



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 3648

## Metabolic alkene labeling and *in vitro* detection of histone acylation *via* the aqueous oxidative Heck reaction†

Maria E. Ourailidou,<sup>a</sup> Paul Dockerty,<sup>b</sup> Martin Witte,<sup>b</sup> Gerrit J. Poelarends<sup>c</sup> and Frank J. Dekker<sup>\*a</sup>

The detection of protein lysine acylations remains a challenge due to lack of specific antibodies for acylations with various chain lengths. This problem can be addressed by metabolic labeling techniques using carboxylates with reactive functionalities. Subsequent chemoselective reactions with a complementary moiety connected to a detection tag enable the visualization and quantification of the protein lysine acylome. In this study, we present EDTA-Pd(II) as a novel catalyst for the oxidative Heck reaction on protein-bound alkenes, which allows employment of fully aqueous reaction conditions. We used this reaction to monitor histone lysine acylation *in vitro* after metabolic incorporation of olefinic carboxylates as chemical reporters.

Received 28th November 2014,  
Accepted 2nd February 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c4ob02502d

www.rsc.org/obc

### Introduction

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) are reversible protein alterations strongly linked to a variety of cellular processes, including gene transcription.<sup>1</sup> However, the identification and quantification of PTMs faces serious difficulties in cases where the commercially available antibodies are inadequate. Metabolic labeling, in combination with bioorthogonal reactions, offers an attractive alternative that enables the detection of endogenous protein modifications with excellent selectivity.<sup>2</sup> A group of PTMs that is suffering from a lack of antibodies is protein lysine acylation. Apart from the well-known protein lysine acetylations, recent evidence indicates the presence of lysine propionylations, butyrylations and myristoylations.<sup>3,4</sup> The enzymatic conversions of these larger modifications by lysine acyltransferases and lysine deacylases and their functional consequences appear to overlap partially but not completely with lysine acetylations.<sup>5</sup> Novel chemistry-based methods can serve as diagnostic tools and enable the elucidation of disease mechanisms connected to these modifications.

The most extensively studied lysine acylation up to date is histone acetylation,<sup>6</sup> modulated by histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). Research has shown that aberrant activity of HATs and HDACs can lead to activation or inhibition of gene transcription in inflammation<sup>7,8</sup> and cancer.<sup>9,10</sup> In addition, it has been shown that these enzymes also act on non-histone targets such as, for example, the transcription factor p53.<sup>11</sup> However, the precise regulatory function of protein lysine acetylations and longer chain acylations of histones and non-histone proteins needs in most cases further investigation.

Radiolabeling using radioactive acetyl-CoA is one of the most traditional techniques that has been employed to obtain the first data on the lysine acetylome.<sup>12,13</sup> Afterwards, a combination of immunoprecipitation with acetyl-lysine antibodies and mass spectrometric analysis of histones was developed as a more comprehensive way to map lysine acetylation sites.<sup>14</sup> More recently, an antibody-free strategy enabled the investigation of specific acetylation sites, based on the difference in behavior of characteristic <sup>1</sup>H/<sup>15</sup>NHε NMR signals of an acetylated and a non-acetylated amine.<sup>15,16</sup> However, the use of NMR spectroscopy falls short of sensitivity especially if applied to cellular proteins.

Currently, a very popular approach to study enzyme activity is chemical labeling of metabolic intermediates using reactive functionalities. A variety of chemical reactions has been developed for the covalent attachment of functionalities to protein-bound functional groups that can be used for enrichment and detection.<sup>17</sup> Within this quickly developing field the copper(I)-catalyzed Huisgen cycloaddition, also known as 'click' reaction, is the most extensively used strategy.<sup>18</sup> More recent devel-

<sup>a</sup>Pharmaceutical Gene Modulation, Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy (GRIP), University of Groningen, Antonius Deusinglaan 1, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: f.j.dekker@rug.nl; Fax: +31 50 3637953; Tel: +31 50 3638030

