



Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 18651

Self-assembled polymeric nanocarriers for the targeted delivery of retinoic acid to the hair follicle†

Maria Lapteva, Michael Möller, Robert Gurny and Yogeshvar N. Kalia*

Acne vulgaris is a highly prevalent dermatological disease of the pilosebaceous unit (PSU). An inability to target drug delivery to the PSU results in poor treatment efficacy and the incidence of local side-effects. Cutaneous application of nanoparticulate systems is reported to induce preferential accumulation in appendageal structures. The aim of this work was to prepare stable polymeric micelles containing retinoic acid (RA) using a biodegradable and biocompatible diblock methoxy-poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(hexyl-substituted lactic acid) copolymer (MPEG-dihexPLA) and to evaluate their ability to deliver RA to skin. An innovative punch biopsy sample preparation method was developed to selectively quantify follicular delivery; the amounts of RA present were compared to those in bulk skin, (*i.e.* without PSU), which served as the control. RA was successfully incorporated into micelle nanocarriers and protected from photoisomerization by inclusion of Quinoline Yellow. Incorporation into the spherical, homogeneous and nanometer-scale micelles ($d_n < 20$ nm) increased the aqueous solubility of RA by >400-fold. Drug delivery experiments *in vitro* showed that micelles were able to deliver RA to porcine and human skins more efficiently than Retin-A[®] Micro (0.04%), a marketed gel containing RA loaded microspheres, ($7.1 \pm 1.1\%$ vs. $0.4 \pm 0.1\%$ and $7.5 \pm 0.8\%$ vs. $0.8 \pm 0.1\%$ of the applied dose, respectively). In contrast to a non-colloidal RA solution, Effederm[®] (0.05%), both the RA loaded MPEG-dihexPLA polymeric micelles (0.005%) and Retin-A[®] Micro (0.04%) displayed selectivity for delivery to the PSU with 2-fold higher delivery to PSU containing samples than to control samples. Moreover, the micelle formulation outperformed Retin-A[®] Micro in terms of delivery efficiency to PSU presenting human skin ($10.4 \pm 3.2\%$ vs. $0.6 \pm 0.2\%$, respectively). The results indicate that the polymeric micelle formulation enabled an increased and targeted delivery of RA to the PSU, potentially translating to a safer and more efficient clinical management of acne.

Received 16th July 2015,
Accepted 17th October 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5nr04770f

www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Introduction

Acne vulgaris is a local skin disease that affects over 80% of adolescents and can continue to have an impact during adulthood. Although a superficial skin condition, acne can strongly affect patient physical appearance and self-esteem, resulting in psychological complications.¹ It occurs in the pilosebaceous unit (PSU) and has a complex pathogenesis usually triggered by hormonal changes during puberty. The PSU is a skin appendage comprising the hair shaft and sebaceous gland inside a follicular duct covered by a stratified squamous epithelium. In healthy tissue, this epithelium desquamates and the shed cells are eliminated *via* the follicular duct together with the sebum. In the case of acne affected skin, the follicular

duct infundibulum is occluded by desquamated cells due to increased turnover; the sebum is over-secreted and cannot be evacuated. Bacterial growth is thus promoted inside the PSU and can lead to inflammation and/or rupture of the entire unit. Skin lesions can range from microcomedones (*i.e.* no inflammation) to inflamed pustules and cysts.^{1–3}

Among the different therapeutic agents available to treat acne, retinol (Vitamin A) derivatives play an important role in both systemic and topical therapy. Topically applied retinoids have been shown to act on retinoic acid receptors (RARs) and retinoid X receptors (RXRs) in keratinocytes and sebocytes modulating their proliferation and differentiation.^{1,4} Retinoids have also been shown to possess anti-inflammatory activity. However, this therapeutic activity is often accompanied by side effects such as skin dryness, peeling or irritation.⁵ It has recently been suggested that colloidal micro- and nanoparticulate drug delivery systems possess a unique ability to be deposited in the follicular duct; in particular, when accompanied by massage of the formulation on the skin surface which facilitates dispersal and entry into the appendage.^{5–13} Follicular

School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Geneva & University of Lausanne, 30 Quai Ernest Ansermet, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland. E-mail: Yogi.Kalia@unige.ch; Fax: +41 22 379 3360; Tel: +41 22 379 3355

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5nr04770f



targeting is of major interest in the treatment of acne since it could increase the efficacy of anti-acne agents and reduce the incidence and severity of local side effects.

All-*trans* retinoic acid (tretinoin; TRN) is one of the most widely used retinoids for the topical treatment of acne. It is also one of the most widely studied and its formulation in liposomes,^{14–16} solid lipid nanoparticles¹⁷ and microspheres^{5,18} has been investigated and improvements in skin bioavailability have been observed. Furthermore, a microsphere formulation (Retin-A Micro[®]), which also showed a favorable irritation profile, has received regulatory approval.⁵ Given its high lipophilicity ($\log P = 6.2$) and almost negligible aqueous solubility, TRN is difficult to formulate: excipients able to solubilize TRN can also perturb the stratum corneum lipids and enhance transdermal permeation thereby increasing the risk of systemic side effects. Therefore, the challenge for the formulator is to improve cutaneous delivery of TRN, ideally targeting the PSU, while minimizing transdermal permeation.

Polymeric micelles composed of a novel biodegradable and biocompatible diblock methoxy-poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(hexyl-substituted lactic acid) copolymer (MPEG-dihexPLA) have shown promise as nanocarriers for poorly water soluble, lipophilic drugs. Copolymer degradation resulted in the formation of non-toxic 2-hydroxyoctanoic acid and lactic acid.¹⁹ Its biocompatibility was demonstrated *in vitro* in different cell lines and *in vivo* using the chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) model for both the copolymer (below the CMC) and polymeric micelles up to copolymer concentrations of 20 mg ml⁻¹.²⁰ Moreover, no hemolysis was observed.²⁰

Recent investigations have also shown the potential of these micelles as topical delivery systems for targeted dermatological therapy. Micelles applied to skin were able to deliver “difficult-to-formulate” drugs such as econazole nitrate,²¹ tacrolimus,²² and ciclosporin A²³ to the upper layers of the skin, avoiding undesirable transdermal permeation. The preferential deposition of micelles into the follicular duct, without accompanying skin massage, was observed by confocal laser scanning microscopy.²² This raised the possibility of using micelles for the selective delivery of therapeutic agents to the PSU.

