Organic & **Biomolecular Chemistry**

REVIEW



Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, **13**, 10420

Recent advances in the ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatics: synthesis of trisubstituted alkenes

Rajendran Manikandan and Masilamani Jeganmohan*

The hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted aromatics in the presence of a metal catalyst via chelationassisted C-H bond activation is a powerful method to synthesize trisubstituted alkenes. Chelationassisted C-H bond activation can be done by two ways: (a) an oxidative addition pathway and (b) a deprotonation pathway. Generally, a mixture of cis and trans stereoisomeric as well as regioisomeric trisubstituted alkenes was observed in an oxidative addition pathway. In the deprotonation pathway, the hydroarylation reaction can be done in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner, and enables preparation of the expected trisubstituted alkenes in a highly selective manner. Generally, ruthenium, rhodium and cobalt complexes are used as catalysts in the reaction. In this review, a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted aromatics is covered completely. The hydroarylation reaction of alkynes with amide, azole, carbamate, phosphine oxide, amine, acetyl, sulfoxide and sulphur directed aromatics is discussed.

Received 17th July 2015, Accepted 1st September 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c5ob01472g

www.rsc.org/obc

Introduction

The alkene subunits are present in various natural products, drug molecules and materials. In addition, alkenes are versatile synthetic intermediates which are widely used for various organic transformations.¹ The transition metal-catalyzed coupling of aromatic electrophiles or organometallic reagents with carbon–carbon π -components is a powerful route to synthesize alkene derivatives in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner.^{2,3}

Alkenes and alkynes are widely used as carbon-carbon π -components in the coupling reaction. Usually, alkenes reacted with aromatic electrophiles or organometallic reagents in the presence of a metal catalyst, providing disubstituted alkenes (Fig. 1)² and alkynes that reacted with aromatic electrophiles or organometallic reagents, affording trisubstituted alkenes (Fig. 1).³ Various metal complexes such as palladium, nickel, cobalt, rhodium, iron, etc. are widely used as catalysts in this type of alkenylation reaction. Aromatic iodides, aromatic bromides and aromatic triflates are frequently used as electrophiles in the reaction. Similarly, aromatic organometallic reagents such as borane, silane, stannane and magnesium are used as a transmetallating agent. Although this type of coupling reaction is very powerful to synthesize substituted

alkenes, a preactivated coupling partner such as a C-X or C-Y is usually required on the aromatic moiety. A preactivated species such as X or Y is wasted at end of the reaction. If a similar type of reaction is carried out directly by the C-H bond of the aromatic moiety instead of a C-X or C-M, it would be more useful in organic synthesis. Because, this method would be highly atom- and step economical as well as an environmentally friendly process.

Alternatively, alkene derivatives can also be prepared by a metal-catalyzed chelation-assisted alkenylation at the C-H bond of substituted aromatics with carbon-carbon π -components via C-H bond activation without having any preactivated species on the aromatic moiety (Fig. 2).⁴ There are several ways to activate the C-H bond of aromatics in the presence of metal catalysts.⁵ However, carrying out the C-H bond activation in a controlled and regioselective manner is a challenging task. This type of regioselective C-H bond activation can be done by a chelation-assisted metallation pathway (Fig. 3). Usually, a heteroatom such as a nitrogen or an oxygen containing directing group is needed on the aromatic moiety to activate the C-H bond in a highly regioselective manner. The heteroatom of the directing group coordinates with a metal centre via either σ or π bond and allows bringing the ortho C-H bond of aromatics in close proximity to the active metal centre. During this time, the C-H bond activation takes place very selectively at the ortho position providing a five membered metallacycle intermediate. There are two pathways, such as oxidative addition and deprotonation, possible to acti-



View Article Online

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune 411021, India. E-mail: mjeganmohan@iiserpune.ac.in



Fig. 1 Synthesis of alkenes by cross-coupling reaction.

vate the C–H bond of an organic moiety (Fig. 3). In the oxidative addition pathway, a five membered hydrometallacycle intermediate I is formed and in the deprotonation pathway, a five membered metallacycle intermediate without having a hydride species II is formed. It is important to note that in the deprotonation pathway; usually a carbonate or acetate base is required to deprotonate the C–H bond of organic moiety. In the oxidative addition pathway, a metal species undergoes an oxidative addition with a C–H bond of aromatic moiety and providing a hydrometallacycle intermediate I. Generally, M(0) or M(1) active catalysts favour oxidative addition pathway and M(π)(OR)₂ or M(π)(OR)₂ catalysts favours deprotonation









Fig. 3 Metal-catalyzed chelation-assisted C-H bond activation.



Rajendran Manikandan

Manikandan Rajendran was born in Odaipatti, Tamilnadu, India, in 1986. He received his bachelor degree from Gandhigram Rural University in 2010. He received his master degree from Madurai Kamaraj University in 2012. He is currently pursuing his PhD under the guidance of Dr M. Jeganmohan at IISER Pune, India. His PhD research focuses on the C-H bond functionalization of organic molecules via metalcatalyzed C-H bond activation.



Masilamani Jeganmohan

Masilamani Jeganmohan was born in Vazhapattampalayam, Tamilnadu, India in 1978. He received his master degree in organic chemistry from University of Madras in 2001. He earned his Ph.D. from the National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan under the guidance of Prof. Chien-Hong Cheng in 2005 and pursued postdoctoral work in the same laboratory (2005-2009). Then, he moved to the Ludwig-Maximilians-Univer-

sität, Munich, Germany, for a postdoctoral study with Prof. Paul Knochel supported by Alexander von Humboldt foundation (2009 to 2010). At present, he is working as an assistant professor in Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune (2010– till now). He was the recipient of the DAE Young Scientist Research Award (2011), the Science Academy Medal for a young associate, Indian Academy of Sciences (2012–2015), the Science Academy Medal for Young Scientists, Indian National Science Academy (2013), Alkyl Amines – the ICT Young Scientist Award by the Institute of Chemical Technology Mumbai (2013) and the ISCB Award of Appreciation for Chemical Science, CSIR-CDRI (2014), India. His research interest includes the development of new synthetic methods using metal complexes as catalysts, asymmetric synthesis and natural product synthesis.



Fig. 4 Metal-catalyzed chelation-assisted hydroarylation reaction.

pathway. In this context, metal-catalyzed chelation-assisted *ortho* alkenylation of substituted aromatics with alkenes is well explored in the literature.⁴ An *ortho* alkenylation of substituted aromatics with alkynes has gained much attention quite recently.

In 1993, Murai's group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed chelation-assisted ortho alkylation of aromatic ketones with alkenes via C-H bond activation. In the reaction, aromatic ketones reacted with alkenes in the presence of RuH₂(CO)-(PPh₃)₃, giving ortho alkylated aromatic ketones in a highly regioselective manner.^{6a} The C-H bond activation reaction proceeds via an oxidative addition pathway. Later, the same group demonstrated an ortho alkenylation of aromatic ketones with alkynes, leading to trisubstituted alkenes in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst (Fig. 4).^{6b} The hydroarylation reaction proceeds via a chelation-assisted oxidative addition of the ortho C-H bond of the aromatic ketone with a ruthenium catalyst providing a five-membered hydrometallacycle intermediate III. Later, an alkyne undergoes coordinative insertion into a metal-hydride bond of intermediate III followed by reductive elimination, providing a trisubstituted alkene derivative and regenerates an active Ru(0) catalyst for the next catalytic cycle. However, this type of hydroarylation reaction is not completely regio- and stereoselective. Mostly, a mixture of regio- and stereoisomeric trisubstituted alkenes was observed. For example, the aromatic ketone reacted with the symmetrical alkyne, diphenylacetylene, in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst, yielding a mixture of cis and trans stereoisomeric trisubstituted alkenes. Later, Murai's group has reported the hydroarylation of alkynes with various directing groups such as ester, nitrile and aldehyde substituted aromatics in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst.⁶ Later, a similar type of hydroarylation of alkynes with heteroatom substituted aromatics has been well explored by using various metal complexes such as rhodium, iridium, palladium, nickel, cobalt and manganese complexes as catalysts. Although it is one of the best methods to synthesize trisubstituted alkenes in one pot, the observation of a mixture of cis and trans stereoisomeric and regioisomeric products limits the synthetic application in organic synthesis.

