



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 9820

## Generation of long, fully modified, and serum-resistant oligonucleotides by rolling circle amplification†

Marcel Hollenstein

Rolling Circle Amplification (RCA) is an isothermal enzymatic method generating single-stranded DNA products consisting of concatemers containing multiple copies of the reverse complement of the circular template precursor. Little is known on the compatibility of modified nucleoside triphosphates (dN\*TPs) with RCA, which would enable the synthesis of long, fully modified ssDNA sequences. Here, dNTPs modified at any position of the scaffold were shown to be compatible with rolling circle amplification, yielding long (>1 kb), and fully modified single-stranded DNA products. This methodology was applied for the generation of long, cytosine-rich synthetic mimics of telomeric DNA. The resulting modified oligonucleotides displayed an improved resistance to fetal bovine serum.

Received 25th July 2015,  
Accepted 7th August 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ob01540e

www.rsc.org/obc

### Introduction

The intricate and enzyme-based *in vivo* DNA replication machinery has been a source of inspiration for the generation of strategies for the *in vitro* amplification of nucleic acids. In this context, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and rolling circle amplification (RCA) are the most used and renowned methods for the amplification of DNA.<sup>1–3</sup> RCA is an isothermal polymerase-mediated replication of single-stranded (ss) DNA nanocircle templates that yields long ssDNA products containing numerous repeats complementary to the sequence of the circular precursor.<sup>4–8</sup> This amplification tool has found a variety of applications including DNA quantitation,<sup>6,9</sup> sensing of biologically relevant analytes,<sup>10–14</sup> whole genome analysis,<sup>3</sup> and the construction of DNA nanowires.<sup>15</sup> Furthermore, small circular DNAs have served as templates for the generation of synthetic mimics of human telomeres.<sup>16,17</sup>

Due to staggering advances in organic synthesis and polymerase engineering, the co-polymerization of modified deoxy-nucleoside triphosphates (dN\*TPs) has advanced as a versatile and convenient method for increasing the chemical repertoire of the functionality deprived nucleic acids.<sup>18,19</sup> Indeed, the inclusion of dN\*TPs in primer extension reactions has allowed to equip nucleic acids with a variety of functional groups

including amino acids,<sup>20–24</sup> redox labels,<sup>25–27</sup> side-chains capable of organocatalysis,<sup>28</sup> transition metals,<sup>29,30</sup> boronic acids,<sup>31</sup> and even oligonucleotides.<sup>32</sup> These modified nucleotides are typically inserted in oligonucleotides by primer extension reactions (PEX) or PCR, yielding dsDNA products which can then be further converted to ssDNAs. Surprisingly, while dN\*TPs have been engaged in a multitude of practical applications including *in vitro* selections of catalytic nucleic acids,<sup>33,34</sup> only few examples report on the combined use of dN\*TPs and RCA, which allows for the generation of modified ssDNA products. In this context, single dN\*TPs (mainly dUTPs) adorned with fluorophores including DY-555 and Cy5 have been used in RCA for fluorescence imaging purposes of the ensuing reaction products,<sup>35–39</sup> while a dATP equipped with a biotin residue was recently included in RCA in order to facilitate the labelling of the long ssDNA products with ZnS nanocrystal clusters.<sup>40</sup> Finally, Marx *et al.* took advantage of the combined use of modified dUTPs and RCA to generate long DNAs embellished with short organic polymers.<sup>41</sup> All these examples involve the inclusion of single, base-modified dNTPs, mainly mediated by the  $\Phi$ 29 DNA polymerase, which is rather intolerant to modified substrates.<sup>38,42</sup> However, some applications would benefit from the inclusion of multiple and differentially modified dNTPs, and thus a general method for the insertion of chemical diversity into RCA products would be an interesting asset.


