Sensitive and selective determination of aqueous triclosan based on gold nanoparticles on polyoxometalate/reduced graphene oxide nanohybrid
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The widespread use of triclosan (TCS) in household cleaning products, medical devices and personal care poses a potential risk to the ecological system and human health due to its release into sediments, surface water and ground water resources and chronic toxicity to aquatic organisms. A novel molecular-imprinted electrochemical sensor based on gold nanoparticles decorating polyoxometalate (H₃PW₁₂O₄₀)/reduced graphene oxide was developed for determination of trace TCS in wastewater. Reduced graphene oxide (rGO) was functionalized by polyoxometalate (POM) through electrostatic interaction between the POM and rGO nanosheets to produce a photocatalyst (POM/rGO) in aqueous solution. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were further deposited on the POM/rGO without using any reducing agent and the prepared nanomaterial (AuNPs/POM/rGO) was employed to modify a glass carbon (GC) electrode (AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC) under infrared light. Several techniques, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), were used for electrode characterization. TCS imprinted film was generated on AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC via polymerization of phenol and TCS and characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The sensor was found to have a linear detection range and a limit of TCS at 0.5–50.0 nM and 0.15 nM, respectively. The molecular imprinted sensor was applied to wastewater and lakewater samples and demonstrated effective performance as compared to other complicated methods.

1. Introduction

TCS is a typical chemical that has been used in pharmaceuticals and personal products such as surgical suture materials or hand soaps, deodorants, toothpastes, antiseptic-creams, plastics, foodstuffs and functional clothing for over 40 years. Its widespread use has led to the release of TCS into wastewater, sediments and many water sources. TCS is chronically toxic to aquatic organisms and its presence in wastewaters may affect the ecosystem and human health. Several analytical methods have been reported to detect TCS using gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry and voltammetrics. But these techniques have some disadvantages such as expensive apparatus and complicated operation. A rapid and sensitive method to detect triclosan is thus important to ensure human and environment safety. In recent years, various nanosensors have been reported for selective, sensitive and rapid determination of toxic compounds, biomolecules and drugs.

In the past few years, graphene has become an intensive interest of scientists all over the world due to its stability and high surface area. Graphene has honeycomb-like structure via sp² hybridization in one-atom-thickness. Currently, graphene oxide (GO) is widely produced by chemical oxidation of graphite and used as a precursor to graphene. GO can be reduced by thermal treatment or chemical reduction to form rGO, and rGO has been used for fuel cells, drug detection and sensors. Many papers have also reported the chemical, optical, adsorption and electronic properties of various nanostructured metals. AuNPs are utilized as electrode surface for sensors to increase the surface area and rate of electron transfer. In addition, polyoxometalates (POMs) are polyatomic anionic ion clusters composing of d-block transitional metal-oxides, and they have multiple redox behavior and photo-electrochemical properties.
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POMs are a class of photoactive materials used in homogeneous reactions or heterogeneous processes. In reduced forms, their electron and proton transfer and/or storage abilities make them act as efficient donors or acceptors of several electrons without structural change. POMs also have been shown to serve as reducing and capping agents for metal nanostructures.77 The molecular imprinting technique is widely used for molecular recognition via the polymerization of target molecules, forming specific cavities.78,79 From those above materials and molecular imprinting technique, various sensors can be fabricated. There is no report about determination of TCS by using a molecular imprinting method based on the nanomaterials including rGO and AuNPs. Jiang et al. prepared AuNPs on GO surface by using polyethylenimine as a reducing reagent, followed by l-cysteine immobilization through an Au–S bond. After the preparation, the nanocomposite was applied as a novel ZIC-HILIC material to achieve highly selective enrichment of glycopeptides from biological samples.80 In this study, AuNPs were synthesized under the UV light on rGO surface with POM as reducing and stabilizing reagent. We then prepared a TCS imprinted electrochemical sensor based on AuNPs deposition on POM functionalized rGO. The developed imprinted electrochemical sensor shows high sensitivity and selectivity in wastewater measurement.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and materials

TCS, methyltriclosan (MTC), triclocarban (TCC), p-chlorophenol (PCP) and 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). A stock solution of TCS (1.0 mM) was prepared in 5 mL of ultra pure water and then diluted to 25 mL. The working solutions were then prepared with 0.10 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0). Phenol, potassium ferricyanide (K₃[Fe(CN)₆]), hydrogen tetra-chloroaurate hydrate [HAuCl₄], acetonitrile (MeCN), H₃PW₁₂O₄₀, and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Potassium chloride (KCl) and potassium ferrocyanide (K₃[Fe(CN)₆]) in analytic grade were supplied by Merck, Germany.