The recent observation has clearly revealed that this type of regio- and stereoisomeric issues can be easily overcome by carrying out the hydroarylation reaction *via* a concerted deprotonation metallation pathway.⁷ In the reaction, substituted aromatics reacted with alkynes in the presence of a ruthenium



Fig. 5 Rhodium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted indoles.

catalyst, providing trisubstituted alkene derivatives in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. Notably, the metal oxidant is not needed for the hydroarylation reaction unlike the orthoalkenylation of aromatics with alkenes in the presence of metal catalysts. The catalytic reaction proceeds via a chelationassisted acetate accelerated deprotonation at the ortho C-H bond of the hetero atom substituted aromatic with a metal complex (Rh or Ru), providing a metallacycle intermediate IV. Coordinative insertion of an alkyne into the metal-carbon bond of metallacycle followed by protonation in the presence of organic acid provides trisubstituted alkene derivative in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner (Fig. 4). The regiochemistry of the product of this reaction is completely reversed when compared with the regiochemistry of the product observed via an oxidative addition pathway. In the oxidative addition pathway, alkynes preferred to insert into a Ru-H bond of intermediate III compared with a Ru-C bond. In the deprotonation pathway, alkynes preferred to insert into a Ru-C bond of metallacycle intermediate IV.

Ruthenium, rhodium and cobalt complexes are widely used as a catalyst in the reaction. In 2010, Fagnou *et al.* reported a rhodium-catalyzed amide group assisted hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted indoles (Fig. 5).⁸ The hydroarylation reaction proceeds *via* a deprotonation metallation pathway. The reaction pathway was supported by a deuterium labelling experiment. In this review, we would like to focus on a ruthenium-catalyzed direct C–H bond hydroarylation of substituted aromatics with alkynes *via* a chelation-assisted deprotonation metallation pathway.

Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with benzamides

In 2012, Miura's group reported a highly regio- and stereoselective hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted benzamides, providing trisubstituted alkenes in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner.^{9*a,b*} When *N,N*-dimethylbenzamide (1a) was treated with symmetrical diphenylacetylene (2a) in the presence of [{RuCl₂(*p*-cymene)}₂] (5.0 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and acetic acid (4.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 5 h, a trisubstituted alkene **3a** was observed in 82% yield



Scheme 1 Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with *N*,*N*-dialkyl benzamides.

(Scheme 1). It is important to note that the product **3a** was obtained only in 43% yield without acetic acid under similar reaction conditions. In the meantime, no hydroarylation product **3a** was observed in the presence of an acetate base, KOAc, instead of acetic acid. In the reaction, acetic acid acts as a proton donor as well as a base to activate the C–H bond of benzamide.

The hydroarylation reaction was compatible with various substituted alkynes. Particularly, unsymmetrical alkynes such as 1-phenyl-1-propyne (2b) and 1-phenyl-1-butyne (2c) regioselectively reacted with benzamide (1a), yielding trisubstituted alkenes 3b and 3c in 77% and 68% yields, respectively, in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. In the reaction, alkyl groups such as Me and n-Bu substituted carbon of alkynes connected at the ortho carbon of 1a. Similarly, 1-phenyl-2-(trimethylsilyl)acetylene (2d) provided disubstituted alkene 3d in 63% yield along with trisubstituted alkene 3d' in 17% yield, respectively. During the reaction, a silvl group was cleaved in product 3d. Apart from an internal alkyne, the reaction was also examined with a terminal alkyne, tris(isopropyl)-silylacetylene (2e). However, only 19% of disubstituted alkene 3e was observed. Under similar reaction conditions, substituted benzamides and cyclic benzamides also nicely participated in the reaction with diphenylacetylene (2a), yielding ortho alkenylated products 3f-h in good yields.

The alkenylation reaction was also compatible with substituted phenyl azoles (Scheme 2). Treatment of 1-phenylpyrazole (4a) with diphenylacetylene (2a) under similar reaction conditions gave bis alkenylated pyrazole derivative 5a in 85% yield. Similarly, substituted 1-phenylpyrazole 4 reacted with various symmetrical alkynes 2, providing the corresponding bis alkenylated pyrazole derivatives 5 in good yields. The alkenylation reaction was also examined with 2-phenylimidazoles. 2-Phenylimidazole (6a) underwent hydroarylation with 2a,



Scheme 2 Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted 1-phenylpyrazoles or 2-phenylimidazoles.



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism for the hydroarylation of alkynes with *N*,*N*-dialkyl benzamides.

yielding the corresponding mono alkenylated phenylimidazole derivative **7a** in 79% yield. But, *N*-methyl-2-phenylimidazole **(6b)** provided mono alkenylated phenylimidazole **7b** only in 65% yield. This is most likely due to the intramolecular steric hindrance of the *N*-Me group into an alkene moiety of compound **7b**.

A possible reaction mechanism was proposed to account for the hydroarylation of alkynes with benzamides (Scheme 3). *ortho*-Metallation of benzamide **1** with a ruthenium species provided a five-membered metallacycle intermediate **8** with a loss of H^+ source. Coordinative insertion of an alkyne **2a** into the Ru–C bond of intermediate **8** followed by protonation with AcOH provides trisubstituted alkene **3** and regenerates an active ruthenium catalyst for the next catalytic cycle.

It is believed that the C–H bond activation proceeds *via* a deprotonation metallation pathway (Scheme 4). To confirm the deprotonation pathway, deuterated benzamide **1a**' was taken and treated with alkyne **2a** under similar reaction conditions. If the C–H bond activation proceeds *via* an oxidative addition pathway, *ortho* deuterium of benzamide **1a**' should be transferred into one of the alkene carbons of the expected product.



Scheme 4 Competitive reaction of benzamide with deuterated benzamide.



Scheme 5 The hydroarylation of alkynes with isoquinolone derivatives.

Whereas, if the C-H bond activation reaction proceeds *via* a deprotonation pathway, deuterium incorporation should not take place and could afford AcOD as a side product. In the product, deuterium incorporation was not observed at the alkene carbon of product **3a'-d4**. Thus, the C-H bond activation proceeds *via* a deprotonation metallation pathway. Later, an intermolecular competitive reaction of deuterated benzamide **1a'** with a simple benzamide **1a** was conducted. A considerable primary isotope effect of **1**:2 ratios of products **3a'-d4** and **3a** was observed. This result suggested that the *ortho* C-H(D) bond cleavage is the rate-determining step as well as that the cleavage proceeds *via* a deprotonation metallation metallation pathway.