The first aim of this study was to formulate TRN loaded MPEG-dihexPLA polymeric micelles. It is well known that TRN has poor photostability and is readily converted into nine identified *cis-trans* stereoisomers upon exposure to light.²⁴ Therefore, it is believed that a significant proportion of TRN applied to the skin surface is degraded within one or two hours by sunlight.²⁵ Of the different photoisomers, only isotretinoin (13-*cis* retinoic acid) and alitretinoin (9-*cis* retinoic acid) are active and might have a pharmacological effect; indeed, isotretinoin has been shown to possess non-negligible skin deposition.²⁶ Thus, TRN containing micelles underwent a photostability study and the formulation was optimized to improve drug stability. However, given that the main degradation products in both micelle and commercial formulations were isotretinoin and alitretinoin, it was decided to quantify the total amount of the different retinoic acid (RA) isomers in the *in vitro* skin delivery experiments that made up the second

part of the study. It is important to note that in the present work, TRN refers to isomerically pure all-*trans* retinoic acid (tretinoin) and RA is a collective term used to describe all isomers of retinoic acid. The cutaneous delivery efficiency of RA from the optimal TRN micelle formulation was compared with that from two marketed formulations (Retin-A Micro[®] (0.04%) and Effederm[®] (0.05%)) and the ability of the micelle formulation to target the PSU selectively was demonstrated using a newly developed biopsy method.

Experimental

Materials

All-*trans* retinoic acid (Ph. Eur.; $\geq 98\%$ purity) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Axon-Lab (Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland). The amphiphilic MPEG-dihexPLA copolymer (methoxy-poly(ethylene glycol) di-(hexyl-substituted polylactide)) was synthesized in-house as described previously.^{22,23} The MPEG-dihexPLA copolymer had a M_n of 6080 g mol⁻¹ and a polydispersity index (P.I.) of 1.15 according to GPC measurements. The internal standard acitretin (ACT), 9-*cis* retinoic acid, 13-*cis* retinoic acid, tartrazine, Quinoline Yellow, Acid Yellow 17, sodium and potassium chloride, sodium and potassium phosphate, trifluoroacetic acid, phosphoric acid and triethylamine were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Buchs, Switzerland). Acetone and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were supplied by Biosolve chemicals (Valkenswaard, Netherlands). Ultra-pure water (Millipore Milli-Q[®] Gard 1 Purification Pack resistivity >18 M Ω cm, Zug, Switzerland) was used. All other chemicals were at least of analytical grade.

Analytical methods

Separation of the retinoic acid isomers by HPLC-UV. The HPLC apparatus consisted of a P680A LPG-4 pump equipped with an ASI-100 autosampler, a TCC-100 thermostatted column compartment and a UV170U detector (Dionex; Voisins LeBretonneux, France). Gradient separation was performed using a LiChrospher[®]100, RP-18e, 5 μ m, 250 \times 4 mm column (BGB Analytik AG; Boeckten, Switzerland) maintained at 35 °C. Chromeleon[®] software was used for integration and data analysis. Mobile phase A consisted of acetonitrile containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and mobile phase B consisted of water containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. The flow rate and mobile phase composition are shown in Table 1. The RA isomers were

Table 1 Flow rate and mobile phase composition in the gradient separation method

Time (min)	Flow rate (ml min ⁻¹)	% A	% B
0	1	90	10
2	1	90	10
2.5	0.4	80	20
30	0.4	40	60
35	1	90	10
45	1	90	10






Fig. 1 Chromatographic separation and identification of TRN isomers after exposure of a $100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ TRN solution in mobile phase to light for 12 h.

detected at a wavelength of 348 nm and the sample injection volume was $25 \mu\text{l}$.

The gradient method was able to separate the 9 stereoisomers of retinoic acid. Fig. 1 shows the chromatograms obtained for (i) TRN standard ($100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$), (ii) isotretinoin standard ($100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$), (iii) alitretinoin standard ($100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$) and (iv) a TRN sample ($100 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$) exposed to light for 1 h. The respective retention times of peaks 1 to 9 were 3.8, 5.1, 7.1, 9.3, 14.5, 16.8, 20.3, 31.1 and 36.6 min, respectively. Based on the signals of the injected standards, isomers 5, 6 and 9 corresponded to isotretinoin, alitretinoin and TRN, respectively. Isomers 1 to 4 were already present in the isotretinoin standard, and were considered as secondary isomers that originated from the isomerization of isotretinoin. Isomers 5, 6, 7 and 8 appeared to be the main products of TRN isomerization. It has been reported that apart from isotretinoin and alitretinoin, the main isomerization products of TRN are: 11-*cis* retinoic acid; 11,13-*cis* retinoic acid and 9,13-*cis* retinoic acid.²⁴ Therefore peaks 7 and 8 could correspond to any of these entities. Tretinoin, isotretinoin and alitretinoin are of major pharmacological interest since they are active at retinoic acid receptors. The remaining isomers will simply be referred to by their respective numbers in the following text.

Quantification of total retinoic acid by HPLC-UV. The HPLC apparatus was the same as that mentioned above. In this case, separation was performed using a LiChrospher[®]100, RP-8e, $5 \mu\text{m}$, $125 \times 4 \text{ mm}$ column (BGB Analytik AG; Boeckten, Switzerland) which was maintained at $35 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Chromeleon[®] software was used for integration and data analysis. The mobile phase consisted of a mixture of acetonitrile and 0.1% phosphoric acid in water (95 : 5 v/v). The flow rate and injection volume were 1 ml min^{-1} and $25 \mu\text{l}$, respectively. RA was detected at a wavelength of 348 nm. A peak for RA was obtained at 2.25 min and the run time was 5.0 min. The HPLC-UV method was validated (ESI[†]).

Quantification of total retinoic acid by UHPLC-MS/MS. To increase sensitivity and specificity, UHPLC with tandem mass spectrometry was used to quantify the drug deposited in and permeated across the skin during the *in vitro* transport experiments. UHPLC-MS/MS analysis was carried out using a Waters Acquity[®] UPLC[®] system (Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland) comprising a binary solvent pump and sample manager and a Waters XEVO[®] TQ-MS detector (Baden-Dättwil, Switzerland). Isocratic separation was carried out using a LiChrospher[®] RP-C18, $5 \mu\text{m}$, $125 \text{ mm} \times 4 \text{ mm}$ column (BGB Analytik AG; Boeckten, Switzerland) thermostatted at $25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. The mobile phase consisted of water and acetonitrile with 0.1% triethylamine (95 : 5 v/v). The flow rate was set at 0.6 ml min^{-1} and the injection volume was $5 \mu\text{l}$. As ion suppression was observed in the samples – presumably due to the presence of endogenous compounds released from the skin – acitretin (ACT) was used as an internal standard. Each injected sample contained a known amount of the internal standard at a concentration of 50 ng ml^{-1} . Mass spectrometric detection was performed with electrospray ionization in negative ion mode using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The detection settings for RA and ACT are presented in Table 2.

