



Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 1301

Received 10th September 2014,
 Accepted 2nd December 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4nr05244g

www.rsc.org/nanoscale

A high-performance catalyst support for methanol oxidation with graphene and vanadium carbonitride†

Taizhong Huang,^{*‡a,b} Shun Mao,^{‡b} Guihua Zhou,^b Zhaoliang Zhang,^a Zhenhai Wen,^b Xingkang Huang,^b Suqin Ci^b and Junhong Chen^{*b}

In this study, a graphene–vanadium carbonitride (G–V(C, N)) hybrid is reported as a novel support for the Pt catalyst in methanol oxidation. The catalytic activity of the Pt/G–V(C, N) hybrid for methanol oxidation is greatly enhanced compared with that of a commercial Pt/C catalyst with carbon black as the catalyst support. The outstanding catalytic activity of the Pt/G–V(C, N) catalyst suggests the potential of using graphene–metal carbonitride as the catalyst support in fuel cells.

1. Introduction

The direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC), one of the most promising energy sources for portable devices and transportation applications, has attracted great attention in recent years. The appealing features of a DMFC include high efficiency, high energy density, low operating temperature, environmentally benign quality, and the ability to generate power without distributed pollution from by-products of hydrocarbon combustion. However, the poor activity and the high cost of the anode catalysts still block their large-scale applications.

Rare metal-based catalysts, *e.g.*, platinum (Pt)-based catalysts, are the most popular catalysts for methanol oxidation. Recently, Pt-based alloys, *i.e.*, Pt–Au, Pt–Ru, Pt–Rh, Pt–Pd, and Pt–Sn, were studied and they showed improved catalytic activities compared with pure Pt for methanol oxidation in alkaline electrolytes.^{1–3} Traditionally, metallic catalyst particles are usually loaded on a carbon black support due to its low cost,

large specific surface area, and good electrical conductivity; however, the shortcomings of carbon black are also prominent, such as the absence of a synergistic effect with catalysts and an easily corroded and collapsed structure during long-term operation. The corrosion of carbon black can induce the poisoning of precious metal catalysts by carbon monoxide, while the structure collapse can induce the agglomeration of catalyst particles, leading to degradation in the catalytic activity.⁴ To overcome the shortcomings of carbon black, various new catalyst supports such as metal oxides, carbides, and nitrides have been proposed due to their anti-corrosion, synergistic effect, lower methanol oxidation onset potential, less formation of formic acid or formaldehyde and so on.^{5–12} Metal oxides, such as CeO₂, RuO₂, NiO, Co₃O₄, and Mn₃O₄, can significantly promote the catalytic activity and stability of Pt/C or Pd/C electro-catalysts for methanol oxidation.^{13–15} Compared with metal oxides, metal nitride- and carbide-supported catalysts show even higher catalytic activity and stability for methanol oxidation. For example, titanium nitride (TiN)-supported Pt shows good catalytic performance and high CO tolerance for methanol oxidation in an alkaline medium with exceptional stability, corrosion resistance, good electronic conductivity and adhesion behaviour.^{16–18} A microspheric W₂C-supported Pt catalyst shows higher catalytic activity for the electrochemical oxidation of methanol compared with a carbon black-supported commercial Pt–Ru/C catalyst.¹⁹ Molybdenum carbide (MoC) and tungsten carbide (WC) supported Pt–Ru catalysts also display better durability and higher catalytic performance than the Pt–Ru/C catalyst.²⁰ Lee's group recently reviewed transitional metal carbide (TMC) and transitional metal nitride (TMN) catalysts towards methanol oxidation.²¹ TMC-based electrocatalysts are believed to be more active as anode catalysts for the oxidation of fuels, whereas TMN-based catalysts are more active as cathode catalysts for oxygen reduction with a high stability. Another review of the electrochemical determination of activation energies for methanol oxidation on Pt in acidic and alkaline electrolytes drew similar conclusions,²² and the carbide and nitride anions play a critical role in catalyzing the methanol oxidation

^aKey Laboratory of Chemical Sensing & Analysis in Universities of Shandong, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, China.

