NJC

PAPER

Cite this: *New J. Chem.,* 2015, 39, 7595

CHEMISTRY

Synthesis and catalytic activity of homoleptic lanthanide-tris(cyclopropylethinyl)amidinates†‡

Farid M. Sroor, Cristian G. Hrib, Liane Hilfert, Sabine Busse and Frank T. Edelmann*

Reactions of anhydrous lanthanide trichlorides, LnCl₃ (Ln = Nd, Sm, Ho), with 3 equiv. of lithiumcyclopropylethinylamidinates, Li[c-C₃H₅-C=CC(NR)₂] (**1a**: R = cyclohexyl (Cy), **1b**: R = ⁱPr), afforded the new homoleptic lanthanide(III) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complexes $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(NCy)_2]_3$ Sm (2a) and $[c$ -C₃H₅-C \equiv C–C(NⁱPr)₂J₃Ln (Ln = Nd (**2b**), Sm (**2c**), Ho (**2d**)) as air- and moisture-sensitive crystalline solids in moderate to good isolated yields (45-79%). The formation of unsolvated, homoleptic Ln(III) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) was confirmed by an X-ray diffraction study of the holmium derivative $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(N^{ip}P)_2]_3$ Ho (2d). El mass spectra of the new rare-earth metal amidinates indicated a significant volatility. An initial catalysis study revealed that these complexes catalyze the addition of terminal alkynes to carbodiimides to give propiolamidines of the type R -C \equiv C-C($=$ NR')(NHR'). The molecular structure of N,N'-dicyclohexyl-phenylpropiolamidine, Ph-C=C-C(NCy)(NHCy) (4), was also determined by X-ray diffraction. PAPER

Synthesis and catalytic activity of homoleptic

lanthanide-tris(cyclopropylethinyl)amidinates⁺;
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1$

Received (in Montpellier, France) 5th March 2015, Accepted 14th April 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5nj00555h

www.rsc.org/njc

1. Introduction

In organolanthanide chemistry, steric saturation of the coordination sphere of the large rare-earth metal cations is generally more important than the electron count. Thus the investigation of new spectator ligands which satisfy the coordination requirements of the lanthanides continues to be of significant current interest. Anionic amidinate ligands of the type $[RC(NR')_2]^ (R = H,$ alkyl, aryl; R' = alkyl, cycloalkyl, aryl, SiMe₃) have been demonstrated to be highly useful and versatile in that respect. These readily available N-chelating ligands are generally regarded as steric cyclopentadienyl equivalents.¹ In the case of rare-earth metals, mono-, di- and trisubstituted lanthanide amidinate and guanidinate complexes are all accessible, just like the mono-, di- and tricyclopentadienyl complexes. Over the past ca. 25 years, lanthanide amidinates have witnessed an impressive transformation from laboratory curiosities to highly active homogeneous catalysts as well as valuable precursors in materials science. Various rare-earth metal amidinates have been reported to be very efficient homogeneous catalysts e.g. for ring-opening polymerization reactions of lactones, the guanylation of amines or the addition of terminal alkynes to carbodiimides. 2 In materials science, homoleptic alkyl-substituted lanthanide tris(amidinate) complexes are often

highly volatile and can be used as promising precursors for ALD (atomic layer deposition) and MOCVD (metal–organic chemical vapor deposition) processes, e.g. for the deposition of lanthanide oxide (Ln_2O_3) or lanthanide nitride (LnN) thin films.³

The introduction of alkinyl groups to the central carbon atom in amidines leads to alkinylamidines (or propiolamidines) of the type $R-C \equiv C-C(\equiv N R')(NHR')$. In organic synthesis, alkinylamidines have been frequently employed in the preparation of various heterocycles. $4,5$ More recently, alkinylamidines have attracted considerable attention due to their diverse applications in biological and pharmacological systems.⁶ Moreover, transition metal and lanthanide alkinylamidinate complexes have been shown to be efficient and versatile catalysts e.g. for C–C and C–N bond formation, the addition of C–H, N–H and P-H bonds to carbodiimides as well as ε -caprolactone polymeriza- $\frac{1}{2}$ Thus far, only very few lanthanide complexes containing alkinylamidinate ligands have been described.^{7,8} Previously used propiolamidinate ligands include e.g. phenylethinyl derivatives [Ph–C \equiv C–C(NR)₂]⁻ (R = ⁱPr, ^tBu)^{7a,8} and the trimethylsilylacetylene-derived anions $[Me_3Si-C \equiv C-C(NR)_2]^-$ (R = cyclohexyl (Cy) , ⁱPr).⁹

