

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 38271Received 16th February 2015
Accepted 21st April 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra02975a

www.rsc.org/advances

Enzyme-catalysed regio- and enantioselective preparative scale synthesis of (*S*)-2-hydroxy alkanones†

C. Loderer and M. B. Ansorge-Schumacher*

α -Hydroxy alkanones were synthesised with high enantiomeric purity by stereoselective enzyme-catalysed diketone reduction. Both diketone reduction and cofactor regeneration were accomplished with purified carbonyl reductase from *Candida parapsilosis* (CPCR2). The reaction products were isolated by column chromatography and analysed by chiral GC measurements, $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectroscopy and determination of optical rotations. Preparative-scale biotransformations yielded 350–600 mg of pure aliphatic α -hydroxy ketones including the difficult to obtain (*S*)-2-hydroxypentane-3-one. For all the products good enantiomeric excesses in the range of 89–93% were achieved.

Introduction

Chiral α -hydroxy ketones are important and valuable building blocks for the synthesis of several different pharmaceuticals such as anti-depressants and fungicides.¹ They contain a chiral centre at the carbon atom of the hydroxy function, and in case of unequal substituents at the carbonyl and the hydroxy moiety not only enantiomers, but also structural isomers occur. Thus, synthesis of this type of compound requires regio- as well as stereoselective approaches.

Presently, various organochemical methods for the synthesis of α -hydroxy ketones are available. Examples are ranging from peroxy acid catalysed oxidations² to metal catalysed diketone reductions applying zinc³ or titan iodide.⁴ Some catalysts, such as transition metal complexes, exhibit a notable regio- and stereoselectivity, mostly, however, restricted to phenyl-substituted hydroxy ketones.^{5–11} Linear aliphatic α -hydroxy ketones comprise particularly challenging targets due to the structural similarity of the substituents.

In recent years, different biocatalytic approaches for the production of α -hydroxy ketones have been introduced as alternatives to chemical methods, including the use of isolated enzymes such as lipases, lyases, and oxidoreductases.^{1,12,13} Lyases have been successfully applied in the synthesis of symmetric α -hydroxy ketones such as acetoin¹⁴ and propion.^{15–17} Utilizing a lyase and decarboxylase in cascade, some non-symmetric compounds could also be synthesized.¹⁸ With lipases, kinetic resolutions of esters were conducted yielding enantiopure aliphatic α -hydroxy ketones especially for larger

substituents.¹⁹ The application of alcohol dehydrogenases for the synthesis of aliphatic α -hydroxy ketones by means of diol oxidation^{20,21} or diketone reduction,^{21–23} was demonstrated. In these enzymatic processes enantiomeric excesses between 90 and 99% were achieved. If determined, isolated yields ranged between 23 and 70%. However, especially the diketone reduction has not been sufficiently exploited for efficient preparation of α -hydroxy ketones so far.¹

Here, we demonstrate the application of a carbonyl reductase from *Candida parapsilosis* CPCR2 (ref. 24–27) for the highly selective asymmetric reduction of prochiral linear aliphatic diketones to a set of corresponding (*S*)-configured α -hydroxy ketones in preparative scale. At the same time, the required cofactor nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) was efficiently regenerated by oxidation of isopropyl alcohol with CPCR2 (Fig. 1). The reaction products were purified by silica gel chromatography and analysed *via* gas chromatography, $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectroscopy and determination of optical rotation.




Fig. 1 Reduction of 2,3-alkanedione substrates to the corresponding (*S*)-2-hydroxy alkan-(3)-ones catalysed by CPCR2. Cofactor regeneration is achieved by oxidation of the co-substrate isopropyl alcohol to acetone, also catalysed by CPCR2.

Chair of Molecular Biotechnology, Institute of Microbiology, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany. E-mail: marion.ansorge@tu-dresden.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ra02975a



The absolute configuration was determined *via* $^1\text{H-NMR}$ analysis of Mosher's esters obtained from the reaction products.

Results and discussion

For α -diketone substrates with varying structural determinants and therefore varying potential for reduction by CPCR2, the specific catalytic activity of the enzyme was investigated. A selection of results is shown in Table 1. It was found that cyclic diketones were not accepted at all, and substrates with substituents larger than a methyl group next to both carbonyl functions were converted with only low reaction rates. Aliphatic diketones comprising one methyl group next to the carbonyl functions, on the other hand, were converted with reaction rates up to 10 U mg^{-1} , which is quite good. Accordingly, 2,3-alkanedione substrates were investigated for biotransformation in preparative scale.