E-mail: chm_huangtz@ujn.edu.cn; Fax: +86 531 82765969; Tel: +86 531 89716103

^bDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, 3200

North Cramer Street, Milwaukee, WI 53211, USA. E-mail: jhchen@uwm.edu;

Fax: +1 414 2296958; Tel: +1 414 2292615

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: DSC results of Pt/G–V(C, N); TEM image of Pt/G–V(C, N); XPS and Raman results of Pt/G–V(C, N); catalytic performance of Pt/graphene in methanol oxidation; electrochemical surface area calculation. See DOI: 10.1039/c4nr05244g

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



through different mechanisms. In addition to the metal nitride and carbide supports, nanocarbon, *e.g.*, a graphene-based catalyst support, has also attracted great attention due to its high surface area and high conductivity.^{23,24}

Although various metal carbides and nitrides have been investigated as catalyst supports, using metal carbonitride as a catalyst support for methanol oxidation has scarcely been reported. A heterogeneous catalyst, *e.g.*, metal carbonitride, provides new opportunities for improving the catalytic performance owing to the multi-functionality inherent in the catalytic process.^{25–27} Due to the coexistence of nitrogen and carbon anions, many defects are present in metal carbonitride, which leads to increased active sites and induces synergistic effects with the catalyst.

In this study, a graphene supported vanadium carbonitride (V(C, N)) hybrid, termed (G–V(C, N)), was successfully synthesized through *in situ* reduction of graphene oxide (GO) supported V₂O₃ under an ammonia atmosphere.^{28,29} Pt nanoparticles, a typical catalyst for methanol oxidation, were loaded on the G–V(C, N) for methanol oxidation. The electrochemical tests show that the catalytic activity of Pt/G–V(C, N) for methanol oxidation is much higher than that of the commercial Pt/C catalyst, which suggests that G–V(C, N) is a promising catalyst support for methanol oxidation in fuel cell systems.

2. Materials and methods

Synthesis of Pt/G–V(C, N)

Graphene oxide-supported V₂O₃ was prepared by a hydrothermal method using VCl₃ as the precursor. First, 0.2 g VCl₃ (97%, Aldrich) and 8.0 ml graphene oxide (GO) (10 mg ml^{−1} in DI water, ACS Materials) were mixed with ultra-sonication for thirty minutes. Then a hydrothermal reaction occurred at 200 °C for eight hours and the obtained precipitate was washed with a large amount of DI water and vacuum dried at 80 °C for four hours. The resulting graphene oxide-supported V₂O₃ was reduced at 1000 °C under an ammonia gas flow (0.5 lpm, quarter inch tube) for two hours to produce the G–V(C, N) support. Pt, with the content of 10 wt%, was loaded onto G–V(C, N) by the reduction of H₂PtCl₆ using ethylene glycol under sonication conditions at 60 °C. The content of Pt (10 wt%), V (35.3 wt%), and N (9.1 wt%) was obtained using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) tests. The hybrid was then further annealed at 400 °C for one hour and the annealing temperature was determined using the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) result, as shown in ESI† Fig. S1a.

Material characterization

The morphology of the Pt/G–V(C, N) sample, the selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern, the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), and the elemental mapping were obtained using a Hitachi (H 9000 NAR) transmission electron microscope (TEM) and a Hitachi (S-4800) scan electron microscope

(SEM) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy analyzer. Samples for SEM tests were loaded on the silicon substrate first before tests. A powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) test was performed on a Scintag XDS 2000 X-ray powder diffractometer with monochromatized Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$); the data were collected between diffraction angles (2θ) of 10 and 85°. An X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) test was conducted using an HP 5950A ESCA spectrometer with an Mg K α source. Raman spectroscopy was obtained using a Renishaw Raman system with a 633 nm laser line from an He–Ne laser.

