV signal ( $^{99}\text{Tc}$  complex) and the  $\gamma$ -signal ( $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ ) showed the expected separation ( $\Delta R_t = 0.51$  min, ESI, Section 2†). We emphasise that such direct comparisons between  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  and  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  complexes are very rarely reported, if ever, but are ultimately the only way to truly assess the identity of a  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$  complex.

## Conclusions

Mono- and bis-arene complexes of d-block elements are fundamental in organometallic chemistry. The difficulty in accessing such complexes, especially for technetium where  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$  type complexes have been essentially non-existent, has impeded their detailed chemical studies and their application in molecular imaging. We now present a new, high yielding synthetic procedure to afford these fundamental organometallic complexes of the group 7 elements. In our procedure no additional reducing agents are required, with the exception of the synthesis of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{benzene})_2]^+$ .  $\text{AlCl}_3$  serves as an oxygen abstracting Lewis acid and at the same time as a source of chloride reducing agent in the high valency regime. The reaction procedure can be fully applied to  $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ , enabling the introduction of novel organometallic complexes in the area of molecular imaging. Studies with non-alkyl arene substituents are currently ongoing.

## Experimental section

### General preparation of $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2]^+$ complexes

**Caution:**  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  is a weak  $\beta^-$  emitter ( $E_{\text{max}} = 0.292$  MeV, half life time =  $2.12 \times 10^5$  y). It should be handled only in appropriately equipped laboratories.

Method (a)  $\text{NH}_4[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]$  (18 mg, 0.10 mmol), Zn-dust (22 mg, 0.34 mmol),  $\text{AlCl}_3$  (134 mg, 1.00 mmol) and the corresponding arene (6 ml) were heated to 85 °C. After 8 h, the solvent of the resulting dark brown suspension was removed with a stream of  $\text{N}_2$ . The residue was washed with  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  ( $3 \times 2$  ml). The remaining solid was extracted with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $3 \times 2$  ml) and the aqueous solution was filtered.  $\text{NH}_4\text{PF}_6$  (150 mg, 0.92 mmol) in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1 ml) was added to the red-brown filtrate. The colorless precipitate was filtered off, washed with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $2 \times 0.5$  ml) and  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  ( $2 \times 0.5$  ml) and dried *in vacuo* to give  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2](\text{PF}_6)$  as a pale yellow powder. Alternatively, the precipitate can be extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  from the aqueous suspension.

Method (b)  $\text{K}[\text{}^{99}\text{TcO}_4]$  (20 mg, 0.10 mmol),  $\text{AlCl}_3$  (200 mg, 1.50 mmol) and the corresponding arene (8 ml) were heated at 85 °C. After 4 h,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (6 ml) was added to the hot dark brown solution and the aqueous phase was separated and filtered. The process was repeated with additional  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $2 \times 2$  ml). To the combined aqueous solutions was added a solution of  $\text{NH}_4\text{PF}_6$  (150 mg, 0.92 mmol) in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1 ml). The formed colorless precipitate was filtered, washed with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $2 \times 0.5$  ml) and dried *in vacuo* to give  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{arene})_2](\text{PF}_6)$ .

Method (c)  $\text{K}_2[\text{}^{99}\text{TcCl}_6]$  (37 mg, 0.10 mmol),  $\text{AlCl}_3$  (134 mg, 1.00 mmol) and the corresponding arene (8 ml) were heated at




Fig. 4 HPLC traces (UV-/ $\beta^-$ -detection) of  $[\text{}^{99}\text{Tc}(\text{toluene})_2]^+$  (UV-detection: 16.39 min, black line;  $\beta^-$ -detection: 16.90 min, red line).





85 °C for 4 h. H<sub>2</sub>O (4 ml) was added to the hot dark brown solution and the aqueous phase was separated and filtered. The process was repeated with additional H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 2 ml) and to the combined aqueous solutions was added a solution of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (150 mg, 0.92 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (1 ml). The colorless precipitate was filtered, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 0.5 ml) and dried *in vacuo* to give [<sup>99m</sup>Tc(arene)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>) as a pale yellow powder.