<sup>b</sup>Bioorganic Chemistry, Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 7, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>c</sup>Pharmaceutical Biology, Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy (GRIP), University of Groningen, Antonius Deusinglaan 1, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ob02502d



opments aim at the replacement of terminal non-conjugated alkynes as chemical reporters by equivalent alkenes due to their lower chemical reactivity. Site-specific ligations of protein-bound alkenes have been achieved by alkene-tetrazine ligation.<sup>19</sup> Alternatively, our research group described the application of the palladium(II) (Pd(II))-catalyzed oxidative Heck reaction as a novel chemoselective cross-coupling reaction for *in vitro* detection of alkene-labeled proteins.<sup>20</sup>


Our current study aims to improve the aqueous oxidative Heck reaction in order to enable its application to cellular proteins, and to compare its efficiency to the well-known alkyne-azide 'click' reaction.<sup>18</sup> Previously, we employed the poorly water soluble bis-imine of naphthoquinone (BIAN) ligand, which required solubilization using 20% DMF as a co-solvent. In this work, we describe ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-Pd(II) as a novel and fully water soluble catalyst that leads to full conversion of protein-bound alkenes *via* the oxidative Heck reaction. This catalyst enables detection of histone acylation *via* metabolic labeling with olefinic carboxylates, which demonstrates the applicability of this reaction to detect alkene-labeled cellular proteins.

## Results and discussion

### Oxidative Heck reaction using water soluble reagents

In order to develop water soluble reagents for protein ligation using the oxidative Heck reaction, we employed a cysteine mutant of 4-oxalocrotonate tautomerase (4-OT), coupled to a terminal alkene at the cysteine residue *via* a maleimide linker as a model protein denoted **4-OT R61C-1** (ESI section 4.1.3†).<sup>20</sup> In this study, we replaced the previously used poorly water soluble 3-(dansylamino)phenylboronic acid<sup>20</sup> with the newly synthesized water soluble 3-(biotinylamino)phenylboronic acid **1** or 3-(dansyl-PEG-amino)phenylboronic acid **2** (ESI section 2†). Using this starting point, we tested novel water soluble ligands for Pd(II) catalysis.

In order to investigate the efficiency of alternative ligands, oxidative Heck reactions were performed on protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** and on its non-protein olefinic equivalent **3** (ESI section 3†), using three different ligands; the previously used BIAN, 2-amino-4,6-dihydroxypyrimidine (as developed by Davis *et al.*<sup>21</sup>) and EDTA (Scheme 1). The reactions were per-



**Scheme 1** Oxidative Heck reactions between terminal alkenes and arylboronic acids.

**Table 1** Yields of oxidative Heck reactions performed on small molecule **3** or the protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1**

Ligand	Reaction of <b>3</b> with phenylboronic acid <sup>a</sup>	Reaction of <b>4-OT R61C-1</b> with <b>1</b> <sup>d</sup>
BIAN	82%	58%
2-Amino-4,6-dihydroxypyrimidine	64% <sup>b</sup>	84%
EDTA	50% <sup>c</sup>	Full

<sup>a</sup> Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> 1.0 equiv., BIAN/EDTA/2-amino-4,6-dihydroxypyrimidine 1.4/1/2 equiv., phenylboronic acid 10 equiv., room temperature, 24 h, MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O 3:1, pH 7.0. Isolated yield. <sup>b</sup> pH 8. Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup> Conversion determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR on the crude reaction mixture. <sup>d</sup> Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> 20 equiv., BIAN/2-amino-4,6-dihydroxypyrimidine/EDTA 28/40/20 equiv., 100 equiv. of **1**, room temperature, 24 h, pH 7.0. The conversion was monitored by LC-MS.