In the same year, Li's group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with isoquinolone derivatives in the presence of acetic acid (Scheme 5).¹⁰ Treatment of *N*-methyl isoquinolone (**10a**) with diphenylacetylene (**2a**) in the presence of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$ (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and acetic acid (4.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 18 h gave the expected alkenylated isoquinolone derivative **11a** in 96% yield. The same reaction was also examined with other cata-



Scheme 6 The hydroarylation of diphenylacetylene with *N*-methyl benzamide.

lysts such as $[RhCp*Cl_2]_2$ and $[IrCp*Cl_2]_2$ under similar reaction conditions. In the iridium-catalyzed reaction, product **11a** was observed in 86% yield and in the rhodium-catalyzed reaction, product **11a** was observed only in 45% yield. This result clearly reveals that a ruthenium catalyst is suitable for the reaction. The hydroarylation reaction was also examined with N–H free isoquinolone (**10b**). However, in the reaction, the expected product **11b** was observed only in 43% yield.

The hydroarylation reaction was examined with various symmetrical and unsymmetrical alkynes 2. In all cases, the hydroarylation reaction worked very well and gave the corresponding hydroarylation products in good yields. Particularly, 1-phenyl-1-propyne (2b) reacted with 10a providing the expected alkenylated product 11c in 83% yield in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. In the reaction, an Me attached carbon of alkyne 2b connected at the C-8 position of isoquinolone derivative. Interestingly, in the reaction of 1-phenyl-1-silylacetylene with 10a, the expected hydroarylation product 11e was observed in 85% yield without silyl cleavage. However, in the previous Miura's reaction, the silyl group was cleaved (Scheme 1, product 3d).

In the reported hydroarylation of alkynes with benzamides, only *N*,*N*-disubstituted benzamides were examined. In 2011, Ackermann's group reported an oxidative cyclization of *N*-methyl benzamides with alkynes, providing substituted isoquinolone derivatives (Scheme 6).¹¹ In the reaction of *N*-methyl benzamide (12) with diphenylacetylene (2a), in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst and $Cu(OAc)_2 \cdot H_2O$ in ether solvent, a minor amount of *ortho* alkenylated benzamide 13 was observed in 15% yield along with isoquinolone derivative 14 in 27% yield, respectively. This result clearly reveals that the *N*-methyl benzamides prefer cyclization reaction with alkynes rather than the hydroarylation reaction.

Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic carbamates

In 2012, we have reported a highly regio- and stereoselective weakly directing carbamate group assisted hydroarylation of alkynes with aryl carbamates in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst and pivalic acid.^{12*a*} When 4-methoxyphenyl diethyl-carbamate (**15a**) was treated with ethyl but-2-ynoate (**2e**) in the





Scheme 8 Synthesis of phenol derivatives.



Scheme 9 Proposed reaction mechanism of aromatic carbamates with alkynes.

Scheme 7 The hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic carbamates.

presence of [{RuCl₂(*p*-cymene)}₂] (5.0 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 24 h, a trisubstituted alkene derivative **16a** was observed in 77% yield (Scheme 7). The hydroarylation reaction was highly regio- and stereoselective; the *ortho* C–H bond of **15a** was selectively inserted at the methyl group substituted carbon of alkyne **2e** and only the *E*-stereoselective alkene derivative **16a** was observed.

The scope of the hydroarylation reaction was examined with various sensitive functional groups such as I, Br, Cl, F and OMe substituted aromatic carbamates. In all reactions, the expected hydroarylation product was observed in good to moderate yields. The hydroarylation reaction was further examined with various unsymmetrical aromatic carbamates. For example, 3-methoxyphenyl diethylcarbamate (**15b**) reacted with ethyl but-2-ynoate (**2e**) at a less hindered C6-H under similar reaction conditions, yielding trisubstituted alkene derivative **16b** in 79% yield. Sesamol carbamate **15c** reacted with **2b** at the sterically hindered C-H bond, providing **16c** in 86% yield in a highly regioselective manner.

The hydroarylation reaction was also examined with unsymmetrical alkynes such as hex-2-ynoate, methyl oct-2-ynoate, 1-phenyl-1-propyne, 1-phenyl-1-butyne and 1-phenyl-1-hexyne. In all reactions, the alkyl group substituted carbon of the alkyne connected at the *ortho* carbon of aromatic carbamates. But, methyl 3-phenylpropiolate (**2f**) reacted with **15c** providing a mixture of regioisomeric products **16d** and **16d**' in 89% combined yield in approximately a 1:1 ratio. Later, the ester group of trisubstituted alkene **16f** was converted into the carboxylic acid derivative **17a** in the presence of LiOH (2.0 equiv.) (Scheme 8). Whereas, 10.0 equiv. of LiOH cleaved both ester and carbamate moieties of compound **16g**, giving phenol derivative **17b** in 87% yield.

The hydroarylation reaction proceeds *via* a chelationassisted deprotonation at the *ortho* C–H bond of aromatic carbamate with a ruthenium acetate species giving ruthenacycle intermediate **18** (Scheme 9). Coordinative insertion of an aromatic or ester group substituted alkyne into the metal–carbon bond of metallacycle **18** affords metallacycle intermediate **19** followed by protonation with RCOOH yielding an alkene derivative **16** in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. The substituent on the alkyne moiety only decides the regiochemistry of the product. Coordinating groups such as Ph or ester group of alkynes 2 always prefer to stay near to the ruthenium metal in order to stabilize the ruthenacycle intermediate **19**. In the alkyne, if two coordinating groups are there, both prefer to stay near to the ruthenium metal and thus a mixture of regioisomeric products was observed.

It is believed that the C–H bond activation proceeds *via* an acetate assisted deprotonation pathway instead of an oxidative addition pathway. The coupling reaction of sesamol carbamate **15c** with ethyl but-2-ynoate (**2e**) in the presence of [{RuCl₂-(p-cymene)}₂] (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and CD₃COOD (5.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 16 h was examined (Scheme 9). In the reaction, instead of pivalic acid, CD₃COOD



Scheme 10 Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with 1-naphthyl carbamate.

(5.0 equiv.) was used. In the coupling product **16h**, 75% of deuterium incorporation was observed in an alkene C-H bond. This deuterium study clearly revealed that the present reaction proceeds *via* the deprotonation pathway.

In 2013, Wang's group reported ruthenium- and rhodiumcatalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic carbamates. In the reaction, 1-naphthyl carbamate (15d) reacted with diphenylacetylene (2a) in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst yielding the corresponding alkene derivative 16h in 50% yield (Scheme 10).^{12b}

Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with 2-aminobiphenyls and cumylamine

In 2013, Miura's group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with 2-aminobiphenyls or cumylamine.¹³ It is important to note that in the reaction a free NH₂ group acts as a directing group without any protection. Initially, the hydroarylation of diphenylacetylene (2a) with (1.0 equiv.) 2-aminobiphenyl (20a) (1.0 equiv.) in the presence of [{RuCl₂- $(p-\text{cymene})_{2}$ (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and CH₃COOH (4.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 3 h was tested (Scheme 11). However, in the reaction, hydroarylation product 21a was observed only in 52% GC yield. When the amount of diphenylacetylene (2a) was increased to 2.0 equiv., the expected hydroarylation product 21a was increased up to 70% GC yield. Further, the yield of hydroarylation product was increased up to 85% GC yield and 61% isolated yield at 80 °C in the presence of [{RuCl₂(benzene)}₂]. In the reaction, the [{RuCl₂(benzene)}₂] catalyst gave better yield compared with the [{ $RuCl_2(p-cymene)$ }] catalyst.

