


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 64299

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra90071a

[www.rsc.org/advances](http://www.rsc.org/advances)

## Correction: Magnetic g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> hybrids with enhanced photocatalytic activity

 Haiyan Ji,<sup>a</sup> Xiaocui Jing,<sup>a</sup> Yuanguo Xu,<sup>b</sup> Jia Yan,<sup>b</sup> Hongping Li,<sup>b</sup> Yeping Li,<sup>b</sup> Liying Huang,<sup>b</sup> Qi Zhang,<sup>c</sup> Hui Xu<sup>\*b</sup> and Huaming Li<sup>\*b</sup>

 Correction for 'Magnetic g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> hybrids with enhanced photocatalytic activity' by Haiyan Ji *et al.*, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 57960–57967.

The authors regret that the version of eqn (5) shown in the original article contains errors in its presentation. The correct version of eqn (5) is shown below.



In the **Results and discussion** section of the original manuscript, in the subsection *Photocatalytic mechanism discussions*, the sentence beginning "On the other hand, the CB value..." also included a formatting error. The corrected sentence is shown below.

"On the other hand, the CB value of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0.35 eV) is less negative than  $E^0(\text{O}_2/\cdot\text{O}_2^-)$  (−0.046 eV vs. NHE),<sup>44</sup> so O<sub>2</sub> would not be reduced by electrons to generate  $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$  on the photocatalyst surface."

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>School of Materials Science & Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Institute for Energy Research, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, P. R. China. E-mail: [xh@ujs.edu.cn](mailto:xh@ujs.edu.cn); Fax: +86-0511-88791108; Tel: +86-0511-88791108

<sup>c</sup>Hainan Provincial Key Lab of Fine Chemistry, Hainan University, Haikou, Hainan 570228, P. R. China