formed on the protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** using 20 equiv. of the catalyst and 100 equiv. of the phenylboronic acid **1**,<sup>20</sup> while 1 equiv. of the catalyst and 10 equiv. of phenylboronic acid were used in the case of the small molecule alkene **3**. The reactions were allowed to proceed for 24 h under oxygen atmosphere, at room temperature in aqueous buffer and neutral pH. Firstly, when the BIAN-Pd(II) catalyst (ratio 1.4:1) was employed, the isolated product yield of the reaction between phenylboronic acid and small molecule alkene **3** was relatively good (Table 1, ESI section 3†). However, incomplete conversion was observed for the reaction of phenylboronic acid **1** with protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** (Table 1, Fig. S3†), which is most likely due to limited solubility of the ligand in aqueous buffer at neutral pH. Secondly, for the 2-amino-4,6-dihydroxypyrimidine-Pd(II) catalyst (ratio 2:1), the reaction between phenylboronic acid **1** and protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** was improved but still incomplete (Table 1, Fig. S4†), whereas the isolated product yield of the reaction between phenylboronic acid and small molecule **3** decreased (Table 1, ESI section 3†). We note that in case of BIAN and 2-amino-4,6-dihydroxypyrimidine, chelation with EDTA was needed after the completion of the reaction with protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** in order to remove the palladium from the protein before mass spectrometric analysis. This indicates that, during the reaction, Pd(II) also gets bound to proteins, which could hamper the oxidative Heck reaction by limiting the availability of the catalyst.

This observation urged us to try EDTA as a ligand to keep Pd(II) in solution and, hence, available for catalysis. Therefore, the EDTA-Pd(II) catalyst (ratio 1:1) was tested in the oxidative Heck reaction on protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** with phenylboronic acid **1**, which provided full conversion (Table 1, Fig. S5†). Reaction of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** with phenylboronic acid **2** under these conditions gave close to full conversion (Fig. S6†). In contrast to the full conversion on protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1**, only 50% conversion was found for the reaction between phenylboronic acid and non-protein bound alkene **3** by EDTA-Pd(II) catalysis as determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, which indicates that EDTA-Pd(II) is, under these conditions, not the best catalyst for oxidative Heck reactions



between phenylboronic acids and small molecule terminal alkenes in contrast to protein-bound alkenes. Interestingly, to our knowledge there are no prior reports on EDTA as a ligand for Pd(II) catalysis as used in the oxidative Heck reaction, whereas it has been reported as a ligand in Pd(0) catalyzed reactions.<sup>21–23</sup> Taking this together, we conclude that EDTA-Pd(II) is a good catalyst for linkage of biotinylated phenylboronic acid **1** to protein-bound alkenes. We presume that this success originates from the catalyst's water solubility and superior stability in presence of proteins due to the polar and multivalent nature of the EDTA ligand.

### pH dependence oxidative Heck reaction


After having established the oxidative Heck reaction as an efficient reaction at neutral pH, we set out to vary the pH of the reaction mixture. Control reactions on the wild type 4-OT demonstrated its stability at pHs ranging from 3 to 11 (Fig. S18†). Subjecting the protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** to the oxidative Heck reaction using EDTA-Pd(II) catalysis and phenylboronic acid as a coupling reagent demonstrated limited conversion at pH lower than 7.0, whereas pH 7.0 and higher provided full conversion to the single phenylated product (ESI section 4.7†). Furthermore, at pH 9.0 double phenylation of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** was observed, while at pH 11.0 some elimination of the maleimide functionality took place. These observations indicate that pHs of 7.0 to 8.0 are optimal for the oxidative Heck reaction on protein-bound alkenes.

### Oxidative Heck reaction at low protein concentrations

Since the reaction rate decreases with decreasing concentrations, we investigated how well the oxidative Heck reaction performs at low protein concentrations. The on blot detection limit of protein biotinylation, using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence assay (ECL), proved to be 5.0 pmol (0.04 µg), which enables the detection of concentrations down to 250 nM in a reaction mixture (estimated using the fully biotinylated protein **4-OT R61C-2**) (ESI section 4.5, Fig. S7†). Next, the protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** was reacted with **1** in presence of a cell lysate from RAW 264.7 macrophages mixed in ratios 1 : 1 and 1 : 10 based on the protein content (mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) (containing 66 or 5 µM **4-OT R61C-1** respectively). In both cases, when equivalent amounts of protein were loaded on SDS-PAGE gels, we observed in blot luminescence of the band of biotinylated protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** and of some higher molecular weight proteins, that are endogenously biotinylated (Fig. 1A and C, ESI section 4.6†). Furthermore, LC-MS analysis of the band of reacted protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** on SDS-PAGE gels in ratio 1 : 1 showed full conversion (Fig. 1B). Then, when we performed the reaction on protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** at 5 µM concentration, we were pleased to find full conversion of the starting protein, after in blot luminescence detection (Fig. 1C, lane 1 and 4 compared to lane 2 as a reference).