### General preparation of [<sup>99m</sup>Tc(arene)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes

The ionic liquid [P(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>13</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>29</sub>)]Br (2 mg) dissolved in 0.1 ml methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) was added to a capped vial. Under constant rotation of the vial, the solvent was evaporated by a N<sub>2</sub> stream. The [<sup>99m</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> eluate (1–2 ml) was added and the vial was gently shaken for 10 min. The aqueous solution was removed and the vial was purged with N<sub>2</sub> for 30 min. 80–97% of the [<sup>99m</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> remained in the vial. The ionic liquid was dissolved in the corresponding arene (1 ml). This solution was added to a vial charged with AlCl<sub>3</sub> (100 mg) under N<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was heated for 10 min at 100 °C in a microwave oven. 1 ml of saline solution was added to the yellow reaction mixture and the vial was vortexed for 20 s and centrifuged for 6 min. The aqueous phase was separated with a syringe and contained >90% of the final product. The workup procedure was repeated for a second time. Standard SepPack procedure removed salts and the product was eluted with ethanol.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the University of Zürich, the Staatssekretariat für Bildung, Forschung und Innovation (SBFI) C13.0080 and the Swiss National Science Foundation (200021\_140665).

### Notes and references

- 1 E. L. Muetterties, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1982, **11**, 283.
- 2 H. Lebozec, D. Touchard and P. H. Dixneuf, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 1989, **29**, 163.
- 3 P. W. Jolly, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1996, **29**, 544.
- 4 G. Pampaloni, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **254**, 402.
- 5 J. F. Helling and D. M. Braitsch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 7207.
- 6 F. Calderazzo and G. Pampaloni, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, **500**, 47.
- 7 F. Baumgartner, E. O. Fischer and U. Zahn, *Chem. Ber./Recl.*, 1961, **94**, 2198.
- 8 C. Palm and E. O. Fischer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1962, **6**, 253.
- 9 E. O. Fischer and A. Wirzmuller, *Chem. Ber.*, 1957, **90**, 1725.
- 10 E. A. Trifonova, D. S. Perekalin, K. A. Lyssenko and A. R. Kudinov, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2013, **727**, 60.
- 11 E. V. Johnstone, F. Poineau, P. M. Forster, L. Z. Ma, T. Hartmann, A. Cornelius, D. Antonio, A. P. Sattelberger and K. R. Czerwinski, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 8462.
- 12 F. Poineau, E. V. Johnstone, K. R. Czerwinski and A. P. Sattelberger, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 624.
- 13 C. D. Malliakas, F. Poineau, E. V. Johnstone, P. F. Weck, E. Kim, B. L. Scott, P. M. Forster, M. G. Kanatzidis, K. R. Czerwinski and A. P. Sattelberger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15955.
- 14 D. Can, B. Spingler, P. Schmutz, F. Mendes, P. Raposinho, C. Fernandes, F. Carta, A. Innocenti, I. Santos, C. T. Supuran and R. Alberto, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3354.
- 15 C. Sergheraert and A. Tartar, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1982, **240**, 163.
- 16 C. Baldoli, S. Maiorana, E. Licandro, G. Zinzalla and D. Perdicchia, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 4341.
- 17 C. G. Hartinger, N. Metzler-Nolte and P. J. Dyson, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 5677.
- 18 Y. K. Yan, M. Melchart, A. Habtemariam and P. J. Sadler, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, **38**, 4764.
- 19 D. W. Wester, J. R. Coveney, D. L. Nosco, M. S. Robbins and R. T. Dean, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1991, **34**, 3284.
- 20 L. J. Farrugia, *ORTEP-3 for Windows – a version of ORTEP-III with a Graphical User Interface (GUI)*, 1997.
- 21 Q. Dabirmanesh and R. M. G. Roberts, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1993, **460**, C28.
- 22 A. Davison, J. F. Kronauge, A. G. Jones, R. M. Pearlstein and J. R. Thorback, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 3245.
- 23 V. A. Mikhalev, *Radiochemistry*, 2005, **47**, 319.
- 24 E. O. Fischer and C. Elschenbroich, *Chem. Ber.*, 1970, **103**, 162.
- 25 L. Phillips, G. R. Dennis and M. J. Aroney, *New J. Chem.*, 2000, **24**, 27.
- 26 T. Satou, K. Takehara, M. Hirakida, Y. Sakamoto, H. Takemura, H. Miura, M. Tomonou and T. Shinmyozu, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1999, **577**, 58.
- 27 D. T. Pierce and W. E. Geiger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 7636.
- 28 R. L. Lord, C. K. Schauer, F. A. Schultz and M. H. Baik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 18234.

