RSC Advances

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 26806

Received 24th February 2015 Accepted 5th March 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra03391h

www.rsc.org/advances

Organic amorphous hole-transporting materials based on Tröger's Base: alternatives to NPB+

Ishita Neogi,^a Samik Jhulki,^a Madhu Rawat,^b R. S. Anand,^b Tahsin J. Chow^c and Jarugu Narasimha Moorthy*a

Tröger's Base (TB) scaffold has been exploited to create two novel amorphous hole-transporting materials (HTMs), i.e., TB1 and TB2, with high $T_{\rm g}$ s (152–156 °C) as alternatives to the popular NPB ($T_{\rm g} \sim 95$ °C). The hole-transporting properties of both TB1–2 are shown to be comparable to or better than that of NPB by contrasting the results of device fabrications with two different emissive materials that are TBbased and non-TB-based. This in conjunction with the ease of synthesis of TB1–2 should render them appealing choices as HTMs.

The advantages that the organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) offer for display and lighting applications in terms of brightness, production cost, power consumption, etc. are not rivaled by any other technologies today. Consequently, an innumerable number of organic materials have been created in the last two decades for application in OLEDs.¹ Despite this abundance, certain drawbacks such as color drift with time, low lifetimes, gradual diminution of light intensity, etc. yet remain with organic materials. There is thus an incessant effort to design and develop new materials that display superior performance when applied in devices. In general, the organic compounds employed for application in OLEDs as hole-transporting materials (HTMs), electron-transporting materials (ETMs) and emissive materials (EMs) should ideally be amorphous, exhibit high glass transition temperatures $(T_{g}s)$ and thermal decomposition temperatures (T_ds) and display good charge carrier mobilities.² It turns out that high T_g is one of the important criteria for organic materials to be employed in multilayer OLED devices. There are a large number of ETMs and EMs for which the $T_{\rm g}$ s are significantly high.¹ In contrast, the number of HTMs that are synthetically readily prepared are only a few.³ *N,N'-*di(1-naphthyl)-*N,N'-*diphenyl-(1,1′-biphenyl)-4,4′-diamine (NPB) and N, N -bis(3-methylphenyl)- N, N -diphenylbenzidine (TPD) are two most popular commercially-available HTMs. A serious drawback of both of these materials is low $T_{\rm g}$. The $T_{\rm g}$ s for NPB and TPD are 95^{4a} and 60 °C,^{4b} respectively, which seemingly owe their origin to the flexible biphenyl core.

We have shown in our recent investigations that Tröger's Base (TB) scaffold can be diligently exploited to create new bifunctional^{5a} and host materials^{5b} for application in OLEDs. Our premise for development of these materials for OLEDs rested on the following rationale: first, the unique V-shape of TBs is known to promote lattice inclusion of volatile guests,⁶ whereby exclusion of the guests from the lattice leads to amorphous behavior. Second, rigidity of the scaffold and high molecular mass via its 2-fold functionalization impart high thermal stabilities (T_{φ} s and T_{φ} s).⁵ Third, the electron richness of the TB scaffold allows maneuvering of the HOMO levels to aid facile hole transport. Last, the synthetic chemistry of TB functionalization is well known,⁷ and permits ready development, in a cost-effective manner, of a family of compounds with subtle structural variations, which permit fine-tuning of properties. We thus surmised that rigidification of the flexible biphenyl core in NPB by TB scaffold should lead to very important HTMs that are structurally analogous to NPB, but endowed with improved thermal properties. Herein, we report the development of two NPB analogs (TB1–2) based on the rigid TB scaffold, and contrast their utility as HTMs with NPB by fabricating multilayer OLED devices with two types of emissive materials; while one of the latter is structurally related to TB, the other is widely distinct, cf. Fig. 1. It is shown that the readily-synthesized TBs functionalized with N-naphthyl-N-phenylamines serve as very good HTMs with high T_{φ} s. COMMUNICATION

Consular and **Organic amorphous hole-transporting materials**

Consular and **Organic amorphous hole-transporting materials**

State the rest of the Research and Jangu Narsimha Moothy"

Research Anti-Resume 20

> The target amines TB1–2 were prepared starting from 2,8 dibromo-substituted TBs by Buchwald–Hartwig amination protocol, Scheme S1.[†] The literature-reported procedures⁸ involving condensation reaction between 4-bromoaniline or 2 methyl-4-bromoaniline and paraformaldehyde at -78 °C in TFA

a Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India. E-mail: moorthy@iitk.ac.in; Fax: +91 5122597436; Tel: +91 5122597438

b Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India

Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 115, Republic of China[.] † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis details and characterization data, ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectral reproductions, TGA and DSC profiles, PXRDs, UV-vis, PL and EL plots/profiles. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ra03391h