### Comparison oxidative Heck reaction and 'click' reaction

Subsequently, we moved on to compare the oxidative Heck reaction with the aqueous 'click' reaction at low protein con-



**Fig. 1** On blot detection and Coomassie Blue staining of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** labeled with 3-(biotinylamino)phenyl boronic acid using the aqueous oxidative Heck reaction. (A) On blot luminescence imaging and Coomassie Blue staining of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** (0.9 µg) labeled in presence of a cell lysate (protein ratio 1 : 1). Reaction (1) in absence of **4-OT R61C-1** and (2) in presence of **4-OT R61C-1**. (B) Mass spectrum demonstrating full biotinylation of **4-OT R61C-1** mixed with a complex protein mixture from cells (ratio 1 : 1). (C) On blot luminescence imaging and Coomassie Blue staining of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** (0.09 µg) labeled in small scale (1, 2) as well as in presence of a cell lysate (3, 4) (protein ratio 1 : 10). Reaction (1) 5 µM scale reaction of **4-OT R61C-1**, (2) **4-OT R61C-2** (fully biotinylated reference), (3) the reaction in absence of **4-OT R61C-1** and (4) in presence of **4-OT R61C-1**. (D) On blot luminescence imaging of biotinylation of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** and protein-bound alkyne **4-OT R61C-4** in small scale via the oxidative Heck (1, 2, 3) and the click reaction (5, 6, 7). On the SDS-PAGE were loaded 0.1 µg of (1, 5) 3 µM, (2, 6) 1 µM, (3, 7) 0.5 µM scale reaction and (4) **4-OT R61C-2** (fully biotinylated reference).

centrations. For this purpose, the protein-bound terminal alkyne **4-OT R61C-4** was prepared (ESI section 6.1†) and subjected to coupling at a protein concentration of 20 µM, using the recently discovered water soluble TABTA ligand,<sup>24</sup> Cu(II) sulphate, sodium ascorbate and azide-PEG-biotin, for 2 h at room temperature. Mass spectrometry analysis showed full conversion of protein-bound alkyne **4-OT R61C-4** to the desired product (Fig. S20†). Afterwards, the oxidative Heck reaction and the 'click' reaction were performed on **4-OT R61C-1** and **4-OT R61C-4** respectively, at protein concentrations of 3, 1 and 0.5 µM. On blot detection showed that both ligation methods resulted in incomplete labeling at 3 µM, while no product was detected at lower concentrations (Fig. 1D). We conclude that both the aqueous oxidative Heck reaction and aqueous 'click' reaction give full conversion at higher concentrations and lose their efficacy at protein concentrations in the low micromolar range.

Next we investigated if both methods can be used orthogonal to each other. Exposure of protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1** to the 'click' reaction conditions resulted in no change in the protein mass (Fig. S22†). On the contrary, application of the oxidative Heck reaction conditions on the protein-bound alkyne **4-OT R61C-4** resulted in a complex mixture with possibly coupling of one or two phenyl groups (Fig. S23†), which can be expected due to the Pd(II) catalyzed reactions on



alkynes, as reported previously.<sup>25,26</sup> This shows that the oxidative Heck reaction on alkenes is not orthogonal to alkynes.

### Oxidative Heck reaction on alkene-labeled histones


After having established the aqueous oxidative Heck reaction as a successful and chemoselective cross-coupling method on our model protein-bound alkene **4-OT R61C-1**, we moved on to use this reaction for detection of alkene-labeled cellular proteins. As a model system we applied histone labeling using 4-pentenoic acid analogously to methods described by Yang *et al.*, who employed alkynic carboxylate 4-pentynoic acid to metabolically label histones *in vitro*.<sup>27</sup> It is expected that these chemical reporters, when supplemented to the medium of cultured cells, are linked to CoA to form acyl-CoA precursors that can subsequently serve as substrates for protein lysine acylation by acyltransferases. In this way, protein acylation can be monitored *via* bioorthogonal coupling of detection tags to protein-bound alkynes using the 'click' reaction or protein-bound alkenes using the oxidative Heck reaction (Fig. 2A).