Preparative-scale biotransformations were successfully conducted for 2,3-pentanedione, 2,3-hexanedione, and 2,3-heptanedione (entries **1a**, **2a** and **3a**, respectively, in Table 1). Conversions between 88 and 97% were observed within only 30 minutes (Fig. 2). The yields after 1 h of reaction time ranged between 70 and 87%. Next to the formation of the main (*S*)-product very small amounts of the (*R*)-product were detected, leading to decent enantiomeric excesses (*ee*-values) (Table 2). Taking both chiral products into account the mass balance of the reactions is imbalanced by 7–19% on the product side. This divergence between the measured decrease of substrate and increase of product may be explained by the substrate evaporation and adsorption to the reaction vessel, and a light-induced side-reaction of diketones as described by Mäkinen and co-workers.²⁸

After completion of the reaction after one hour, the reactants were retrieved by a four-fold extraction with equal volumes of ethyl acetate. Purification of the reaction products by column chromatography yielded highly pure fractions of the 2-hydroxyalkan-3-ones. After solvent removal $^1\text{H-NMR}$ analysis was conducted showing no major impurities in the reaction products (ESI, Fig. S4–S6[†]). Hence, for the investigated group of substrates, the reaction is chemoselective regarding the reduction of diketones to hydroxy ketones, and regioselective regarding the carbonyl function on C2-position. In addition, the reaction is stereoselective, yielding *ee*-values between 89 and 95% (Table 2). A significant effect of the reaction parameters temperature, pH-value and cosubstrate concentration was not observed (Fig. S7[†]).

Table 1 Specific catalytic activity of CPCR2 in the reduction of diketones

Substrate	Spec. activity [U mg^{-1}]
2,3-Pentanedione (1a)	10.0 ± 0.3
2,3-Hexanedione (2a)	8.9 ± 0.2
2,3-Heptanedione (3a)	9.1 ± 0.1
3,4-Hexanedione	1.7 ± 0.1
1,2-Cyclohexane-dione	0.0 ± 0.0







Fig. 2 Progress of 2,3-alkanedione reduction and corresponding product formation in preparative scale biotransformations. (A) 2,3-Pentanedione, (B) 2,3-hexanedione, (C) 2,3-heptanedione.

Performance of cofactor regeneration by using isopropyl alcohol as co-substrate effectively supplied the reaction with reduced cofactor. Turnover numbers (TONs) of NADH were 300–450 (Table 3), which is a reasonable range. Improvement would certainly be possible by decreasing NADH concentration, but most probably at the cost of reaction rates. The TON of the enzyme was in the order of 105, which is excellent and a good measure for the efficiency of the catalyst.²⁹ The procedure yielded 360–600 mg of the pure (*S*)- α -hydroxy ketones, corresponding to isolated yields between 36–46%. On the one hand, these low values may be explained by the factors already discussed for the reaction yield. On the other hand the purification of the products by silica gel chromatography was not optimized and specifically difficult for the short hydrophilic substrates. Nevertheless, high space time yields (STY) between 43 and 72 g



Table 2 Conversion, reaction yield, enantiomeric excess and isolated yield of 2,3-alkanedione reduction with CPR2 on a preparative scale

Entry	Product	Conversion ^a [%]	Reaction yield ^b [%]	ee [%]	Yield [mg]	Isolated yield [%]
1b		97.1 ± 0.2	75.5 ± 1.2	94.8 ± 1.2	362	36.2
2b		97.7 ± 0.1	87.0 ± 0.5	93.5 ± 1.3	529	46.3
3b		89.5 ± 0.1	70.4 ± 0.3	88.7 ± 0.8	601	46.9

^a Substrate consumption after 1 h, measured *via* GC. ^b Product concentration after 1 h, measured *via* GC.

per L per day were achieved (Table 3), mainly due to the fast conversion of substrates.

For determination of the absolute configuration of the main products, Mosher's esters of the reaction products were synthesised and analysed *via* ¹H-NMR.³⁰ This method is based on an anisotropic effect of a phenyl group in the derivatization agent MTPA on the chemical shift of the protons in the substituents of a target hydroxyl compound. Both, the (*R*)- and (*S*)-MTPA esters of each reaction product were synthesized and ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded. The differences between the chemical shifts for protons, in the same position in both esters, are described as $\Delta\delta$ values. For all reaction products, these $\Delta\delta$ values could only be determined for the protons on C1-position, ranging between -0.05 and -0.06 ppm (Table 4). Considering the spatial position of the MTPA-phenyl group, the position of the small substituent relative to the large substituent could be determined, yielding (*S*)-configuration at the hydroxy group.³⁰ Results of the analysis are illustrated in the ESI (Fig. S8–S10†).

