Anti-bacterial surfaces: natural agents, mechanisms of action, and plasma surface modification
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Strategies that confine antibacterial and/or antifouling property to the surface of the implant, by modifying the surface chemistry and morphology or by encapsulating the material in an antibiotic-loaded coating, are most promising as they do not alter bulk integrity of the material. Among them, plasma-assisted modification and catechol chemistry stand out for their ability to modify a wide range of substrates. By controlling processing parameters, plasma environment can be used for surface nano structuring, chemical activation, and deposition of biologically active and passive coatings. Catechol chemistry can be used for material-independent, highly-controlled surface immobilisation of active molecules and fabrication of biodegradable drug-loaded hydrogel coatings. In this article, we comprehensively review the role plasma-assisted processing and catechol chemistry can play in combating bacterial colonisation on medically relevant coatings, and how these strategies can be coupled with the use of natural antimicrobial agents to produce synthetic antibiotic-free antibacterial surfaces.

1. Introduction

In the last twenty years, significant progress has been made in the development of biomaterials and implantable devices, which are characterised by superior biocompatibility, desired integration with peri-implant tissues, controlled fouling with host cell and biomolecules, and which cause minimal acute or chronic inflammation. Numerous modification techniques have been developed to ensure satisfactory clinical performance of these devices by improving their biocompatibility with
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cells/tissues, by tailoring chemical composition and mechanical properties for specific application. To illustrate, metals such as titanium (Ti) are frequently modified by grain refinement to improve mechanical properties and enhance osteoblast attachment; abrasive-blasted to modify topography and thus improve osseointegration; polished mechanically and chemically to achieve smooth surface morphology to reduce integration with tissues and ease the removal of the devices (short-term implants); passivated/oxidised to improve corrosion resistance and enhance bioinertness; and coated with biomolecules, e.g., proteins and DNA fragments, and other biologically active species for guided cell attachment and integration with host tissues, to name but a few.

At the same time, the susceptibility of the implant surface to bacterial colonisation and biofilm formation remains a major problem that is most commonly dealt with by means of prophylaxis with systemic antibiotics. Although administration of broad-spectrum systemic antibiotics is effective in preventing biomaterial-associated infection arising from pathogens introduced into the peri-implant space in the course of surgery or post-operative care, the practice is far less effective in dealing with late haematogenous infections. In the case of the latter, bacteria from an inflammation site elsewhere in the body can enter the bloodstream and thus be transferred to the implant surface. In the absence of antibacterial agent, there is little to stop the pathogen from attaching to the surface and initiating a biofilm formation. The colonisation occurs quickly and is rarely detected clinically in time to prevent biofilm formation. Once formed, the biofilm affords the pathogenic cells necessary protection against flow detachment, opsonisation, and the harmful effects of host antimicrobial molecules and systemic antibiotics. In biofilm state, the expression of genes and metabolic activity in bacterial cells may also differ from that of their planktonic counterparts, which may lessen sensitivity of sessile bacteria to certain antimicrobials designed to target the pathogen’s metabolism. Oftentimes, even significantly higher doses of systemic antimicrobials are insufficient to clear the biofilm, and implant replacement is required.

Although sound hospital practices ensure the rate of implant-associated infections remains relatively low, the ever increasing volume and variety of biomaterials and medical devices implanted globally results in a substantially large number of infections. Furthermore, increasing human life expectancy and emphasis on active lifestyle is associated with a growing number of revision surgeries, and these are known to have a significantly higher infection rate. With the growing issue of hospital acquired and multi-drug resistant microorganisms, there is a strong need to engineer biomaterials that retard microorganism colonisation in the first place.

2. Trends in surface modification

Microbial attachment can be effectively mitigated by introducing an antimicrobial agent throughout the bulk of the material, e.g., silver can be blended into bulk polymeric materials, alloyed into metallic biomaterials, or introduced into glass/ceramic materials. While the nature of the resultant material ensures the long-lasting antimicrobial effect, the addition of the antibacterial agent may negatively impact on the fundamental properties, stability or processability of the material. In comparison, surface modification can be applied to existing biomaterials, with little impact on such bulk properties
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as mechanical strength and stability under in vivo conditions, and is often more cost and time effective.\textsuperscript{3,10}

When selecting appropriate surface modification approach, there are many material- and application-based considerations that need to be addressed. From processing point of view, the choice of the appropriate method is based on its compatibility with the type of biomaterial, \textit{i.e.} polymeric, metallic, ceramic or composite; its stability, \textit{i.e.} temperature sensitivity, solubility, mechanical robustness, \textit{etc.}; its physical structure, \textit{e.g.} porosity, and dimension, \textit{e.g.} bulk or thin film; to name a few.

Financial cost, ease of integration, and scalability of potential modification techniques also need to be considered. For instance, chemical vapour deposition of vertically aligned carbon nanotube forests consumes more time, energy and resources than template-based fabrication of polymer structures. The former is also more difficult to scale up or translate into continuing processing. At the same time, nanoscale materials, such as nanotubes or graphene sheets offer unique and highly valuable properties, such as extreme mechanical strength and durability, electrical and thermal conductivities, and highly adjustable chemical reactivity. Indeed, although relatively easy to fabricate, polymer structures are more fragile and fail easily under load or wear conditions.

From application perspective, general considerations include the intended use, \textit{e.g.} whether the surface will be subjected to load, wear, flow or harsh chemical environment, as well as the length for which antimicrobial activity is required. The proposed application also places restrictions on the type of antimicrobial activity, for example antibiofouling surfaces may be desirable for urinary tract catheters, but they will not be appropriate for materials where tissue regeneration is required. In general, a biomaterial with excellent bactericidal activity but poor compatibility with host biomolecules, cells and tissues is unlikely to find broad clinical use.

Even a non-cytotoxic coating aimed at preventing bacterial adhesion may change the density or the porosity of the underlying material, with significant consequences for attachment, differentiation and metabolic activity of target mammalian cells. Changing surface topography of the biomaterial may also change the availability of specific chemical functionalities at its surface, or reconfigure their 3D confirmation. It is therefore important to understand the interdependence of surface chemistry and physics in order to adequately predict the resultant biological performance with respect to bacteria and mammalian cells.\textsuperscript{14}

The type of antimicrobial agent, its ability to withstand processing conditions, and maintain its antimicrobial potency in the final conformation under physiological conditions will also affect the choice of modification methodology.

2.1 Physico-chemical modifications

For a number of years, control over the attachment and biofilm formation of microorganisms was achieved using specific surface chemistries. This is hardly surprising, as molecular recognition is acknowledged as one of the key factors in determining not only cell–surface interactions, but also many biological functions within the cell itself. These chemistries can be imparted onto the surface by a variety of means, including plasma-assisted techniques, such as plasma (thermal) spraying, plasma immersion ion implantation, and plasma deposition, gas dynamic cold spraying, chemical and physical vapour deposition, and sol-gel. Hydro tropic nanostructures, such as carbon and halloysite nanotubes can also be used to control fouling.\textsuperscript{15} The key challenge in using these methods is in ensuring that the treatment process and/or the resultant surface chemistry do not undermine the biocompatibility, performance and degradation behaviour of the biomaterial in vitro and in vivo.

With the development of novel data acquisition, analysis and visualisation tools, our understanding of cell–surface dynamics have evolved to include the physical as well as the chemical properties of biomaterials as key factors that can regulate biological responses of cells and tissues.\textsuperscript{15} As a result, several modification strategies have been developed that rely on the synergistic effect of chemistry, \textit{e.g.} hydrophobic moieties, and surface morphology, \textit{e.g.} hierarchical arrangement of nano- and micro-features, to prevent microbial attachment and biofilm formation (Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{15,20} Laser ablation, abrasive blasting, physical vapour deposition, self-assembly, evaporation and ion assisted deposition are among the frequently used physical modification techniques.