Fig. 1 The structures of α -/ β -NPB and TB-functionalized HTMs, namely, TB1 and TB2. Also shown are the structures of TB-based EMs and a non TB-based EM with which the functioning of TB1–2 and NPB as HTMs are contrasted.

afforded the core scaffolds, i.e., 2,8-dibromo-substituted TB and 2,8-dibromo-4,10-dimethyl-substituted TB. Amination of 2,8-dibromo-TB with N-phenyl-1-naphthylamine and N-phenyl-2-naphthylamine, cf. Scheme S1,† yielded TB-amines, i.e., TB1–2, in 67–73% yields. The anthracene-functionalized TBs, i.e., TB3 and TB4, were accessed from 2,8-dibromo-substituted TB and 2,8-dibromo-4,10-dimethyl-substituted TB by Pd(0) catalyzed Suzuki coupling with 9-anthraceneboronic acid in 70–75% yields, Scheme S1.† The non-TB-based amine, i.e., TPA, was procured from a commercial source.

The UV-vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra of TB1–4 in DCM are shown in Fig. S1.† A careful examination of the normalized absorption and fluorescence spectra of both TB1 and TB2 reveals that the linkage isomers exhibit

considerable differences in their optical properties. Insofar as their UV-vis absorption spectra are concerned, a structured absorption is clearly apparent for TB2, while one observes a broad feature in the case of TB1. Similarly, the fluorescence spectra for excitation at 340 nm show slightly different features for the two TBs with a red-shifted emission maximum in the case of TB1 (465 nm) relative to that of TB2 (446 nm). UV-vis absorption spectra of the anthryl-substituted TBs, i.e., TB3 and TB4, is dominated by the absorption pattern of anthracene moiety in the 325–400 nm region. The emission quantum yields for TB3 and TB4 were determined in DCM relative to anthracene as the standard, and were found to be 11 and 12%, respectively, cf. Table 1.

The HOMO energies of TB1–4, obtained from the onset oxidation potentials, were found to be similar $(ca. 5.0 eV)$ for all the TBs. The LUMO energies were estimated by subtracting the band gap energies from those of the corresponding HOMOs, cf. Table 1. The band gap energies were, in turn, calculated from the red edge absorption onset values. Thermogravimetric and differential scanning calorimetry (TGA and DSC) analyses were performed for TBs at a heating rate of 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ under nitrogen gas atmosphere. The data in Table 1 show that all TBs indeed exhibit high thermal decomposition temperatures with $T_{\rm g}$ s in the range of 152–196 °C, cf. ESI.†

As mentioned earlier, high thermal stabilities are very important for application of organic materials in OLEDs. While high decomposition temperature generally ensures thermal stabilities of organic materials employed as thin films, high $T_{\rm g}$ s allow creation of pin-hole free, stable and glassy layers in the devices. The $T_{\rm g}$ s observed for **TB1**-2 are remarkably higher than those of NPB (95 °C) and TPD (60 °C). Evidently, replacement of the single bond of biphenyl core in NPB with a rigid diazocine framework extant to TB brings about a remarkable improvement of the $T_{\rm g}$ s for the TB-based NPB-analogs, i.e., TB1 and TB2. The amorphous nature of TB1–2 is evident from the featureless PXRD patterns, cf. Fig. S6.†

Our efforts to contrast the hole-transporting properties of **TB1–2** and NPB by fabrication of double layer devices with Alg_3 as an ETM as well as EM were to no avail; the device performance results were found to be too poor. We believe that the mismatching in the HOMO energy levels of $Alg₃$ (5.9 eV) and TB1–2 (5.0 eV), which manifests in a barrier of 0.9 eV, is the cause of the observed poor device performances. The efficiencies of TB1 and TB2 as HTMs were thus examined by fabrication

^a Absorption and fluorescence spectra were recorded in dilute DCM solutions (ca. 10^{-5} M). ^b Band gap energies were calculated from red edge absorption onset values using the formula $E = hc/\lambda$. ^c Quantum yields were determined for excitation at 341 nm relative to anthracene as the standard. ^d HOMO energies were calculated from onset oxidation potentials in the CV spectra recorded in DCM using 0.1 M n-Bu₄NPF₆ as a supporting electrolyte. e LUMO energies were calculated by subtracting the band gap energies from HOMO energies. f From TGA. g From DSC.