Later, the hydroarylation reaction was further examined with Me, OMe, Cl and CF₃ substituted 2-aminobiphenyls **20b–e.** In all these reactions, the expected hydroarylation products **21b–e** were observed in 74–82% yields. Particularly, in the reaction of CF₃ substituted 2-aminobiphenyl **20e**, alkenylation takes place at a less hindered C–H bond. Later, the reaction was examined with symmetrical and unsymmetrical alkynes. In the reaction of biphenyl aniline (**20a**) with 1-phenyl-1-propyne (**2b**), a mixture of stereoisomeric products **21e** and **21e**' was observed in 51% combined yield in 61:39 ratios. The hydroarylation reaction also further examined



Scheme 11 The hydroarylation of alkynes with 2-aminobiphenyls and cumylamine.



Scheme 12 The hydroarylation of diphenylacetylene with deuterated 2-aminobiphenyl.

with cumylamine (22). When cumylamine (22) was treated with diphenylacetylene (2a) under similar reaction conditions, the hydroarylation product 23a was observed in 67% yield.

To show that the C–H activation proceeds *via* a deprotonation metallation pathway and the corresponding metallation is a rate determining and reversible step, the reaction of deuterated 2-aminobiphenyl **20a-d**₅ with **2a** under similar reaction conditions for 30 min was carried out (Scheme 12). In the reaction, alkenylated product **21a-d**_n was observed in 9% yield without any deuterium incorporation at the alkene C–H bond. This observation clearly indicates that the C–H bond activation proceeds *via* a deprotonation pathway.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with phenylphosphine oxides

In the same year, Miura's group demonstrated the hydroarylation of alkynes with phenylphosphine oxides in the presence of a ruthenium catalyst.¹⁴ Treatment of triphenylphosphine oxide (25a) (2.0 equiv.) with diphenylacetylene (2a) (1.0 equiv.) in the presence of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$ (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and 1-Ad-COOH (1.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 5 h gave ortho alkenylated triphenylphosphine oxide 26a in 74% yield (Scheme 13). It is important to note that the phosphine oxide was surrounded by three phenyl groups and several reactive sites are around. Thus, apart from 26a, other ortho alkenylated products were also observed. Interestingly, the expected product 26a in 82% yield was observed exclusively without any other ortho alkenylated products in the presence of an excess amount of triphenylphosphine oxide (5.0 equiv.). Pivalic acid, 2,6-dimethylbenzoic acid and AcOH were also equally effective for the reaction. Further, the hydroarylation reaction was examined with Me, OMe, F, Cl and CF₃ substituted triphenyl phosphine oxides 25. In these substrates, the expected hydroarylation products were observed in good yields 26. Particularly, meta methyl substituted triphenyl phosphine oxide 25c, the C-H bond activation takes place at the less hindered side (product 26c). The hydroarylation reaction was also compatible with alkyl(diphenyl) and dialkyl(phenyl)phosphine oxides **25d–e** (see, products **26d–e**). The hydroarylation reaction also worked very well with various symmetrical alkynes **2**. Unsymmetrical alkyne **2h** reacted efficiently with **25a** under similar reaction conditions providing the expected hydroarylation product **26f** in 58% yield in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. Later, *ortho* alkenylated triphenyl-phosphine oxide **26g** was converted into *ortho* alkenylated triphenylphosphine **27a** in 66% yield in the presence of $(4-NO_2C_6H_4O)_2P(O)OH$ and $(EtO)_2MeSiH$.

Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with anilides

In 2014, we have reported a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with acetanilides.¹⁵ The catalytic reaction provides *ortho*-alkenylated anilides in good to excellent yields in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. The reaction of 4-hydroxy anilide (**28a**) with 1-phenyl-1-propyne (**2b**) in the presence of [{RuCl₂(*p*-cymene)}₂] (5.0 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv.) in iso-PrOH at 100 °C for 12 h gave *ortho* alkenylated anilide (**29a**) in 78% yield (Scheme 14). The hydroarylation reaction is highly stereoselective, the *ortho* C–H bond of **28a** coupled with the methyl substituted carbon of alkyne **2b**. It was observed that the acetanilides underwent oxidative cyclization with alkynes in the presence of rhodium or ruthenium catalysts and acetate base providing indole



Scheme 13 The hydroarylation of alkynes with phenylphosphine oxides.



Scheme 14 The hydroarylation of 1-phenyl-1-propyne with anilides.



Fig. 6 Oxidative cyclization of anilides with alkynes.

derivatives (Fig. 6). But, in the presence of an organic acid, RCOOH, source instead of a base, *ortho*-alkenylated anilides were observed. It is noteworthy that the organic acid favours hydroarylation reaction and base favours oxidative cyclization reaction.

The hydroarylation reaction was compatible with various functional groups such as OH, OMe, F, Cl, Br, I, CN and ester substituted anilide 28f with 2b gave trisubstituted alkene 29f in 71% yield. In the substrate 28f, directing groups such as NHCOMe and ester were present. However, alkenylation takes place chemoselectively at the *ortho* carbon to NHCOMe of 28f. The hydroarylation reaction was also examined with unsymmetrical acetanilides 28g–h. 2-Naphthyl acetamide 28g reacted with 2a, providing trisubstituted alkene derivative 29g in excellent 82% yield, in which C–H bond activation takes place at the C3–H of 28g. In contrast, 3,4-(methylenedioxy)anilide (28h) reacted with 2a, yielding product 29h in 81% yield in which hydroarylation takes place at a sterically hindered C–H bond of 28h.

The scope of the hydroarylation reaction was further examined with various unsymmetrical alkynes such as 1-phenyl-1butyne, 1-phenyl-1-hexyne, 1-phenyl-2-(trimethylsilyl) acetylene, ethyl 2-butynoate, methyl hex-2-ynoate and methyl oct-2vnoate (Scheme 15). In these reactions, the expected hydroarylation product was observed in good to excellent yields. In all these alkynes, alkyl substituted carbon of alkynes was regioselectively connected at the ortho carbon of acetanilide. Methyl phenyl propiolate (2g) having two coordinating groups such as Ph and ester on the alkyne provided a mixture of hydroarylation products 29l and 29l' in 81% combined yields in a 60:40 ratio. Interestingly, 2-thienyl substituted alkyne 2h provided hydroarylation products 29m and 29m' in 75% combined yields in a 3:1 ratio. Surprisingly, alkyne 2i having Ph and CH₂Ph provided a single coupling product 29n in 62% yield. To know the coordinating ability of Ph and ester groups, anilide 28i was treated with 2b (1.0 equiv.) and 2f (1.0 equiv.) under similar reaction conditions. In the reaction, alkyne 2b coupling product 29a was observed in a major 59% yield and alkyne 2f coupling product 29i in a lesser 32% yield, respectively. This result clearly reveals that the Ph coordinates with a Ru metal is better than ester coordinates.

Later, *ortho*-alkenylated acetanilides **29a** and **29d** were efficiently converted into *ortho*-alkenylated anilines **30a** and **30b** in 93% and 91% yields, respectively, in the presence of a 1:1 mixture of 17% HCl and THF at 100 °C for 17 h (Scheme 16).

Further, the hydroarylation reaction was tested with a weak ester directing group substituted aromatic moiety. Treatment of



Scheme 15 The hydroarylation of unsymmetrical alkynes with anilides.



Scheme 16 Synthesis of ortho alkenylated aniline derivatives.



Scheme 17 The hydroarylation of diphenylacetylene with methyl piperonate.

methyl piperonate (31) with diphenylacetylene (2a) under similar reaction conditions provided the hydroarylation product 32 in 71% yield in a highly regioselective manner (Scheme 17).



Scheme 18 Proposed reaction mechanism of anilides with unsymmetrical alkynes.

Open Access Article. Published on 01 September 2015. Downloaded on 9/3/2025 3:52:17 PM.

