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The series of homoleptic lanthanide(II) “bent sandwich-like” hydro-tris(pyrazolyl)borate complexes \( \text{Ln(Tp}^{3+}\text{Pr}_2)_2 \) (\( \text{Ln = Sm (1), Eu (2), Tm (3), Yb (4)} \); \( \text{Tp}^{3+}\text{Pr}_2 = \text{hydro-tris}(3,5\text{-diisopropylpyrazolyl} )\text{borate} \)) has been completed by the synthesis of the hitherto unknown europium and ytterbium derivatives \( \text{2 and 4} \). Both compounds were prepared in high yields by treatment of \( \text{Ln}_2(\text{THF})_2 \) (\( \text{Ln = Eu, Yb} \)) with 2 equiv. of \( \text{KTp}^{3+}\text{Pr}_2 \) in a THF solution. Although the molecules are sterically highly congested, an X-ray diffraction study of bright red \( \text{4} \) revealed a similar bent \( \text{B–Yb–B} \) arrangement (151.1° and 153.9°), two independent molecules as in the previously investigated Sm(III) and Tm(III) complexes \( \text{1 and 3} \). An initial reactivity study showed a very different behavior with acetonitrile. While \( \text{2 and 4} \) proved to be unreactive toward acetonitrile, the more strongly reducing Sm(III) complex \( \text{1} \) yielded two new products. The major product was the dark green-black acetonitrile solvate \( \text{Sm(Tp}^{3+}\text{Pr}_2)_2\text{-CH}_3\text{CN (5)} \), while the second product, the colorless \( \text{Tp}^{3+}\text{Pr}_2\text{Sm(3,5-iPr}_2\text{pz)_2(NCH}_3\text{) (6)} \) with two 3,5-diisopropyl-pyrazolyl ligands, resulted from oxidation of samarium to the trivalent state and degradation of a \( \text{Tp}^{3+}\text{Pr}_2 \) ligand. Disappointingly, from the most reducing Tm(III) complex \( \text{3} \) only the ligand fragmentation product pyrazabole, \( \text{[HBI(3,5-iPr}_2\text{pz)_2 (7)} \), could be isolated and the fate of the Tm containing by-product(s) remains unknown. The new compounds \( \text{4–6} \) were structurally authenticated through single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The europium compound \( \text{2} \) shows an extremely bright yellow emission in solution, which can be observed also at daylight excitation, as well as in the solid state. The high intensity is even remarkable when compared to other Eu(III) containing materials. The photoluminescence was investigated with the conclusion that the rigidity of this complex is responsible for these outstanding luminescence properties.