Herein, I report that deoxynucleoside triphosphates modified at any possible site, *i.e.* at the level of the nucleobase, the backbone, and the sugar unit (Fig. 1), are fully compatible with the rolling circle amplification method. Application of this strategy allowed for the generation of very long (>1 kb)

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland. E-mail: hollenstein@dcb.unibe.ch;

Fax: (+41) 31-631-3422

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General procedures, oligonucleotide sequences, additional gel images and tables. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ob01540e





**Fig. 1** (A) Chemical structure of the modified dN\*TPs used to test the compatibility with RCA (B = A, C, T); (B) schematic representation of the generation of long, modified ssDNAs with rolling circle amplification. The templates consisting of G-rich telomeric repeats (for **T1-3**,  $n = 4-6$ )<sup>45</sup> are circularized with **CircLigase**<sup>TM</sup>. In the presence of a suitable primer, a DNA polymerase, and dN\*TPs, the nanocircle DNAs serve as virtually infinite templates in RCA.

single-stranded and highly functionalized DNAs. Moreover, dN\*TPs could be employed to generate long, cytosine-rich mimics of telomeric DNA. The combination of RCA with dN\*TPs represents a potentially useful method for the generation of long fully modified ssDNAs with increased resistance to nucleases, which might be engaged in a variety of potent applications including biosensing,<sup>4,43</sup> generation of nanomaterials,<sup>15,39</sup> and the development of more potent catalytic nucleic acids.<sup>14,44</sup>

## Results and discussion


### Design of the templates and dN\*TPs

With the intent of investigating on the compatibility of dN\*TPs with RCA, the DNA nanocircle templates **cT1-3** developed by Kool *et al.* were chosen (Fig. 1B).<sup>45</sup> These templates consist of 7-9 perfect G-rich telomeric repeats (GGGTTA) and are ideally suited for the generation of long C-rich products. These DNA nanocircles also allowed for the assessment of the compatibility of dN\*TPs modified at every possible location (*viz.* the nucleobase, the sugar, or the backbone) on templates with a more demanding topology. The circular templates **cT1-3** are accessible only by application of an orthogonal protecting group strategy at the level of the linear precursors **T1-3**, since the splint oligonucleotides are prone to hybridize only in the center of these sequences.<sup>17,45,46</sup> However, this additional synthetic step can be circumvented by using **CircLigase**<sup>TM</sup>, an ATP-dependent ligase that mediates the intramolecular ligation of 5'-phosphorylated single-stranded oligonucleotides.<sup>47,48</sup> Indeed, when the unprotected 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide precursors are incubated at 60 °C in the presence of **CircLigase**<sup>TM</sup>, only the circular products are formed

(ESI, Fig. S1†), which could be isolated in acceptable yields after gel elution (15-40% isolated yields). The integrity of the formed circular products was assessed by exonuclease I digestion (ESI, Fig. S2†).


### Polymerase screen under RCA conditions with the G-rich templates **cT1-3**

With the circular templates in hand, a panel of DNA polymerases (both A- and B-family) was screened to assess the ability of extending a primer under RCA conditions in the presence of both unmodified and modified dNTPs (Fig. 1B). Concerning the dN\*TPs, triphosphates 1-3 bearing amino acid like side-chains anchored at C5 of the pyrimidine and N7 of the purine nucleobases,<sup>24</sup> sugar-modified 2'-fluoro-rNTPs 5, and backbone-modified  $\alpha$ -thio-dNTPs 6 were chosen as substrates (Fig. 1A). The polymerization reactions with template **cT3** and primer **P1** followed standard procedures<sup>41,45</sup> and analyses of the resulting products are shown in Fig. 2 and the ESI (Fig. S3-5†). Various polymerases including *Vent* (*exo*<sup>-</sup>), 9°N<sub>m</sub> and even *Pfu* were capable of efficiently extending the primer to generate long, single-stranded products with natural dNTPs (lanes 1, 2, and 5, respectively). On the other hand, only the 9°N<sub>m</sub> DNA polymerase seemed to be proficient at the polymerization of the dN\*TPs under these conditions (lanes 2 in Fig. 2). As observed in a previous report,<sup>45</sup> the  $\Phi$ 29 DNA polymerase displayed little propensity at extending the primer **P1** under RCA conditions in the presence of either modified or natural dNTPs (lanes 4). Similar results were also observed with the other slightly shorter templates **cT1** and **cT2**, both in the presence of single and multiple dN\*TPs in the reaction mixtures (ESI, Fig. S6-12†). Moreover, using 9°N<sub>m</sub> as the polymerase leads to the conversion of the majority of the primer **P1** into long (>1 kb) products regardless of the nature of the modification (Fig. 3). As estimated by quantitative autoradiography (ESI, Table S1†), the  $\alpha$ -thio dNTPs 6 are most efficiently incorporated (~30% of conversion of the primer to RCA product), followed by the base-modified dNTPs 1-3 (~20%), and the natural triphosphates (~10%). Not surprisingly, the 2'-fluoro-