In the course of our ongoing investigation of lanthanide amidinates we recently initiated a study of alkinylamidinates derived from cyclopropylacetylene. The resulting anions $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv$ C–C(NR)₂][–] (R = Cy, ⁱPr) represent a potentially useful addition to the current library of amidinate ligands. In a first contribution we described the synthesis and full characterization of the lithium-cyclopropylethinylamidinates Li $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(NR)_2]$ (1a: R = cyclohexyl (Cy), 1b: R = 1 Pr).¹⁰ These precursors are readily available on a large scale and in high yields using

Chemisches Institut der Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg,

Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany. E-mail: frank.edelmann@ovgu.de; Fax: +49 391 671-2933; Tel: +49 391 675-8327

[†] Dedicated to Professor Herbert W. Roesky on the occasion of his 80th birthday. ‡ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: CIF files of the X-ray structural data for 2d and 4. CCDC 1050915 (2d) and 1050916 (4). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5nj00555h

commercially available starting materials. In a subsequent study, their use as precursors for new lanthanide amidinates could be demonstrated by the synthesis of a series of new $Ln(m)$ bis(cyclopropylethinylamidinates). In the case of Ce and Nd, the chloro-bridged dimers $[\{c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(NR)_2\}_2Ln(\mu-CI)(THF)]_2$ $[Ln = Ce, Nd; R = Cy, 'Pr]$ were isolated, whereas the smaller holmium afforded the "ate" complex $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(NCy)_2]_2$ - $Ho(u-Cl)₂Li(THF)(OEt₂)$. An initial study showed that these complexes effectively catalyze the addition of aniline derivatives to carbodiimides to give N -arylguanidines.¹¹ Herein we report the synthesis and structural characterization of the first homoleptic $Ln(m)$ tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complexes as well as an initial study of their possible use as homogeneous catalysts for the addition of terminal alkynes to carbodiimides.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Synthesis and structure

The starting materials used in this study, the lithium-cyclopropylethinylamidinates Li[c-C₃H₅-C \equiv C-C(NR)₂] (1a: R = Cy, **1b:** $R = {^{1}P}r$, were prepared in a straightforward manner according to Scheme 1 by in situ-deprotonation of commercially available cyclopropylacetylene followed by treatment with either N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide or N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide according to the published procedure. These lithium amidinates can be isolated in the form of stable, crystalline solids as adducts with donor solvent like diethyl ether, THF or

1a: R = c -C₆H₁₁ (= Cy); **1b**: R = ^{\prime}Pr

DME $(1,2$ -dimethoxyethane).¹⁰ However, for the reactions with lanthanide trichlorides, the reagents 1a and 1b were conveniently prepared in THF solution and used in situ.

Subsequent reactions of the lithium-cyclopropylethinylamidinates 1a and 1b with anhydrous lanthanide trichlorides, $LnCl₃$ (Ln = Nd, Sm, Ho) were carried out in a 1 : 3 molar ratio in THF solutions according to Scheme 2. Evaporation of the volatiles and recrystallization of the crude products from n -pentane afforded the new lanthanide (m) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complexes 2a–d in moderate (2b: 54%, 2c: 45%, 2d: 55%) to good (2a: 79%) yields. The samarium and holmium derivatives 2a, 2c, and 2d were isolated as yellow, air- and moisture-sensitive crystals, while the neodymium complex 2b is a green, crystalline solid. All four compounds are highly soluble in THF, diethyl ether, toluene and n-pentane. The very high solubility even in non-polar solvents like n-pentane certainly accounts for the relatively low yields in the case of complexes 2b–d. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of the holmium derivative 2d (vide infra) confirmed the presence of the expected unsolvated, homoleptic lanthanide (m) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complex. Paper

connectionly available starting materials. In a subsequent DME (i.e) dimensionly interests are also the concentration of the energy of the second on the creative commons of the energy of the energy is and the energ