Nanostructured surfaces with surface chemistry-independent antimicrobial effect have also been reported.\textsuperscript{21} Fig. 2 shows the nanopattern on the surface of Clanger cicada.
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\caption{(A) Antifouling strategies for biofilm management. (B) Commonly used hydrophilic chemistries, \textit{e.g.} poly(ethylene glycol), poly(methyl oxazoline), polyacrylamide, and zwitterionic poly(carboxybetaine methacrylate) and poly(sulfobetaine methacrylate). (C–G) Natural and artificial superhydrophobic surfaces. (C) The hierarchical structure of Salvinia spp. hairs, composed of the multicellular hair with small rodlet-like wax crystals on top.\textsuperscript{15} (D) Macroporous graphene oxide film (CA = 152°).\textsuperscript{18} (E) Gecko-inspired setae made of micropatterned carbon nanotube bundles (CA = 155°).\textsuperscript{17} (F) Perfluoropolyether polymer hairs (CA = 171°).\textsuperscript{18} (G) Epoxy/γ-Al₂O₃ nanoparticle composite (CA = 160°).\textsuperscript{19} Reproduced with permission from ref. 15–20.}
\end{figure}
damage to cell envelope facilitated further damage by copper ions,
and of copper containing nanoparticles, where particles also acted as physical carriers of copper into the cells.

2.2 Biocide-based strategies

Broadly, the antimicrobial agent can either be entrapped in the coating to be released in some predefined fashion upon interaction with its operational environment and/or stimuli, e.g. drug eluting hydrogels and coatings, or immobilised on the surface of the implant to prevent bacterial attachment and/or kill the attached cells on contact, e.g. covalently attached polymer brushes, conventional antibiotics and antimicrobial peptides.

There is merit to both strategies. The main advantage of non-leaching systems is in the confinement of the cytotoxic effect to the surface of the implant, thus minimising the potentially harmful interactions between the agent and host tissues, e.g. damage to host cells in peri-implant milieu or accumulation of the antimicrobial liver, spleen, and brain. Given that the antimicrobial agent is not depleted over time, the effect is sustained for longer. Furthermore, the issue of bacterial cells being exposed to sub-inhibitory concentrations of the antibiotic is avoided. This minimises the chance these bacteria will develop resistance to the drug in use.

With the efficacy of many antimicrobials relying on a combination of chemical functionality and spatial conformation, covalent immobilisation is more conducive to attaining specific molecular orientation of the agent on the surface. As such, the availability of specific chemistries and structural motifs characteristic of the antimicrobial in suspension can be maintained. Nevertheless, prolonged exposure to the physiological environment may result in the concealment of the antimicrobial chemical and physical features of the surface, e.g. through adsorption of host biomolecules or accumulation of killed bacterial cells and their fragments. Furthermore, a broader variety of antimicrobial agents can be entrapped in the release- or leach-systems, and their concentration and release rate controlled to ensure bacterial inhibition further away from the implant surface into the peri-implant space. The challenge that is common to drug-release and non-leaching antimicrobial systems is the control over the quality of adhesion between the active agent and the underlying biomaterial surface.

The example in Fig. 3 shows the use of traditional antibiotics as biocidal agents. For antibiotic-sensitive strains of bacteria, these coatings provide an effective means of combating infection. However, a growing emergence of bacteria with antibiotic resistance, particular in hospital settings, resulted in a growing interest in alternative therapeutic concepts and agents. Ideally, these alternative agents should lead to the elimination of bacteria, and have a mode of action that would be sufficiently dissimilar to systemic antibiotics to avoid promoting cross-resistance.

2.3 Aim and article organisation

The aim of this article is to review two types of highly-versatile modification chemistries, namely (i) highly reactive plasma chemistry and (ii) catechol chemistry that can be applied to a

---

Fig. 2 The unique surface morphology of wing surface enables Clanger cicada (A) to resist bacterial colonisation. (B) Proposed mechanism of chemistry-independent contact killing of bacteria on cicada wing surface. (C and D) SEM images of clinically relevant pathogens on the surface of a cicada wing. Pseudomonas aeruginosa (C) and Branhamella catarrhalis (D) cells are clearly penetrated by the nanopillar structures on the wing surface, with cells sinking between the nanopillars (C, inset). On the wing surfaces, bactericidal effect observed for all tested Gram-negative microorganisms, regardless of cell morphology. On glass (D, inset) under equivalent incubation conditions, no killing effect was observed. Reproduced with permission from ref. 21, 22 and 25.

---

(Psaltoda claripennis) wings which allows the surfaces to kill bacteria on contact based solely on its physical surface structure. Although unable to prevent microbial attachment, the direct contact between the attached cells and highly ordered arrays of surface nanopillars resulted in cell membrane stretching and eventual rupturing, where the adsorption behaviour of bacterial cells and their sensitivity to the material surfaces depended both on the geometry of the pillars and the mechanical properties of the cells, especially cell rigidity. In spite of substantial advances in our understanding of how the physical properties of materials determine cell–surface dynamics at nano-, molecular- and atomic scales, this field requires considerable further development.

Surface physical properties can be used to enhance the antimicrobial effect. For instance, physical disruption of cell membranes have been demonstrated as an essential contributor to antimicrobial efficacy of copper surfaces, where the damage to cell envelope facilitated further damage by copper
3. Antimicrobials of natural origin

The use of systemic antibiotics has been challenged on many levels, the key issue concerned with its contribution towards the development of microbial resistance. And while these agents remain among the most potent weapons in treating advanced infections, there has been an increasing interest in the use of alternative, nature-derived antimicrobials, whose physico-chemical structure and mechanism of bioactivity are sufficiently dissimilar to those of currently used synthetic antibiotics to eliminate the possibility of bacterial cross-resistance. Development of cross-resistance is an important problem, and methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the best known examples of microorganisms with multi-drug resistance against most currently available antibiotics, including recent cases of vancomycin-resistant *S. aureus*.

Other notable clinically significant drug-resistant pathogens include *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* resistant to β-lactamases, and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Although some bacterial organisms are intrinsically resistant to some antimicrobials, excessive use and/or misadministration of antibiotics may select for pathogens that acquired resistance by either *de novo* mutations or via gene transfer, conjugation, transformation, and transduction. These newly acquired genes can complement and thus enhance the intrinsic resistance of the microorganism.

Phenotypically, the changes in genotype can manifest in many ways, including synthesis of enzymes capable of deactivating antibacterial agent, physico-chemical changes to the site targeted by the antimicrobial, activation of an alternative metabolic pathway to circumvent the activity of the drug, and to minimise the accessibility of internal drug targets via various efflux mechanisms. Amongst numerous alternative antimicrobials, metal ions, nitric oxide, antimicrobial peptides, and secondary metabolites derived from plant organisms provide a diverse range of antimicrobial agents.