Electrochemical test

The electrochemical characterization was carried out in 1 M KOH at room temperature using a CHI 760E electrochemical workstation (CHI Inc., USA). The three-electrode cell consisted of an Hg/Hg₂Cl₂ electrode as the reference electrode, Pt as the counter-electrode, and a glassy carbon electrode with catalysts as the working electrode. To prepare the working electrode, 5.0 mg catalyst was mixed with a 50 μL Nafion solution (5.0% Nafion in ethanol) and 450 μL DI water. The mixture was sonicated for more than thirty minutes and 5.0 μL of the suspension was dropped onto a glassy-carbon electrode with a diameter of 3 mm and then fully dried. Before electrochemical tests, the working electrode was kept in an Ar-saturated electrolyte by cycling the potential from 0.2 to -0.8 V at a sweeping rate of 100 mV s^{-1} until reproducible results were obtained. Thereafter, the methanol electrolyte was added and cyclic voltammogram tests were carried out for methanol oxidation from 0.2 to -0.8 V at sweeping rates of 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 mV s^{-1} . The influence of methanol concentration on the catalyst catalytic performance was tested with methanol concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 2.0 M. As a reference, the commercial Pt/C catalyst, with 10 wt% Pt content, was tested using the same procedure. The specific current density in this study was evaluated using the surface area of the electrode (0.07 cm^2). However, since the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) is an important factor in electrochemical measurements, the ECSA of the catalyst was also calculated and details of ECSA calculations are provided in the ESI.†

3. Results and discussion

The catalyst was prepared by depositing Pt on G–V(C, N) by the reduction of platinum chloride acid using the sonication method. To study the structure of the catalysts, the samples were first characterized by XRD spectroscopy. Based on the XRD patterns shown in Fig. 1a, the coexistence of Pt, carbon, and V(C, N) was evidenced in the Pt/G–V(C, N) catalysts. The carbon signal comes from the reduced GO (RGO) that serves as a support and carbon source for V(C, N). The XRD peaks of V(C, N) and Pt are indexed to face centered cubic (FCC) anatase V(C, N) and FCC Pt, respectively. The XRD results suggest that the prepared hybrids are composed of Pt, RGO and V(C, N) with no detectable impurities.






Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of V(C, N) and Pt/G-V(C, N); (b) SEM image of Pt/G-V(C, N); the magnified inset image shows that the Pt nanoparticles uniformly distributed on the G-V(C, N) support; (c) TEM image of Pt/G-V(C, N); the inset is the corresponding SAED pattern; (d) and (e) HRTEM images of Pt/G-V(C, N); (f) particle size distribution of Pt nanoparticles.

SEM images were recorded to study the morphology of the hybrid catalysts. Based on the SEM images (Fig. 1b), relatively large V(C, N) nanoparticles were anchored on the graphene surface, while tiny Pt nanoparticles were evenly distributed on both V(C, N) nanoparticles and the graphene surface.

The open structure of the hybrids with a large surface area is beneficial to the methanol oxidation reaction on the catalyst and methanol interface. Fig. 1c shows a TEM image of Pt/G-V(C, N). It is clearly seen that V(C, N) and Pt are uniformly distributed on the RGO surface. The inset in Fig. 1c shows the SAED patterns of Pt/G-V(C, N), which can be indexed to the (111) facet of Pt and V(C, N) and are consistent with the XRD results. Similar growth directions were also observed in porous Pt structures and carbon nanotube-supported platinum.^{5,30} It is believed that Pt grows in a facile manner based on the close match in lattice constants between FCC V(C, N) and FCC Pt, leading to parallel growth directions. Fig. 1d and e show high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of Pt/G-V(C, N). The lattice spacings measured from V(C, N) (0.218 nm) and Pt nanoparticles (0.224 nm) match well with the (111) facets of V(C, N) and Pt. Fig. 1f shows the size distributions of Pt nanoparticles on the catalyst support by measuring the particle size in SEM and TEM images. The results indicate that the average size of Pt nanoparticles is 3.7 nm. To further investigate the morphology

and composition of Pt/G-V(C, N), SEM elemental mapping and EDS tests were performed. The elemental mapping and EDS data of Pt/G-V(C, N) show that Pt, V, N, and C elements coexist in the hybrids and the intensities of element signals match well with the Pt nanoparticles, V(C, N), and graphene.