The optical rotation of the reaction products was measured applying the conditions described by Martre and coworkers ($[\alpha]_D^{25}$ ($c = 0.9$, chloroform)¹¹). With $+43.8^\circ$ the optical rotation of (*S*)-2-hydroxy-pentane-(3)-one is in good agreement with $+48^\circ$, determined in the reference study¹¹ (Table 4). With $+52.0^\circ$ for

(*S*)-2-hydroxy-hexane-(3)-one and $+59.2^\circ$ (*S*)-2-hydroxy-heptane-(3)-one, the reaction products exhibited increasing optical rotations with increasing size of the large substituent. For (*S*)-2-hydroxy-hexane-(3)-one the measurement was repeated under the conditions applied by Bortolini and coworkers ($[\alpha]_D^{20}$ ($c = 2.5$, chloroform)²³). The measured value of $+55.2^\circ$ is in good agreement with the reference (57° (ref. 23)). In case of (*S*)-2-hydroxy-heptane-(3)-one, no reference value was detected. Thus, where available, the measured optical rotations were comparable to literature, with a tendency to lower values. This may be explained by enantiomeric impurities resulting from the biotransformation.

Summarising, the asymmetric reduction of three different 2,3-alkanediones with CPR2 yielded the corresponding (*S*)-2-hydroxy-alkane-3-ones with good enantiomeric excesses. Due to a considerably small substrate binding pocket,²⁵ the substrate specificity of CPR2 restricts its application to compounds with a carbonyl group on C2-position, which on the other hand allows a highly regioselective reduction of asymmetric diketones. In addition, no consecutive reduction of the hydroxy ketone to the corresponding diol was observed as

Table 3 Process relevant data from preparative scale biotransformations of diketones to the corresponding chiral α -hydroxy ketones. Turnover numbers (TON) for biocatalyst and cofactor, and space time yield (STY) of the reaction

Product	TON NADH	TON CPR2	STY [g per L per day]
1b	297	2.8×10^5	43
2b	447	4.2×10^5	64
3b	351	3.3×10^5	72

Table 4 Chemical shifts and $\Delta\delta^{SR}$ values (in ppm) for hydrogen atoms at C1-position of hydroxy ketones and corresponding Mosher's esters

Product	Hydroxy ketone	(<i>R</i>)-Ester	(<i>S</i>)-Ester	$\Delta\delta^{SR}$	Optical rotation
1b	1.34	1.43	1.49	-0.06	$+43.8^\circ \pm 1.3^a$
2b	1.34	1.43	1.48	-0.05	$+52.0^\circ \pm 1.3^a$ / $+55.2^\circ \pm 2.5^b$
3b	1.34	1.43	1.49	-0.05	$+59.2^\circ \pm 1.9^a$

^a Measured at $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ ($c = 0.9$, chloroform). ^b Measured at $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ ($c = 2.5$, chloroform).



described in previous studies with ADHs.^{20,21} Here again the limitation of the substrate scope of the enzyme is turned into an advantage since the reaction selectively stops at the hydroxy ketone level. Thus, low molecular weight α -hydroxy ketones, containing the hydroxy function on C2-position, may be synthesised chemo-, regio- and stereoselectively utilising CPCR2.

In contrast, the application of lipases for the synthesis of aliphatic hydroxy ketones shows improving enantiomeric excesses with an increasing size of both substituents.¹⁹ This is also true for lyases, where symmetric α -hydroxy ketones with C5-substituents were synthesized with the highest enantiomeric excesses.¹⁷ Thus, the comparably small substrate scope of the asymmetric diketone reduction with CPCR2 is compensated by the fact that the products obtained in this study are difficult to synthesize applying other enzymatic approaches.

Experimental section

If not stated otherwise all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). All reactions were performed in aqueous solution.

Enzyme preparation and characterization

CPCR2 was prepared according to a modified protocol of Jakoblinnert *et al.*²⁷ Fermentation was performed in 1 L scale in LB medium with ampicillin (100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) in a stirred tank reactor (Biostat B Plus, Sartorius, Germany). The medium was inoculated from a preculture of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) containing the plasmid pET22b(+):CPCR2 to a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. Temperature (37 °C), pH (7.0) and dissolved oxygen (50%) were controlled automatically. After reaching an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8, the temperature was reduced to 20 °C and protein expression was induced with IPTG (isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) at a final concentration of 0.1 mmol L⁻¹. After 4 hours incubation, cells were harvested by centrifugation (10 min, 5000g, 4 °C).