To investigate the replacement of alkynes with alkenes, we employed sodium 4-pentenoate **4a** as an olefinic chemical reporter that was incubated with RAW 264.7 cells at concentrations of 5 and 10 mM for 6 h. The cells were lysed and histones were extracted by acid extraction (Fig. 2B). The oxidative Heck reaction was performed on the alkene-labeled histones, using EDTA-Pd(II) as a catalyst and **1** as a coupling partner. Control reactions on untreated histones were also set up, in

presence and absence of the catalyst (Fig. 2B and C). In the experimental protocol it proved to be important to include 16% of  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol in the SDS-PAGE loading buffer to minimize non-specific binding of the biotinylated phenylboronic acid **1** to the proteins.

Additionally, cells were treated with 10 mM of sodium 4-pentynoate for 6 h and the subsequent 'click' reaction was performed on the extracted histones, using reagents and conditions mentioned above. In our hands, both methods resulted in a rather equal luminescent signal of histone H3 (Fig. 2B, Fig. S27<sup>†</sup>). Histone H1 and H4 were found to be labeled differently with the alkynic moiety or the olefinic one. The lack of histone H4 labeling originates apparently from a less good incorporation of 4-pentenoic acid into this histone compared to 4-pentynoic acid, because the histone H3 show comparable luminescent intensity indicating both a good incorporation and an effective oxidative Heck reaction in this sample. We conclude that alkyne or alkene metabolic labeling in combination with the oxidative Heck enables the detection of metabolically labeled histone acylation.

We next investigated a concentration dependency for the metabolic labeling. The labeling efficiency at 5 mM 4-pentenoic acid proved to be much lower compared to 10 mM (Fig. 2C). We argued that a poor cellular permeability of this carboxylate could limit the incorporation of 4-pentenoic acid in histones. Therefore, we envisioned that a thioester or a methyl ester derivative could act as a pro-drug type of precursor



**Fig. 2** (A) Schematic procedure of alkene metabolic labeling and subsequent detection of histones *via* the oxidative Heck reaction using 3-(biotinylamino)phenylboronic acid **1**. (B) On blot luminescence imaging and Coomassie Blue staining of biotinylated alkyne-labeled and alkene-labeled histones (7.0  $\mu$ g) *via* (2) 'click' and (3) oxidative Heck reaction respectively. Reactions (1) and (4) negative controls for 'click' and oxidative Heck reaction respectively. (C) On blot luminescence imaging and Coomassie Blue staining of histones (5.5  $\mu$ g) after 6 h incubation with 5 and 10 mM of (**4a**) sodium pent-4-enoate, (**4b**) S-propyl pent-4-enethioate and (**4c**) methyl 4-pentenoate. (D) On blot luminescence imaging and Coomassie Blue staining of alkenylated histones (4.0  $\mu$ g) after 14 h incubation with 30  $\mu$ M of C646 and 0.41  $\mu$ M of SAHA followed by treatment with 10 mM of the reporter **4a**. (E) On blot luminescence detection and Coomassie Blue staining of acetylated histones (3.0  $\mu$ g) after 20 h incubation with 30  $\mu$ M of C646 and 0.41  $\mu$ M of SAHA using the anti-acetyl lysine antibody. (F) On blot luminescence imaging and Coomassie Blue staining of alkenylated histones H1 and H3 (1.0  $\mu$ g) after separation on 15% SDS-PAGE.



to facilitate cellular uptake and thus enhance histone pentenylation. Towards this aim, the thioester *S*-propyl 4-pentethioate **4b** and the methyl ester methyl 4-pentenoate **4c** were employed for metabolic labeling (Fig. 2C). However, blotting using the ECL assay, demonstrated that 4-pentenoic acid **4a** is a more efficient labeling reagent in comparison with **4b** and **4c** (Fig. 2C). Apparently, pro-drug type of precursors are not more effective in this case.