The surface chemistry of the hybrid catalysts was investigated by XPS spectroscopy and the results are shown in ESI† Fig. S2. Fig. S2a† is the survey XPS spectrum of Pt/G-V(C, N), which suggests the presence of Pt, V, N, C, and O elements in the catalyst. This is consistent with the EDS results shown in Fig. 2. Fig. S2b† shows the high-resolution XPS spectrum of Pt 4f ($4f_{7/2}$ at 70.9 eV and $4f_{5/2}$ at 74.25 eV). Fig. S2c† clearly shows that, in addition to $V2p_{3/2}$ (517.95 eV) and $V2p_{1/2}$ (524.65 eV), vanadium forms chemical bonds with carbon (V-C at 517.3 eV) and nitrogen (V-N at 515.5 eV), respectively.³¹ This is consistent with the binary solid-solution phase diagrams of vanadium carbides and nitrides.³² The binding energy of nitrogen in nitrogen-vanadium (N-V) bonds is around 396.9 eV. Nitrogen also binds with carbon (N-C at 397.5 eV) and oxygen (N-O at 398.5 eV); however, the intensity of the N-C or N-O bond is fairly weak compared with that of the N-V bond. The binding energy of the N-V bond is consistent with the theoretical calculation results.³³ Fig. S2e† shows the XPS spectrum of C1s. The strongest peak located at 284.3 eV is assigned to the C-C bond that originates from the RGO. The intensity of the C-V (283.1 eV) bond is smaller than that of the C-C bond, which confirms the existence of the V(C, N) phase. This is consistent with the XRD results. Fairly weak C-N (285.7 eV), C-O (285.2 eV) and C=O (287.1 eV) bonds are also found in the catalyst sample. Fig. S2f† illustrates the state of oxygen. It is clearly seen that the C-O




Fig. 2 SEM image, elemental mappings, and EDS data of Pt/G-V(C, N).



(529.4 eV), V–O (530.2 eV), O1s (531.6 eV), and N–O (532.9 eV) bonds coexist in the sample. The O1s XPS spectrum shows that oxygen can eventually replace nitrogen in a metal nitride lattice.³⁴ ESI† Fig. S3 shows the Raman spectra of Pt/G–V(C, N). Typical bands of RGO were identified in the Raman spectra: D (1340 cm⁻¹), G (1573 cm⁻¹), and 2D (2700 cm⁻¹).

Fig. 3a shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of Pt/G–V(C, N) and commercial Pt/C tested in Ar-saturated 1.0 M KOH. The CV curves have quite a similar shape, which shows that Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C have similar catalytic characteristics in Ar-saturated KOH solution. Fig. 3b shows the CV results of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C for catalyzing methanol oxidation in 1.0 M KOH with 0.5 M methanol solution. The reaction current of the methanol oxidation peak from Pt/G–V(C, N) is 48 mA cm⁻², which is more than three times higher than that of the Pt/C (15 mA cm⁻²), indicating a greatly enhanced catalytic activity for methanol oxidation. In addition, it was also found that the onset potential of methanol oxidation with Pt/G–V(C, N) has a 50 mV negative shift (from –0.52 to –0.57 V) compared with the Pt/C catalyst, indicating a higher performance of Pt/G–V(C, N) than that of Pt/C. The catalytic activity of

Pt towards methanol oxidation is promoted by the G–V(C, N) support. With a decrease of chemisorption energy, the methanol oxidation at the interface of G–V(C, N) and Pt nanoparticles is greatly enhanced due to the facilitated electrolyte/reactant diffusion.³⁵ The enhanced peak current and the shifted onset potential of methanol oxidation indicated that a higher energy density could be achieved in fuel cells for practical applications. Previous density-functional theory calculations suggested that the interaction between graphene and the metal clusters improves the stability of the interface.³⁶ The chemisorption energies of H and CO, the intermediate products of methanol oxidation, on the metal clusters supported by graphene become smaller than those on clusters without the graphene support.