For cell lysis, the cell pellet was resuspended in 30 mL triethanolamine (TEA) buffer (100 mmol L⁻¹, pH = 8.0) adding 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ DNaseI (Applichem GmbH, Germany), 500 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ lysozyme and 1 mmol L⁻¹ phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation (20 min, 16 000g, 4 °C). CPCR2 was purified by StrepTag affinity chromatography applying a fast protein liquid chromatography system (Äkta 920, General Electrics, USA) equipped with a 5 mL StrepTrap® column (General Electrics, USA) according to modified manufacturer's instructions. As washing buffer, a TEA buffer (100 mmol L⁻¹, pH = 8.5) with 500 mmol L⁻¹ sodium chloride, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 1 mmol L⁻¹ ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) was applied. For elution a TEA buffer (100 mmol L⁻¹, pH = 8.5) with 500 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 2.5 mmol L⁻¹ desthiobiotin was used.

CPCR2 concentration for determination of specific activities was quantified *via* BCA-assay (Pierce, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Enzyme activity assays were performed at 25 °C with 6.2 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ CPCR2 in 1 mL TEA buffer (100 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.3) applying 3 mmol L⁻¹ substrate. Reactions were started by addition of nicotinamide adenine

dinucleotide (NADH) to a final concentration of 250 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$. For all substrates the initial reaction rate was measured photometrically at 340 nm for 2 minutes. Measurements were performed in triplicates.

For determination of stereoselectivity, activity assays were performed on 500 μL -scale. The reaction mixture, containing 25 mmol L⁻¹ of substrate (1(a): 480 ng, 2(a): 533 ng, 3(a): 590 ng), 250 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ NADH, 5% (v/v) isopropyl alcohol and 4 mmol L⁻¹ dithiothreitol (DDT) in TEA buffer (100 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.3), was prepared and preincubated at 25 °C for 5 min. Conversion was started by addition of 1 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ CPCR2. Reactions were performed at room temperature in a shaker (Vibramax 110, Heidolph, Germany) at 1000 rpm for 30 min. 125 μL samples were withdrawn every 10 min and extracted with 125 μL ethyl acetate. Product concentrations were determined *via* chiral GC.

Preparative biotransformation

Preparative biotransformations were conducted in 200 mL-scale in 500 mL shake-flasks mixed with a magnetic stir bar (80 rpm) at room temperature. The reaction mixture contained: CPCR2 8.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, substrate 50 mmol L⁻¹ (1(a): 961 mg, 2(a): 1066 mg, 3(a): 1179 mg), NADH 100 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, isopropyl alcohol 5% (v/v), TEA (100 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.3), dithiothreitol (DDT) 4 mmol L⁻¹. Like for activity assays isopropyl alcohol acts as cosubstrate for cofactor regeneration. 5% (v/v) isopropyl alcohol corresponds to a concentration of 650 mmol L⁻¹ yielding a 13-fold excess of cosubstrate over the substrate. All components except for CPCR2 were premixed under the given conditions until the substrate was dissolved completely. Then the reaction was initiated by the addition of CPCR2. The biotransformations were stirred for one hour and samples were withdrawn at definite time intervals (15 min) and extracted with one sample volume of ethyl acetate. Conversion of substrates and synthesis of products was assessed by GC.

Product purification and analytics

Reaction products were isolated by four consecutive extractions with 200 mL of ethyl acetate. Purification was performed *via* column chromatography using silica gel 60 (Sigma Aldrich, Germany) as solid phase and a solvent mixture of *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate at a ratio of 4 : 1 (compounds 2,3) and 1 : 1 (compound 1), respectively. Purification was monitored *via* GC and thin layer chromatography (TLC) in combination with cerium sulfate staining.

GC analysis of the reaction products was performed on a Shimadzu GC2010 gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (FID) and an AOC20i Autosampler. For separation of all chiral compounds Hydrodex γ DIMOM (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) was applied as solid phase using different temperature gradients for each compound. The carrier gas N₂ was applied with a pressure of 70 kPa. 2 μL of the extracted samples were injected to the column without split flow. Exemplary chromatograms are provided in the ESI (Fig. S1–S3†).