All four compounds were characterized by their NMR $(^{1}H, ^{13}C)$ and IR spectra as well as elemental analyses. Despite the paramagnetic nature of the Ln^{3+} ions employed here, meaningful NMR spectra could be obtained for all four compounds with the exception of the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of the Ho^{3+} complex 2c. The data were in good agreement with the formation of unsolvated lanthanide(m) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinates). No signals attributable to coordinated THF could be observed. The IR spectra of 2a–c were found to be almost superimposable. IR bands resulting from the $C=N$ stretching vibrations of the N–C–N units appear at around 1606–1612 cm^{-1} , whereas very strong bands at 2220– 2227 cm $^{-1}$ can be assigned to the C \equiv C vibrations. In all cases the EI mass spectra indicated good volatility of the new homoleptic lanthanide amidinates as they all showed the molecular ions in an intensity range of 20–45% relative intensity.

As a typical representative of the new homoleptic lanthanide tris(amidinates), the holmium derivative 2d was structurally Scheme 1 Synthesis of the lithium-cyclopropylethinylamidinates 1a and 1b. authenticated through single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1).

Scheme 2 Synthesis of the Ln(III) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinates) 2a-d.

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of complex $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv CC(N^{\dagger}Pr)_2]_3$ Ho (2d). All hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Ho-N1 2.359(3), Ho-N2 2.351(3), Ho-N3 2.348(2), Ho-N4 2.353(3), Ho–N5 2.342(2), Ho–N6 2.383(3), C1–N1 1.312(4), C1– N2 1.327(4), C13–N3 1.333(4), C13–N4, 1.331(4), C25–N5 1.333(4), C25– N6 1.324(3), C7–N1 1.481(4), C1–C2 1.461(5), C2–C3 1.182(6), C14– C15 1.185(4), C26–C27 1.184(5), N1–Ho–N2 57.08(9), N3–Ho–N4 57.74(9), N5–Ho–N6 57.14(8), N1–Ho–N3 100.1(11), N2–Ho–N5 98.77(10), N4–Ho–N6 98.74(11), N1–C1–N2 117.0(3), N3–C13–N4 116.9(3), N5–C25–N6 116.6(3).

Pale yellow, block-like single-crystals of 2d were obtained by cooling of a very concentrated solution in *n*-pentane to -30 °C over a prolonged period of time. Crystallographic data of 2d are listed in Table 1, while selected bond lengths and angles are summarized in the caption of Fig. 1. Compound 2d crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$. The crystal structure determination

clearly confirmed the presence of the first unsolvated homoleptic lanthanide(m) tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complex. The central Ho³⁺ ion is coordinated by three chelating [c-C₃H₅-C \equiv $C-C(NⁱPr)₂$] ligands in a highly distorted octahedral fashion. To our knowledge, only three closely related homoleptic $Ln(m)$ tris(phenylethinylamidinate) complexes of the type $[Ph-C \equiv$ C–C(NⁱPr)₂]₃Ln (Ln = Y,^{8b} Ce,^{8a} Lu^{8b}) have been reported in the previous literature. All three complexes have also been structurally characterized by X-ray diffraction. The overall structural features of 2d are very similar to those reported for $[Ph-C \equiv$ C-C $(N^i Pr)_2$ ₃Ln (Ln = Y, Ce, Lu). The Ho-N distances in 2d are in the very narrow range of $2.342(2)-2.383(3)$ Å. As a result of the lanthanide contraction, 12 these values are virtually identical with those reported for the yttrium (m) -tris $(phenylethingianidinate)$ complex [Ph–C \equiv C–C(NⁱPr)₂]₃Y (Y–N 2.363(4) and 2.356(4) Å). The average N–Ho–N bite angle to the chelating amidinate ligands in 2d is $57.33(9)^\circ$. This is also favorably comparable to the corresponding N–Ln–N angles found in the three phenylethinylamidinates [Ph–C \equiv C–C(NⁱPr)₂]₃Ln (Ln = Y, Ce, Lu) and in other homoleptic lanthanide tris $(N, N'$ -dialkylamidinates).^{1,8} The bond lengths of the triple bonds in the cyclopropylethinyl units in 2d are 1.182(6) Å (C2–C3), 1.185(4) Å (C14–C15) and 1.184(5) Å (C22–C23). Note that the process Article is the second of the first unsolved on 15 April 2015. By the second interaction of the second and the second interaction of the second interaction $\frac{1}{2}$ are completed under a Creative Com