Fig. 3c shows the Tafel plots of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C for catalyzing the methanol oxidation, which follows the following equation:

$$\eta = a + b \lg i = -\frac{2.3RT}{\alpha nF} \lg i_0 + \frac{2.3RT}{\alpha nF} \lg i \quad (1)$$

where i_0 is the exchange current density, α is the charge transfer coefficient, F is the Faraday constant, R is the gas constant, and T is the absolute temperature in K.³⁷ The calculated exchange current densities i_0 of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C catalyzing the methanol oxidation reaction are 0.038 mA and 0.013 mA, respectively. The exchange current density of Pt/G–V(C, N) is about three times higher than that of Pt/C. The charge transfer coefficients α of the methanol oxidation reaction with Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C are 0.107 and 0.097, respectively. The charge transfer coefficient of Pt/G–V(C, N) catalyzing the methanol oxidation is also higher than that of Pt/C. This is consistent with the CV results, which also demonstrate that the G–V(C, N) support improves the catalytic performance of Pt.

The durability of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C catalysts during long-term operation was evaluated by chronoamperometric curves. Fig. 3d illustrates the current (I)–time (t) chronoamperometric of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C. It is shown that Pt/G–V(C, N) is more stable than the Pt/C catalyst during long-time operation. The enhanced stability of Pt/G–V(C, N) likely originates from the following superior features over the Pt/C catalyst: a smaller support size, better dispersion of Pt nanoparticles, and strong binding between the support and Pt nanoparticles.³⁸

Fig. 3e and f show the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) spectra of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C catalysts. The shape of EIS spectra is related to the mechanisms governing the fuel reaction.³⁹ The two semicircles correspond with two reactions during the methanol oxidation. The high frequency semi-circle is related to the process of methanol oxidation to the intermediate product Pt(CHO), and the low frequency semi-circle is related to the final oxidation product CO₂ and H₂O:^{40,41}




Fig. 3 (a) Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C in an Ar-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹; (b) CV curves of methanol oxidation with Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C in 1.0 M KOH and 0.5 M methanol; (c) Tafel plots of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹; (d) current (I)–time (t) chronoamperometric curves of Pt/G–V(C, N) (black solid line) and Pt/C (red dashed line) to methanol oxidation in 1.0 M KOH and 0.5 M methanol (at –0.24 V vs. Hg/Hg₂Cl₂); (e) EIS results of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C at –0.40 V vs. Hg/Hg₂Cl₂. The insets are the equivalent circuit and the corresponding parameters; (f) Bode plots of Pt/G–V(C, N) and Pt/C for EIS data at –0.40 V vs. Hg/Hg₂Cl₂.



As illustrated in Fig. 3e, both Pt/G-V(V, N) and Pt/C show low series resistances. The first semicircle at high-frequency (R2) illustrates the initial oxidation of methanol. The semicircle radius of Pt/G-V(C, N) catalyzing methanol oxidation is smaller than that of Pt/C, which implies that the reaction resistance of Pt/G-V(C, N) catalyzing the methanol oxidation is lower than that of Pt/C. This implies that the initial step of methanol oxidation is easier to occur for Pt/G-V(C, N), which can be attributed to additional active sites on the surfaces of RGO and V(C, N) nanoparticles generated by carbon and nitrogen anion-induced defects. Fig. 3e also shows that R3, which usually represents the main oxidation resistance, of Pt/G-V(C, N) is lower than that of Pt/C. The decreased resistance is attributed to the significant synergistic effect towards methanol oxidation in G-V(C, N) that induces a faster oxidation process. Fig. 3f shows the Bode plots of methanol oxidation under the catalysis of Pt/G-V(C, N) and Pt/C. It is clearly shown that the corresponding peak frequency of methanol oxidation under the catalysis of Pt/G-V(C, N) is higher than that of Pt/C, which indicates a shorter electron lifetime, suggesting a faster electron transfer from the Pt catalyst to methanol. The result also indicates that the catalytic activity of Pt is enhanced by G-V(C, N).