A possible reaction mechanism was proposed to account for the hydroarylation of alkynes with anilides (Scheme 18). AgSbF₆ likely removes the Cl⁻ ligand from the [{RuCl₂- $(p-cymene)_{2}$ complex, providing ruthenium species 33. Coordination of the carbonyl group of anilide 31 to a ruthenium species 33 followed by ortho-metallation provides a sixmembered ruthenacycle intermediate 34. Coordinative regioselective insertion of alkyne 2 into the Ru-carbon bond of intermediate 34 provides intermediate 35. Protonation at the Ru-C bond of intermediate 35 in the presence of RCOOH affords the hydroarylation product 29 and regenerates the active ruthenium species 33 for the next catalytic cycle. To support the role of organic acid, 28i was treated with 2b in the presence of CD₃COOD instead of pivalic acid under similar reaction conditions. In the reaction, product d-29i was observed in 40% yield with 76% of deuterium incorporation at the alkene carbon. Meanwhile, 67% deuterium incorporation was observed at the ortho carbon of anilide in product d-29i. This result clearly shows that the ortho C-H bond cleavage of anilide 28 and intermediate 34 formation is a reversible process.

In the hydroarylation of substituted propiolates with anilides, ortho alkenylated anilides 29 was observed in good to excellent yields. This hydroarylation reaction was carried out at 100 °C. If the same hydroarylation reaction was carried out at 130 °C, 2-quinolinone derivative 36 was observed along with the hydroarylation product 29. In the reaction, only 5.0 equiv. of pivalic acid was used. Interestingly, only 2-quinolinone derivatives were observed in the presence of 10.0 equiv. of pivalic acid. The cyclization of 3,4-dimethoxy acetanilide (28i) with ethyl-2-butynoate (2e) in the presence of [{RuCl₂- $(p-\text{cymene})_{2}$ (5.0 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and pivalic acid (10.0 equiv.) in iso-PrOH at 130 °C for 24 h provided 4-methyl substituted-2-quinolinone 36 in 86% isolated vield (Scheme 19).¹⁶

In the reaction, initially *ortho* alkenylated anilide **29** was formed as described in the mechanism in Scheme 18. Under the reaction conditions, *ortho* alkenylated anilide **29** was con-



Scheme 19 Cyclization of substituted anilides with propiolates.

verted into 2-quinolinone derivative **36**. To confirm that the *ortho* alkenylated anilide is a key intermediate, product **29i** was prepared separately and treated with pivalic acid in iso-PrOH solvent at 130 °C for 24 h without a ruthenium catalyst (Scheme 19). As expected, 2-quinolinone derivative **36** was observed in 75% yield. This result clearly reveals that the carboxylic acid or solvent iso-PrOH accelerates *trans-cis* isomerization of the double bond of compound **28i** *via* Michael addition. Intramolecular nucleophilic addition of NHCOMe to the ester moiety followed by a loss of the acetyl group leads to 2-quinolinone **36**. In the reaction, organic acid plays multiple roles such as acting as a proton source, the corresponding acetate anion deprotonates the C–H bond, accelerating *cis-trans* isomerization and deacylation of anilide to aniline.

Ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic sulfoxides

Recently, we have reported a regio- and stereoselective hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic sulfoxides in the presence of a less expensive ruthenium catalyst.¹⁷ In the reaction, terminal metal oxidant was not used and only Ru(II) species was involved in the complete catalytic cycle without changing the metal oxidation state. It is important to note that, Miura's group reported the hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic sulfoxides in the presence of a highly expensive rhodium complex (Scheme 20). However, Cu(OAc)₂ was used as a terminal metal oxidant to regenerate the active rhodium catalyst. Treatment of methyl phenyl sulfoxide (37a) with 1-phenyl-1propyne (2b) in the presence of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$ (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and pivalic acid (5.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane at 100 °C for 24 h gave the expected hydroarylation product 38a in 75% yield. The hydroarylation reaction was highly regioselective and the methyl group substituted carbon of alkyne 2b was connected at the ortho C-H bond of 37a. The hydroarylation reaction was also highly stereoselective giving only E-stereoisomeric trisubstituted alkene derivative 38a. The hydroarylation reaction was compatible with various functional groups such as Br, Cl and CHO substituted aromatic sulfoxides. Particularly, electron-deficient CHO substituted aromatic sulfoxide 37d reacted with 2b providing the corresponding hydroarylation product 38d in 51% yield. Unsymmetrical meta methoxy phenyl sulfoxide 37e reacted regioselectively with



Scheme 20 The hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatic sulfoxides.



Scheme 21 Transformation of ortho alkenylated aromatic sulfoxides.

alkyne **2b**, yielding product **38e** in 57% yield in which the *ortho* C-H bond activation takes place at a less hindered C-H bond of **37e**.

The scope of hydroarylation reaction was further examined with various unsymmetrical and symmetrical alkynes. In all reactions, the expected hydroarylation product was observed in good to moderate yields in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. Particularly, bromo substituted alkyne **2i** reacted regioselectively with **37a**, affording the corresponding alkene derivative **38f** in 63% yield (Scheme 20). In the reaction, *n*-butyl substituted alkyne carbon connected at the *ortho* C–H bond of **37a**.

When compound **38g** was treated with acetic anhydride (10.0 equiv.) at 140 °C for 1 h, α -acyloxy-thioether **39** was observed in 87% yield (Scheme 21). Subsequently, *ortho* alkenylated phenyl sulfoxide **38h** was treated with CF₃SO₃H at room temperature for 24 h followed by an addition of a 9:1 ratio of water/pyridine, affording 2,3-disubstituted benzothiophene derivative **40** in 67% yield.

To show the role of organic acid in the hydroarylation reaction, the reaction of 37g with 2b in the presence of CD₃COOD instead of pivalic acid was tested under similar reaction



Scheme 22 The hydroarylation of alkyne with phenyl sulfoxide in CD_3COOD .

conditions (Scheme 22). In the reaction, deuterium incorporation was observed at the alkene carbon of hydroarylation product *d*-38g. This result clearly reveals that the AcOH acts as a proton donor in the reaction.

AgSbF₆ controlled *E* to *Z* stereoselective transformation of trisubstituted alkenes

Very recently, Hong's group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed Z stereoselective hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted aromatics.¹⁸ Generally, E stereoselective alkene derivatives can be prepared efficiently in the hydroarylation proceeds *via* a deprotonation pathway. In the oxidative addition pathway, a stereoisomeric mixture of E and Z alkene derivatives was prepared. In the Hong's method, Z stereoselective alkene derivatives was prepared. In the Hong's method, Z stereoselective alkene derivatives were prepared efficiently in the presence of an excess amount of AgSbF₆. This hydroarylation reaction also proceeds *via* a deprotonation pathway. Initially, in the reaction, E stereoselective alkene derivatives were observed. But, in the presence of an excess AgSbF₆ catalyst, E stereoselective alkene derivatives.

When chromone (41a) was treated with diphenylacetylene (2a) in the presence of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$ (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (16 mol%), $Cu(OAc)_2$ (10 mol%) and acetic acid (2.0 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 100 °C for 6 h, a stereoisomeric mixture of alkenylated product 42a was observed in 94% yield in a 91:9 E/Z ratio (Scheme 23). If the same reaction was done in the presence of an excess amount of $AgSbF_6$ (20 mol%) under the same reaction conditions, the stereoisomer of alkene derivative was reversed and producing product 43a in 87% yield in an 8:92 E/Z ratio. AgSbF₆ plays an important role for the stereoselective isomerization of an alkene derivative. In the reaction, alkenylation takes place at the C-5 position of chromone (41a). The alkenylation reaction was examined with various substituted chromone derivatives and alkynes. In all these reactions, the expected trisubstituted alkene derivatives were observed in good to excellent yields. To prove the role of AgSbF₆, E-stereoisomeric alkene derivative 42d was prepared separately and treated with AgSbF6 in acetic acid at 100 °C for 2 h. In the reaction, the reversed stereoisomeric chromone derivative 43d was observed in 87% yield in a 9:91 E:Z ratio. In was proposed that the isomerization process takes place through the formation of the alkyl cation 44 followed by the



Scheme 23 The hydroarylation of alkyne with chromones.



bond rotation to drive the transformation of *E*-alkenyl into the thermodynamically more stable *Z*-isomer in the presence of $AgSbF_6$ catalyst.