3.1 Antimicrobial peptides

Antimicrobial peptides are produced by all complex organisms as well as some microbes as part of innate immune response, and display diverse and complex antimicrobial activities against a broad range of Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria, including those resistant to established antibiotic drug therapies, mycobacteria, enveloped viruses, parasites and fungi. Also known as host defense peptides, these are low molecular mass amphipathic molecules of 12–50 amino acids in length, and are secreted by many different cell types, either constitutively or in response to inflammatory stimuli. These molecules typically perform more than one function within the organism (Fig. 4). For instance, peptides produced by neurons, e.g. neurokinin-1, neuropeptide Y, orexins, function as both the neurotransmitters in the brain and the peripheral nervous system, and as immunomodulators, regulating immune function and neurogenic inflammatory responses through vasodilation, plasma extravasation, and recruitment of immunocompetent cells. Orexin B has been reported to...
affect the function of peritoneal macrophages via activation of calcium-dependent potassium channels and to facilitate enhancement of phagocytosis in mouse peritoneal macrophages.18,41

In plants, thionins, defensins, lipid transfer proteins, hevein- and knottin-like peptides, MBP1, IbAMP, and the recently reported snakins are the most commonly encountered antimicrobial peptides.42 Structurally, these are small cationic peptides with molecular masses of 2–10 kDa, with their structure stabilized via the formation of 2–6 disulfide bridges. The antibacterial mechanism of thionins is through the binding of phospholipids of the bacterial membrane which initiates a cascade of cytoplasmic events leading to cell death.33,34 High positive charge, which renders them extremely soluble (>300 mg ml\(^{-1}\)), and the phospholipid-binding specificity of thionin allows the agent to bind areas of negatively charged phospholipids, either phosphatidic acid or phosphatidyl serine, and their subsequent withdrawal. The segregation of phospholipids destabilizes the membrane, causing its solubilisation and lysis.

Defensins, typically 45–54 amino acids long cationic peptides, display only modest antimicrobial activity, with sound efficacy against diverse fungi.35,46 Specific defensins have also been reported to inhibit protein synthesis, protease trypsin, or a-amylase activity.45 Lipid transfer proteins range in size between 7 and 10 kDa, and are typically of globular structure with a large hydrophobic cavity. The cavity serves as a binding site for mono- or diacylated lipids and other hydrophobic molecules, with a larger cavity of LTP2 allowing it to bind a planar sterol.

In terms of the use of antimicrobial peptides as antibacterial surface modification, numerous strategies have been tested to deliver these antimicrobials to the site of implantation. The ionic self-complementary of peptides allows for their use as building blocks for self-assembly of nanostructures. Each amphipathic molecule is comprised of distinct hydrophilic and hydrophobic regions. In aqueous environment, the hydrophobic region tries to minimise its exposure to water, resulting in folding of the molecule. The hydrophilic domain is composed of alternating positively charged (e.g. arginine, lysine) and negatively charged (e.g. aspartate, glutamate) amino acid residues, with various patterns of distribution of the charged residues. These residues will engage in ionic interactions with the oppositely charged residues of the complimentary molecule, driving the self-assembly. Although non-covalent in nature, the interactions are sufficiently strong to support highly stable structures.

Hydrogels of β-hairpin peptides rich in arginine displayed strong antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, including multi-drug resistant P. aerugi-nosa.47 The fundamental and functional properties of the hydrogel, including killing efficacy, host cytocompatibility, bulk rheological properties and stimuli-responsiveness of this type of hydrogel can be controlled via selection of the specific peptide sequence at the monomer level.48–50 Pre-functionalisation of the implant surfaces, e.g. via plasma-assisted treatment, has been used for UV immobilisation of ε-poly-L-lysine-graft-meth-acrylamide hydrogel thin film.51 Coupled with excellent activity against bacteria and fungi, the low thickness of the coating makes it a good candidate for coating over medical devices and implants.

A mode of delivery via loading of antimicrobial peptides into a carrier platform has been trialled. Kazemzadeh-Narbat et al.52 used micro-porous octacalcium phosphate films to load broad spectrum antimicrobial peptides for orthopaedic applications.52 Shukla et al. used thin layers of polycion polymer films to physically entrap the antimicrobial agent, varying layer number and composition for control over the amount of agent loaded into the structure.53 It has been suggested that the antimicrobial agent may not be able to diffuse through the layers of the polymer at a sufficient rate to ensure the steady level of the antimicrobial at the surface. In addition to the intrinsic properties of the layers, through which the peptide is to diffuse, its
release may also be limited by the bacterial cells accumulated at the surface of the implant.\(^{54}\)

Physical and chemical immobilisation of the peptide on the surface of the implant can circumvent the issues with diffusion, although accumulation of bacterial debris may still remain a challenge.\(^{56}\) Although reported as an effective approach for peptide surface immobilisation, non-specific physical adsorption may compromise the availability of physico-chemical particulates of the peptide present in the soluble analogue, potentially rendering the coating inactive.\(^{55}\) Even specific, covalent attachment of the peptide is likely to affect the structural exposure and flexibility characteristic of the peptide.\(^{29}\) For instance, even though notably larger amounts of peptide per unit area can be conjugated to a surface via polymer brush compared to the direct grafting of peptides, a significant portion of these peptides may not be available to interact with biomembranes due to steric restrictions exerted by the polymer brush structure.\(^{25}\) On the other hand, the surface-tethered peptides may be more effective in combating microbial colonisation due to higher concentration of appropriately structured peptides in one location (Fig. 5).

To minimise the detrimental effects of the binding, many methods have been developed, employing a variety of chemical coupling strategies, length of spacers, and peptide orientation and concentration.\(^{57,58}\) Many of these strategies focus on minimising nonspecific interactions between the peptide and the substrate.\(^{29}\) Another important consideration in using peptide antimicrobials is their stability,\(^{60}\) as well as the stability of the coating system as a whole under physiological conditions.\(^{61}\)

### 3.2 Antimicrobial secondary plant metabolites

Plants produce a broad assortment of secondary metabolites, including tannins, terpenoids, alkaloids, polyphenols and flavonoids, which have been found in vitro to have antimicrobial properties against both Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. Furthermore, these phytochemicals have been shown to modulate or modify resistance mechanisms in bacteria.\(^{52}\) Yet, since the discovery of penicillin in the 1950s, the medical world has relied on antibiotics derived from bacterial and fungal sources, with the use of plant derivatives as antimicrobials being nearly non-existent.\(^{64}\) One of the possible reasons for this is that the relatively higher minimum inhibitory concentrations limited their utility as the sole agents, although certain combination of phytochemicals with conventional antimicrobial drugs demonstrated enhanced efficacy against methicillin resistant *S. aureus*.\(^{62}\) In that case, tannic acid was able to prolong and potentiate the bactericidal activity of fusidic acid, cefotaxime, minocycline and rifampicin, with a similar effect demonstrated for combinations of quercetin with fusidic acid, minocycline and rifampicin.

Amongst the vast variety of phytochemicals, phenolics, terpenoids and other essential oils constituents, alkaloids, lectins and polypeptides, and polyacetylenes are most commonly associated with antimicrobial activity.\(^{22}\) These phytochemicals play other roles in plant physiological processes, e.g. flavonoids are the key pigments for plants that reproduce via biotic pollination; flavonoids are also involved in UV filtration and symbiotic nitrogen fixation; and as chemical messengers, physiological regulators, and cell cycle inhibitors. The use of these antimicrobial agents has been limited to traditional and alternative medical domains, yet to be recognised by the mainstream medical community as therapeutic agents. As mentioned above, one of the main reasons lies in the relatively weak and/or narrow spectrum of antimicrobial activity, and potentially high toxicity associated with the administration of sufficiently high antimicrobial doses. Indeed, the MICs typically reported for plant-derived antimicrobials are in the range of 100 to 1000 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\), orders of magnitude weaker than MICs of 0.01 to 10 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) of antimicrobials synthesised by bacteria and fungi.\(^{64}\) It has been suggested that along with these antimicrobials, plants may produce a range of other chemicals, e.g. inhibitors of bacterial multidrug resistance pumps, which enhance permeation of the antimicrobials into the bacterial cells. Furthermore, there is a distinct lack of systematic description regarding the structure–property of antibacterial phytochemicals, potentially limiting their mainstream adoption.