1. Introduction

The discovery of the divalent lanthanide sandwich complexes \( \text{Ln(C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2 \) (\( \text{Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb} \); \( \text{C}_5\text{Me}_5 = \eta^5\text{-pentamethylyclopentadienyl} \) ca. 30 years ago sparked a firework of unprecedented reactivity and structures in organolanthanide chemistry. 1,2 The exceptionally high reactivity of decamethylsamarocene, \( \text{Sm(C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2 \), even allowed the isolation of the first dinitrogen complex of an f-element, \( \mu\text{-N}_2\text{[Sm(C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2]_2 \),3 and still today novel reactions of decamethylsamarocene are being uncovered. 4 A fascinating structural feature of the unsolvated lanthanide sandwich complexes \( \text{Ln(C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2 \) (\( \text{Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb} \)) is their bent metalloocene structure in the solid state. This opens up the coordination sphere of the central lanthanide(n) ions and accounts for the high reactivity of these compounds. Various theoretical and spectroscopic studies have been carried out to fully understand the nature of this unexpected deviation from the normal linear sandwich structure (Scheme 1(a)). It is now generally accepted, based on computational studies, that the unusual bent sandwich structure of \( \text{Ln(C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2 \) (\( \text{Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb} \)) is the result of attractive dispersion/van der Waals interactions. 5 Trofimenko’s hydro-tris(pyrazolyl)borate ligands (“scorpionates”) have proven to be useful alternatives to the omnipresent cyclopentadienyl ligands. 6,7 Like the cyclopentadienyls, these tridentate, monoanionic ligands can also be greatly varied in their steric bulk...
by changing the substituents in the 3- and 5-positions of the pyrazolyl rings. According to Trofimenko's nomenclature, the abbreviation Tp stands for the ring-unsubstituted hydro-tris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)borate, whereas e.g. TpMe2 denotes the sterically more demanding hydro-tris(3,5-diisopropylpyrazolyl)borate. The homoleptic divalent lanthanide complexes Ln(TpMe2)2 (Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb) have been found to adopt a highly symmetrical, trigonal antiprismatic molecular structure comprising a linear B···Ln···B arrangement (Scheme 1(b)).8–11 Apparently, this “sandwich-like” structure of the TpMe2 ligands, meaningful 1H and 13C NMR data for para- and elemental analyses. While the 1H NMR spectrum of Yb(TpMe2)2 showed the expected number of resonances for the TpMe2 ligands, meaningful 1H and 13C NMR data for para-magnetic 2 could not be obtained, as noted also for Eu(C5Me5)2 and its derivatives,2e,h and Eu(TpMe2,Et)2.10 In both cases, the 11B NMR spectra showed a single broad resonance (2: δ = −7 ppm (very broad), 4: δ = −6.2 ppm). Moreover, Yb(TpPr2)2 (4) was characterized by its 171Yb NMR spectrum. High-resolution 171Yb NMR spectroscopy is well established as a valuable tool for characterizing divalent (diamagnetic) ytterbium complexes in solution and in the solid state.15,16 171Yb resonances have been reported to encompass a chemical shift dispersion of some 3000 ppm (from ca. δ = 2500 to −500 ppm).15ς The 171Yb spectrum of 4 comprises a singlet at δ = 619.1 ppm. An almost identical value (δ = 614 ppm) has previously been reported for the ytterbium(n) bis(trimethylsilyl)amide complex Yb[N(SiMe3)2]2(OEt)2.15ς
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2. Results and discussion

2.1 Synthesis and reactivity

The title compounds were prepared following the synthetic route outlined in Scheme 2. Similar to the recently reported
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**Scheme 1** Comparison of the molecular structures of (a) Ln(C5Me5)2 (Ln = Sm, Eu, Yb),1,2 (b) Ln(TpMe2)2 (Ln = Sm, Eu, Tm, Yb),8–11 and (c) Ln(TpPr2)2 (Ln = Sm (1), Tm (3)).14
for the europium and ytterbium complexes 2 and 4 even upon slight warming. Both complexes produced clear solutions in dry acetonitrile, from which they could be recovered unchanged by evaporation or cooling. In fact, acetonitrile appears to be a suitable solvent for recrystallizing bulk samples of 2 and 4. This is not the case for the Sm(II) and Tm(II) complexes 1 and 3. Unexpectedly, and curiously the Sm(II) complex is virtually insoluble in acetonitrile. Addition of acetonitrile to solid 1 produces an almost colorless supernatant and a very dark green, almost black solid. The latter was shown to be unchanged Sm(TpPr2)2 by 1H NMR spectroscopy. To study the behavior of Sm(TpPr2)2 toward acetonitrile, acetonitrile was added to a dark green solution of 1 in diethyl ether. Concentration of the solution by slow evaporation at RT in the dry-box resulted in the formation of two types of crystals, dark green and colorless; the former was shown to be SmII(TpPr2)2•CH3CN (5), with a solvate molecule of CH3CN in the lattice, while the latter proved to be the partially ligand fragmented Sm(III) complex, (TpPr2)•SmIII(3,5-iPr2pz)2(NCCH3) (6), with a coordinated NCCH3 ligand. The thermally reducing Tm(II) complex 3 dissolved in acetonitrile and gave a dark, plum-red solution which slowly bleached with time, indicating oxidation of Tm(II) to Tm(III). Multiple attempts to grow crystals from various solvent mixtures only resulted in the formation of colorless blocks which were shown, by X-ray diffraction,
to be the pyrazole derivative [HB(3,5-iPr2pz)]2 (7). No thulium-containing product could be isolated. Scheme 3 summarizes the results of this initial reactivity study of 1–4 toward acetonitrile.