**Fig. 2** Gel images (close-up view; 10% denaturing PAGE) of the reaction products from RCA with circular template **cT3** and three  $\alpha$ -thio dNTPs 6 (line A), the base-modified dNTPs 1-3 (line B), and three 2'-fluoro-rNTPs 5 (line C). DNA polymerases used: lane 1: *Vent* (*exo*<sup>-</sup>); lane 2: 9°N<sub>m</sub>; lane 3: Klenow fragment; lane 4:  $\Phi$ 29; lane 5: *Pfu*; lane 6: *Pwo*; P: control without polymerase.





**Fig. 3** Analysis (5% denaturing PAGE) of the products obtained by rolling circle amplification with templates **cT1**–**3**. Lane 1:  $\alpha$ -thio dNTPs **6**; lane 2: 2'-fluoro-rNTPs **5**; lane 3: base-modified dNTPs **1**–**3**; lane 4: natural dNTPs; P: control without polymerase; L: low molecular weight ladder.

rNTPs **5** led to the lowest conversion ( $\sim$ 5%) since they are rather moderate substrates for DNA polymerases with the exception of 2'-F-rCTP.<sup>49,50</sup>

In order to exclude the possibility that the observed products stem from another mechanism than rolling circle (*e.g.* multiround rolling hairpin replication<sup>7</sup>), primer extension reactions (PEX) were carried out with the linear template **T1** and the  $9^{\circ}N_m$  DNA polymerase (ESI, Fig. S13<sup>†</sup>). The main products observed correspond to fully extended primer **P1** with a retardation in the gel mobility of bands in the case where modified dNTPs were used.<sup>24,41</sup> Multiple bands also arose due to the existence of various primer binding sites on each template. On the other hand, very little ( $<$ 10%) of long products that were not resolved by 10% PAGE, formed under PEX conditions with the linear templates. Moreover, a Sanger sequencing experiment based on dideoxynucleoside triphosphates (ddNTPs) was carried out on the RCA product stemming from the reaction of the  $9^{\circ}N_m$  DNA polymerase with template **cT1**, primer **P1**, and natural dNTPs (ESI, Fig. S14<sup>†</sup>). This sequencing experiment clearly revealed the expected pattern of a product resulting from a rolling circle amplification, thus showing that RCA is the predominating mechanism under these conditions, in agreement with earlier findings.<sup>41</sup>


### RCA with different templates and dNTPs

Next, the generality of the method was assessed by changing the topology of the templates as well as the nature of the functional groups present on the dNTPs. In this context, the circular template **cT4** (ESI<sup>†</sup>) containing a randomized  $N_{20}$  region,<sup>7</sup> similar to templates used in *in vitro* selections,<sup>18</sup> was chosen to maximize chemical and sequence diversity. Therefore, when dNTPs **1**–**3** along with 7-deaza-dGTP **4** were used in conjunction with **cT4** and the corresponding primer **P2**, fully modified RCA products could be obtained with the  $9^{\circ}N_m$  DNA polymerase (ESI, Fig. S15 and 16, and Tables S2 and S3<sup>†</sup>). Similarly, when dNTPs **5** and **6** were used either with natural or modified dGTP **4**, long, modified RCA products were consistently observed when **cT4** served as the template (data not shown). Also, circular template **cT5**, which contains the reverse complement of the sequence corresponding to the RNA-cleaving DNase 10–23,<sup>51</sup> was obtained by a T4 DNA ligase mediated splint ligation (ESI<sup>†</sup>). **cT5** was then used as a template for RCA with one lone dNTP or a combination of different dNTPs along with primer **P2** (ESI, Fig. S17<sup>†</sup>). In all cases, the  $9^{\circ}N_m$  DNA polymerase could extend the primer to generate long, modified ssDNA RCA products with high efficiencies.

The insertion of other functional groups is also possible by RCA. Indeed, when dUTP analogs bearing side-chains that could promote organocatalysis, *i.e.* proline-based residues or strong H-bond donors,<sup>52,53</sup> were included in the RCA reaction with **cT4**, along with  $\alpha$ -thio-dATP,  $\alpha$ -thio-dCTP, and dGTP **4**, the expected fully modified, ssDNA products were obtained (ESI, Fig. S18<sup>†</sup>).