Essential oils are abundant in nature, and most commonly associated with the distinctive flavours and aromas of many plants.\(^{66}\) Commonly used herbs and spices such as garlic, black cumin, cloves, cinnamon, thyme, bay leaves, mustard, and rosemary have essential oils with demonstrated antimicrobial properties.\(^{66}\) Garlic-derived allicin was found to exhibit
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**Fig. 5** Proposed mechanism of action of free (A) and polymer brush-immobilized host defense peptide (B). In (B), more peptides adopt a structure before they interact with the membrane, and therefore there may be more structured peptides localized in one area when they bind/insert into the membrane. As a result, perturbation of the membrane may be more efficient. Reproduced with permission from ref. 55.
antibacterial activity against a wide range of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, including multidrug-resistant enterotoxigenic strains of *Escherichia coli*; antifungal activity, particularly against *Candida albicans*; antiparasitic activity, including some major human intestinal protozoan parasites such as *Entamoeba histolytica* and *Giardia lambia*; and antiviral activity.\(^7\) The crude methanolic extracts of such spices and herbs as cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*), fennel seed (*Nigella sativa*), anise (*Pimpinella anisum*), ajowan (*Trachyspermum copticum*), and ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) were demonstrated to be effective against Gram-positive *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *S. aureus* and Gram-negative *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria.\(^6\) Importantly, the extracts demonstrated similar or higher broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity as compared with ampicillin, erythromycin, and tetracycline.

Extracts of *Nigella sativa* were also effective against pathogenic yeast, *C. albicans*, and its diethyl ether extract was revealed to be similar in antibacterial activity to that of streptomycin and gentamicin. Traditionally used to treat urinary tract infections, a combination of garlic and black cumin has been reported as being more effective than Cefalexin, Cotrimoxazole, and Nalidixic acid in the treatment of this infectious disease.\(^6\) Given the multicomponent nature of the extracts, which included carbohydrates, inulin, alkaloids, glycosides, flavonoids, terpenoids, tannins, reducing sugars, soluble phenols, and saponin glycosides, it is difficult to attribute the observed antimicrobial activity to a particular constituent (Table 1).\(^6\)

The antibacterial and antifungal potency of caraway (*Carum carvi*) oils are attributed to carvone, limonene and linalool, while antimicrobial activity of cumin is associated with the presence of limonene, eugenol, pinene and minor constituents, and the effect is likely to be synergistic.\(^7\) Cumin essential oil was found to be effective against the ciprofloxacin against biofilm-forming *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains, although the oil on its own was not able to induce plasmid DNA degradation.\(^7\) *C. cyminum* oil was also effective against *Vibrio* spp. strains.\(^7\) Essential oil from rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*) was shown to be effective against *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes*, although it was found less potent in comparison with *C. cyminum* essential oil.\(^7\) Peppermint (*Mentha piperita*) oil was demonstrated to be more effective than chlorhexidine in preventing biofilm formation by *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*, with potential to be used in therapies against supragingival dental plaque.\(^7\)

A survey of 35 different Indian spices showed clove, cinnamon, bishop’s weed, chili, horse radish, cumin, tamarind, black cumin, pomegranate seeds, nutmeg, garlic, onion, tejpat, celery, have potent antimicrobial activities against the test organisms *Bacillus subtilis*, *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.\(^7\) Oils of chilli, cinnamon, cloves, ginger, nutmeg, oregano, rosemary, sage, thyme demonstrated a range of activities against psychrotrophic *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Yersinia enterocolitica*, from complete inhibition of growth in the case of cinnamon and cloves against *A. hydrophila* to no inhibition.\(^7\) The antimicrobial potency was also found to vary with the oil acquisition method, e.g. oil harvesting at different stages of plant development. Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) oil harvested at four ontogenetic stages had a significant bacteriostatic activity against nine strains of Gram-negative bacteria and six strains of Gram-positive bacteria. However, the activity was the highest for the oil harvested from the plants in full flower.\(^9\)

Although oils and their individual components, such as terpenoids, carvacrol, thymol, have been recognised as potential antimicrobial agents, yet their exact mechanism of actions has not been fully elucidated. In part, this may be due to the numerous components that can potentially complement and/or enable the efficacy of the other component. For instance, Brophy et al. analysed over 800 samples of *M. alternifolia* essential oil by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry and found approximately 100 components in oil of *M. alternifolia* as well as significant batch to batch variation.\(^8\) The comparison may be complicated further by different methods used to quantify antibacterial activity (which also often differ from those used for evaluation of surface-immobilised antimicrobial agents).\(^8\)

Oil from Australian native plant *Melaleuca alternifolia* has been reported to have the broad-spectrum activity against bacteria, including drug-resistant strains, fungi, viruses, and protozoa,\(^85\) but similar to other phytochemicals, *in vivo* and *in vitro* characterisation of tea tree oil thus far remains inadequate. Nonetheless, various preparations that include tea tree oil are readily available commercially in many countries, including in Australia, Europe, and North America. Tea tree oil is composed of terpene hydrocarbons based on an isoprene structure, mainly monoterpenes (*C*\(_{10}H\(_{16}\)), sesquiterpenes (*C\(_{15}H\(_{24}\)), and their associated alcohols (terpenoids), with the antimicrobial activity of the oil is attributed mainly to terpinen-4-ol. The mechanism of action of terpenes is yet to be fully described but is believed to involve membrane disruption by the lipophilic compounds.\(^86\) In the case of tea tree oil, the ability of tea tree oil to disrupt the permeability barrier of cell membrane structures and the accompanying loss of chemiosmotic control were identified as the most likely source of its lethal action against *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Candida albicans*.\(^9\)

The predisposition to lysis, the loss of 260 nm-absorbing material, the loss of tolerance to NaCl, and the altered morphology by *S. aureus* cells suggest that tea tree oil and its components compromise the cytoplasmic membrane.\(^9\)

Essential oils and their constituents are believed to interact with the bacterial membrane, causing disruption through lipophilic products (Fig. 6). These disruptions then lead to membrane expansion, increase of membrane fluidity and permeability, disturbance of membrane embedded proteins, inhibition of respiration, and alteration of ion transport processes in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.\(^3\) An analysis of the chemical structure of these herbs and spices shows that the antimicrobial phytochemicals consist of phenols and oxygen-substituted phenolic rings,\(^8\) with the inhibitory action associated with the –OH groups in phenolic compounds.