of multilayer devices for electroluminescence by employing TPA $(9,10-bis(N-phenyl-N-(m-tolyl))$ anthracene) as an EM, and TPBi (2,2′,2″-(1,3,5-benzenetriyl)-tris(1-phenyl-1-*H-*benzimidazole)) as an ETM; for TPA, the HOMO lies at 5.5 eV. At the same time, devices were also fabricated wherein TBs were replaced with α -NPB. Thus, the device configuration that was followed to contrast the functional behavior of TBs and NPB was the following: ITO/NPB or TB1–2 (40 nm)/TPA (20 nm)/TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (150 nm). Green emission with λ_{max} (EL) of 516 nm and CIE co-ordinates of (0.30, 0.60) typical of TPA was captured from all the three devices; indeed the EL spectra of all the devices are nicely superimposable, cf. Fig. 2. The results of EL for the three devices are collected in Table 2.

A perusal of the results in Table 2 shows compellingly that external efficiency, power efficiency and luminous efficiency for TB1–2 as HTMs are comparatively better at low current densities, although the maximum luminance is better for NPB. The energy-level diagram for the devices constructed with TPA as an EM and TB1–2/NPB as HTMs is shown in Fig. 3(a). As can be seen, the HOMO level of TPA⁹ is closer to that of NPB leading to facile migration of holes from the latter into the former. On the other hand, the HOMO is relatively raised by ca. 0.5 eV for hole injection from TB1–2 into TPA layer. Despite this barrier for hole injection into the emissive layer, the device performance results observed for TBs as HTMs are respectable. We wondered if replacement of TPA with an EM of higher HOMO energy results in a better performance of the devices with TB1–2 as

and NPB as HTMs and TPA as an EM

 a The device configuration was: ITO/NPB or TB1-2 (40 nm)/TPA (20 nm)/ TPBi (30 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (150 nm). b Turn-on voltage (V). c Maximum external quantum efficiency (%). \rm^d Maximum power efficiency (lm W $^{-1}$ external quantum efficiency (%). a Maximum power efficiency (lm W⁻¹).
 e Maximum luminance efficiency (cd A⁻¹). f J $_{\rm max}^{\rm EL}$ (nm). g Maximum luminance achieved (cd m^{-2}).

HTMs. We thus designed two EMs, namely TB3 and TB4 (Fig. 1), with high HOMOs based on the TB core itself; of course, we were guided by the fact that functionalization of e-rich TB scaffold with anthracene should lead to materials characterized by high HOMOs. To evaluate efficiencies of TB1–2 vis-a-vis NPB as HTMs, two devices of configurations A and B were fabricated, where (A): ITO/NPB or TB1–2 (40 nm)/TB3 (20 nm)/PBD (40 nm)/ LiF (1 nm)/Al (150 nm), and (B): ITO/NPB or TB1–2 (40 nm)/TB4 (20 nm)/PBD (40 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (150 nm). In these devices, PBD, i.e., 2-(4-biphenylyl)-5-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole, serves as an ETM instead of TPBi. This change was necessitated by the need to ensure better matching of the LUMO levels of TB3–4 with those of the employed ETMs. The energy level diagram for these devices is shown in Fig. 3(b). The results of EL for all devices are collated in Table 3; the EL spectra and $I-V-L$ profiles for both configurations A and B are given ESI. \dagger

It should be mentioned that the EL spectra captured for all the devices of configurations A and B are structured, although one observes only a hint of structuring in the solution state emission and none at all in the solid-state vacuum-sublimed thin films, $cf.$ ESI.† Further, the EL emission is found to be red-shifted by $ca.$ 35 nm with a slight broadening in the longer wavelength region relative to that in the solution state; in other words, the EL spectra are not comparable to those of the PL spectra of thin films as well as the solution-state emission spectra. What is otherwise noteworthy is the fact that for both NPB and TB1–2 as HTMs, similar EL spectral features were observed, which eliminates the role of HTMs for the observed structured EL. The latter cannot also be attributed to a combination of emissions arising from two different materials, for PBD is indeed a phosphorescent material and emits too weakly with a maximum at 375 nm.¹⁰ At the moment, the origin of structured EL emission is not immediately apparent to us. Be this as it may, a cursory glance at the results in Table 3 sufficiently brings out the fact that TB1–2 serve as better HTMs than NPB, even with fabrication of a limited number of devices with TB3–4 as emitters under similar conditions; of course, structural compatibility between TB1/2 and TB3/4 vis-a-vis NPB and TB3/4 contributing to better device results to some degree in the former scenario cannot be ruled out.¹¹ Further, it should be noted that the device performance results for TB3–4 as EMs are quite different for a given TB-based HTM, i.e., TB1 or TB2, cf. Fig. 2 EL spectra captured from the devices constructed with TB1–2 Table 3, although they exhibit similar photophysical properties. BSC Advances

of multiliger device for the
Open Interaction is employed by TA ITMs. We thus designed to DMs, many

16, 10:10:10:6/eyphory/we complete the article is an PM article is an PM are the published by the HOMComme

Fig. 3 Relative energy level diagrams for the devices with TPA as an EM (a) and TB3/4 as an EM (b).