2.2 X-ray crystallography

The new compounds 4–6 were structurally authenticated through single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Bright red X-ray quality single-crystals of 4 were obtained by cooling a very concentrated solution in n-pentane to −20 °C, whereas single crystals of both 5 (green) and 6 (colorless) were obtained from the reaction of 1 with acetonitrile in diethyl ether according to Scheme 3. The single-crystals of 4 were found to contain one molecule of n-pentane per formula unit. Crystallographic data of 4–6 are listed in Table 1. The molecular structure of the Yb complex, with numbering scheme, is shown in Fig. 1. Just like the Sm and Tm compounds 1 and 3, the ytterbium(n) complex Yb(Tp'Pr2)2 also exhibits the “bent sandwich-like” geometry, and indeed the compound is isomorphous with the Tm analogue and contains two independent molecules per asymmetric unit. The B–Yb–N angles in the two independent molecules are 151.1° and 153.9°, respectively. This can be favorably compared to the B–Ln–B angles of 150.1° in the samarium(n) analogue 1 and 152.2° in Tm(Tp'Pr2)2 (3). As expected from the nearly identically sized Yb[n] and Tm[n] ions,17 the Ln–N distances in 3 and 4 are nearly identical and the bond angles and torsional angles are similar as well (cf. Table S1 in the ESI†).

The structure of the Sm(n) compound SmII(Tp'Pr2)2; CH3CN (5), obtained by crystallization from CH2CN/Et2O, is shown in Fig. 2, and Fig. 3 shows the packing diagram. The lattice acetonitrile is just a solvate as the distance between Sm and N1S is over 6 Å, thus there is no bonding contact between Sm and NCCH3 molecule. As opposed to the crystals obtained from pentane, in this case there is only one molecule per asymmetric unit. Nevertheless, the geometry is still “bent sandwich-like” and the B1–Sm–B2 angle of 151.19(5)° is very similar to the 150.1° average observed before.14

Table 1 Crystallographic data for 4–6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C39H112B2N12Yb</td>
<td>C36H88B2N12Sm</td>
<td>C48H88BN42Sm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
<td>12.9941 (10)</td>
<td>13.9188 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>20.6731 (2)</td>
<td>13.6332 (10)</td>
<td>12.9941 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>25.1841 (2)</td>
<td>20.6731 (2)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>83.2050 (10)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>74.7430 (10)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>77.6100 (10)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
<td>13.44670 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å3)</td>
<td>6582.53 (10)</td>
<td>3167.5 (4)</td>
<td>3167.5 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1176.20</td>
<td>1122.41</td>
<td>959.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P21/n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (°C)</td>
<td>−173</td>
<td>−100</td>
<td>−80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dcalcd (g cm−3)</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>1.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ (mm−1)</td>
<td>1.464</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>1.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>39 188/0/1345</td>
<td>14 330/0/658</td>
<td>12 276/0/542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F2</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (F0 or Fc)</td>
<td>0.0363</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rw (F0 or Fc)</td>
<td>0.0689</td>
<td>0.0678</td>
<td>0.0715</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The molecular structure of the oxidized product (Tp'Pr2)Sm-(3,5-iPr2Pz)2(NCCH3) (6) is shown in Fig. 4, with important bond distances and angles also listed in the figure caption.
The coordination sphere of the Sm(III) center is defined by a classical \( \kappa^3 \)-TpiPr\(_2\) ligand, two almost symmetrically bonded \( \kappa^2 \)-pyrazolides and N1-bound acetonitrile. The coordination geometry can be roughly described as distorted octahedral, with N12, N22 and mid-points of N41N42 and N51N52 occupying the equatorial and N32 and N1 the axial positions (N32–Sm–N1 = 143.20(6)°). As expected, the Sm–N distances to the anionic \( \kappa^2 \)-pyrazolides (2.40 Å ave) are shorter than those to the \( \kappa^3 \)-TpiPr\(_2\) ligand (2.560 Å ave), which in turn is shorter than the Sm–NC\(_3\) distance of 2.601 Å. The Sm–N(\( \kappa^3 \)) distances are shorter than those in Sm(\( \kappa^3 \))\(_2\) \( (1) \), reflecting the smaller size of Sm(III) compared to Sm(II) and also the more congested nature of the latter complex. That steric still have an influence on the arrangement of the ligands in complex 6 is shown by the less than 180° of the Sm–N1–C1 angle (159.2(2)°), the bending being away from the \( \text{tPr} \) substituent of the two \( \kappa^2 \)-pyrazolide ligands.