2.2 Catalytic activity

For a first study of the possible catalytic activity of the new $Ln(m)$ tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) we chose the catalytic addition of alkynes to carbodiimides to give substituted propiolamidines. The lanthanide-catalyzed synthesis of propiolamidines $R-C \equiv$ $C-C(=NR')(NHR')$ was first reported in 2005 by Hou et al. using rare-earth metal half-sandwich complexes as catalysts.

Table 1 Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for compounds 2d and 4

	2d	4
Empirical formula	$C_{36}H_{57}$ HoN ₆	$C_{21}H_{28}N_2$
Formula weight	738.81	308.45
Crystal size (mm ³)	$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.20$	$0.34 \times 0.23 \times 0.22$
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	ΡĪ	$P\bar{1}$
$a \hat{A}$ $b \hat{A}$ $c \hat{A}$	9.776(2)	9.7257(19)
	13.149(3)	10.383(2)
	16.983(3)	10.558(2)
α (°)	101.28	70.77
β (°)	105.35	65.92
γ (γ)	108.19	70.83
Cell volume (A^3)	1905.6(7)	895.5(3)
Ζ	2	2
$T({}^{\circ}C)$	-120	-120
$\lambda(\lambda)$	0.71703	0.71703
$D_{\rm{calcd}}(g~{\rm cm}^{-3})$	1.288	1.144
μ (mm ⁻¹)	2.106	0.067
F(000)	764	336
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 13$	$-12 \le h \le 11$
	$-18 \leq k \leq 18$	$-12 \leq k \leq 12$
	$-19 \le l \le 23$	$-13\,\leq\,l\,\leq\,12$
Data/restraints/parameters	10209/38/461	3625/157/267
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.040	1.071
$R(F_0 \text{ or } F_0^2)$	0.0343	0.0535
$R_{\rm w}$ (F_0 or F_0^2)	0.0908	0.1512
Largest diff. peak and hole (e A^{-3})	$2.465, -1.743$	$0.198, -0.223$

Scheme 3 Synthesis of Ph-C \equiv C-C(NⁱPr)(NHⁱPr) (3) using 2a-d as catalyst.

Table 2 Addition of phenylacetylene to N , N' -diisopropylcarbodiimide catalyzed by the lanthanide-tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinates) 2a–d

$Entropy^a$	Cat.	Catalyst equiv. (mol%) Time (h)		Yield ^b of 3 $(\%$			
	2a	0.5		72			
$\overline{2}$	2a	1	0.5	85			
3	2 _b	0.5	1	53			
4	2 _b		0.5	62			
5	2c	0.5	1	54			
6	2c	1	0.5	51			
	2d	0.5	1	34			
8	2d	1	0.5	27			
11	None	Ω	1				
α General condition: THF as solvent at 60 °C. β Isolated yield.							