Garlic is different in such that it consists of non-aromatic sulfur compounds (thiosulfinates) that carry the antimicrobial properties. Diallyl thiosulfinate (allcin), the phytochemical agent found in garlic (*Allium sativum*) and believed to be responsible for the antibacterial and antifungal activity of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>MIC, % v/v</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosewood</td>
<td>Aniba rosaeodora</td>
<td>0.1 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.1 0.5 &gt;2.0 0.3 0.5 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celery seed</td>
<td>Apium graveolens</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frankincense</td>
<td>Boswellia carterii</td>
<td>1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ylang ylang</td>
<td>Cananga odorata</td>
<td>1.0 0.5 1.0 2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedarwood</td>
<td>Cedrus atlantica</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamon</td>
<td>Cinnamomum zeylanicum</td>
<td>1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>Citrus aurantium</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 1.0 1.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Citrus limon</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petigrain</td>
<td>Citrus aurantium</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 0.3 2.0 0.3 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergamot</td>
<td>Citrus aurantium var. bergamia</td>
<td>2.0 2.0 1.0 &gt;2.0 1.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon</td>
<td>Citrus limon</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapefruit</td>
<td>Citrus x paradisi</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 1.0 1.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>Citrus reticulata var. Maduresis</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrrh</td>
<td>Commiphora myrrha</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coriander</td>
<td>Coriandrum sativum</td>
<td>0.3 0.3 0.3 1.0 0.3 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumpkin</td>
<td>Cucumis sativus</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypress</td>
<td>Cupressus sempervirens</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turmeric</td>
<td>Curcuma longa</td>
<td>0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon grass</td>
<td>Cymbopogon citratus</td>
<td>0.0 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmarosa</td>
<td>Cymbopogon martini</td>
<td>0.1 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.1 0.3 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citronella</td>
<td>Cymbopogon nardus</td>
<td>0.3 0.1 1.0 0.5 0.3 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot seed</td>
<td>Daucus carota</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus</td>
<td>Eucalyptus polybractea</td>
<td>1.0 0.5 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clove</td>
<td>Eugenia caryophyllus</td>
<td>1.6 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fennel</td>
<td>Foeniculum vulgare</td>
<td>1.0 0.5 0.5 &gt;2.0 0.5 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wintergreen</td>
<td>Gaultheria procumbens</td>
<td>0.3 0.3 0.3 &gt;2.0 0.5 1.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniper</td>
<td>Juniperus communis</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 1.0 2.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French lavender</td>
<td>Lavandula angustifolia</td>
<td>1.0 0.5 &gt;2.0 0.5 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasmanian lavender</td>
<td>Lavandula angustifolia</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 0.3 2.0 0.3 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea bush</td>
<td>Lippia chevalieri</td>
<td>1.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea bush</td>
<td>Lippia multiflora</td>
<td>0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macadamia</td>
<td>Macadamia integrifolia</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea tree</td>
<td>Melaleuca alternifolia</td>
<td>0.3 0.5 0.5 2.0 0.3 0.5 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajuput</td>
<td>Melaleuca cajuputi</td>
<td>1.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 1.0 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niaouli</td>
<td>Melaleuca quinquenervia</td>
<td>0.3 0.3 0.3 1.0 0.3 0.5 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peppermint</td>
<td>Mentha x piperita</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 &gt;2.0 0.5 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spearmint</td>
<td>Mentha spicata</td>
<td>0.3 0.3 0.1 2.0 0.3 0.5 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basil</td>
<td>Ocimum basilicum</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 0.5 &gt;2.0 0.5 2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening primrose</td>
<td>Oenothera biennis</td>
<td>&gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marjoram</td>
<td>Origanum majorana</td>
<td>0.3 0.3 0.3 2.0 0.3 0.5 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0 &gt;2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test organism**

<p>| Acinetobacter baumanii | Aeromonas sobria | Candida albicans | Enterococcus faecalis | Escherichia coli | Klebsiella pneumoniae | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | Salmonella typhimurium | Serratia marcescens | Staphylococcus aureus |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>MIC, % v/v</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|           | Acinetobacter baumanii  | 0.1 0.1 0.3 0.1 0.1 2.0 0.1 0.3 |%
|           | Aeromonas sobria         | 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3 >2.0 >2.0 0.1 0.3 |%
|           | Candida albicans         | 0.1 0.5 0.5 >2.0 >2.0 2.0 2.0 3.0 |%
|           | Enterococcus faecalis    | 0.5 2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 1.0 1.0 |%
|           | Escherichia coli         | 0.1 0.3 0.3 0.3 >2.0 >2.0 2.0 1.0 |%
|           | Klebsiella pneumoniae    | 1.0 1.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 1.0 1.0 |%
|           | Pseudomonas aeruginosa   | >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 0.3 0.3 |%
|           | Salmonella typhimurium    | >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 0.1 0.1 |%
|           | Serratia marcescens      | >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 0.1 0.1 |%
|           | Staphylococcus aureus    | >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 >2.0 2.0 2.0 |%
4. Nature-inspired catechol chemistry

In addition to nature-inspired antibiofouling and biocidal surfaces, e.g. lotus leaf, butterfly wing and shark skin-like biomimetic surfaces, bio-inspired chemistries can influence the manner in which biomaterials and biomaterial coatings are synthesized, functionalized and delivered in vivo.25-27 There are several methods of surface functionalisation that are inspired by the aquatic animals that are highly adept at fouling a variety of solid surfaces, both natural and man-made, in aqueous environments. Numerous sedentary marine organisms, including species of mussels, tubeworms, and barnacles attach to underwater surfaces by means of protein-rich adhesives.97,98 Adhesive proteins form approximately 70 wt% of the cement of Belanus crenatus, where the proteinaceous cement is released from the pores to fill the space between the base of the attachment disk of the animal and the solid surface to which the organism is attaching. The cement cures within several hours, forming a high strength bond with attachment strength of up to $9.3 \times 10^5$ N m$^{-2}$. Where cement produced by adult and cyprid acorn barnacles (order Sessilia) solidifies into a thin layer directly between the shell and the surface and is characterised by either solid or reticulate structure.100 the cement produced by Dosima fascicularis buoy barnacle is a gas-filled, foam-like structure.101 Whereas the barnacle uses the cement for attachment to surfaces, the Phragmatopoma californica marine worm uses its glue to build its mineralized shell from sand grains and fragments of seashell collected from its environment.102 Setting within 30 s under, the glue forms a microporous water-filled foam comprised of 50–80 nm spheres, and characterised by a sharp gradient in porosity.

Water-resistant, material-independent adhesive abilities of the mollusc (Mytilus edulis) byssus, a proteinaceous liquid from the phenol gland in the mussel foot that forms an adhesive holdfast, have been used to guide the development of substrate-independent adhesive hydrogels.31 Rapid solidification into a hardened adhesive and excellent adhesion to a variety of substrates, including tissues, is attributed to reactivity of catechol side chains on 3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine (DOPA).103 Readily oxidised, catechol side chains form reactive species that can undergo Michael-type addition, Schiff base formation with nucleophiles, and radical coupling with other catechols (Fig. 7). They can also form coordination bonds with diverse metals and inorganic surfaces, hydrogen bonds, and π–π aromatic interactions. The mechanism by which 3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine interacts with the wet surface depends on the state of the molecule.104 An atomic force microscopy (AFM) study of a single molecule immobilised on the scanning tip demonstrated high strength yet fully reversible, non-covalent interaction with a wet metal oxide surface, here titanium dioxide. Once 3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine was oxidised, the strength of this reversible interaction significantly decreased, although a new, high strength irreversible covalent bond was formed.

4.1 Catechol-based hydrogels

The incorporation of these catechol functionalities into water soluble hydrogels, such as polyethylene glycol, ensures rapid curing of these gels. The degradation properties of such adhesive hydrogel can be modified, by incorporating enzyme-degradable sites. For instance, a hydrogel based on polyethylene glycol functionalised by DOPA-mimetic catechol via biodegradable linker, e.g. an Ala–Ala dipeptide substrate of elastase, can be degraded by neutrophil elastase, the latter being a serine protease secreted by activated neutrophils as the result of their recruitment to a wound or site of local inflammation.105 The microstructure, composition and mechanical properties of the hydrogel can also be tuned by controlling the input catechol, linker, and polymer backbone.