2.2. Instrumentation

Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) and CV were carried out on an electrochemical station (IviumStat, US) equipped with a C3 cell stand. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data were acquired at 10 mV wave amplitude from 0.1 to 100 kHz and at an electrode potential of 0.195 V. The infrared spectra were obtained from a Bruker Tensor 27 FT-IR. XPS analysis was performed on a PHI 5000 Versa Probe X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (φ ULVAC-PHI, Inc., Japan/USA). TEM images were obtained on a JEOL 2100 HRTEM instrument (JEOL Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) and SEM images were obtained on a ZEISS EVO 50 analytic microscope (Germany).

2.3. Cleaning of glass carbon (GC) electrodes

All GC electrodes were first polished by 0.1 and 0.05 μm alumina successively and then the electrodes were sonicated in pure water and IPA + MeCN solution (50:50 by v/v) to remove unreacted materials from the surface. The reference electrode was a Ag/AgCl/KCl(sat) and the counter electrode was a Pt wire.

2.4. Preparation of rGO

GO was prepared according to the protocol in our previous papers.14 The as-prepared GO was dispersed into water (200 mL) with addition of hydrazine hydrate (4 mL, 80 wt%) and was heated at 100 °C for 24 h in an oil bath. The rGO was collected by vacuum filtration.

2.5. Fabrication of AuNPs/POM/rGO and preparation of AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC

The as-synthesized rGO was dissolved in an ethanol solution (2 mg mL⁻¹) for 1 h ultrasonic agitation. A H₃PW₁₂O₄₀ solution (1 mL, 1 mM) was reduced using a ultra-violet (UV) light source. The rGO suspension was then well mixed with the reduced POM at a 1 : 1 (0.5 : 0.5 by v/v) volume ratio for 2 h to get POM/rGO. A HAuCl₄ solution (1 mM) was added to the POM/rGO solution (0.4 mg mL⁻¹) at a 1 : 1 (2.0 : 2.0 by v/v) volume ratio. The solution was sonicated to form a homogeneous suspension. The prepared solution was stirred under the UV light for 40 min. Finally, 20 μL of AuNPs/POM/rGO (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) was dropped on the GC electrode and then the modified electrode (AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC) was dried under an infrared heat lamp.

2.6. Preparation of imprinted TCS sensors

The preparation of TCS imprinted sensors is illustrated in Scheme 1. Firstly, TCS molecular imprinted polymer (MIP) film on AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode (MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC) was prepared by CV for 20 cycles using 80 mM phenol as a monomer in a phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0) containing 20 mM TCS at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ between 0.0 V and +1.0 V. After electropolymerization, the electrode was dried at room temperature. For comparison, MIP/GC, MIP/rGO/GC and MIP/POM/rGO/GC electrodes were also prepared with same way. A non-polymer imprinted electrode (NIP) was prepared without using TCS for a control experiment like the preparation of MIP. To break up the electrostatic interactions between phenol monomer and polar groups of the TCS, we used 1.0 M NaCl as desorption agent in a batch system. A TCS imprinted electrode was dipped into 25 mL of the 1.0 M NaCl aqueous solution and was swung in a bath (200 rpm) at room temperature for 20 min. After that, the electrode was washed with ultra pure water and dried in nitrogen gas under vacuum (200 mmHg, 25 °C). The MIP electrodes were stored in a closed box without fluctuations of temperature and pressure. In addition, the voltammograms were obtained in an insulation cabinet for avoiding temperature and pressure fluctuation to affect the sensor response.

2.7. Preparation of wastewater samples

Wastewater samples were collected from an industrial wastewater pool in Izmir, Turkey, using pre-cleaned amber glass bottles. Lakewater samples were collected from Van Lake in Turkey. The sample bottles were filled without headspace and...
immediately transferred to the laboratory for storage at 4 °C and analysis within one week. Before analysis, the collected wastewater and lake-water samples were centrifuged again at 4500 rpm for 5 min and filtrated by a 0.45 μm syringe filter. The filtrates were then diluted with 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0) for analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of electrode surface

TEM image of AuNPs/POM/rGO shows that the particle sizes of AuNPs are very similar at the mean diameter of 8–9 nm (Fig. 1A). The AuNPs are presented in dark dots on a lighter-shaded substrate of planar POM/rGO sheets. The creased nature of rGO is highly beneficial in providing a high surface area on GC electrodes. In addition, C, Au, O, W and P peaks have been observed in EDX analysis (Fig. 1B), confirming the formation of AuNPs/POM/rGO nanohybrid. The IR spectra of the AuNPs/POM/rGO also show the formation of the nanohybrid (Fig. 1C). The bands around 1050 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 1C). The bands around 3200 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 1C) corresponding to the stretching vibrations of C–O groups of the rGO sheets. Fig. 1C confirms the POM attached on rGO planes. The bands around 1580 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the stretching vibrations of C–O groups of the rGO sheets. Fig. 1C confirms the POM attached on rGO planes.