CV curves of methanol oxidation with different methanol concentrations are shown in Fig. 4a and b. Both catalysts show similar catalytic characteristics towards methanol oxidation with an increase in catalytic current with increasing methanol concentration. Fig. 4c clearly reveals that, for both Pt/G-V(C, N) and Pt/C, the onset potential of methanol oxidation decreases with increasing methanol concentration due to the enhanced methanol diffusion. The increased methanol concentration accelerates the diffusion of methanol to the interface of catalysts and eventually promotes the reaction. Therefore, the methanol oxidation onset potentials have negative shifts with increasing methanol concentration. The shift of the onset potential is also related to the presence of oxygen-containing functional groups on the graphene nanosheet.⁴² The oxygen-containing functional groups could promote the diffusion of methanol oxide intermediates. Based on the results shown in Fig. 4c, the negative shift in methanol oxidation onset potential of Pt/G-V(C, N) compared with Pt/C is further confirmed.

Fig. 4d summarizes the peak current densities of methanol oxidation of both catalysts with increasing methanol concentration. The current density of Pt/G-V(C, N) increases more significantly than that of Pt/C. Initially, the current density of Pt/G-V(C, N) is slightly higher than that of Pt/C with 0.1 M methanol and increases to three times higher at a concentration of 0.5 M, and finally reaches five times higher at a concentration of 2.0 M. The lower onset potential and the higher current density suggest that Pt/G-V(C, N) possesses higher catalytic activity than the Pt/C catalyst.⁴³ The enhanced catalytic activity is attributed to the decreased activation energy of methanol oxidation.²² Based on the dependence of I on the methanol concentration (c), the reaction order can be deduced according to the slope between I and c :^{44,45}

$$\text{Rate} \equiv I = kc^n, \quad (4)$$




Fig. 4 CV curves of methanol oxidation at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ with (a) Pt/G-V(C, N) and (b) Pt/C in 1.0 M KOH solution with different methanol concentrations; (c) the correlation of the methanol oxidation onset potential with methanol concentration; (d) the correlation of the methanol oxidation peak current with methanol concentration; CV curves of methanol oxidation with (e) Pt/G-V(C, N) and (f) Pt/C at different scan rates in 1.0 M KOH and 0.5 M methanol. The inset illustrates the dependence of the methanol oxidation peak current on the square root of the scan rate.

$$\log(I) = \log(k) + n \log(c), \quad (5)$$

where I is the peak current density, k is the reaction rate constant, c is the bulk concentration of methanol, and n is the reaction order. The reaction rate constant values of Pt/G-V(C, N) and Pt/C catalysts are 73.5 and 11.2, respectively.

Fig. 4e and f show the CVs in 1.0 M KOH and 0.5 M methanol with different scan rates. It is found that, for both Pt/G-V(C, N) and Pt/C, the peak current density increases with an increase of the CV scan rate. The insets in Fig. 4e and f illustrate the dependence of peak current on the square root of the scan rate ($v^{1/2}$). The quasi-linear dependence of the anode peak current on $v^{1/2}$ suggests that the methanol oxidation is a diffusion-controlled process.⁴⁶ The diffusion of methanol and methanol oxide intermediates on the surface of Pt/G-V(C, N) is much faster than that on the Pt/C surface, which is also confirmed by the Tafel results. The methanol oxidation usually proceeds by a multiple-site mechanism.¹⁹ The adsorption of methanol oxides on the Pt surface by an oxygenated species adsorbed on the adjacent Pt site is a rate-determining step. The surface state of the catalyst influences the convection of methanol and the related methanol oxidation intermediate.⁴⁷



The convection of the methanol oxide intermediate is accelerated on the surface of RGO-supported V(C, N). So the oxidation of methanol could be fully executed, which results in a significantly enhanced methanol oxidation peak current. Previously reported W₂C-supported Pt also bears a similar mechanism for enhanced catalytic performance towards methanol oxidation.¹⁹ Moreover, the coexisting carbon and nitrogen anion-induced surface defects also improve the methanol oxidation on the interface between V(C, N) and Pt.²⁵ To further confirm the improved catalytic performance of the Pt catalyst with the G-V(C, N) support, a graphene supported Pt catalyst was also prepared and tested in methanol oxidation. The methanol oxidation characteristics with Pt/graphene at different methanol concentrations and with different scan rates are shown in ESI† Fig. S4. The results clearly show that the forward current intensities of methanol oxidation with the Pt/graphene catalyst are smaller than those of Pt/G-V(C, N) with the same methanol concentrations or under the same scan rates. These results further confirm the advantages of the G-V(C, N) support over the pure graphene support for Pt catalysts in a methanol oxidation reaction.