The different RA isomers were not separated and all were eluted as a single peak at 1.58 min, together with the internal standard ACT. The UHPLC-MS/MS method was also validated (ESI[†]).

Preparation of the micelle formulation

Micelles with different TRN loading (20, 25 and 30 mg of TRN per g of copolymer) were prepared using the solvent evaporation method (Formulations, A, B and C, respectively).^{21,27} Briefly, a known quantity of TRN and copolymer was dissolved in 2 ml of acetone. This was then added dropwise under sonication (Branson digital Sonifier[®] S-450D, Carouge, Switzerland) to 4 ml of ultra-pure water. Acetone was then slowly removed by rotary evaporation (Büchi RE 121 Rotavapor,



Table 2 MS/MS settings for detection of retinoic acid and acitretin

	Retinoic acid	Acitretin
Nature of parent ion	$[M - H]^-$	$[M - H]^-$
Parent ion (m/z)	299.2	325.2
Daughter ion (m/z)	255.1	266.1
Collision energy (V)	16	14
Cone voltage (V)	30	30
Capillary voltage (kV)	3.00	3.00
Capillary temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	500	500
Desolvation gas flow (L h^{-1})	1000	1000
Cone gas flow (L h^{-1})	50	50
Collision gas flow (L)	0.15	0.15
LM resolution 1	2.78	2.78
HM resolution 1	15	15
Ion energy 1 (V)	0.7	0.7
LM resolution 2	2.74	2.74
HM resolution 2	14.88	14.88
Ion energy 2 (V)	0.6	0.6

Flawil, Switzerland). The final copolymer concentration was set to 5 mg ml^{-1} . After equilibration overnight, the micelle solution was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 15 min (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804, Hamburg, Germany) to remove excess drug and the supernatant was carefully collected. The samples were protected from light throughout the preparation process to prevent photoisomerization.

Characterization of micelle formulations

Size. The hydrodynamic diameter (Z_{av}), polydispersity index (P.I.), volume-weighted and number-weighted diameters (d_v and d_n , respectively) of the micelles were measured using dynamic light scattering (DLS) with a Zetasizer HS 3000 (Malvern Instruments Ltd; Malvern, UK). Measurements were performed at an angle of 90° at 25°C . All values were obtained after three runs of ten measurements.

Morphology. Micelle morphology was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (FEI TecnaiTM G2 Sphera, Eindhoven, Netherlands) using the negative staining method. Briefly, $5 \mu\text{l}$ of the micelle solution was dropped onto an ionized carbon-coated copper grid (0.3 Torr, 400 V for 20 s), which was then placed for 1 s in a $100 \mu\text{l}$ drop of a saturated uranyl acetate aqueous solution and then in a second $100 \mu\text{l}$ drop for 30 s. Excess staining solution was removed and the grid was dried at room temperature prior to the measurement. The grid finally underwent TEM analysis. The images were processed using Image J software (Image J 1.45s).

RA content. Total loading of RA (*i.e.* TRN and its isomers) in the micelles was quantified by HPLC-UV. To ensure complete micelle destruction and release of the contents, 1:20, 1:50 1:100 dilutions in acetonitrile were made for each formulation. The RA content, RA loading and incorporation efficiency were calculated as in previous studies.^{22,23}

Evaluation of the physical stability of the micelle formulations

Micelles of different target drug loading (20, 25 and $30 \text{ mg}_{\text{RA}} \text{ g}_{\text{copolymer}}^{-1}$) were formulated and stored at 4°C for

6 months. A visual observation of the micelle solutions was performed and the incorporated RA was quantified by HPLC-UV at a series of time-points (Day 1, 5, 7 followed by 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 months).

Evaluation of the photostability of TRN in the micelle formulations

The micelle characterization steps described above did not distinguish between RA isomers. However, it is known that all-*trans* retinoic acid, tretinoin (TRN), is prone to photodegradation. Thus, when it is applied topically to the skin, TRN undergoes photoisomerization under ambient light conditions after a few hours.²⁵ Therefore, the physically optimal micelle formulation (Formulation A, target $20 \text{ mg}_{\text{RA}} \text{ g}_{\text{copolymer}}^{-1}$) was selected to undergo a photostability study.

Micelles and a control formulation (Effederm[®] solution 0.05%; Sinclair Pharma, France) were placed at a distance of 30 cm in front of a light source (compact fluorescent lamp, BLC-GDU10-7W; neutral white, 4000 K; OSRAM SA, Winterthur, Switzerland). As a control experiment, the two formulations were also kept in the dark. Formulations were sampled at 0, 1, 4, 8 and 12 h. All RA isomers were separated and quantified using the gradient HPLC-UV method.

Several photoprotective agents were tested in order to improve the photostability of the micelle formulation. It has been reported that radiation at 420 nm is the most harmful for TRN leading to its photoisomerization.²⁸ Yellow dyes that absorb visible light in this region of the spectrum have been shown to decrease TRN photodegradation.²⁸ Therefore, Acid Yellow 17, tartrazine and Quinoline Yellow, were selected to be tested as photostabilizers in the TRN micelle formulation based on their maximal absorption wavelength, water solubility and skin tolerability. The photostabilizer concentration in the formulation was 0.005% as this concentration proved to be minimally skin staining. The photostabilizers were added in appropriate amounts to the micelle formulation after the evaporation step to avoid dye incorporation inside the micelle.

Skin preparation

Porcine ears were purchased from a local abattoir (CARRE; Rolle, Switzerland). After washing under running cold water, full-thickness skin samples were harvested and underlying adipose tissue excised. Hair was removed from the skin surface using clippers. Discs corresponding to the formulation application area were punched out (Berg & Schmid HK 500; Urdorf, Switzerland). Skin samples were frozen at -20°C and stored for a maximum period of 3 months. Prior to the experiment, skin samples were thawed at room temperature and placed for 15 min in 0.9% saline solution for rehydration.

Human skin samples were collected immediately after surgery from the Department of Plastic, Aesthetic and Reconstructive Surgery, Geneva University Hospital (Geneva, Switzerland). The study was approved by the Central Committee for Ethics in Research (CER: 08-150 (NAC08-051); Geneva University Hospital). Skin samples originated from breast



reduction in a male subject and therefore contained terminal hairs. Hypodermis and fatty tissue were removed and discs corresponding to the permeation area were punched out (Berg & Schmid HK 500; Urdorf, Switzerland). The skin was stored in a biobank at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for a maximum period of 3 months.