Table 3 Comparison of OLED device performance (electroluminescence) results for NPB and TB1–2 with TB3–4 as EMs

| | HTMs configuration ^a V_{on}^b η_{ext}^c η_{p}^d η_{l}^e $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EL}}$ L_{max}^g $\text{CIE}^h(x, y)$ | | | | |
|-----------------|--|-----|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| NPB | A | 3.5 | | 1.80 1.90 2.78 448 | 886 0.18, 0.21 |
| | B | 3.5 | | 1.38 1.05 2.17 448 | 1070 0.19, 0.21 |
| TB1 | A | 4.5 | 2.60 1.95 4.65 452 | | 2254 0.21, 0.25 |
| | B | 6.5 | 0.95 0.59 1.30 448 | | 1205 0.20, 0.25 |
| TB ₂ | A | 3.5 | | 1.87 2.11 2.88 448 | 3422 0.18, 0.20 |
| | В | 3.5 | 1.96 1.59 2.88 448 | | 1430 0.19, 0.19 |

 a A and B refer to the device configurations, see text. b Turn-on voltage (V). c Maximum external quantum efficiency (%). d Maximum power efficiency (lm W⁻¹). ^e Maximum luminance efficiency (cd A⁻¹). ^{f} $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EL}}$ (nm). ^g Maximum luminance achieved (cd m⁻²). ^h 1931 chromaticity coordinates.

Clearly, subtle structural changes evidently manifest in palpable differences in device results, presumably due to differences in the morphologies of the two materials. Otherwise, it is evident that for configuration A, the device performance results are better for both TB1 and TB2 when compared to those for NPB for a wide range of current densities, cf. Fig. S12.† One may thus safely conclude that TB1 and TB2 should constitute better alternatives to NPB as HTMs with high T_g s, which are ~150 °C; a limitation, however, is that they are applicable to emissive materials with high HOMO energies only. The operational stability of devices is an important aspect that determines longevity of the fabricated devices after repeated use, 12 which has not been investigated by us. It is noteworthy that operational stability of devices is known to benefit, to a large extent, from high T_g s of the employed materials.¹³

Conclusions

We have designed and synthesized novel amorphous holetransporting materials (HTMs) based on Tröger's Base, i.e., TB1–2, as alternatives to the routinely employed NPB. It is shown that the structural rigidity inherent to the TB scaffold manifests in significantly improved T_g s. The hole-transport properties of both TB1–2 are shown to be comparable to or better than that exhibited by NPB by contrasting the results of device fabrications with two different emissive materials that are TB-based and non-TB-based. The only limitation of both TB1–2 as HTMs is that they are not applicable to emissive materials with low-lying HOMOs, e.g., Alq₃. Otherwise, their ease of synthesis in conjunction with improved thermal properties should render them much needed alternatives of NPB, which continues to be the best choice of HTMs despite its noted disadvantage of low $T_{\rm g}$. We believe that **TB1–2** should constitute invaluable addition to the library of HTMs, which can be readily synthesized.

Acknowledgements

JNM is thankful to SERB (DST), India for generous financial support. IN and SJ gratefully acknowledge Senior Research Fellowships from CSIR, India. We acknowledge the optoelectronic device fabrication and testing by the scientific instrument facility at the Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica.