4. Conclusions

A graphene–vanadium carbonitride (G-V(C, N)) hybrid was synthesized *in situ* through the reduction of GO-supported V₂O₃ under an ammonia atmosphere and used as a highly efficient support for the Pt catalyst. The as-synthesized Pt/G-V(C, N) catalyst shows an improved electrocatalytic performance and better stability during long-term operation for methanol electro-oxidation compared with the commercial Pt/C catalyst. More importantly, the new Pt/G-V(C, N) catalyst is suitable for higher energy density applications. The catalytic performance of Pt towards methanol oxidation is greatly promoted by the G-V(C, N) support. The novel G-V(C, N) hybrid nanostructure may inspire the design of new high-performance electrocatalysts that are attractive for a wide range of applications in heterogeneous catalysis, gas storage, energy conversion, and energy storage.

Acknowledgements

Financial support for this work was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DE-EE0003208), the Research Growth Initiative Program of the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (UWM), Promotive Research Fund for Young and Middle-aged Scientists of Shandong Province, China (No. BS 2011CL005), and Science Development Project of Shandong Province China (No. J11LD02 and No. 2014GGX104004). The authors thank Professor M. Gajdardziska-Josifovska for TEM access at the UWM HRTEM Laboratory, Dr. H. A. Owen for technical support with SEM analyses, Dr. S. E. Hardcastle for technical support with XRD and XPS analyses, and Mr. Jianyang Li for help with the structure diagram. The SEM imaging was conducted

at the UWM Electron Microscope Laboratory. The XRD and XPS were conducted at the UWM Advanced Analysis Facility.

Notes and references

- J. Luo, P. N. Njoki, Y. Lin, D. Mott, L. Y. Wang and C.-J. Zhong, *Langmuir*, 2006, **22**, 2892–2898.
- J. H. Zeng, J. Yang, J. Y. Lee and W. J. Zhou, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 24606–24611.
- I. Avila-Garcia, C. Ramirez, J. M. H. Lopez and E. M. A. Estrada, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2010, **495**, 462–465.
- Y. Shao, G. Yin, Z. Wang and Y. Gao, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, **167**, 235–242.
- S. M. Alia, G. Zhang, D. Kisailus, D. S. Li, S. Gu, K. Jensen and Y. S. Yan, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **20**, 3742–3746.
- V. Bambagioni, C. Bianchini, A. Marchionni, J. Filippi, F. Vizza, J. Teddy, P. Serp and M. Zhiani, *J. Power Sources*, 2009, **190**, 241–251.
- G. Che, B. B. Lakshmi, E. R. Fisher and C. R. Martin, *Nature*, 1998, **393**, 346–349.
- K. I. B. Eguiluz, G. R. P. Malpass, M. M. S. Pupo, G. R. Salazar-Banda and L. A. Avaca, *Energy Fuels*, 2010, **24**, 4012–4024.
- G. Girishkumar, K. Vinodgopal and P. V. Kamat, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 19960–19966.
- L. Li and Y. C. Xing, *Energies*, 2009, **2**, 789–804.
- Y. Li, W. Gao, L. Ci, C. Wang and P. M. Ajayan, *Carbon*, 2010, **48**, 1124–1130.
- L. W. Liao, S. X. Liu, Q. Tao, B. Geng, P. Zhang, C. M. Wang, Y. X. Chen and S. Ye, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2011, **650**, 233–240.
- C. W. Xu, Z. Q. Tian, P. K. Shen and S. P. Jiang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2008, **53**, 2610–2618.
- R. G. Freitas, L. R. Marchesi, R. T. S. Oliveira, F. I. Mattos-Costa, E. C. Pereira, L. O. S. Bulhoes and M. C. Santos, *J. Power Sources*, 2007, **171**, 373–380.
- J. W. Guo, T. S. Zhao, J. Prabhuram, R. Chen and C. W. Wong, *J. Power Sources*, 2006, **156**, 345–354.
- O. T. M. Musthafa and S. Sampath, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 67–69.
- K. Kakinuma, Y. Wakasugi, M. Uchida, T. Kamino, H. Uchida and M. Watanabe, *Electrochemistry*, 2011, **79**, 399–403.
- M. M. O. Thotiyl, T. Ravikumar and S. Sampath, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 10643–10651.
- R. Ganesan and J. S. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6557–6560.
- K. G. Nishanth, P. Sridhar, S. Pitchumani and A. K. Shukla, *Fuel Cells*, 2012, **12**, 146–152.
- D. Ham and J. Lee, *Energies*, 2009, **2**, 873–899.
- J. L. Cohen, D. J. Volpe and H. D. Abruna, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **9**, 49–77.
- D. Chen, H. Feng and J. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 6027–6053.
- M. Liu, R. Zhang and W. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 5117–5160.