3.2. Characterization of electrode impedance

EIS of bare GC electrode displays a small semicircle at high frequencies. The value of charge transfer resistance (Rct) of the bare GC electrode was calculated to be 100 ohm (curve a of Fig. 4A). When the rGO was coating on the bare GC electrode, the value of Rct was calculated to be 70 ohm (curve b of Fig. 4A). This is clearly indicative that the rGO layer increases the electron transfer rate. When the POM was coating on rGO/GC, the existence of AuNPs could not only enhance the adsorption capacity but also conducive to the formation of MIPs through electrochemical polymerization. Electro polymerization was performed by CV in a phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 7.0) and the voltammograms are presented in Fig. 3. It was clearly demonstrated that the currents decreased with number of the cycles. The oxidation of phenol was recorded as the irreversible peak at the potential of 0.65 V on the first scan. During continuous scanning, the current of the reduction peak decreased and then disappeared. This showed MIP film formation on the AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode.

Scheme 1 Fabrication procedure of the MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC sensor.
effectively increased the electrode active area. Because AuNPs/POM/rGO nanocomposite has such a large surface area and the synergistic effect, it improved electro-oxidation of 1.0 mM \([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}\) solution in 0.1 M KCl. Hence, this nanocomposite facilitated the electron transfer and electro-oxidation of TCS.

In addition, after the electrochemical polymerization of phenol monomer on AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode, the MIP/
AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode shows a large $R_{ct}$ (610 ohm) (curve b of Fig. 4B), indicating that the MIP film displays a strong obstruction effect. After removal of TCS molecules from the electrode surface, the recognition sites appear and the $R_{ct}$ decreases to about 270 ohm (curve c of Fig. 4B). After rebinding of TCS (10.0 nM), the $R_{ct}$ increases to 410 ohm (curve d of Fig. 4B), demonstrating that TCS adsorption can prevent the electrochemical activity of $1.0 \text{ mM } [\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}$.

### 3.3. Characterization of voltammetrics of electrodes

DPV showed the responses of TCS at different electrodes (Fig. 4C and D). The MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode shows no background current signal in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) (curve a of Fig. 4C). After rebinding of TCS (10.0 nM TCS), it shows a much higher peak at about 0.65 V (curve c of Fig. 4C). However, the NIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode shows a small current signal (curve b of Fig. 4C). This indicates that the non-specific interaction of TCS is weak and the response after MIP is very strong. The performances of different MIP sensors were also compared by DPV (Fig. 4D). It is shown that the performance of MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode (curve d of Fig. 4D) is better than that of MIP/POM/rGO/GC, MIP/rGO/GC and MIP/GC electrodes (curves c, b and a of Fig. 4D) due to more effective surface area.

### 3.4. Optimization of fabrication and analytical conditions

The effects of the concentration of AuNPs/POM/rGO on MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode were first tested. Initially, with the increasing concentration of AuNPs/POM/rGO up to 0.5 mg mL$^{-1}$, the peak current of TCS increased and reached a maximum at 7 $\mu$A. However, after the concentration exceeded...
0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\), the peak current of TCS (10.0 nM) is decreased (Fig. 5A). Hence, 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) of AuNPs/POM/rGO was selected as the optimum amount.

The pH of the medium also produces a significant influence on the polymeric film.\(^{13,14}\) Fig. 5B demonstrates the DPV peak current in the pH range of 5.0–9.0. The maximum signal was appearing at pH 7.0. TCS molecules show different electrochemical oxidation behaviors to the polymeric film at different pHs. The DPV response of TCS increased with solution pH up to 7.0 and decreased subsequently. After the solution pH exceeded 7.0, the decrease of the peak current may be owing to the dissociation of the phenolic moiety.

The influence of TCS to phenol monomer molar ratio was also studied (Fig. 5C). The peak current of TCS achieved a maximum at the ratio of 1:4. This was linked with the available binding sites. At low amount of phenol monomer, the available binding sites were less. According to the results, the signal of TCS increased when the amount of monomer increased to 80.0 mM. The increase was resulted from increase of the number of binding site. However, at a high concentration of phenol monomer, the non-specific interactions of TCS-monomer could occur, reducing the specific response.