### Serum stability of the RCA products

Lastly, the enzymatic stability of the fully modified single-stranded oligonucleotides obtained by RCA in a biological environment was assessed. In this context, the RCA products obtained with template **T1** were incubated in 10% heat-deactivated FBS at 37 °C. Fig. 4 shows that little degradation



**Fig. 4** Gel images (10% denaturing PAGE) of the analysis of the treatment of the RCA products obtained with template **cT1** (A) and **cT3** (B) with 10% FBS at 37 °C. Modifications used in RCA: natural dNTPs (line 1); dNTPs **1**–**3** (line 2);  $\alpha$ -thio-dNTPs **6** (line 3); 2'-fluoro-rNTPs **5** (line 4).



occurred over 10 h with the  $\alpha$ -phosphorothioate oligonucleotides (line 3), while the unmodified DNA controls were rapidly degraded under these conditions (line 1). Similarly, the products stemming from the polymerization of the base-modified dN\*TPs 1–3 and the 2'-fluoro-rNTPs were rather resilient to degradation (lines 2 and 4, respectively).

## Conclusions

In summary, I demonstrated that modified deoxynucleoside triphosphates are fully compatible with the rolling circle strategy, regardless of the nature of the modification and the topology of the template. The  $9^\circ N_m$  DNA polymerase, which is more tolerant to modified substrates than the  $\Phi 29$  DNA polymerase which is typically used for RCA, seems to be the most proficient enzyme for the extension of primers under RCA conditions with dN\*TPs. This method was employed to generate fully modified, cytosine-rich mimics of telomeric DNA and all the resulting modified RCA products presented an increased nuclease resistance compared to the unmodified counterparts.

The inclusion of chemical diversity into RCA can further broaden the scope of this important methodology and promote the development of new tools for studying biological systems. Moreover, this methodology could have an important impact in synthetic biology, since it guarantees a facile access to fully-modified single-stranded DNA sequences which are difficult to be obtained by any other enzymatic or chemical strategy. Since the inclusion of dN\*TPs can enhance the nuclease resistance of the ensuing RCA products new biosensors and biocatalysts can be developed.

## Experimental procedures

### General procedure for ligation of templates

1 nmol of oligonucleotides T1–T3 were ligated in 40  $\mu$ L reactions that contained 1 $\times$  buffer (50 mM MOPS (pH 7.5), 10 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 1 mM DTT), 5 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 100  $\mu$ M ATP, 1M betaine, and 200 U of CircLigase<sup>TM</sup>. The reactions were incubated at 60  $^\circ$ C for 12 h and then heat deactivated (80  $^\circ$ C, 10 min). The reaction mixtures were precipitated (phenol-chloroform extraction followed by an EtOH wash) and dissolved in 20  $\mu$ L of H<sub>2</sub>O. An equal volume of gel loading buffer (formamide (70%), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; 50 mM), bromophenol (0.1%), xylene cyanol (0.1%)) was added and the ligated oligonucleotides were purified by 20% PAGE (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The bands corresponding to product were then eluted (crush and soak method using a buffer consisting of LiClO<sub>4</sub> 1%, NEt<sub>3</sub> 1 mM, pH 8), precipitated (EtOH), and desalted (G10 spin columns). 150–400 pmol of circular oligonucleotides were then recovered as determined by UV spectroscopy ( $A_{260}$  measurements).

### General procedure for exonuclease I treatment<sup>54</sup>

The cleavage reactions were performed in 20  $\mu$ L reactions containing 1 $\times$  reaction buffer (67 mM Glycine-KOH, 6.7 mM

MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM  $\beta$ -ME, pH 9.5) and 10 U of exonuclease I. The mixtures were incubated at 37  $^\circ$ C for 30 min. Following heat deactivation (80  $^\circ$ C, 10 min), an equal volume of loading buffer was added and the reaction products were analyzed by 15% PAGE (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