2,3-Pentanedione. A temperature gradient from 95–115 °C was applied with a ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹. Retention times were



determined at 3.3 min for 2,3-pentanedione **1(a)**, 5.6 min for (*R*)-2-hydroxy-pentan-(3)-one and 7.8 min for (*S*)-2-hydroxy-pentan-(3)-one **1(b)** (ESI, Fig. S1†).

2,3-Hexanedione. A temperature gradient from 98–122 °C was applied with a ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹. Retention times were determined at 3.8 min for 2,3-hexanedione **2(a)**, 8.6 min for (*R*)-2-hydroxy-hexan-(3)-one and 9.2 min for (*S*)-2-hydroxy-hexan-(3)-one **2(b)** (ESI, Fig. S2†).

2,3-Heptanedione. A temperature gradient from 105–125 °C was applied with a ramp of 2 °C min⁻¹. Retention times were determined at 4.4 min for 2,3-heptanedione **2(a)**, 9.1 min for (*R*)-2-hydroxy-heptan-(3)-one and 9.4 min for (*S*)-2-hydroxy-heptan-(3)-one **2(b)** (ESI, Fig. S3†).

Reaction products were identified *via* ¹H-NMR spectroscopy (500 MHz, Bruker DRX-500) using chloroform-*d* (¹H: δ 7.26). ¹H-NMR spectra are provided in the ESI (Fig. S4–S6†).

(*S*)-2-Hydroxy-pentan-3-one (**1b**): [α]_D²⁵ = +43.8° ± 1.3 (*c* = 0.9, chloroform) yellowish oil, (¹H-NMR, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 4.19 (1H, q, *j* = 7.08, CH–OH), 3.40 (1H, bs, OH), 2.45 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.32 (3H, d, *j* = 7.07, CH₃), 1.05 (3H, t, *j* = 7.32, CH₃), (ESI, Fig. S4†)

Reference: [α]_D²⁵ = +48° (*c* = 0.9, chloroform)¹¹

(*S*)-2-Hydroxy-hexan-3-one (**2b**): [α]_D²⁵ = +52.0° ± 1.3 (*c* = 0.9, chloroform), [α]_D²⁰ = +55.2° ± 2.5 (*c* = 2.5, chloroform) yellowish oil, (¹H-NMR, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 4.23 (1H, q, *j* = 7.08, CH–OH), 3.52 (1H, bs, OH), 2.45 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.66 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.38 (3H, d, *j* = 7.08, CH₃), 0.93 (3H, t, *j* = 7.31, CH₃), (ESI, Fig. S5†)

Reference: [α]_D²⁰ = +57° (*c* = 2.5, chloroform)²³

(*S*)-2-Hydroxy-heptan-3-one (**3b**): [α]_D²⁵ = +59.2° ± 1.9 (*c* = 0.9, chloroform) yellow oil, (¹H-NMR, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 4.22 (1H, q, *j* = 7.09, CH–OH), 3.56 (1H, bs, OH), 2.45 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.60 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.35 (3H, d, *j* = 7.14, CH₃), 1.31 (2H, m, CH₂), 0.89 (3H, t, *j* = 7.35, CH₃), (ESI, Fig. S6†).

Absolute configuration of α-hydroxy ketones

The absolute configuration of reaction products was determined *via* ¹H-NMR analysis of Mosher's esters of the products according to the protocol of Hoyer and coworkers (procedure: 1.b).³⁰ In this method, chiral alcohols are esterified with both enantiomers of a phenyl substituted chiral acid. Electrostatic shielding effects lead to a shift in the ¹H-NMR-spectrum, which can be correlated with the absolute configuration of the analysed alcohol. The reaction was performed in dichloromethane-*d* (¹H: δ 5.32) on 50 μg-scale. The synthesis of (*S*)-Mosher's esters of hydroxy ketones was performed by esterification with the (*R*)-MTPA-Cl ((*R*)-(-)-α-methoxy-α-(trifluoro-methyl)phenylacetyl chloride, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), while esterification with the (*S*)-MTPA-Cl gives rise to (*R*)-Mosher's esters. The absolute configuration was then determined by comparison of the ¹H-NMR spectra and the chemical shifts of the two diastereomeric esters, and subsequent determination of Δδ^{SR}.

Conclusions

The asymmetric reduction of prochiral diketones with the alcohol dehydrogenase CPCR2 proves to be a fast and efficient method for the synthesis of (*S*)-2-hydroxy alkanones and a good addition to the existing biocatalytic approaches. While lyases are good catalysts for the synthesis of symmetric hydroxy ketones, lipases are suitable for higher molecular weight compounds. Substrate scope and selectivity make the CPCR2 a valuable biocatalyst for the synthesis of asymmetric low molecular weight hydroxy ketones as described in this study, significantly improving the accessibility of this class of chiral compounds by biochemical synthesis.