Evaluation of retinoic acid skin delivery *in vitro*

Investigation of RA skin delivery. Skin samples (porcine or human skin) were mounted in standard Franz diffusion cells (area = 2 cm^2). The receptor compartment (volume = 10 ml) was filled with sonicated phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) to ensure sink conditions (RA solubility increased to $244.9 \pm 35.2\text{ }\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$). After equilibration, 200 mg of the optimized micelle formulation (0.005%) or Effederm[®] solution (0.05%) or Retin-A Micro[®] (0.04%) was placed in the donor compartment ($100\text{ }\mu\text{l cm}^{-2}$) and left in contact with skin for 12 h. The receptor compartment was stirred at 250 rpm and maintained at $33\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ throughout the experiment. To better simulate patient use, no additional protection from light was implemented; however, experiments were run overnight. Upon completion of the experiment, 1 ml of receptor phase was withdrawn to quantify RA permeation. Samples were diluted in acetonitrile to precipitate BSA. After centrifugation at 10 000 rpm for 15 min, the permeation samples were analyzed by UHPLC-MS/MS. The diffusion cells were dismantled and each sample was carefully washed in order to ensure removal of the residual formulation from the skin surface. Skin samples were then cut into small pieces and RA deposited in the skin was extracted by soaking the pieces in 4 ml of acetonitrile for 4 h with continuous stirring at room temperature. The extraction procedure was validated (ESI⁺). The extraction samples were centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 15 min and diluted prior to UHPLC-MS/MS analysis.

Investigation of RA follicular delivery using punch biopsy. The optimized micelle formulation (0.005%), Effederm[®] solution (0.05%) or Retin-A Micro[®] (0.04%) were applied for 12 h to porcine or human skin using the conditions described above ($n = 5$). At the end of the experiment, the PSU was harvested using a 1 mm punch (Berg & Schmid HK 500; Urdorf, Switzerland) (Fig. 2).

Each harvested sample was inspected visually for the presence of the entire PSU. The hair shaft was also cut to 1 mm above the surface of skin. Each sample was collected in an individual eppendorf tube. RA deposited in each sample was extracted for 2 h with $100\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of acetonitrile + 0.1% TEA at room temperature and subjected to UHPLC-MS/MS analysis after centrifugation. A similar number of 1 mm skin samples were harvested from bulk skin (PSU-free) to serve as controls (Fig. 2).

Data analysis

Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD. Outliers determined using the *Dixon test* were discarded. Results were evaluated statistically using either *Student's t test* or analysis of variance




Fig. 2 (a) Methodology of sample harvesting. PSUs and control skin regions were harvested using a 1 mm punch. Scale bar = 0.5 mm (b) Micrographs of the samples obtained: The left panel shows PSU-free samples used as controls whereas the right panel shows PSU-presenting samples. Scale bar = 1 mm.

(ANOVA) followed by *Student Newman Keuls test* when necessary as a *post-hoc* procedure. The level of significance was fixed at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Characterization of micelle formulations

RA content. Three formulations (A–C) were prepared with constant copolymer content (5 mg ml^{-1}) but different target loadings: 20, 25 and 30 mg of RA per g of copolymer. All formulations appeared as limpid yellowish liquids. The actual RA loadings, contents and incorporation efficiencies obtained for each formulation are given in Table 3. The micelles incorporated RA with efficiencies ranging from $88.73 \pm 0.45\%$ to $91.26 \pm 0.77\%$, Formulations A and C, respectively, which corresponded to RA concentrations of $0.091 \pm 0.001\text{ mg ml}^{-1}$ and $0.133 \pm 0.007\text{ mg ml}^{-1}$, respectively.



Table 3 RA content of micelle formulations

Formulation	Copolymer content (mg ml ⁻¹)	TARGET RA loading (mg _{RA} g _{copo} ⁻¹)	RA loading ± SD (mg _{RA} g _{copo} ⁻¹)	RA content ± SD (mg _{RA} ml ⁻¹)	Incorporation efficiency ± SD (%)
A	5	20	18.25 ± 0.15	0.091 ± 0.001	91.26 ± 0.77
B	5	25	22.37 ± 0.21	0.112 ± 0.001	89.49 ± 0.85
C	5	30	26.62 ± 1.35	0.133 ± 0.007	88.73 ± 0.45
Optimal formulation ^a	2.7	20	18.25 ± 0.15	0.050 ± 0.001	91.26 ± 0.77

^aThe optimal formulation was obtained by a dilution of Formulation A and addition of the selected photoprotecting agent.




Fig. 3 Number weighted diameter distribution of micelles. Data presented as mean ± SD; *N* = 3.

Size. The size of the RA loaded MPEG-dihexPLA micelles was measured using DLS. All RA loaded micelle formulations had homogeneous nanometer-scale sizes with hydrodynamic diameters (Z_{av}) from 71.7 to 105.7 nm. The number weighted diameter (d_n) distribution is shown in Fig. 3. The incorporation of RA in the micelles did not influence their size.

All formulations displayed a unimodal distribution with micelle sizes below 20 nm. Further DLS data can be found in the ESI.†

Physical stability of micelle formulations

RA content in Formulations A–C was quantified over 6 months at different time points (Fig. 4).

Formulations B and C were considered to be unstable since RA precipitation was observed 1 month after micelle preparation. Relative to the initial value, RA content fell by 63.6 and 69.2%, respectively. In contrast, Formulation A, proved to be more stable, retaining more than 84.3% of its initial drug content after 6 months of storage. The micelle size in this formulation remained constant over 6 months (d_n of 16.6 at day 1 and d_n of 17.4 at day 180; Fig. 3). Therefore, Formulation A was selected for further optimization.

Photostabilization of RA in the micelle formulations

Selection of photoprotective agent. Effederm[®] solution (0.05%) and micelle formulations (with or without photostabi-




Fig. 4 RA content of micelle formulations as a function of time. Data presented as mean ± SD; *N* = 3.

lizers) were tested with respect to their photostability. Samples kept in the dark showed no isomerization. Fig. 5a shows the TRN content (relative to total isomer content) as a function of light exposure time for different formulations. As expected TRN in the non-stabilized micelle formulation rapidly isomerized leaving only $33.2 \pm 2.6\%$ of TRN in the formulation after 1 h of light exposure. In contrast, TRN in Effederm[®] solution (0.05%) was relatively stable as approximately 30% of TRN was still intact after 6 h of light exposure. This can be explained by the presence of D - α -tocopherol in the commercial formulation which can act as a photostabilizer.