### General procedure for rolling circle amplification

The appropriate template (2 pmol per reaction) and <sup>32</sup>P-labelled primer (~1 pmol per reaction) were annealed in the presence of 10 $\times$  enzyme buffer (provided by the supplier of the DNA polymerase) by heating to 95  $^\circ$ C and then gradually cooling down to room temperature. The adequate DNA polymerase (2 U) and water were then added in turn to the annealed oligonucleotides at 4  $^\circ$ C. The various dNTPs were then added (1 mM final concentration) for a total reaction volume of 20  $\mu$ L. Following incubation at the optimal temperature for 60 min, the reactions were quenched by adding of 20  $\mu$ L of stop solution (formamide (70%), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; 50 mM), bromophenol (0.1%), xylene cyanol (0.1%)). The reaction mixtures were subjected to gel electrophoresis in 5–10% denaturing PAGE containing TBE 1 $\times$  buffer (pH 8) and 7 M urea. The products were run against a <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabelled low molecular weight DNA ladder (units are given in base pairs) that served as a size standard. Visualization was performed by phosphorimaging.

### Serum stability assays<sup>55</sup>

The RCA products were generated as described above. The resulting reaction mixtures were heat deactivated (95  $^\circ$ C, 10 min). 4  $\mu$ L aliquots were then incubated in 10% FBS at 37  $^\circ$ C for given amounts of time. The reaction mixtures were then quenched with 10  $\mu$ L of stop solution and analyzed by 15% PAGE. The following time-points were taken: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 20 h.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to gratefully acknowledge Prof. C. Leumann for a critical assessment of this manuscript and for providing lab space and equipment, as well as for his constant support. Dr. Hilda Hollenstein is acknowledged for fruitful discussions. This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant numbers PZ00P2\_126430/1 and PZ00P2\_144595).

## Notes and references

- 1 J. Van Ness, L. K. Van Ness and D. J. Galas, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, **100**, 4504–4509.
- 2 B. Schweitzer and S. Kingsmore, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2001, **12**, 21–27.
- 3 R. Johne, H. Müller, A. Rector, M. Van Ranst and H. Stevens, *Trends Microbiol.*, 2009, **17**, 205–211.



