

## CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)CrossMark  
click for updatesCite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 72590

## Correction: Sensitive and selective determination of aqueous triclosan based on gold nanoparticles on polyoxometalate/reduced graphene oxide nanohybrid

Mehmet Lutfi Yola,<sup>a</sup> Necip Atar,<sup>\*b</sup> Tanju Eren,<sup>b</sup> Hassan Karimi-Maleh<sup>c</sup> and Shaobin Wang<sup>\*d</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra90080h

[www.rsc.org/advances](http://www.rsc.org/advances)

Correction for 'Sensitive and selective determination of aqueous triclosan based on gold nanoparticles on polyoxometalate/reduced graphene oxide nanohybrid' by Mehmet Lutfi Yola *et al.*, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 65953–65962.

The authors regret that mistakes were made in the preparation of Fig. 6 and Table 1 in the original article. Several of the line colours presented in the legend for Fig. 6A do not correspond to the correct curves in the plot. The correct image for Fig. 6 is shown below.




Fig. 6 DPV profiles of the electrochemical sensor at different TCS concentrations in phosphate solution pH 7.0 from background without TCS to 50.0 nM TCS (A), and linear calibration curve of TCS (B).

<sup>a</sup>Department of Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Pamukkale University, Denizli, Turkey. E-mail: [necipatar@gmail.com](mailto:necipatar@gmail.com)<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Graduate University of Advanced Technology, Kerman, Iran<sup>d</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia. E-mail: [shaobin.wang@curtin.edu.au](mailto:shaobin.wang@curtin.edu.au)

Additionally, two of the values presented in Table 1 in the original manuscript are incorrect. The “Recovery (%)” value for the “Wastewater” sample with 9.0 nM added TCS should be  $100.0 \pm 0.02$ , rather than  $99.8 \pm 0.6$ . The “Found TCS (nM)” value for the “Lakewater” sample with 6.0 nM added TCS should be  $7.67 \pm 0.02$ , rather than  $9.67 \pm 0.02$ . The corrected version of Table 1 is shown below.

**Table 1** The TCS recoveries in wastewater and lakewater samples ( $n = 6$ )

| Sample     | Added TCS (nM) | Found TCS (nM)  | Recovery (%)    |
|------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Wastewater | —              | $3.10 \pm 0.03$ | —               |
|            | 3.0            | $6.03 \pm 0.02$ | $98.9 \pm 0.5$  |
|            | 6.0            | $9.02 \pm 0.04$ | $99.1 \pm 0.4$  |
|            | 9.0            | $12.1 \pm 0.02$ | $100.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| Lakewater  | —              | $1.66 \pm 0.06$ | —               |
|            | 3.0            | $4.65 \pm 0.04$ | $99.8 \pm 0.6$  |
|            | 6.0            | $7.67 \pm 0.02$ | $100.1 \pm 0.2$ |
|            | 9.0            | $10.6 \pm 0.05$ | $99.4 \pm 0.3$  |

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