The hydrogel can be loaded with antibacterial agents for sustained release. For example, the use of silver nitrate to oxidize catechol-functionalised polyethylene glycol resulted in covalent cross-linking of the hydrogel and concomitant reduction of Ag(I).11 The resultant bulk hydrogels demonstrated inhibition of Staphylococcus epidermidis and Pseudomonas...
that the toxic effect of silver ions and silver nanoparticles occurs in a similar concentration range for *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, human mesenchymal stem cells, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells challenges this conclusion.\(^{109,110}\)

4.2 Catechol adhesive layer

Dopamine has been demonstrated to possess the full adhesive properties of mussel adhesion protein, and can be used as a thin highly adherent coating on a range of biomaterial surfaces, organic and inorganic alike. Such dopamine-based surface coatings are resistant to hydrolysis and provide chemical activation on material surfaces for selective coupling of molecules and layers.\(^{111}\) The coating is deposited as poly(dihydroxyindole), but undergoes oxidation to polyorthouquinoneindole upon exposure to basic (pH 8.5) conditions.\(^{112}\) To this layer, biomolecules containing amine moieties can be covalently bonded via Schiff base type interactions, or Michael type reactions in the case of those molecules with amine and thiol functionalities.

In addition to monolayers via self-assembly of long-chain molecular building blocks, secondary reactions on the dopamine-modified surfaces can be used for deposition of metal films by electroless metallization, and bioinert and bioactive surfaces via grafting of macromolecules.\(^{113}\) Silver nanoparticles were immobilised onto ferromagnetic Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles/fibrous bacterial cellulose nanocomposite by soaking dopamine-treated composite in silver nitrate solution.\(^{114}\) Dopamine coating was also shown to be a suitable platform for fabrication of polymer brushes via atom transfer radical polymerization.

The utility of barnacle cement for surface functionalisation has been demonstrated on stainless steel, where the adhesive was used as a surface anchor for coupling of functional polymer brushes via “click” reactions in both “grafting-to” and “grafting-from” processes.\(^{115}\) A surface rich in thiol, alkyne, and azide groups was obtained by first depositing a thin layer of the cement onto the metallic surface. The reactive amine and/or hydroxyl groups on the surface\(^{116}\) were then allowed to react with ethylene sulfide, propargyl carbonylimidazole, and azidoethyl carbonylimidazole, respectively, to introduce the desired functionality. Using these molecular anchors, a variety of stable functional polymer brush coatings were developed, including antifouling zwiterionic 2-methacryloyloxethyl phosphorylcholine surfaces (via thiol photo polymerisation); protein-resistant hydrophilic poly(poly[ethylene glycol] methyl ether methacrylate) and protein-adsorbing hydrophobic poly(2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorostyrene) brushes (via azide–alkyne click reaction); antifouling poly(N-hydroxycarboxylic acid) and antimicrobial poly(2-methacryloxyethyl trimethylammonium chloride) surfaces (via alkyln-azide click chemistry).\(^{115}\)

Of the developed coatings, the zwiterionic and hydrophilic surfaces were most effective in reducing bovine serum albumin adsorption, with the zwiterionic, antifouling, and antimicrobial surfaces inhibiting the adhesion of Gram-negative *E. coli* and Gram-positive *S. epidermidis*. Similar to hydrophilic poly[ethylene glycol] and oligo(ethylene glycol), the antifouling properties of zwiterionic and polyampholyte polymer brushes...
relate on the formation of a strong hydrogen-bonded hydration layer, which limits protein interactions with the underlying surface.\textsuperscript{118} Surfaces rich in alkyl halide functionalities were also obtained by reacting the amine and hydroxyl moieties of barnacle cement with 2-bromoisobutyl bromide.\textsuperscript{117} The initiator can be used for the surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, the hydroxyl groups of which can then be converted to carbonyl groups for coupling of chitosan. Thus functionalised stainless steel surfaces displayed antifouling property against bovine serum albumin and antibacterial activity against \textit{E. coli}.

5. Plasma-assisted nanofabrication

Plasma-assisted technologies, especially those based on low-temperature, non-equilibrium plasmas, have found numerous applications in medicine, materials science, and biotechnology.\textsuperscript{118,119} The ability to remove biomolecules, such as proteins, pyrogens or peptides, and bacterial spores from biomaterial surfaces at high rates and low temperatures make plasma-assisted treatment an effective and practical tool for decontamination and sterilization of biomaterials and medically-relevant devices and surfaces.\textsuperscript{120–122} The neutral and reactive species, particularly reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), electric fields, charges, and photons generated in low temperature ionized gas plasmas are responsible for the well-documented antimicrobial activity of these plasmas when applied directly to media.\textsuperscript{123–124} The low temperature (at or below physiological level) of such plasmas allow for their application onto living tissues, \textit{e.g.} a wound, where they can be used to sterilise, suppress inflammation, and promote healing.\textsuperscript{125,126}

The unique chemistry of these plasmas also enables selective biomimipulation of the cells, where they can be used to increase cell proliferation, locally influence cell adhesion without causing necrosis or to initiate cell removal \textit{via} induction of apoptosis, the result dependent on the dose.\textsuperscript{127,128} The selectivity whereby only one type of cells is affected, \textit{i.e.} cancer cells and not healthy cells in co-culture, has a clear potential as a safer means for anti-cancer therapy.\textsuperscript{129–132}

From biomaterials perspective, plasma-assisted techniques are widely used for lasting, highly controlled modification of a variety of medically relevant surfaces.\textsuperscript{136–138} Indeed, over the last 20 years, plasma-enabled nanoscale synthesis and modification have evolved from a relatively simple tool for materials science and microelectronics into a highly sophisticated instrument for development of a wide range of pure and hybrid nanoscale objects spanning across a vast number of materials systems and length scales (Fig. 8).\textsuperscript{139} At the present level of development, low-temperature plasmas afford chemists and material scientists the level of confidence comparable to, and in many cases superior to, conventional processing techniques, \textit{e.g.} based on thermal chemical vapour deposition (CVD), wet chemistry-based synthesis and processing, laser-assisted microfabrication \textit{etc.}\textsuperscript{139} Importantly, tailored plasmas enable the attainment of certain objectives conventional fabrication methodologies fail to achieve, such as providing the means for one-step green synthesis of functional materials from natural precursors.\textsuperscript{140–144}

5.1 Types of low-temperature plasma processing

Lower temperature processing suitable for temperature-sensitive biomaterials and implantable thin film structures and for production of polymer films where the functionality of the monomer is retained can be attained in low pressure, low energy plasma systems. In non-equilibrium plasma processing, the substrate is exposed to a reactive environment of a partially ionised gas comprising large concentrations of excited atomic, molecular, ionic, and free-radical species. The nature of the interactions between the excited species and the solid surface will determine the type and the degree of the chemical and physical modification that will take place, from film deposition, substitution, cross-linking to ablation. Generally, polymer deposition occurs when a monomer, either in vapour phase or at the surface, is fragmented into reactive species that then recombine and are deposited onto the surface of the substrate. As mentioned previously, even those monomers that do not contain functionalities required for conventional polymerisation, \textit{e.g.} C—C or ring structures, can be deposited in this way.