### Metabolic labeling compared to anti-acetyl lysine antibody

Finally, the oxidative Heck reaction was employed to detect changes in lysine acylation in presence of HAT and HDAC inhibitors (ESI section 8.3†). The HATi C646<sup>28</sup> and HDACi SAHA<sup>29</sup> were incubated with RAW 264.7 cells for 14 h at concentrations of 30 and 0.41  $\mu\text{M}$  respectively, followed by addition of 4-pentenoic acid at 10 mM and subsequent incubation for 6 h. The alkene-labeled histones were detected using the oxidative Heck reaction (Fig. 2D). For comparison, lysine acetylation was monitored using an anti-acetyl lysine antibody (Fig. 2E). Metabolic labeling of lysine acylation showed a minor reduction in response to inhibition of HAT activity using C646 (Fig. 2D). This minor reduction was however not visible with the anti-acetyl lysine antibody. Interestingly, treatment of the cells with HDACi SAHA resulted also in a reduction of the metabolic labeling, whereas the antibody showed an increase in lysine acetylation (Fig. 2E). A similar observation has been made by Yang *et al.* in their study on metabolic labeling using 4-pentynoic acid.<sup>27</sup> This comparison demonstrates that metabolic labeling of histone lysine acylation using alkene-labeled carboxylates responds differently to HATi and HDACi than lysine acetylation as visualized using anti-acetyl lysine antibodies. This could be explained by the idea that incorporation of 4-pentenoic acid needs deacetylation of acetylated lysine residues in order to make the lysine available for acylation with 4-pentenoic acid. An alternative explanation is that histone lysine acylation using 4-pentenoic acid rather mimics longer lysine acylations such as propionylations and butyrylations and not so much lysine acetylations. Although HATs have been reported to catalyze the transfer of propionyl-groups<sup>30</sup> and HDACs the hydrolysis of propionyl and butyryl groups,<sup>31</sup> it is to be expected that the enzymatic turnover rate and thus the cellular equilibrium between acylation and deacylation depends on the length of the acyl chain, which would explain the differences between the metabolic labeling method and the antibody-based method.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed the Pd(II)-catalyzed oxidative Heck reaction as a powerful tool for protein labeling in a fully aqueous environment. We demonstrated that EDTA is an effective ligand for Pd(II) catalysis of protein-bound terminal alkenes, which provides a fully water soluble catalyst. This catalyst can be employed to link the water soluble 3-(biotinyl-amino)phenylboronic acid (**1**) to alkenylated proteins in quan-

titative yield at protein concentrations down to 5  $\mu\text{M}$ , whereas the conversion drops at lower concentrations, which is also the case for the aqueous alkyne-azide 'click' reaction. The performance of the oxidative Heck reaction on alkene-labeled cellular proteins was investigated by metabolic labeling of histone lysine acylation using alkene or alkyne-labeled carboxylates. For histone H3 metabolic alkene-labeling and detection with the oxidative Heck reaction proved to be equally effective as alkyne-labeling and detection with the 'click' reaction. However, differences were observed for other proteins. Finally, in an attempt to monitor the activity of the responsible enzymes, cells were treated with C646 (HATi) and SAHA (HDACi), which demonstrated that metabolic labeling and detection of lysine acylations deviates from antibody based detection. Taking this together, we conclude that the aqueous oxidative Heck reaction is an effective chemoselective method to biotinylate alkene-labeled proteins in biological samples with efficiency comparable to the 'click' reaction as demonstrated for the alkene-labeled model protein 4-OT.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by a VIDI grant (016.122.302) to F.J.D from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. We thank Prof. Dr. Adriaan J. Minnaard for his helpful advice. We thank Jan-Ytzen van der Meer for technical support with the protein expression.