Notes and references

- $1(a)$ A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale and A. B. Holmes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 402; (b) M. T. Bernius, M. Inbasekaran, J. O'Brien and W. Wu, Adv. Mater., 2000, 12, 1737; (c) U. Mitschke and P. Bauerle, J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 1471; (d) D. Y. Kim, H. N. Cho and C. Y. Kim, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2000, 25, 1089; (e) Y. Shirota, J. Mater. Chem., 2000, 10, 1; (f) I. D. Rees, K. L. Robinson, A. B. Holmes, C. R. Towns and R. O'Dell, MRS Bull., 2002, 27, 451; (g) L. S. Hung and C. H. Chen, Mater. Sci. Eng., R, 2002, 39, 143; (h) A. P. Kulkarni, C. J. Tonzola, A. Babel and S. A. Jenekhe, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, 16, 4556; (i) G. Hughes and M. R. Bryce, J. Mater. Chem., 2005, 15, 94; (j) T. P. I. Saragi, A. Siebert, T. Fuhrmann-Lieker and J. Salbeck, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 1011; (k) Y. Shirota and H. Kageyama, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 953; (l) S.-H. Hwang, C. N. Moorefield and G. R. Newkome, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 2543; (m) W.-S. Huang, C.-W. Lin, J. T. Lin, J.-H. Huang, C.-W. Chu, Y.-H. Wu and H.-C. Lin, Org. Electron., 2009, 10, 594; (n) N. Kapoor and K. R. J. Thomas, New J. Chem., 2010, 34, 2739; (o) W. Wei, P. I. Djurovich and M. E. Thompson, Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 1724; (p) Y. Tao, C. Yang and J. Qin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 2943; (q) O. Kwon, J. Jo, B. Walker, G. C. Bazan and J. H. Seo, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 7118. Communication Correspondent articles. Published on 09 March 2015. Downloaded on 1998 and 2015. Downloaded on 9/6/2024 8:23:30 AM. This article of Chemistry, Asidemisian and the state of Chemistry, Asidemisian and American
	- 2 Y. Shirota, Organic Electroluminescence, ed. Z. H. Kafafi, Taylor & Francis, Washington, DC, 2005, p. 147.
	- 3 For HTMs that are structurally rigid and display high T_{g} , see: (a) H. Zhao, C. Tanjutco and S. Thayumanavan, Tetrahedron Lett., 2001, 42, 4421; (b) C.-W. Ko and Y.-T. Tao, Synth. Met., 2002, 126, 37; (c) Q.-X. Tong, S.-L. Lai, M.-Y. Chan, K.-H. Lai, J.-X. Tang, H.-L. Kwong, C.-S. Lee and S.-T. Lee, Chem. Mater., 2007, 19, 5851; (d) Y.-L. Liao, W.-Y. Hung, T.-H. Hou, C.-Y. Lin and K.-T. Wong, Chem. Mater., 2007, 19, 6350; (e) Z. Chu, D. Wang, C. Zhang, F. Wang, H. Wu, Z. Lv, S. Hou, X. Fan and D. Zou, Synth. Met., 2012, 162, 614.
	- 4 (a) S. A. Van Slyke, C. H. Chen and C. W. Tang, Appl. Phys. Lett., 1996, 69, 2160; (b) K. Naito and A. Miura, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 6240.
	- 5 (a) I. Neogi, S. Jhulki, A. Ghosh, T. J. Chow and J. N. Moorthy, Org. Electron., 2014, 15, 3766; (b) I. Neogi, S. Jhulki, A. Ghosh, T. J. Chow and J. N. Moorthy, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 3298.
	- 6 (a) S. Stončius, E. Butkus, A. Žilinskas, K. Larsson, L. Öhrström, U. Berg and K. Wärnmark, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 5196; (b) J. Ashmore, R. Bishop, D. C. Craig and M. L. M. Scudder, Cryst. Growth Des., 2009, 9, 2742.
	- 7 Ö. V. Rúnarsson, J. Artacho and K. Wärnmark, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 7015.
	- 8 J. Jensen, M. Strozyk and K. Wärnmark, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2003, 40, 373.
- 9 M.-X. Yu, J.-P. Duan, C.-H. Lin, C.-H. Cheng and Y.-T. Tao, Chem. Mater., 2002, 14, 3958.
- 10 K. Goushi, K. Yoshida, K. Sato and C. Adachi, Nat. Photonics, 2012, 6, 253.
- 11 Structural compatibility between HTM and EM influences the EL performance significantly, see: J. N. Moorthy, P. Venkatakrishnan, P. Natarajan, Z. Lin and T. J. Chow, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 2599.
- 12 For operational stability of OLED devices, see: (a) K. Okumoto, H. Kanno, Y. Hamma, H. Takahashi and K. Shibata, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2006, 89, 063504; (b) S. H. Cho and M. C. Suh, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 2012, 51, 041601.
- 13 High T_g has been shown to influence operational stability of fabricated OLED devices, see: (a) Y. Kuwubara, H. Ogawa, H. Inada, N. Noma and Y. Shirota, Adv. Mater., 1994, 6, 677; (b) H. Tanaka, S. Tokito, Y. Taga and A. Okada, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2175. **BSC Advances**

9 M.-S. Vu, J.-P. Duam, C.-H. Chen, C.-H. Cheng and V.-T. Tao, 12 Eur operational stability of OEDD dividesis are: (c)

Chen, More, 2015. In Society, New Commons, H. Chennon, V. Morenton, V. Morenton, C. Mo