The addition of yellow dyes to the micelle formulation led to a significant increase in TRN stability; Quinoline Yellow (0.005%) gave the best results, as TRN was more stable over time in this formulation than in Effederm[®] solution (0.05%). Indeed, the area under the curve was 394.0%TRN content-h for the micelle formulation stabilized with Quinoline Yellow and 378.8%TRN content-h for Effederm[®] solution (0.05%). Thus, the micelle formulation stabilized by Quinoline Yellow was selected as the optimal formulation to undergo further experiments.

Kinetics of TRN photodegradation and the identification of side products. The different stereoisomers of TRN could be separated using HPLC-UV in gradient mode. Three main degradation products were observed after light exposure for 12 h: isotretinoin (isomer 5), alitretinoin (isomer 6) and unidentified isomer 7. The other isomers were present in low proportions at the end of the degradation study (Fig. 5b).






Fig. 5 (a) TRN content as a function of light exposure in native micelles, micelles photoprotected with different photoprotective agents and Effederm® 0.05%. (b) Kinetics of TRN photodegradation in Effederm® 0.05% and different isomers obtained after 12 h exposure to light (isomers 3 to 9 are described in the Experimental section). (c) Active isomer (tretinoin + isotretinoin + alitretinoin) content as a function of time in Effederm® 0.05%, native micelles and Quinoline Yellow stabilized micelles. Data presented as mean \pm SD; $N = 3$.

It is known that isotretinoin and alitretinoin are active isomers of TRN; Fig. 5c shows the proportion of active isomers as a function of light exposure time. It can be observed that after 12 h, the content of active retinoic acid isomers in Effederm® (0.05%) and the micelle formulation was $91.0 \pm 0.3\%$ and $90.3 \pm 0.6\%$, respectively. However, the addition of Quinoline Yellow to the latter further increased the active isomer fraction to 93.0% . This was due to a higher isotretinoin content in the stabilized formulation ($55.7 \pm 1.7\%$) compared to the commercial formulation ($43.6 \pm 0.4\%$) and unprotected micelles ($52.1 \pm 1.2\%$). Consequently the Quinoline Yellow

stabilized micelle formulation protected TRN against photodegradation and when this did occur, it increased the proportion of active isomers in the formulation.

With this in mind, and given that $>90\%$ of the TRN isomers formed after exposure to light were active, the total retinoid content in the skin was quantified following application of the TRN formulations in the subsequent *in vitro* skin delivery experiments.

Development of a 0.005% micelle formulation

Effederm® 0.05% solution and Retin-A Micro® 0.04% were selected as control formulations in order to have both non-colloidal and colloidal comparators. A simple “head-to-head” comparison between the commercial formulations and the micelle formulation was impossible because of the difference in drug content. Formulation A, which had the optimal physically stable (Table 3), was diluted to 0.005% (*i.e.* 10 times less drug content than in Effederm®) and stabilized with Quinoline Yellow (0.005%) which was selected as the best photostabilizer. The characterization data for the optimized formulation are presented in Table 3 and Fig. 3. The TEM micrograph of the optimized formulation (Fig. 6) demonstrates that the micelles were spherical in shape with diameters ranging from 10 to 20 nm; these dimensions confirmed the DLS results in Table 3. The pH of the final formulation was 6.3, which was suitable for application to the skin.

Retinoic acid delivery to porcine skin and human skin *in vitro*

Delivery to full thickness skin. No RA was detected in the receiver compartment after application of any of the formulations for 12 h. The optimal micelle formulation was able to deliver statistically equivalent amounts of RA to porcine and human skins validating use of the former as a surrogate (0.36 ± 0.05 and $0.38 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$, respectively) (Fig. 7).

The delivery to porcine skin from Retin-A® Micro (0.04%) ($0.14 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$) was lower than that yielded by micelles




Fig. 6 TEM micrograph of the optimal micelle formulation: Formulation A with Quinoline Yellow (0.005%). Scale bar = 200 nm.






Fig. 7 Skin deposition of retinoic acid in porcine or human full-thickness skin ($N = 6$).

($0.36 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$). However, delivery to human skin from both formulations was similar (0.31 ± 0.03 and $0.38 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$, respectively). Effederm®, a 0.05% ethanolic solution, outperformed both formulations delivering $4.26 \pm 3.04 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$ of RA to porcine and $2.45 \pm 0.94 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$ to human skins.

However, it is important to note that although the same amounts of each formulation were applied to skin, due to the difference in drug content, the RA doses were different – 5, 40 and $50 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$ for the micelle formulation, Retin-A® Micro and Effederm®, respectively. For a better comparison of delivery data, results have to be expressed as delivery efficiencies (*i.e.* the percentage of the applied dose that was delivered to the skin) and this will be described in the Discussion section.

Targeted delivery to hair follicles. RA was quantified in skin biopsies containing a pilosebaceous unit (PSU) and compared to PSU free skin biopsies (Fig. 8).

In experiments with porcine skin, the optimal micelle formulation yielded 2-fold superior deposition ($2.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$) to the PSU containing biopsy than that to the control (PSU free) skin biopsy ($1.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$), suggesting that micelles could be preferentially retained in the appendage. For human skin, a similar increase (1.9-fold) was observed,

$5.2 \pm 1.6 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ in the PSU containing biopsy and $2.8 \pm 1.0 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ for the control (PSU free) skin biopsy, confirming that the micelles could target the hair follicle. A similar increase was observed for Retin-A® Micro in both porcine and human skins: $3.9 \pm 1.6 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ and $2.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ were delivered to PSU presenting samples in porcine and human skins, respectively, whereas only $1.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ and $1.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ was retrieved in the corresponding control samples. In contrast, no significant difference in delivery was observed for Effederm® for either porcine ($85.2 \pm 33.0 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ for PSU-presenting skin and $68.7 \pm 33.5 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ for the control sample) or human skins ($78.1 \pm 36.0 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ for PSU-presenting skin and $64.1 \pm 28.7 \text{ ng mm}^{-2}$ for the control sample). These results clearly demonstrate that the colloidal formulations promote targeted delivery to the PSU whereas a simple solution does not.

Discussion

Micelle formulation development

Drug loadings (mg_{RA} loaded per g_{copo}) were relatively low in comparison to those obtained with similar formulations studied previously, *e.g.* $132.25 \pm 0.31 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ in the case of tacrolimus and $>300 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ for ciclosporin A (Table 4).^{21–23}

A recent study using multiple linear regression suggested that drug loading was influenced by several molecular parameters, in decreasing order: number of H-donors, $\log P$, number of H-acceptors and aqueous solubility.²¹ Indeed, it can be seen that the drugs that were efficiently loaded into micelles (*i.e.* drug loading $>100 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$) possessed a high number of H donors and H acceptors and intermediate $\log P$ (0.4–5.2). RA on the other hand, like clotrimazole has few H donors and H acceptors and $\log P = 6.2$. The presence of an ionizable site could also negatively influence RA incorporation into micelles.