The alkene isomerization reaction was further examined with *ortho* alkenylated anilides, aromatic carbamates, esters, sulfoxides and phosphonates in the presence of $AgSbF_6$ and acetic acid (Scheme 24). In all these reactions, a mixture of stereoselective alkene derivatives **46** was observed in a major amount of >92% of *Z* stereoisomer. The representative examples of these reactions were shown in Scheme 24.

Ruthenium-catalyzed 1,2,3-triazole directed hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatics

Recently, Liu's group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed 1,2,3-triazole directed hydroarylation of alkynes with aromatics.¹⁹ In the reaction, bis alkenylated aromatics were observed and the alkenvlation takes place at the both ortho C-H bonds of the phenyl group. Treatment of 1-benzyl-4-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole (47a) with diphenylacetylene (2a) in the presence of [{ $RuCl_2$ - $(p-\text{cymene})_2$ (5 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (20 mol%) in toluene at 100 °C for 2.5 h gave bis alkenylated aromatic 48a in 90% yield (Scheme 25). In the reaction, the active cationic ruthenium acetate species was generated by the reaction of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$, AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and Cu(OAc)₂. Later, the ortho C-H bond of the phenyl group was deprotonated by an acetate species of an active ruthenium catalyst providing a metallacycle intermediate and AcOH. The corresponding AcOH acts as a proton source and protonates at one of the alkene C-H bonds affording an alkene derivative and regenerates the active catalyst for the next catalytic cycle. Apart from $Cu(OAc)_2$, NaOAc can also be used as acetate source to activate the C-H bond for the reaction. Next, the hydroarylation reaction was examined with various substituted 1,2,3-triazole substituted aromatics. The reaction worked very well in all cases and the expected bis alkenylated aromatics were observed in good to excellent yields 48b-f. The reaction was compatible with F, Cl, CF₃, NO₂ and OMe substituents on the aromatic ring of substituted 1,2,3-triazole derivatives. The hydroarylation reaction was also examined with various



Scheme 25 The hydroarylation of alkynes with 1,2,3-triazole substituted aromatics.

8

symmetrical alkynes. In all cases, the expected bis alkenylated products were observed in good yields. Unsymmetrical alkynes such as 1-phenyl-1-propyne and 1-phenyl-1-hexyne reacted efficiently with **47a**, yielding the expected bis alkenylated aromatics **48g** and **48h** in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner. Methyl as well as hexyl substituted carbon of alkynes were connected at the *ortho* C–H bond of the phenyl group.

Ruthenium-catalyzed 2-pyridyl or carbamide directed alkenylation at the C2-position of indole derivatives with alkynes

In 2014, Zeng's group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed 2-pyridyl directed hydroarylation of alkynes with indoles.^{20a} The reaction of *N*-(2-pyridyl)indole (**49a**) with diphenylacetylene (**2a**) in the presence of [{RuCl₂(*p*-cymene)}₂] (7 mol%), AgSbF₆ (20 mol%) and pivalic acid (1.0 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane solvent at 110 °C for 24 h gave C2-alkenylated *N*-(2-pyridyl)indole (**50a**) in 54% yield (Scheme 26). Later, the yield of the reaction was increased up to 98% by changing the solvent 1,4-dioxane into dimethylformamide. In the reaction, 2-pyridyl acts as a directing group to activate the C2–H of indole. As 2-pyridyl is a strong chelating group, the catalytic reaction can proceed efficiently with a neutral ruthenium species and the cationic ruthenium species was not needed.

The hydroarylation reaction was examined with various sensitive functional groups such as OMe, F, Cl, Br, NO₂, CN and CO₂Me substituent on the aromatic ring of indole derivatives. In all these substrates, the hydroarylation reaction worked very nicely yielding the expected alkene derivatives in good to excellent yields 50b-f. Next, the hydroarylation reaction was examined with various unsymmetrical alkynes. Particularly, 3-phenylprop-2-yn-1-ol reacted nicely with 49a giving the corresponding alkene derivative 50g in 89% yield, in which, the CH₂OH group substituted carbon of alkyne was connected at the C2-position of indole. Meanwhile, the hydroarylation reaction was examined with diyne and enyne (products 50j and 50k). Interestingly, the hydroarylation reaction was compatible with terminal alkynes. However, in the reaction, a mixture of 1,1-disubstituted alkene and 1,2-disubstituted alkene derivatives was observed. The hydroarylation reaction also worked nicely with N-(2-pyridyl)pyrrole (49m). However, in the reaction, a mixture of diene derivatives 50m and 50m' was observed. Later, the 2-pyridyl group of alkene derivative 50a was cleaved in the presence of MeOTf and a free N-H indole derivative 51a was observed in 90% yield (Scheme 27).

Very recently, the same group reported a ruthenium-catalyzed carbamide directed *Z*-stereoselective hydroarylation of alkynes with indole derivatives.^{20b} In the previous report, by employing the 2-pyridyl group, alkenylation was done at the C2-position of indole in a highly *E*-stereoselective manner. In the present work, by employing the carbamide group, alkenylation was done at the C2-position of indole in a highly

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



Scheme 26 E-Stereoselective C-2 alkenylation of indoles with alkynes.





Z-stereoselective manner. It is important to note that during the reaction, the carbamide group was cleaved and only provided *Z*-stereoselective alkene derivatives. When *N*-benzyl-1*H*-indole-1-carboxamide (52a) was treated with diphenylacetylene (2a) in the presence of [{RuCl₂(*p*-cymene)}₂] (10 mol%), Cu(OAc)₂ (0.5 equiv.) and acetic acid (1.0 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloro-ethane at 100 °C for 24 h, a *Z*-stereoselective C2-alkenylated indole derivative **53a** was observed in 80% yield (Scheme 28). The optimization studies clearly revealed that the AcOH is crucial to increase the yield of the product **53a**.

The scope of hydroarylation reaction was examined with OMe, F, Br, Cl and CO₂Me substituted indole derivatives and



Scheme 28 Z-Stereoselective C-2 alkenylation of indoles with alkynes.

N-carbamide substituted pyrrole. In all these reactions, *Z*-stereoselective alkene derivatives were observed in good to excellent yields **53b–g**. The hydroarylation reaction was also examined with various unsymmetrical alkynes. Interestingly, 1-phenyl-1-propyne, 1-phenyl-1-butyne and 4-methoxyphenyl phenyl alkynes reacted regioselectively with **52a** providing C2-alkenylated indole derivatives **53h–k** in good yields in a highly *Z*-regioselective manner.