- 25 J. S. Spendelow, Q. Xu, J. D. Goodpaster, P. J. A. Kenis and A. Wieckowski, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2007, **154**, F238–F242.
- 26 E. Herrero, Q. S. Chen, J. Hernandez, S. G. Sun and J. M. Feliu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 16762–16771.
- 27 D. R. Rolison, *Science*, 2003, **299**, 1698–1701.
- 28 T. Huang, S. Mao, H. Pu, Z. Wen, X. Huang, S. Ci and J. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 13404–13410.
- 29 T. Huang, S. Mao, G. Zhou, Z. Wen, X. Huang, S. Ci and J. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 9608–9613.
- 30 H. Chu, Y. Shen, L. Lin, X. Qin, G. Feng, Z. Lin, J. Wang, H. Liu and Y. Li, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2010, **20**, 3747–3752.
- 31 E. F. de Souza, C. A. Chagas, T. C. Ramalho and R. B. de Alencastro, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 14381–14390.
- 32 P. Duwez and F. Odell, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1950, **97**, 299–304.
- 33 R. Sanjines, C. Wiemer, P. Hones and F. Levy, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 1998, **83**, 1396–1402.
- 34 I. P. Parkin and G. S. Elwin, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2001, **11**, 3120–3124.
- 35 Y.-S. Wang, S.-Y. Yang, S.-M. Li, H.-W. Tien, S.-T. Hsiao, W.-H. Liao, C.-H. Liu, K.-H. Chang, C.-C. M. Ma and C.-C. Hu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **87**, 261–269.
- 36 Y. Okamoto, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2006, **420**, 382–386.
- 37 S. Lee, H. J. Kim, S. M. Choi, M. H. Seo and W. B. Kim, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2012, **429–430**, 39–47.
- 38 B. Wu, D. Hu, Y. Kuang, B. Liu, X. Zhang and J. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4751–4754.
- 39 E. P. Murray, T. Tsai and S. A. Barnett, *Nature*, 1999, **400**, 649–651.
- 40 O. A. Khazova, A. A. Mikhailova, A. M. Skundin, E. K. Tuseeva, A. Havranek and K. Wippermann, *Fuel Cells*, 2003, **2**, 99–108.
- 41 A. V. Miller, V. V. Kaichev, I. P. Prosvirin and V. I. Bukhtiyarov, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 8189–8197.
- 42 E. Yoo, T. Okata, T. Akita, M. Kohyama, J. Nakamura and I. Honma, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 2255–2259.
- 43 W. Li, Y. Bai, F. Li, C. Liu, K.-Y. Chan, X. Feng and X. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 4025–4031.
- 44 B. Beden, F. Kadirgan, C. Lamy and J. M. Leger, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 1982, **135**, 171.
- 45 E. Telli, R. Solmaz and G. Kardas, *Russ. J. Electrochem.*, 2011, **47**, 811–818.
- 46 R. M. A. Tehrani and S. Ab Ghani, *Fuel Cells*, 2009, **9**, 579–587.
- 47 S. L. Gojković, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2004, **573**, 271–276.