## Notes and references

- 1 D. Y. Lee, J. J. Hayes, D. Pruss and A. P. Wolffe, *Cell*, 1993, **72**, 73–84.
- 2 E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 6974–6998.
- 3 Y. Chen, R. Sprung, Y. Tang, H. Ball, B. Sanfras, S. C. Kim, J. R. Falck, J. Peng, W. Gu and Y. Zhao, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2007, **6**, 812–819.
- 4 F. T. Stevenson, S. L. Bursten, R. M. Locksley and D. H. Lovett, *J. Exp. Med.*, 1992, **176**, 1053–1062.
- 5 C. Choudhary, B. T. Weinert, Y. Nishida, E. Verdin and M. Mann, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2014, **15**, 536–550.
- 6 M. Grunstein, *Nature*, 1997, **389**, 349–352.
- 7 M. Ghizzoni, H. J. Haisma, H. Maarsingh and F. J. Dekker, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2011, **16**, 505–511.
- 8 D. J. Barnes, I. M. Adcock and K. Ito, *Eur. Respir. J.*, 2005, **25**, 552–563.
- 9 Z. Konsoula and F. A. Barile, *J. Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 2012, **66**, 215–220.
- 10 G. Egger, G. Liang, A. Aparicio and P. A. Jones, *Nature*, 2004, **429**, 457–463.
- 11 F. J. Dekker, T. van den Bosch and N. I. Martin, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2014, **19**, 654–660.
- 12 J. E. Brownell and C. D. Allis, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1995, **92**, 6364–6368.



- 13 W. Gu and R. G. Roeder, *Cell*, 1997, **90**, 595–606.
- 14 A. P. Wolffe and J. J. Hayes, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 1999, **27**, 711–720.
- 15 C. Smet-Nocca, J.-M. Wieruszkeski, O. Melnyk and A. Benecke, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2010, **16**, 414–423.
- 16 S. Liokatis, A. Dose, D. Schwarzer and P. Selenko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14704–14705.
- 17 K. Lang and J. W. Chin, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2014, **9**, 16–20.
- 18 J. M. Baskin and C. R. Bertozzi, *QSAR Comb. Sci.*, 2007, **26**, 1211–1219.
- 19 M. L. Blackman, M. Royzen and J. M. Fox, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13518–13519.
- 20 M. E. Ourailidou, J. Y. van der Meer, B. J. Baas, M. Jeronimus-Stratingh, A. L. Gottumukkala, G. J. Poelarends, A. J. Minnaard and F. J. Dekker, *ChemBioChem*, 2014, **2**, 209–212.
- 21 J. M. Chalker, C. S. C. Wood and B. G. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 16346–16347.
- 22 J.-F. Wei, J. Jiao, J.-J. Feng, J. Lv, X.-R. zhang, X.-Y. Shi and Z.-G. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 5967–5974.
- 23 D. N. Korolev and N. A. Bumagin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 5751–5754.
- 24 G. C. Rudolf and A. S. Sieber, *ChemBioChem*, 2013, **14**, 2447–2455.
- 25 K. Shen, X. Han and X. Lu, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1732–1735.
- 26 B. Yao, Q. Wang and J. Zhu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 5170–5174.
- 27 Y.-Y. Yang, J. M. Ascano and H. C. Hang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3640–3641.
- 28 E. M. Bowers, G. Yan, C. Mukherjee, A. Orry, L. Wang, M. A. Holbert, N. T. Crump, C. A. Hazzalin, G. Liszczak, H. Yuan, C. Larocca, S. A. Saldanha, R. Abagyan, Y. Sun, D. J. Meyers, R. Marmorstein, L. C. Mahadevan, R. M. Alani and P. A. Cole, *Chem. Biol.*, 2010, **17**, 471–482.
- 29 V. M. Richon, S. Emiliani, E. Verdin, Y. Webb, R. Breslow, R. A. Rifkind and P. A. Marks, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1998, **95**, 3003–3007.
- 30 H. Leemhuis, L. C. Packman, K. P. Nightingale and F. Hollfelder, *ChemBioChem*, 2008, **9**, 499–503.
- 31 B. C. Smith and J. M. Denu, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, **282**, 37256–37265.