2.3 Luminescence study of Eu(\( \kappa^3 \))\(_2\) (2)

In general, the luminescence behaviour of divalent lanthanides is very different compared to that of the trivalent ones due to two main reasons. On the one hand, the position of the excited 4f\(_{n}\)\(^{1}C_{0}\) \( ^{5}d^{1} \) states relative to the 4f\(_{n}\)\(^{2}C_{0}\) \( ^{5}d^{2} \) ground state is strongly influenced by the environment and, thus, variable over a wide spectral range. On the other hand, the respective 4f\(_{n}\)\(^{2}C_{0}\) \( ^{5}d^{2} \) transitions are parity allowed leading to an intense emission in the most cases.\(^{18}\) Due to these advantages the most stable divalent ion, Eu\(^{2+}\), is mostly used in modern materials for applications, like LED phosphors, displays and medical markers.\(^{19}\) While the luminescence properties of Eu\(^{2+}\) ions doped in ionic compounds, especially the structure–luminescence-relationship, is well investigated,\(^{20}\) such investigations of molecular complexes are rather scarce. A notable example is the strong luminescence exhibited by Eu(Cp\(_{\text{tPr}}\))\(_2\) \( [\text{Cp}_{\text{tPr}} = C_{5}(C_{6}H_{4}\text{tPr})_{5}]^{2-} \).\(^{21}\) In this contribution we present first results on the photoluminescence behavior of compound 2. It was found that Eu(\( \kappa^3 \))\(_2\) (2) shows extremely bright yellowish-green luminescence upon UV irradiation at room temperature in the solid state as well as in n-pentane solution, which can be also observed by daylight-excitation (Fig. 5). Fig. 6 shows the luminescence of solid 2 under UV light.

The photoluminescence emission and excitation spectra of this compound are depicted in Fig. 7. The shape and position are typical for Eu(n) photoluminescence, so that it is obvious that Eu\(^{2+}\) is the only emitting species. The broad (FWHM = 2245 cm\(^{-1}\)) slightly asymmetric emission band...
peaking at 552 nm (18 120 cm\(^{-1}\)) can be assigned to the parity-
allowed 4f\(^6\)5d\(^1\) → 4f\(^7\) transition of Eu(II). Its position is in very
good agreement with the emissions reported for EuTp\(^2\),\(^2\) and
Eu(II)-activated nitridosilicates, in which Eu(II) is also coordi-
nated by N-based ligands in its first coordination sphere.\(^{23}\) A
ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) induced luminescence
as suggested for EuTp\(^2\)\(^2\)\(^\text{22}\) is excluded due to the high excitation
wavelength of 450 nm (22 220 cm\(^{-1}\)) used for the detection of
the emission spectrum of Eu(Tp\(^{\text{iPr}}\)\(^2\))\(^\text{2}\) (\(\text{2}\)). The
\(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*\) transitions of the pyrazole units leading to a LMCT are typically located in
the range of 220 nm and thus beyond the range of measureable
wavelengths of the used spectrometer.\(^{24}\)