Formulations B and C were able to incorporate enough RA to reach drug contents of $0.112 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$ and $0.133 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$, respectively. The latter corresponds to a 409-fold increase in RA aqueous solubility (325 ng ml^{-1} ; experimental data). However, Formulation A was selected for use in the subsequent studies as it was the most stable formulation;




Fig. 8 Targeted delivery of retinoids to PSU and control skin samples in (a) porcine and (b) human skin. ($N = 15$).



Table 4 Comparison of drug loadings and different physicochemical properties of drugs loaded in MPEG-dihexPLA micelles^{21–23}

	Fluconazole	Econazole nitrate	CsA	Tacrolimus	Clotrimazole	Retinoic acid
Mass/mass drug loading (mg g ⁻¹)	268.3	293.1	333.8	132.2	58.0	18.2
Approximate molar drug loading (molecule of drug per molecule of copolymer)	4.9	3.7	1.7	1.0	0.9	0.4
MW (Da)	306.3	444.7	1202.6	804.1	344.8	300.4
H donors	1	0	5	3	0	1
Log P	0.4	5.2	2.7	4.7	5.9	6.2
H acceptors	8	3	23	13	2	2
Water solubility (g L ⁻¹)	0.001	0.800	0.012	0.018	0.030	0.0003
Ionisable site pK _a	—	6.65	—	—	5.83	4.73

the RA content of 0.091 ± 0.001 mg ml⁻¹ corresponded to a 280-fold increase in RA aqueous solubility. The instability of Formulations B and C was probably due to supersaturation of the micelles with RA on the day of preparation, which led to drug precipitation over the first month of storage.²² As can be seen from the DLS results, all micelles presented diameters below 20 nm. The incorporation of RA did not affect the size of the micelle, possibly indicating that RA due to its linear structure could align with the hexPLA moiety of the polymer. Furthermore, the addition of Quinoline Yellow as photostabilizer did not affect micelle size either.

Of the photostabilizers tested, Quinoline Yellow was selected as the optimal excipient given its ability to stabilize TRN. The maximum absorption wavelength of Quinoline Yellow, 420 nm, is the exact wavelength triggering photoisomerization of tretinoin.²⁸ Quinoline Yellow present in the aqueous solution might act as a shield and absorb light at 420 nm before it reaches the drug containing core of the micelle. Moreover, in the event of photoisomerization its presence led to an increased formation of isotretinoin, which is the principal active isomer of TRN.

RA delivery to the skin and targeting of the hair follicle

The absence of transdermal RA permeation from the micelle formulation suggests that systemic exposure to the drug can be avoided. In terms of topical delivery, the optimal micelle formulation contained 0.005% of RA, which was 8-fold less than that in Retin-A[®] Micro (0.04%), but was able to deliver a similar amount of RA to skin. As mentioned previously, in order to compare delivery from the different formulations, delivery data must be expressed as a percentage of the applied dose; this delivery efficiency is described by eqn (1).

$$\text{Delivery efficiency (\%)} = \frac{\text{Amount of drug delivered per area unit}}{\text{Amount of drug applied per area unit}} \quad (1)$$

Fig. 9 presents the delivery efficiencies obtained for the different formulations. Delivery to full-thickness porcine and human skins is presented in Fig. 9a. It is obvious that the micelle formulation had a significantly higher delivery efficiency than Retin-A[®] Micro ($7.1 \pm 1.1\%$ vs. $0.4 \pm 0.1\%$ and $7.5 \pm 0.8\%$ vs. $0.8 \pm 0.1\%$; $p = 0.005$ and $p < 0.001$ for porcine




Fig. 9 Comparison of delivery efficiencies yielded by the formulations to different skin sites: (a) Delivery efficiency to full-thickness skin. (b) Delivery efficiency to PSU containing and control 1 mm biopsies of porcine skin. (c) Delivery efficiency to PSU containing and control 1 mm biopsies of human skin.



and human skins, respectively) and matched the delivery efficiency yielded by the 0.05% Effederm[®] solution ($8.5 \pm 6.1\%$ and $4.9 \pm 1.9\%$ for porcine and human skin, respectively). The high delivery from Effederm[®] is expected and most probably due to its high ethanol and propylene glycol content. Nanoparticulate topical drug delivery systems are known to be of major interest for targeting the PSU.⁵ Liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles and microspheres have been formulated and have shown considerable improvement in skin delivery. Retin-A Micro[®] is a microsphere based gel that reached the market in 1997.²⁹ It uses the Microsponge[®] technology developed by Advanced Polymer Systems, Inc. Microsponge[®] are micron size acrylate polymeric porous microspheres where the drug is adsorbed in the pores.^{5,30} The RA loaded microspheres have shown efficacy *in vivo*³¹ and a favorable irritation profile in comparison to RA cream with similar drug content.³ The increased delivery efficiency was attributed to the ability of the particles to accumulate in the PSU. It was therefore a good comparator formulation.

Targeted delivery into the hair follicle has become an area of major interest in recent years and a number of techniques have been used to identify and to quantify the contribution of follicular delivery (Table 5).^{32,33}

Techniques using rodent skin models were not considered here as these animals are known to have major differences in skin structure, and more importantly in hair follicle structure and number in comparison with human skin.³⁵

Selective sealing and differential stripping are two of the most widely used techniques.^{8,34,36–38} The first consists in blocking the PSU with a wax or polymer in order to determine the contribution of the transfollicular pathway to the (trans) dermal delivery of a drug, whereas the second relies on the harvesting of follicular casts using tape stripping and cyanoacrylate cast biopsies and focuses on the quantification of drug

retained in the hair follicle. For the treatment of acne, the hair follicle is the target site. However, we were reluctant to use the differential stripping method to quantify the amount of RA retained in the hair follicle. Indeed, one of the main drawbacks of this technique is that the biopsy obtained usually only contains the hair shaft whilst leaving structures like the sebaceous gland and epithelial cells of the PSU in the skin.³⁴

In order to avoid this disadvantage, we have developed an innovative method to quantify drug delivery to the whole PSU. The method is inspired by the follicular unit extraction technique used in the treatment of alopecia by hair transplantation.³⁹ This technique consists in harvesting the PSU with a 1 mm punch. The PSU is harvested entirely, so as to remain viable, and then reimplanted in the diseased region. In our study this technique enables quantification of the drug in the entire PSU. As can be seen from Fig. 2, the punch biopsies obtained contain the PSU surrounded by intact skin. Therefore, all data have been compared to control biopsies where no PSU was present.