Acknowledgements

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) is gratefully acknowledged for financial support within research project AN 387/5-1. We also thank the collaborative research network BioNoCo (GRK1166) for scientific support and fruitful discussion.

Notes and references

- P. Hoyos, J. V. Sinisterra, F. Molinari, A. R. Alcántara and P. Domínguez de María, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**(2), 288–299.
- A. D. Franklin and C. S. Aurelia, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, **52**, 955–957.
- R. Hekmatshoar, M. M. Heravi, Y. S. Beheshtiha and F. Faridbod, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2002, **133**, 195–197.
- R. Hayakawa, T. Sahara and M. Shimizu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 7939–7942.
- P. Muthupandi and G. Sekar, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2011, **22**, 512–517.
- M. Koprowski, J. Luczak and E. Krawczyk, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 12363–12374.
- T. Hashiyama, K. Morikawa and K. B. Sharpless, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 5067–5068.
- T. Sun and X. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2012, **354**, 3211–3215.
- O. A. Hamed, A. El-Qisairi, H. Qaseer, E. M. Hamed, P. M. Henry and D. P. Becker, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 2699–2701.
- D. Richardson, T. K. Page, S. Altermann, S. M. Paradine, A. N. French and T. Wirth, *Synlett*, 2007, **4**, 0538–0542.
- A. N. Martre, G. Mousset, R. Bel-Rhlid and H. Veschambre, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**(18), 2599–2602.
- M. Müller, D. Gocke and M. Pohl, *FEBS J.*, 2009, **276**, 2894–2904.
- H. C. Hailes, D. Rother, M. Müller, R. Westphal, J. M. Ward, J. Pleiss, C. Vogel and M. Pohl, *FEBS J.*, 2013, **280**, 6374–6394.
- A. Baykal, S. Chakraborty, A. Doodoo and F. Jordan, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2006, **34**, 380–393.
- R. Mikolajek, A. C. Spiess, M. Pohl, L. Sylvain and J. Büchs, *ChemBioChem*, 2007, **8**, 1063–1070.
- R. Mikolajek, A. C. Spiess, M. Pohl and J. Büchs, *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 2009, **25**(1), 132–138.



- 17 P. Domínguez de María, M. Pohl, D. Gocke, H. Gröger, H. Trauthwein, T. Stillger, L. Walter and M. Müller, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 2940–2944.
- 18 M. Beigi, S. Loschonsky, P. Lehwald, V. Brecht, S. L. A. Andrade, F. J. Leeper, W. Hummel and M. Müller, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 252–256.
- 19 G. Scheid, W. Kuit, E. Ruijter, R. V. A. Orru, E. Henke, U. Bornscheuer and L. A. Wessjohann, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 1063–1074.
- 20 O. Bortolini, E. Casanova, G. Fantin, A. Medici, S. Poli and S. Hanau, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1998, **9**, 647–651.
- 21 K. Edegger, W. Stampfer, B. Seisser, K. Faber, S. F. Mayer, R. Oehrlein, A. Hafner and W. Kroutil, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 1904–1909.
- 22 R. Bel-Rhliid, A. Fauve and H. Veschambre, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, **54**, 3223–3224.
- 23 O. Bortolini, G. Fantin, M. Fogagnolo, P. P. Giovannini, A. Guerrini and A. Medici, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 1854–1856.
- 24 J. Peters, T. Minuth and M. R. Kula, *Biocatalysis*, 1993, **8**, 31–46.
- 25 J. Peters, T. Minuth and M. R. Kula, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 1993, **15**, 950–958.
- 26 J. Peters, T. Zelinski, T. Minuth and M. R. Kula, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1993, **4**(7), 1683–1692.
- 27 A. Jakoblinnert, M. Bocola, M. Bhattacharjee, S. Steinsiek and M. Boenitz-Dulat, *ChemBioChem*, 2012, **13**(6), 803–809.
- 28 K. K. Mäkinen, P. L. Mäkinen, S. H. Wilkes, M. E. Bayliss and J. M. Prescott, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1982, **257**(4), 1765–1772.
- 29 K. Faber, in *Biotransformations in Organic Chemistry*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 141–144.
- 30 T. R. Hoye, C. S. Jeffrey and F. M. Shao, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2007, **2**(10), 2451–2458.