The photoluminescence excitation spectrum reveals the presence of a raw fine structure that is characteristic for the
\(7F\) levels arising from the 4f\(^6\) core of the excited 4f\(^6\)5d\(^1\) configuration assuming a weak Coulomb interaction between the 4f and 5d electrons and rarely observed.\(^{25}\) This feature is
another evidence for the presence of Eu(u) in the compound and justifies the assignment of the respective emissive transition.
Moreover, the emission does not change with different excitation energies (320–480 nm), which is another evidence that the whole excitation band is originated by Eu(u). From the
photoluminescence spectra of compound (\(\text{2}\)), the Stokes shift
was estimated with 2830 cm\(^{-1}\). Both the Stokes shift and the
FWHM of the emission band are relatively low for a molecular
compound and close to values known for Eu(u)-activated ionic
compounds.\(^{19}\) This can be explained by the rigidity of the very
bulky tripodal Tp\(^{\text{iPr}}\)\(^2\) ligands that do not allow a large change in
metal–ligand distances upon excitation of the complex. An
indication that this argument is correct is the even smaller
Stokes Shift of Eu(Cp\(^{\text{BIG}}\))\(^2\) (2140 cm\(^{-1}\)),\(^{21}\) where a large degree of
rigidity can be assumed too.

3. Conclusions

In summarizing the work reported here, the series of homo-
leptic lanthanide(u) hydro-tris(pyrazolyl)borate complexes
Ln(Tp\(^{\text{Pr}}\)\(^{\text{2}}\))\(^\text{2}\) (Ln = Sm (\(\text{1}\)), Eu (\(\text{2}\)), Tm (\(\text{3}\)), Yb (\(\text{4}\)); Tp\(^{\text{iPr}}\) = hydro-
tris(3,5-diisopropylpyrazolyl)borate) has been completed by the
high-yield synthesis of the hitherto unknown europium and
ytterbium derivatives \(\text{2}\) and \(\text{4}\). A single crystal X-ray diffraction
study of the ytterbium(u) derivative \(\text{4}\) revealed the same “bent
sandwich-like” structure as was previously found for the Sm
and Tm compounds \(\text{1}\) and \(\text{3}\). This finding confirmed that the
bent geometry is an inherent structural feature of the Ln(Tp\(^{\text{Pr}}\)\(^{\text{2}}\))\(^\text{2}\)
complexes. An initial reactivity study toward acetonitrile revealed
a significant increase in reactivity in the sequence Eu \(\approx\) Yb < Sm < Tm. The Eu (\(\text{2}\)) and Yb (\(\text{4}\)) compounds did not react with
acetonitrile even upon heating. The Sm(u) complex \(\text{1}\) afforded
the dark green acetonitrile solvate Sm\(^{\text{H}}\)(Tp\(^{\text{Pr}}\)\(^{\text{2}}\))-CH\(_3\)CN (\(\text{5}\)) in
addition to the partially ligand fragmented Sm(u) complex,
(Tp\(^{\text{Pr}}\)\(^{\text{2}}\))Sm\(^{\text{H}}\)(3,5-Pr\(_2\)).(NCCH\(_3\)) \(\text{6}\). The most reducing
thulium(u) complex \(\text{3}\) only yielded ligand fragmented pyrazabole
and unidentified Tm(u) species. Despite the opening of the
coordination sphere in the “bent sandwich-like” Ln(Tp\(^{\text{Pr}}\)\(^{\text{2}}\))\(^\text{2}\)
complexes, apparently not even rod-like donor ligands such as
CH\(_3\)CN are able to enter the coordination sphere of the central
Ln\(^{3+}\) ions. Together with the previous studies on the “linear”
homoleptic Ln(u) complexes Ln(Tp\(^{\text{Me}}\))\(^2\) these results clearly
demonstrate that there is an intricate balance between stability and reactivity of such homoleptic lanthanide(II) tris(pyrazolyl)borate complexes. While the smaller TpMe2 provided versatile reactivity to the Sm(TpMe2) complex8–11 it could only produce a thermally very sensitive Tm(TpMe2)4. In contrast, the very bulky TpPr2 ligand stabilizes Tm(III), but hinders reactivity. Photoluminescence studies on Eu(TpPr2)2 at room temperature revealed an exceptionally intense yellow emission at 552 nm (18120 cm−1, FWHM = 2245 cm−1, Stokes shift = 2830 cm−1) under excitation at 450 nm that can be assigned to a parity-allowed 4f5d1 → 4f2 transition of Eu(II) in the complex, supporting the sole presence of the divalent lanthanide. An intense emission is also observable at sunlight excitation. The luminescence characteristics are comparable to the values known from N-coordinated Eu(II) in ionic compounds, and indicates that the TpPr2 ligands provide a rigid coordination environment to the Eu(II) center.