It was evident from the present study that for colloidal formulations (micelles and Retin-A Micro[®]) the PSU containing biopsies in both human and porcine skin showed a significantly increased RA deposition in comparison to the control samples, indicating a preferential deposition of RA in the PSU structure. This phenomenon was not observed with Effederm[®] solution where deposition was comparable in both groups emphasizing that only micro- and nanoparticulate formulations can yield targeted follicular delivery.

However, expression of the data in terms of delivery efficiencies (Fig. 9b and 9c) reveals that the micelle formulation delivers RA to PSU much more efficiently than the microspheres: 5- and 17-fold greater in porcine and human skin, respectively, ($5.2 \pm 1.2\%$ vs. $1.0 \pm 0.4\%$ and $10.4 \pm 3.2\%$ vs. $0.6 \pm 0.2\%$, respectively). This superiority may be explained by the nano-

Table 5 Comparison of various methods for quantifying follicular drug delivery³²

Technique	Advantages	Disadvantages
Comparison of delivery to normal skin and follicle-free scar skin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible to use in animal models <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> • Possible to use in humans <i>in vivo</i> • Possible to study the effect of follicular pathway on transdermal delivery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scarred tissue presents major differences compared to normal skin, including increased collagen content
Selective sealing of follicular orifices with polymeric material or wax	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible to use in animal models <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> • Possible to use in humans <i>in vivo</i> • Possible to study the contribution of the follicular pathway on transdermal delivery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of accidentally sealing follicle-free skin areas • Possible leakage of drug through follicular seal
Differential stripping: tape stripping of stratum corneum and cyanoacrylate follicle biopsies followed by drug extraction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct quantification of drug present in the biopsy • Possible to use in animal models <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> • Possible to use in humans <i>in vivo</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No possibility to study the contribution of follicular pathway on transdermal delivery • Cyanoacrylate follicle biopsy may contain only the hair shaft, leaving the remaining PSU components in the skin³⁴
Confocal imaging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visualization of drug/model dye in skin and follicle 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of "model" fluorescent dyes potentially different from the drug. • No quantitative data



meter scale size of the micelles as compared to the micron-sized microspheres and more importantly by their structure. Indeed microspheres are solid state porous beads that adsorb RA. The rate of drug release from the beads when in contact with skin is unknown and may be governed by the relative affinity of RA for the skin and the bead. Previous studies into polymeric micelle mediated skin delivery could not elucidate the mechanism of the micelle interaction with skin. Two hypotheses were proposed (i) either the micelle remains intact upon skin contact and as the glass transition temperature of the polymer is low its core enables better interaction with skin and better partitioning of the drug from the micelle into the skin or ii) the micelle can disassemble upon skin contact and release its contents. Moreover, the single polymer chain may also act as a penetration enhancer.²² The selective and preferential accumulation of micelles in the PSU has been visualized and inferred from CLSM studies in previous reports²² and confirmed quantitatively in the present study. In previous work, we quantified the biodistribution of tacrolimus as a function of position in the epidermis and dermis.²² By analogy, it would be extremely interesting to perform a similar biodistribution study on single punch biopsies to elucidate the exact amount of RA as a function of position in the different skin layers in PSU-free and PSU-presenting samples.

Given these promising results, novel RA loaded micelles should undergo testing in acne patients since delivery to diseased skin may be in many ways different from delivery to healthy skin. Indeed, in acne the majority of the follicular ducts are obstructed due to the physiopathology of the condition and micelle interaction with the PSU may be hindered. In conclusion, the results presented here indicate that not only do the micelles act as nanosized vehicles for RA and allow an increased and targeted transport of the drug to the PSU, they also enable a reduction in drug content, which might lead to an improved clinical management of *Acne vulgaris* and a better skin irritation profile.

Conclusions

Previous work on polymeric micelle mediated topical skin delivery has suggested that interaction with the PSU is one of the preferential drug penetration pathways into skin. This hypothesis has been confirmed quantitatively in the present study using an innovative punch biopsy technique to harvest the PSU. The successful formulation of RA into polymeric micelles and the increased delivery efficiency provided by them to the target site may lead to a more selective and safer topical treatment of *Acne vulgaris*.

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Geneva for a teaching assistantship for ML and for providing financial support for the purchase of the Waters Xevo[®] TQ-MS detector and the Fondation Ernst and

Lucie Schmidheiny and the Société Académique de Genève for providing equipment grants. We would also like to express our gratitude to Prof. Brigitte Pittet-Cuénod and her colleagues from the Department of Plastic, Aesthetic and Reconstructive Surgery, Geneva University Hospital (Geneva, Switzerland) for providing human skin samples. Finally, we would like to thank Rémi Lafaix for his contribution to the experimental work and Dr Van Nguyen for precious advice.

References

- 1 H. Gollnick, Current concepts of the pathogenesis of acne: implications for drug treatment, *Drugs*, 2003, **63**, 1579–1596.
- 2 E. Contassot and L. E. French, New Insights into Acne Pathogenesis: Propionibacterium Acnes Activates the Inflammasome, *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 2014, **134**, 310–313.
- 3 G. F. Webster, Topical tretinoin in acne therapy, *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.*, 1998, **39**, S38–44.
- 4 K. Sardana and V. N. Sehgal, Retinoids: fascinating up-and-coming scenario, *J. Dermatol.*, 2003, **30**, 355–380.
- 5 G. A. Castro and L. A. Ferreira, Novel vesicular and particulate drug delivery systems for topical treatment of acne, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2008, **5**, 665–679.
- 6 D. Papakostas, F. Rancan, W. Sterry, U. Blume-Peytavi and A. Vogt, Nanoparticles in dermatology, *Arch. Dermatol. Res.*, 2011, **303**, 533–550.
- 7 U. Blume-Peytavi and A. Vogt, Human hair follicle: reservoir function and selective targeting, *Br. J. Dermatol.*, 2011, **165**(Suppl 2), 13–17.
- 8 A. Patzelt and J. Lademann, Drug delivery to hair follicles, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2013, **10**, 787–797.
- 9 A. Patzelt, H. Richter, F. Knorr, U. Schafer, C. M. Lehr, L. Dahne, W. Sterry and J. Lademann, Selective follicular targeting by modification of the particle sizes, *J. Controlled Release*, 2011, **150**, 45–48.
- 10 M. Morgen, G. W. Lu, D. Du, R. Stehle, F. Lembke, J. Cervantes, S. Ciotti, R. Haskell, D. Smithey, K. Haley and C. Fan, Targeted delivery of a poorly water-soluble compound to hair follicles using polymeric nanoparticle suspensions, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2011, **416**, 314–322.
- 11 H. Wosicka and K. Cal, Targeting to the hair follicles: current status and potential, *J. Dermatol. Sci.*, 2010, **57**, 83–89.
- 12 R. Chourasia and S. K. Jain, Drug targeting through pilosebaceous route, *Curr. Drug Targets*, 2009, **10**, 950–967.
- 13 R. H. Neubert, Potentials of new nanocarriers for dermal and transdermal drug delivery, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2011, **77**, 1–2.
- 14 M. J. F. Contreras, M. M. J. Soriano and A. R. Dieguez, In vitro percutaneous absorption of all-trans retinoic acid applied in free form or encapsulated in stratum corneum lipid liposomes, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2005, **297**, 134–145.