When film deposition is not desired, gases that do not fragment into polymerisable intermediates upon excitation are employed. Air, nitrogen, argon, oxygen, nitrous oxide, helium, tetrafluoromethane, water vapour, carbon dioxide, methane, and ammonia are amongst the most common gases used for surface modification. Exposure to these plasmas may result in the chemical functionalisation of the surface, with the degree and nature of the functionalities being highly dependent on the chemical composition of the biomaterial and the process gas. Plasma-assisted surface oxidation, nitration, hydrolysis, or amination are commonly used to increase the surface energy and hydrophilicity of the biomaterial. Surface ablation can also result from such plasma-exposure, whereby lower molecular weight species, such as volatile oligomers and monomers, are

---

**Fig. 8** Examples of plasma-treated surfaces. (A) Petal- and tree-like graphene networks. (B) Titanium pillars structured in bulk material using reactive ion etching with fluorine plasma. (C) Collagen-grafted titanium surface via allylamine-glow discharge treatment and collagen crosslinking. Reprinted with permission from ref. 135–135.
desorbed from the surface of the biomaterial. Cross-linking occurs when radicals from one chain on the surface of the polymer combine with radicals from another polymer chain to form a bond, thus changing the mechanical surface properties of the material. When plasma-generated radicals recombine with atoms or chemical groups that are different from those originally present at the surface of the biomaterial, surface activation takes place. Surface activation can also take place through opening of dangling bonds on the surface.

The surface functionalities that arise as a result of plasma deposition or functionalization can serve as a platform for further surface modification processes, such as the grafting of biomolecules and other functional structures, and to tune the properties of the biomaterial for a specific application. Surfaces coated with plasma polymers can be used to bind proteins specifically via covalent linkages, e.g. streptavidin conjugation to aldehyde groups where the binding ability of the protein is retained, or nonspecifically through other irreversible adsorption mechanisms, e.g. streptavidin binding to ethanol plasma polymer surfaces where protein denaturation occurred. Chemical gradients with different density of a specific functionality or with a changing concentration of two functional groups across the biomaterial surface can be fabricated via plasma polymerisation by using a mask. Such gradients can be highly useful for investigations of microbial and eukaryotic cell response to variations in surface chemistry, with each sample serving as a platform for high-throughput testing of a range of cell-surface interactions. Morphological gradients can also be obtained with the help of plasma polymerization, whereby a surface is first functionalised with a specific moiety, e.g. amine, and then subjected to controlled immersion into the solution of nanoparticles. The variation in nanoparticle density gives rise to differences in surface roughness, the effect of which on cell adhesion and metabolism can be investigated independently of surface chemistry with an addition of thin plasma polymer top layer. Furthermore, biomolecules (e.g. proteins) that display selective attachment to given nanoparticles can be immobilised on these surfaces. These surfaces can then be used to study the effect of biomolecule density on cell-surface interactions.

5.2 Controlling plasma-assisted surface modification

The processing conditions, such as power delivered to the reactor, pressure within the reactor, monomer molecular weight and flow rate, presence of feed gas, etc. will determine activation, fragmentation, rearrangement and recombination of the monomer units in plasma. The key determinant of the modification outcome is the amount of energy delivered into the chamber in relation to the building units (from which polymers and nanostructures are synthesised) or to substrate material (in the case of etching).

Monomers do not always need to be fragmented; however, in a plasma environment, there are more options for monomer fragmentation. When fragmentation takes place, it typically involves the elimination of hydrogen atoms, and the scission of C–C bonds. Retention of the original chemical functionality within the resultant polymer deposit is highly dependent on the degree of monomer fragmentation. The technological challenge here lies in the ability to retain the desired chemistry and at the same time attain sound mechanical properties, desired density, stability, and adhesion to substrate in the material. To address this challenge, it is important to understand the mechanisms implicated in the plasma-assisted deposition of the polymer onto the surface.

While surface radical–plasma radical interactions were considered the primary route of polymer deposition for many years, recent findings have implicated ion adsorption and/or neutral grafting as potential drivers for plasma polymer formation at the surface. The mechanism to explain these phenomena centred on the energies at which depositing species arrive at the surface of the substrate. Under low pressure, low power conditions commonly used for fabrication of functional polymer coatings, neutral species such as radicals and unfragmented precursor molecules reach the surface at nearly ambient temperature (0.03–0.05 eV). On the other hand, ions are accelerated to the surface by the difference between the respective potentials of bulk plasma and the surface and thus arrive at the surface with much higher energies (15–20 eV). The higher energy of ions is sufficient to break chemical bonds at the biomaterial surface, leading to the formation of surface radicals. These radicals are then available for neutral grafting as per surface radical–plasma radical model, and can also promote cross-linking within the plasma polymer.

There is a clear link between the process parameters, the mechanism of film growth, and the resultant chemical and physical properties of the polymer structure. The chemical structure of the organic precursor was found to be critical, in particular, at low powers. The increased monomer fragmentation at high power reduces the ability of unsaturated monomers to grow via neutral grafting. For saturated monomers, there is a direct link between the deposition rate and ion flux to the substrate, whereas for unsaturated monomers, the neutral flux also plays a role. The material properties of these films also varied significantly. Polymers deposited from saturated monomers were characterised by higher moduli, lower solubility, and lower density compared to those grown from unsaturated precursors. As the utility of plasma coatings is reliant on the combination of desirable chemistry and morpholgy, as well as good substrate adhesion, controlled stability and suitable mechanical properties, understanding the relationship between the process parameters and material properties is crucial in the design of plasma polymer film processes to fully harness the unique plasma-specific chemistries and physical phenomena of non-equilibrium plasmas (Fig. 9).

5.3 Pulsed plasma deposition

Although low-power, low-pressure and low-temperature deposition is more conducive to the fabrication of plasma polymers with retained functionalities, the degree of fragmentation is still relatively high. As a result, polymers fabricated using this method retain only a fraction of the functionality present in the precursor, and are typically highly cross-linked and amorphous. Lowering power and temperature may reduce fragmentation...
even further, preserving more of the chemical structure present in the precursor. Yet, the utility of these coatings in vitro and in vivo is limited by their poor mechanical and chemical stability, and often unsatisfactory attachment to the substrate. These issues may be circumvented by pulsed plasma deposition, where two distinct regimes are employed (Fig. 10).

In contrast to continuous wave plasmas, monomer activation and generation of reactive sites on the surface occur only during on-periods (typically microseconds) whereas polymerisation takes place during off-periods (usually milliseconds) in the absence of UV-, ion-, or electron-induced damage to the growing film.\textsuperscript{158} The resultant polymer is characterised by high retention of original chemistries, good stability and covalent attachment of the grown film to the substrate at the free radical sites generated during the on-period. By controlling the input power,\textsuperscript{161} pulsing frequency and the duration of the pulse it is possible to tune chemical functionality, surface morphology and density of desired chemical functionality at the polymer surface.\textsuperscript{162,163} The process is highly versatile in terms of resultant surface chemistry, with pyridine,\textsuperscript{158} anhydride,\textsuperscript{164} amine,\textsuperscript{165} ester,\textsuperscript{166} hydroxyl,\textsuperscript{167} sulfonic acid,\textsuperscript{170} carboxylic acid,\textsuperscript{171} cyano,\textsuperscript{172,173} epoxide,\textsuperscript{174} halide,\textsuperscript{175} thiol,\textsuperscript{137,176} and furan\textsuperscript{177} functionalised surfaces reported.