4. Experimental section

4.1 General procedures

All operations were performed with rigorous exclusion of air and water in oven-dried or flame-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere of dry argon, employing standard Schlenk, high-vacuum and glovebox techniques (MBraun MBLab; <1 ppm O2, <1 ppm H2O or Vacuum Atmosphere, model HE-553-2). THF, diethyl ether, and n-pentane were dried over sodium/benzophenone and freshly distilled under nitrogen atmosphere prior to use. Acetonitrile was dried over calcium hydride. All glassware was oven-dried at 120 °C for at least 24 h, assembled while hot and cooled under high vacuum prior to use. THF solvates of the three lanthanide diiodides, LnI2(THF)2, were prepared from the rare-earth metal powders and 1,2-bis(2,6-diisopropylpyrazolyl)ethane (Kagan). The starting material KTp iPr2 was obtained through the method published by Kitajima et al. The NMR spectra were recorded in C6D6 or CDCl3 solutions on a Bruker DPX 600 (1H: 600.1 MHz; 13C: 150.9 MHz) or a Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHZ (5 mm BB, 1H: 400.1 MHz; 13C: 100.6 MHz), 1H and 13C shifts are referenced to internal solvent resonances and reported in parts per million relative to TMS. IR (KBr) spectra were measured using a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR 2000 spectrometer. Mass spectra (EI, 70 eV) were run on a MAT 95 apparatus. Microanalyses of the compounds were performed using a Leco CHNS 923 apparatus. Photoluminescence measurements were performed at room temperature on a Fluorolog3 spectrofluorometer FL3-22 from Horiba JobinYvon equipped with double Czerny-Turner monochromators and a 450 W Xe lamp. The emission spectrum was corrected for the photomultiplier sensitivity and the excitation spectrum for the intensity of the excitation source. Measurements were made on crystalline compound 2, after the solid was sealed in silica ampoules under vacuum.

4.2 Preparation of Eu(TpPr2)2 (2)

Solid Eu2(THF)2 (1.73 g, 3.16 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of KTpPr2 (3.2 g; 6.33 mmol) in 150 ml of THF. Stirring at rt. was continued for 24 h to give a ‘‘neon-yellow’,’ strongly fluorescent solution and a white precipitate (KI). After filtration and evaporation of the clear, yellow filtrate to dryness, the residue was extracted with n-pentane (80 ml) and filtered again. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to a total volume of ca. 25 ml. Cooling to −20 °C for 3d produced bright yellow crystals of 2. Yield: 2.84 g (83%). Decomposition range: 78–84 °C. Anal. calc. for C30H40B2N12Eu2: C, 59.89; H, 8.56; N, 15.52. Found: C, 59.39; H, 8.31; N, 14.98. IR (KBr): νmax = 3222m, 3103m, 2964vs, 2930s, 2871s, 2552w, 2471w, 2240w, 1959w, 1638m, 1567m, 1535m, 1468s, 1427m, 1381s, 1367s, 1300s, 1235m, 1174s, 1138m, 1105m, 1073m, 1050s, 1009m, 991m, 959, 924w, 897w, 789w, 791s, 771m, 742m, 717m, 663m cm−1. MS (EI): m/z 988 (7%), 935 (6), [M – pzPr2]1, 768 (100), [TpPr2Eu + pzPr2], 616 (88), [TpPr2Eu], 477 (40), [TpPr2Eu + BH], 302 (23, [pzPr2]2). 11B NMR (300 K, C6D6, 128 MHz): δ = −7 (v, br).