- 4 M. M. Ali, F. Li, Z. Zhang, K. Zhang, D. K. Kang, J. A. Ankrum, X. C. Le and W. Zhao, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 3324–3341.
- 5 W. Zhao, M. M. Ali, M. A. Brook and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6330–6337.
- 6 P. M. Lizardi, X. Huang, Z. Zhu, P. Bray-Ward, D. C. Thomas and D. C. Ward, *Nat. Genet.*, 1998, **19**, 225–232.
- 7 A. Fire and S.-Q. Xu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1995, **92**, 4641–4645.
- 8 D. Liu, S. L. Daubendiek, M. A. Zillman, K. Ryan and E. T. Kool, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 1587–1594.
- 9 F. Dahl, J. Banér, M. Gullberg, M. Mendel-Hartvig, U. Landegren and M. Nilsson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2004, **101**, 4548–4553.
- 10 D. A. Di Giusto, W. A. Wlassoff, J. J. Gooding, B. A. Messerle and G. C. King, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2005, **33**, e64.
- 11 E. J. Cho, L. Yang, M. Levy and A. D. Ellington, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 2022–2023.
- 12 X. Zhu, H. Xu, H. Zheng, G. Yang, Z. Lin, B. Qiu, L. Guo, Y. Chi and G. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10115–10117.
- 13 M. M. Ali and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 3512–3515.
- 14 S. A. McManus and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7181–7186.
- 15 Y. Ma, H. Zheng, C. Wang, Q. Yan, J. Chao, C. Fan and S.-J. Xiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 2959–2962.
- 16 U. M. Lindström, R. A. Chandrasekaran, L. Orbai, S. A. Helquist, G. P. Miller, E. Oroudjev, H. G. Hansma and E. T. Kool, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2002, **99**, 15953–15958.
- 17 J. S. Hartig and E. T. Kool, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2004, **32**, e152.
- 18 M. Hollenstein, *Molecules*, 2012, **17**, 13569–13591.
- 19 M. Hocek, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 9914–9921.
- 20 K. Sakthivel and C. F. Barbas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 2872–2875.
- 21 S. Jäger, G. Rasched, H. Kornreich-Leshem, M. Engeser, O. Thum and M. Famulok, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 15071–15082.
- 22 V. Raindlová, R. Pohl and M. Hocek, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 4080–4087.
- 23 Y. Mori, S. Ozasa, M. Kitaoka, S. Noda, T. Tanaka, H. Ichinose and N. Kamiya, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6971–6973.
- 24 M. Hollenstein, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 5162–5172.
- 25 M. Hocek and M. Fojta, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 5802–5814.
- 26 P. Ménová, H. Cahová, M. Plucnara, L. Havran, M. Fojta and M. Hocek, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4652–4654.
- 27 J. Balintová, J. Špaček, R. Pohl, M. Brázdová, L. Havran, M. Fojta and M. Hocek, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 575–587.
- 28 M. Hollenstein, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 13320–13330.
- 29 L. Kalachova, R. Pohl, L. Bednářová, J. Fanfrlík and M. Hocek, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 78–89.
- 30 L. Kalachova, R. Pohl and M. Hocek, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 49–55.
- 31 Y. Cheng, C. Dai, H. Peng, S. Zheng, S. Jin and B. Wang, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2011, **6**, 2747–2752.
- 32 A. Baccaro, A.-L. Steck and A. Marx, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 254–257.
- 33 M. Hollenstein, C. J. Hipolito, C. H. Lam and D. M. Perrin, *ACS Comb. Sci.*, 2013, **15**, 174–182.
- 34 A. I. Taylor, V. B. Pinheiro, M. J. Smola, A. S. Morgunov, S. Peak-Chew, C. Cozens, K. M. Weeks, P. Herdewijn and P. Holliger, *Nature*, 2015, **518**, 427–430.
- 35 I. V. Smolina, D. I. Cherny, R. M. Nietupski, T. Beals, J. H. Smith, D. J. Lane, N. E. Broude and V. V. Demidov, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2005, **347**, 152–155.
- 36 L. Linck and U. Resch-Genger, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **45**, 5561–5566.
- 37 L. Linck, P. Kapusta and U. Resch-Genger, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2012, **88**, 867–875.
- 38 L. Linck, E. Reiss, F. Bier and U. Resch-Genger, *Anal. Methods*, 2012, **4**, 1215–1220.
- 39 G. Zhu, R. Hu, Z. Zhao, Z. Chen, X. Zhang and W. Tan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16438–16445.
- 40 J. Yao, K. Flack, L. Ding and W. Zhong, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 3121–3125.
- 41 A. Baccaro and A. Marx, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 218–226.
- 42 J. A. Esteban, M. Salas and L. Blanco, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1993, **268**, 2719–2726.
- 43 D.-L. Ma, D. S.-H. Chan, B. Y.-W. Man and C.-H. Leung, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2011, **6**, 986–1003.
- 44 Z. Cheglakov, Y. Weizmann, B. Basnar and I. Willner, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2007, **5**, 223–225.
- 45 J. S. Hartig, S. Fernandez-Lopez and E. T. Kool, *ChemBioChem*, 2005, **6**, 1458–1462.
- 46 U. M. Lindström and E. T. Kool, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2002, **30**, e101.
- 47 T. Blondal, A. Thorisdottir, U. Unnsteinsdottir, S. Hjørleifsdottir, A. Avarsson, S. Ernstsson, O. H. Fridjonsson, S. Skirnisdottir, J. O. Wheat, A. G. Hermannsdottir, S. T. Sigurdsson, G. O. Hreggvidsson, A. V. Smith and J. K. Kristjansson, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2005, **33**, 135–142.
- 48 H. Gu, K. Furukawa, Z. Weinberg, D. F. Berenson and R. R. Breaker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9121–9129.
- 49 C. G. Peng and M. J. Damha, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2008, **86**, 881–891.
- 50 T. Kasuya, S. Hori, H. Hiramatsu and T. Yanagimoto, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **24**, 2134–2136.
- 51 S. W. Santoro and G. F. Joyce, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1997, **94**, 4262–4266.
- 52 M. C. Holland and R. Gilmour, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 3862–3871.
- 53 X. Fang and C.-J. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 1185–1197.
- 54 C. M. Tate, A. N. Nuñez, C. A. Goldstein, I. Gomes, J. M. Robertson, M. F. Kavlick and B. Budowle, *Forensic Sci. Int.: Genet.*, 2012, **6**, 185–190.
- 55 A.-B. Gerber and C. J. Leumann, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 6990–7006.