A possible reaction mechanism was proposed to account for the present *Z*-stereoselective alkenylation reaction (Scheme 29). The *ortho* C-H bond of the indole group was deprotonated by an acetate species of the ruthenium catalyst providing a metallacycle intermediate **54**. Later, the nucleophilic attack of amide nitrogen **54** into an alkyne **2** with the assistance of Cu(OAc)₂ forms an alkenylated intermediate **55** and isocyanate **56** as a byproduct. Then, the acetate anion undergoes nucleophilic attack with isocyanate **56** forming amide **57** with the release of CO₂. At the same time, an alkenylated metal intermediate **55** could be further isomerized followed by protonation, producing the final free (N–H) (*Z*)-alkenyl indoles **53** and regenerating the active catalyst (Scheme 29).

Ruthenium-catalyzed sulfur assisted hydroarylation of alkynes with benzylthioethers

Very recently, Villuendas and Urriolabeitia reported a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with benzyl-



Scheme 29 Proposed mechanism for the hydroarylation of alkynes with indoles.



Scheme 30 The hydroarylation of alkyne with benzylthioethers.

thioethers leading to *ortho* alkenylated benzylthioethers in good to moderate yields (Scheme 30).²¹ Treatment of thioether **58a** with hex-3-yne (**2a**) in the presence of $[{RuCl_2(p-cymene)}_2]$ (10 mol%), KPF₆ (10 mol%) and Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O (1.0 equiv.) in an electron deficient HFIP solvent at 100 °C for 0.5 h under microwave irradiation (150 W) gave a mixture of mono as well as bis alkenylated benzylthioether **59a** in 78% yield. To avoid the bis alkenylation, one of the *ortho* carbons of benzylthioether was blocked by Me, CF₃, Cl and NO₂ groups and treated with **2a** under similar reaction conditions. In the reaction, only mono alkenylated benzylthioethers **59b–e** were observed in good to

moderate yield. The hydroarylation reaction was examined with various S substituted benzylthioethers. In these reactions also, the expected alkenylated product was observed in good yields **59f-h**. Later, the hydroarylation reaction was examined with unsymmetrical alkynes. However, in the reaction, a mixture of regio- as well as stereoisomeric products was observed **59i-k**.

Conclusions

In the present review, a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes with substituted aromatics providing trisubstituted alkene derivatives in a highly regio- and stereoselective manner was discussed elaborately. The hydroarylation reaction was explored with amide, azole, carbamate, phosphine oxide, amine, acetyl and sulfoxide directed aromatics with alkynes. The hydroarylation reaction was examined with various symmetrical and unsymmetrical alkynes. In all these reactions, the expected alkene derivatives were observed in a highly regioand stereoselective manner. In the alkyne, if a coordinating group such as an aryl or an ester is present in one of the carbons and a non-coordinating alkyl group in the another carbon, the C-H bond of the aromatic moiety prefers to connect at the alkyl substituted carbon of the alkyne and the coordinating group of the alkyne and the aromatic moiety are trans to each other. In the unsymmetrical alkyne, if both carbons have coordinating groups such as Ph and ester, a mixture of regioisomeric products was observed. A possible reaction mechanism of these reactions was proposed and the proposed mechanism was strongly supported by experimental evidence. Particularly, deuterium labelling and kinetic studies clearly revealed that the C-H bond activation step is a rate determining step and the C-H bond activation proceeds via a deprotonation pathway.

There are still several challenges in a ruthenium-catalyzed hydroarylation reaction. Mostly, a higher reaction temperature is needed for the C-H bond functionalization. We believe that it can be done at room temperature by designing new ruthenium catalysts or to find out the suitable reaction conditions with the existing catalysts. The hydroarylation reaction can be explored with a weak chelating group substituted aromatics. Apart from sp² C-H bond functionalization, sp³ C-H bond functionalization should also be explored. In addition, in the hydroarylation reaction, only the alkyne carbon–carbon π -component is used. It can also be extended with other carbon–carbon π -components such as alkenes and allenes. We believe that these issues could be easily overcome in the future investigations.

Acknowledgements

We thank the CSIR (02(0179)/14/EMR-II), DST (SR/S1/OC-26/2011) and INSA (SP/YSP/99/2014), India for supporting this research. R. M. thanks the CSIR for a fellowship.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) A. C. Grimsdale, K. L. Chan, R. E. Martin, P. G. Jokisz and A. B. Holmes, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 897; (b) A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale and A. B. Holmes, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 402; (c) P. S. Baran and E. J. Corev, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 7904; (d) B. M. Trost, S. A. Godleski and J. P. Genet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3930; (e) Y. M. Syah, N. S. Aminah, E. H. Hakim, N. Aimi, M. Kitajima, H. Takayama and S. A. Achmad, Phytochemistry, 2003, 63, 913; (f) X. Hua, Y.-J. Fu, Y.-G. Zu, N. Wu, Y. Kong, J. Li, X. Peng and T. Efferth, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2010, 52, 273; (g) S. R. Marder, B. Kippelen, A. K.-Y. Jen and N. Peyghambarian, Nature, 1997, 388, 845; (h) M. Miyazawa, Y. Okuno, S. Nakakmura and H. Kameoka, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1998, 46, 910; (i) H. Chen, G. Li, P. Zhan and X.-Y. Liu, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 5615.
- 2 (a) B. E. Rossiter and N. M. Swingle, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 771; (b) R. Shintani, W.-L. Duan, T. Nagano, A. Okada and T. Hayashi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 117, 4687; (c) N. Miyaura, Top. Curr. Chem., 2002, 219, 11; (d) T. Koike, X. Du, T. Sanada, Y. Danda and A. Mori, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 115, 93; (e) S. Oi, M. Moro, H. Ito, Y. Honma, S. Miyano and Y. Inoue, Tetrahedron, 2002, 58, 91; (f) N. Kudo, M. Perseghini and G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 1282; (g) T.-S. Huang and C.-J. Li, Chem. Commun., 2001, 2348; (h) N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2457; (i) S. Ma, H. Wang, K. Gao and F. Zhao, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, 248, 17; (j) W.-L. Duan, H. Iwamura, R. Shintani and T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 2130; (k) G. Chen, N. Tokunaga and T. Hayashi, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2285; (1) F. Gini, B. Hessen, B. L. Feringa and A. J. Minnaard, Chem. Commun., 2007, 710; (m) K. Soai, T. Hayasaka and S. Ugajin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 516; (n) R. F. Heck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5531; (o) R. F. Heck, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 6714; (p) T. Mizoroki, K. Mori and A. Ozaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1971, 44, 581.
- 3 (a) A. B. Flynn and W. W. Ogilvie, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 4698; (b) K. Fagnou and M. Lautens, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 169; (c) A. G. Fallis and P. Forgione, Tetrahedron, 2001, 57, 5899; (d) A. B. Dounay and L. E. Overman, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 2945; (e) P. S. Lin, M. Jeganmohan and C. H. Cheng, Chem. - Eur. J., 2008, 14, 11296; (f) M. Hojo, Y. Murakami, H. Aihara, R. Sakuragi, Y. Baba and A. Hosomi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 621; (g) S. Kotha, K. Lahiri and D. Kashinath, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, 58, 9633; (h) T. Satoh, S. Ogino, M. Miura and M. Nomura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 5063; (i) H. Horiguchi, H. Tsurugi, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 509; (i) E. Shirakawa, G. Takahashi, T. Tsuchimoto and Y. Kawakami, Chem. Commun., 2001, 2688; (k) G. Takahashi, E. Shirakawa, T. Tsuchimoto and Y. Kawakami, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2006, 348, 837; (l) T. Satoh,

S. Ogino, M. Miura and M. Nomura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, **116**, 5173; (m) C. H. Oh, H. H. Jung, K. S. Kim and N. Kim, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, **115**, 829; (n) N. Kudo, M. Perseghini and G. C. Fu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, **118**, 1304; (o) D. Zhang and J. M. Ready, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 15050; (p) T. Fujii, T. Koike, A. Mori and K. Osakada, Synlett, 2002, 0295; (q) H. Oda, M. Morishita, K. Fugami, H. Sano and M. Kosugi, Chem. Lett., 1996, 811; (r) K. Fagnou and M. Lautens, Chem. Rev., 2003, **103**, 169.