Fig. 5D shows the variation of DPV responses at different elution time. The TCS peak current shows increasing with the elution time, reaching a maximum at 20 min, and then it remained stable after 20 min, indicating that the elution of TCS was completed during 20 min. Thus, the optimal elution time at 20 min was taken.

Fig. 5E shows the effect of temperature on DPV responses in the range of 5–30 °C. As shown in Fig. 5E, the highest peak current occurred at 20 °C. After that, it remained stable. Therefore, the experiment temperature was chosen as 20 °C.

3.5. The linear detection range of TCS

The differential pulse voltammograms at varying TCS concentrations (Fig. 6A) show that the peak currents increased with
increasing TCS concentration. For each point of the calibration graph, six independent measurements were obtained and the mean value was used. The linear regression equation of TCS (Fig. 6B) was obtained as $y = 0.471x + 1.593$. From the equation, limits of TCS quantification (LOQ) and its detection (LOD) were found to be $5.0 \times 10^{-10}$ M and $1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ M, respectively.

Moreover, the recovery experiments in wastewater and lakewater samples were conducted using different TCS concentrations (Table 1). The recovery rate of 98.9–100% shows excellent recovery of the developed TCS imprinted electrochemical sensor. For a comparison, LC-MS as a sensitive method was further performed and no significant difference between the LC-MS and DPV was found based on the Wilcoxon test ($T_{calculated} > T_{tabulated}$, $p > 0.05$) (Table 2).

### 3.6. Reproducibility, stability and selectivity of the MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC sensors

For the sensor, TCS selectivity was investigated by detection of other chemicals with the similar structure, TCC, MTC, PCP and TCP. TCS imprinted sensor presents 5.0, 6.6, 9.0 and 18.0 times as high as the signal for TCC, MTC, PCP and TCP, respectively (Fig. 7A and B), indicating its good selectivity. The interference values of TCC, MTC, PCP and TCP are 22.0%, 15.1%, 11.1% and 5.5%, respectively. In addition, the developed MIP sensor was applied to blank solution, 15.0 nM standard TCS and wastewater sample containing 15.0 nM TCS to investigate matrix effect. The voltammogram obtained from wastewater sample containing 15.0 nM TCS was identical with the voltammogram obtained from standard solution containing an equivalent of

---

Fig. 5 Effects of the concentration of AuNPs/POM/rGO (A), pH (B), molar ratio TCS molecule to phenol monomer (C), elution time (D) and temperature (E).
Fig. 6 DPV profiles of the electrochemical sensor at different TCS concentrations in phosphate solution pH 7.0 from background without TCS to 50.0 nM TCS (A), and linear calibration curve of TCS (B).

Table 1 The TCS recoveries in wastewater and lakewater samples (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Added TCS (nM)</th>
<th>Found TCS (nM)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>98.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>99.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.02</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewater</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.06</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.65 ± 0.04</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.02</td>
<td>100.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.05</td>
<td>99.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Comparison of the analytic results from DPV and LC-MS methods in determination of TCS (n = 6) (added TCS = 6.0 nM)⁹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Found TCS</th>
<th>DPV</th>
<th>LC-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater (nM)</td>
<td>9.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.95 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewater (nM)</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.02</td>
<td>9.64 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁹ X̄: mean ± standard error, SD: standard deviation, RSD: % relative standard deviation.
Thus, we produced a highly selective sensor via creating binding sites that are specific to the target molecule. For reproducibility study, six different MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrodes were prepared under the same condition and tested in TCS detection and analysis. After that, each MIP electrode was applied to wastewater samples for TCS analysis. According to the obtained results, the relative standard deviation (RSD) is 0.3% in 10.0 nM TCS.

The stability of MIP/AuNPs/POM/rGO/GC electrode was also checked. After 30 days, the signal was found to be approximate 98.8% of the original value which suggests its excellent long-term stability. Table 3 presents a comparison of the sensor performance in terms of linear range and LOD with other analytical methods. It is seen that the developed sensor showed a much lower limit of detection.

4. Conclusion
A new TCS imprinted electrochemical sensor based on AuNPs/POM/rGO modified GC electrode was prepared and tested for determination of trace TCS in aqueous solution. The prepared sensor exhibits high selectivity and sensitivity in TCS detection with a detection limit of 0.15 nM. It demonstrates analytic capability comparable to other complicated methods but it offers simple and efficient application in target detection from wastewater and lakewater samples.
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