- 15 S. Kitagawa and M. Kasamaki, Enhanced delivery of retinoic acid to skin by cationic liposomes, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2006, **54**, 242–244.
- 16 C. Sinico, M. Manconi, M. Peppi, F. Lai, D. Valenti and A. M. Fadda, Liposomes as carriers for dermal delivery of tretinoin: in vitro evaluation of drug permeation and vesicle-skin interaction, *J. Controlled Release*, 2005, **103**, 123–136.
- 17 K. A. Shah, A. A. Date, M. D. Joshi and V. B. Patravale, Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) of tretinoin: potential in topical delivery, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2007, **345**, 163–171.
- 18 J. Nyirady, M. Nighland, G. Payonk, J. Pote, S. Phillips and R. Grossman, A comparative evaluation of tretinoin gel microsphere, 0.1%, versus tretinoin cream, 0.025%, in reducing facial shine, *Cutis*, 2000, **66**, 153–156.
- 19 T. Trimaille, R. Gurny and M. Moller, Poly(hexyl-substituted lactides): Novel injectable hydrophobic drug delivery systems, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B*, 2007, **80A**, 55–65.
- 20 K. Mondon, M. Zeisser-Labouebe, R. Gurny and M. Moller, Novel cyclosporin A formulations using MPEG-hexyl-substituted polylactide micelles: a suitability study, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2011, **77**, 56–65.
- 21 Y. G. Bachhav, K. Mondon, Y. N. Kalia, R. Gurny and M. Moller, Novel micelle formulations to increase cutaneous bioavailability of azole antifungals, *J. Controlled Release*, 2011, **153**, 126–132.
- 22 M. Lapteva, K. Mondon, M. Moller, R. Gurny and Y. N. Kalia, Polymeric micelle nanocarriers for the cutaneous delivery of tacrolimus: a targeted approach for the treatment of psoriasis, *Mol. Pharm.*, 2014, **11**, 2989–3001.
- 23 M. Lapteva, V. Santer, K. Mondon, I. Patmanidis, G. Chiriano, L. Scapozza, R. Gurny, M. Moller and Y. N. Kalia, Targeted cutaneous delivery of ciclosporin A using micellar nanocarriers and the possible role of inter-cluster regions as molecular transport pathways, *J. Controlled Release*, 2014, **196**, 9–18.
- 24 M. G. Motto, K. L. Facchine, P. F. Hamburg, D. J. Burinsky, R. Dunphy, A. R. Oyler and M. L. Cotter, Separation and Identification of Retinoic Acid Photoisomers, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1989, **481**, 255–262.
- 25 D. J. Elbaum, Comparison of the stability of topical isotretinoin and topical tretinoin and their efficacy in acne, *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.*, 1988, **19**, 486–491.
- 26 P. A. Lehman and A. M. Malany, Evidence for percutaneous absorption of isotretinoin from the photo-isomerization of topical tretinoin, *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 1989, **93**, 595–599.
- 27 K. Mondon, M. Zeisser-Labouebe, R. Gurny and M. Moller, MPEG-hexPLA micelles as novel carriers for hypericin, a fluorescent marker for use in cancer diagnostics, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2011, **87**, 399–407.
- 28 M. Brisaert and J. Plaizier-Vercammen, Investigation on the photostability of a tretinoin lotion and stabilization with additives, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2000, **199**, 49–57.
- 29 Retin-A Microsphere approval, http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/97/020475ap.pdf, 23.02.2015.
- 30 S. Smith, V. Morhenn and G. Webster, The characteristics and utility of solid phase porous microspheres: a review, *J. Drugs Dermatol.*, 2006, **5**, 969–974.
- 31 R. Berger, A. Barba, A. Fleischer, J. J. Leyden, A. Lucky, D. Pariser, E. Rafal, D. Thiboutot, D. Wilson, R. Grossman and M. Nighland, A double-blinded, randomized, vehicle-controlled, multicenter, parallel-group study to assess the safety and efficacy of tretinoin gel microsphere 0.04% in the treatment of acne vulgaris in adults, *Cutis*, 2007, **80**, 152–157.
- 32 V. M. Meidan, Methods for quantifying intrafollicular drug delivery: a critical appraisal, *Expert Opin. Drug Delivery*, 2010, **7**, 1095–1108.
- 33 E. Touitou, V. M. Meidan and E. Horwitz, Methods for quantitative determination of drug localized in the skin, *J. Controlled Release*, 1998, **56**, 7–21.
- 34 A. Teichmann, U. Jacobi, M. Ossadnik, H. Richter, S. Koch, W. Sterry and J. Lademann, Differential stripping: determination of the amount of topically applied substances penetrated into the hair follicles, *J. Invest. Dermatol.*, 2005, **125**, 264–269.
- 35 OECD guidance notes on dermal absorption, <http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/46257610.pdf>, 23.02.2015.
- 36 A. Teichmann, N. Otberg, U. Jacobi, W. Sterry and J. Lademann, Follicular penetration: development of a method to block the follicles selectively against the penetration of topically applied substances, *Skin Pharmacol. Physiol.*, 2006, **19**, 216–223.
- 37 B. N. Matos, T. A. Reis, T. Gratieri and G. M. Gelfuso, Chitosan nanoparticles for targeting and sustaining minoxidil sulphate delivery to hair follicles, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2015, **75**, 225–229.
- 38 A. Patzelt, H. Richter, R. Buettemeyer, H. J. Huber, U. Blume-Peytavi, W. Sterry and J. Lademann, Differential stripping demonstrates a significant reduction of the hair follicle reservoir in vitro compared to in vivo, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*, 2008, **70**, 234–238.
- 39 L. M. Bicknell, N. Kash, C. Kavouspour and R. M. Rashid, Follicular unit extraction hair transplant harvest: a review of current recommendations and future considerations, *Dermatol. Online J.*, 2014, **20**.