- 4 (a) T. W. Lyons and M. S. Sanford, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1147; (b) H. M. L. Davies, J. D. Bois and J.-O. Yu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1855; (c) K. M. Engle, T.-S. Mei, M. Wasa and J.-Q. Yu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 788; (d) J. L. Bras and J. Muzart, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1170; (e) L. Ackermann, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1315; (f) J. F. Hartwig, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1992; (g) S. H. Cho, J. Y. Kim, J. Kwak and S. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5068; (h) L. N. Lewis and J. F. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2728; (i) S. E. Diamond, A. Szalkiewicz and F. Mares, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 490; (j) M. Miura, T. Tsuda, T. Satoh, S. Pivsa-Art and M. Nomura, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 5211; (k) P. Gandeepan, K. Parthasarathy and C.-H. Cheng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8569; (l) K. M. Engle, D.-H. Wang and J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 14137; (m) A. Kubota, M. H. Emmert and M. S. Sanford, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 1760; (n) N. Umeda, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 7094; (o) F. W. Patureau and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 9982; (p) A. S. Tsai, M. Brasse, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 540; (q) L. Ackermann and J. Pospech, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 4153; (r) L. Ackermann, L. Wang, R. Wolfram and A. V. Lygin, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 728; (s) P. Kishor and M. Jeganmohan, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 6144; (t) C. Jia, D. Piao, J. Oyamada, W. Lu, T. Kitamura and Y. Fujiwara, Science, 2000, 287, 1992.
- 5 (a) I. Moritani and Y. Fujiwara, Tetrahedron Lett., 1967, 8, 1122; (b) Y. Fujiwara, I. Moritani and M. Matsuda, Tetrahedron, 1968, 24, 4819; (c) K. Yamamura, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 724; (d) C. Jia, T. Kitamura and Y. Fujiwara, Acc. Chem. Res., 2001, 34, 633; (e) Handbook of C-H Transformations: Applications in Organic Synthesis, ed. G. Dyker, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2005; (f) G. Dyker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 1698.
- 6 (a) S. Murai, F. Kakiuchi, S. Sekine, Y. Tanaka, A. Kamatani, M. Sonoda and N. Chatani, *Nature*, 1993, 366, 529;
 (b) N. J. Clegg, S. Paruthiyil, D. C. Leitman, F. Kakiuchi, Y. Yamamoto, N. Chatani and S. Murai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1995, 681; (c) F. Kakiuchi, T. Uetsuhara, Y. Tanaka, N. Chatani and S. Murai, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2002, 182;
 (d) F. Kakiuchi and S. Murai, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2002, 35, 826;
 (e) S. Murai, F. Kakiuchi, S. Sekine, Y. Tanaka, A. Kamatani, M. Sonoda and N. Chatani, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1994, 66, 527;
 (f) V. Ritleng, C. Sirlin and M. Pfeffer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, 102, 1731; (g) Y. J. Park, J.-W. Park and C.-H. Jun, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, 41, 222; (h) C.-H. Jun, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*,

2004, 33, 610; (i) F. Kakiuchi and N. Chatani, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2003, 345, 1077; (j) D. Alberico, M. E. Scott and M. Lautens, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 174; (k) N. M. Neisius and B. Plietker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5752; (l) Y. Shibata, M. Hirano and K. Tanaka, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2829; (m) P. Hong and H. Yamazaki, J. Mol. Catal. 1983, 21, 133; (n) K. Parthasarathy and C. H. Cheng, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 325; (o) S. G. Lim, J. H. Lee, C. W. Moon, J. B. Hong and C. H. Jun, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 2759; (p) T. Satoh, Y. Nishinaka, M. Miura and M. Nomura, Chem. Lett., 1999, 615; (q) N. Tsukada, T. Mitsuboshi, H. Setoguchi and Y. Inoue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12102; (r) Y. Nakao, K. S. Kanyiva and T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 2448; (s) B. Zhou, H. Chen and C. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 135, 1264; (t) P. S. Lee, T. Fujita and N. Yoshikai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 17283.

- 7 (a) S. R. Neufeldt and M. S. Sanford, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 936; (b) D. A. Colby, A. S. Tsai, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 814; (c) E. A. Mitchell, A. Peschiulli, N. Lefevre, L. Meerpoel and B. U. W. Maes, Chem. - Eur. J., 2012, 18, 10092; (d) J. Wencel-Delord, T. Droge, F. Liu and F. Glorius, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 4740; (e) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 624; (f) L. Ackermann, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 4866; (g) X. Chen, K. M. Engle, D.-H. Wang and J.-O. Yu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5094; (h) S. I. Kozhushkov and L. Ackermann, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 886; (i) Y. Kuninobu and K. Takai, Chem. Rev., 2011, **111**, 1938; (*j*) P. B. Arokiam, C. Bruneau and Rev., 2012, Ρ. Н. Dixneuf, *Chem.* 112, 5879; (k) L. Ackermann and H. K. Potukuchi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 4503; (l) G. Song, F. Wang and X. Li, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 3651; (m) T. Satoh and M. Miura, Chem. -Eur. J., 2010, 16, 11212; (n) L. Ackermann and R. Vicente, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 292, 211; (o) L. Ackermann, R. Vicente and A. R. Kapdi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9792; (p) J. Q. Yu, R. Giri and X. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 4041; (q) N. Guimond, S. I. Gorelsky and K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6449; (r) B. Li and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 5744. Other metals: (s) Z.-C. Qian, J. Zhou, B. Li, F. Hu and B.-F. Shi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 3594; (t) B. Chen, Y. Jiang, J. Cheng and J.-T. Yu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 2901; (u) K. Nobushige, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1188; (v) H. Ikemoto, T. Yoshino, K. Sakata, S. Matsunaga and M. Kanai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 5424.
- 8 D. J. Schipper, M. Hutchinson and K. Fagnou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 6910.
- 9 (a) Y. Hashimoto, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, F. Kakiuchi and M. Miura, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 2058; (b) Y. Hashimoto, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, F. Kakiuchi and M. Miura, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 638.
- P. Zhao, R. Niu, F. Wang, K. Han and X. Li, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, 14, 4166.

- 11 L. Ackermann, A. V. Lygin and N. Hofmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6379.
- 12 (a) M. C. Reddy and M. Jeganmohan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 481; (b) B. Li, J. Ma, Y. Liang, N. Wang, S. Xu, H. Song and B. Wang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 1950.
- 13 C. Suzuki, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 3990.
- 14 M. Itoh, Y. Hashimoto, K. Hirano, T. Satoh and M. Miura, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 8098.
- 15 R. Manikandan and M. Jeganmohan, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 912.
- 16 R. Manikandan and M. Jeganmohan, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 3568.

- 17 P. Kishor and M. Jeganmohan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 14573.
- 18 M. Min, D. Kim and S. Hong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 8028.
- 19 X. G. Li, K. Liu, G. Zou and P. N. Liu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 7878.
- 20 (a) L. Liang, S. Fu, D. Lin, X.-Q. Zhang, Y. Deng, H. Jiang and W. Zeng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 79, 9472; (b) W. Zhang, J. Wei, S. Fu, D. Lin, H. Jiang and W. Zeng, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, 17, 1349.
- 21 P. Villuendas and E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 3178.