4.3 Preparation of Yb(TpPr2)2 (4)

In a similar manner as described for 2, the reaction of Yb2(THF)2 (1.69 g, 2.96 mmol) with KTpPr2 (3.0 g, 5.93 mmol) in 80 ml THF afforded, after crystallization from n-pentane and thorough drying to remove residual n-pentane, bright red crystalline 4 in 77% isolated yield (2.51 g). Mp. 99 °C (beginning dec.). Anal. calc. for C30H40B2N12Yb2: C, 58.75; H, 8.40; N, 15.22. Found: C, 58.33; H, 8.10; N, 14.88. IR (KBr): νmax = 3222m, 3092m, 2966vs, 2931s, 2870s, 2555m, 2237w, 1959w, 1638s, 1566m, 1538s, 1470s, 1426m, 1381s, 1368s, 1298s, 1237m, 1175s, 1138m, 1106m, 1053s, 1020m, 960w, 924w, 896w, 878w, 794s, 725m, 717m, 661cm−1. MS (EI): m/z 996 (7%), 968 (8), 953 (6), [M – pzPr2]1, 817 (28), 790 (100), [TpPr2Yb + pzPr2], 637 (6, [TpPr2Eu], 476 (9, [TpPr2Eu + BH]), 321 (14, [pzPr2 + Yb]), 169 (19), 137 (25). 1HM NMR (300 K, C6D6, 400 MHz): δ = 5.93 (s, 6H, pyrazolyl), 5.22 (s br, 2H, B–H iPr), 3.73 (d, 36 H, C–H pyrazolyl), 2.66 (sept, 6H, C–H iPr), 1.22 (d, 36 H, CH3–H iPr, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.04 (d, 36 H, CH3–H pyrazolyl, J = 6.8 Hz). 11C NMR (300 K, C6D6, 100 MHz): δ = 160.6 (q-C pyrazolyl), 156.9 (q-C pyrazolyl), 97.5 (C–H pyrazolyl), 28.0 (C–H iPr), 27.1 (C–H iPr), 24.8 (CH3–H iPr), 23.8 (CH3–H iPr).

4.4 Behavior of Sm(TpPr2)2 (1) toward acetonitrile

Addition of acetonitrile (2–3 ml) to ca. 200 mg of Sm(TpPr2)2 (1) produced an almost colorless supernatant and a dark green, almost black solid (unchanged Sm(III)). The supernatant was pipetted off and the solid dissolved in Et2O to give a very dark green solution, to which acetonitrile was again added. An attempt to grow crystals by cooling at −30 °C was unsuccessful. The dark green solution was left to slowly evaporate at RT, and overnight deposited a mixture of dark green and colorless crystals. The supernatant was removed and the mixture of crystals...
briefly dried. From this mixture a dark green and a colorless crystal were selected and, by X-ray diffraction, were shown to be complexes 5 and 6, respectively.

4.5 X-ray crystallographic studies of 4–6

The intensity data of 4 were registered on an Oxford Diffraction Nova A diffractometer using mirror-focussed CuKα radiation. Absorption correction was applied using the multi-scan method. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97)\(^\text{27a}\) and refined by full matrix least-squares methods on \(F^2\) using SHELXL-97.\(^\text{27b}\) Intensity data for 5 and 6 were collected on a Bruker D8/APEX II CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation. Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were those supplied by Bruker. Absorption corrections were applied using the Gaussian integration (face-indexed) method. The structures were solved and refined using the programs SHELXT and SHELXL-2013.\(^\text{28}\) Data collection parameters for 4–6 are given in Table 1.
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