5.4 Plasma-assisted processing of essential oils

The limited understanding of the exact mechanism of antibacterial efficacy of the essential oils and their individual compounds significantly limited their potential clinical uses, especially as part of antimicrobial coatings for medical implants. Indeed, most in vitro and in vivo studies to date employed phytochemicals in their liquid or vapour, unbound form. Tea tree oil delivered into the cavities of prostatic abscesses in dogs in place of aspirated purulent matter resulted in the disappearance of the purulent matter in the cavities and a marked reduction in the volume of the cavities.\textsuperscript{178}

The ability of these antimicrobials for site-specific applications, such as in release-based or non-leaching surfaces remains largely undiscovered. A range of polymer coatings based on ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene, very high molecular weight polyethylene and latex compounds and incorporating a wide range of biocidal phytochemical agents, alone and in combinations, have been proposed, with primary area of application being marine paints and coatings.\textsuperscript{179} In another patent, anti-fouling coating composition containing capsaicin were proposed, although these were not designed for medical implantation applications.\textsuperscript{180} A polymer system loaded with a variety of phytochemicals, phytonutrients, and chemical releasers has also been designed to inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria associated with packaged foodstuff.\textsuperscript{181} Recently, a number of antibacterial coatings containing curcumin have been developed. Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose silver nanocomposite films were loaded with curcumin by diffusion mechanism, with higher encapsulation of the agent observed in the films with higher cellulose content.\textsuperscript{182} Silver nanoparticles also enhanced the encapsulation of curcumin, suggesting a degree of interaction between these two antimicrobials. The synergistic effect between silver nanoparticles and curcumin was also observed in the antimicrobial activity against E. coli, with the activity being superior to either silver- or curcumin-only films. Sustained release and sound antibacterial efficacy was also observed for silver/curcumin-containing hydrogels based on poly(acrylamide)/poly(vinyl sulfonic acid sodium salt)\textsuperscript{183} and those based on chitosan–poly(vinyl alcohol) films.\textsuperscript{184}

Although promising, the aforementioned strategies relied on the use of other polymers or chemical substances to produce a
coating. Using non-equilibrium, low-temperature plasma polymerisation, Jacob and Bazaka and their colleagues demonstrated the possibility of producing solid polymer films exclusively from essential oils, including *M. alternifolia* and *Lavandula angustifolia* essential oils and their individual constituents.\(^{141,143}\) Fabricated over a wide range of processing parameters, these films varied in terms of chemical composition, surface morphology, stability and mechanical properties, while displaying uniform coverage and sound adhesion to a variety of substrates, including metals, ceramics, and polymers.\(^{145,146}\) Polymers fabricated from *M. alternifolia* oil and its major antimicrobial component terpinen-4-ol was demonstrated to be cytocompatible with a number of host cells. In combination with biological activity, their attractive optoelectronics properties make terpinen-4-ol films as potential candidates for inclusion in implantable electronics, where they can be used as both the device components and protective encapsulating layers.\(^{144,147–149}\)

Films fabricated at conditions that favoured preservation of original functionalities of the monomer via limited fragmentation and incorporation of unfragmented species into the polymer matrix were able to retard attachment and colonisation by such bacteria as *P. aeruginosa, S. aureus,* and *E. coli.*\(^{141,142,145}\) Fig. 11 shows the attachment preferences of two pathogens, *S. aureus* and *E. epidermidis* to polymers fabricated at three different input power levels.\(^{190}\) Surfaces rich in oxygen containing functional groups, particularly –OH, were characterised by higher antifouling and biocidal activity compared to more hydrocarbon dense coatings. In addition to availability of specific functionalities at the surface, it has been speculated that unfragmented monomer trapped within the polymer during deposition may be eluting over time, thus contributing to inhibition of biofilm formation at the polymer surface. It is believed that just as unmodified monomer, the eluted agent would interfere with the bacterial wall, leading to the suppression of cell biosynthesis, disruption of transport across the cell wall, loss of membrane integrity, and an increase in passive flux of protons across the membrane. However, the levels of the leached agent are sufficiently low to insure good cytocompatibility with mammalian cell lines.

Furthermore, the antimicrobial effect of these coatings is maintained over time under dry and wet conditions. Plasma polymer thin films fabricated from 1,8-cineole were able to successfully retard bacterial colonisation and biofilm formation by *Escherichia coli* for over 5 days, whereas plasma polymers of 1,7-octadiene, although hydrophobic and biofilm-retarding at early stages of bacterial exposure failed to maintain this activity. These results suggest that surface-immobilised and/or leachable constituents of cineol are primarily responsible for the observed activity.

The above examples relied on low-energy processing conditions to preserve the original chemistry of the film. However, increasing the processing energy can also produce useful structures fabricated from essential oils. Indeed, non-equilibrium and kinetics-driven phenomena of cold low-temperature plasma are often used for a simple, catalyst-free and highly-efficient production of self-organized nano-solids, e.g. graphene nanowalls.\(^{193,194}\) While many other techniques exist for the fabrication of functional graphene sheets, most require the use of hazardous chemicals or toxic gases, complex, multistage processing, and are energy-, time-, and resource-consuming. In addition to being quick and efficient, the plasma-assisted processes allow for good adhesion to the substrate, and preservation of original minerals present in the precursor, resulting in varying chemistries and morphologies of the fabricated layers. It is worth noting that although plasma-assisted fabrication can substantially lower the overall processing temperature, the plasma heating effects limit the suitability of the technique for processing of polymers and other temperature-sensitive biomaterials.\(^{140}\)

Graphene-based nanomaterials have been demonstrated to effectively inhibit the growth of bacteria, while showing minimal cytotoxicity to mammalian cell lines.\(^{197–201}\) Toxicity of graphene nanosheets in the form of graphene nanowalls on stainless steel towards *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* bacteria was attributed to the cell membrane damage from direct contact of the bacteria with the extremely sharp edges of the nanowalls,\(^{195}\) and a combination of membrane and oxidation stress, leading
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Fig. 12 (A) Nanowalls of graphene oxide (GONWs) and reduced graphene (RGNWs) kill Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria upon direct contact, by causing membrane damage and loss of intracellular material (RNA). Sharper edges and a better charge transfer between the bacteria and the edge of the reduced nanowalls leads to more effective killing. (B–G) Mechanism of contact killing of graphenes. Respiratory proteins on the surface of bacteria behave as n-type semiconductors. The physical contact of bacteria with semimetal graphene results in Schottky barrier formation and Fermi level alignment. Electrons are then effectively transferred from microbial membranes to graphene acceptors and then to underlying conductor or semiconductor substrate (C and F). For graphene on insulating substrate (G), the electrons cannot be transferred to the underlying insulator, with bacteria cells remaining alive. Reproduced with permission from ref. 195 and 196.

6. Conclusions

The continuous serious clinical complications related to multi-drug resistant pathogens have motivated researchers to explore alternative antibacterial agents whose mode of action differs sufficiently from the existing synthetic antibiotics, minimising the opportunity for bacteria to acquire resistance. Nature provides us with an abundant source of plant and animal-derived chemicals that have been specifically evolved by these organisms to ward off microbial invasion. Great physico-chemical diversity of these agents also brings about a significant challenge – only a very small fraction of the available agents have been identified. Their mechanism is even more elusive, as host organisms typically employ several defensive strategies that may complement, enhance and enable the activities of other contributors.

Lack of consistency with regard to in vitro and in vivo testing reported in the literature often makes it difficult to compare these chemicals against each other and, importantly, against established antimicrobials. Limited understanding of the structure–property relationship of these antimicrobials makes it difficult to devise strategies for their controlled spatiotemporal delivery, thus hindering their potential application as coatings for implantable devices. In order to be used in practical applications, in addition to sound antimicrobial performance, the antimicrobials should be compatible with the processing, integration, implantation, and operation conditions. In turn, the processing environment should be flexible to accommodate a variety of surface modifications, allow for control of both physical and chemical surface characteristics, and should not compromise the biological performance of the bulk material with regard to its intended application nor diminish the efficacy of the antimicrobial agent. Plasma-enabled surface processing is a family of technologies that have the potential to amply address these requirements. Future research is ought to be directed towards development of plasma-enabled and other surface fabrication and modification methodologies with the capacity to independently control chemical, physical and biological properties of the material.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding from ARC.

Notes and references