The pre-catalysts used in this study were constrained-geometry-type complexes such as $[Me₂Si(C₅Me₄)(NPh)]Y(CH₂SiMe₃)(THF)₂$. It was found that half-sandwich complexes comprising a propiolamidinate ligand play an important role in the catalytic cycle. Upon treatment with excess acetylene, they release the propiolamidine product.^{7a} Most recently, Zhang and Zhou et al. employed rare-earth metal alkyl complexes stabilized by the bulky pyrazolylborate ligand Tp^{Me2} (=hydro-tris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)-borate) as catalysts for the synthesis of N -aryl-substituted propiolamidines.^{7g}

In an initial screening test, we examined the Ln-catalyzed addition of phenylacetylene to N, N' -diisopropylcarbodiimide in the presence of all four compounds 2a–d as illustrated in Scheme 3. All four new lanthanide (m) -tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinates) 2a-d were used as precatalysts, and the reactions were carried out in concentrated THF solutions at 60 \degree C. The results are summarized in Table 2. The isolated yields of the known compound Ph–C \equiv C-C(NⁱPr)(NHⁱPr) $(3)^{13}$ varied from 27 to 85% depending of the lanthanide metal employed. Clearly the highest activity was observed for the samarium complex $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(NCy)_2]_3Sm$ (2a), while the lowest yields were obtained when using the holmium catalyst [c-C₃H₅-C \equiv C-C(NⁱPr)₂]₃Ho (2**d**). In a control experiment

(Table 2, entry 11), an equimolar mixture of phenylacetylene and N , N' -diisopropylcarbodiimide were heated in concentrated THF solution at 60 \degree C for 1 h in the absence of a rare-earth metal compound. Under these conditions, no trace of Ph–C \equiv C–C($N^i Pr$)($NH^i Pr$) (3) could be detected in the reaction mixture.

In a second set of experiments, the Ln-catalyzed addition of three different terminal alkynes to both N, N' -diisopropylcarbodiimide and N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide was studied. For these tests, the most active complex $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv C-C(NCy)_2]_3Sm$ (2a) was used as the precatalyst. The reactions were again carried out in THF at 60 °C according to Scheme 4.

As can be seen from the results listed in Table 3, this short screening produced mixed results. Reactions of phenylacetylene with both N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide and N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide gave good yields of the hydroacetylenation products 3 and 4, while cyclopropylacetylene could be added only to N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide affording a moderate yield of propiolamidine 5. In sharp contrast, virtually no reactions were observed when trimethylsilylacetylene was employed. Thus the use of the new homoleptic lanthanide(m)-tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinates) as catalysts for the addition of terminal acetylenes to carbodiimides appears to be quite limited. Obviously these amidinate complexes cannot seriously compete with previously reported rare-earth metal catalysts comprising cyclopentadienyl^{7a} or pyrazolylborate^{7g} ligands. These compounds all contain additional σ -alkyl groups such as –CH₂Ph or –CH₂SiMe₃ which certainly account for the significantly higher activity of such catalysts systems.^{7a,g} Published on 15 April 2015. Download entire and the set of the set

> In the course of the present study, the molecular structure of the propiolamidine 4 has been verified by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (*cf.* Table 1). X-Ray-quality single-crystals of 4 were grown by slowly cooling a solution in hot acetonitrile to room temperature. The molecular structure of 4 is shown in Fig. 2. Previously reported crystal structures of propiolamidines include

$$
R-C \equiv C-H + R_1 - N = C = N-R_1
$$
\n
$$
R - C \equiv C - C
$$
\n
$$
R = Ph, c-C_3H_5 \text{ or } Me_3\text{Si}
$$
\n
$$
R_1 = \frac{PR_1}{PR_1} \text{ or } C
$$
\n
$$
R_2 = \frac{PR_1}{PR_2} \text{ or } Me_3\text{Si}
$$

Scheme 4 Synthesis of alkynylamidines using complex 2a as catalyst.

Table 3 Catalytic addition of terminal alkynes to N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide catalyzed by 2a

$\text{Entry}^{a,b}$	R	R_1	Time (h)	Product	Yield $^{\epsilon}$ (%)
1	Ph	¹ Pr	0.5	3	85
2	Ph		0.5		78
3	$c-C3H5$	Cy 'Pr	0.5		Traces
$\overline{4}$	c - C_3H_5	Сy		5	48
5	Me ₃ Si	${}^{i}Pr$	0.5		Traces
6	Me ₃ Si	Cv			Traces

^{*a*} General condition: THF as solvent at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. ^{*b*} All reactions carried out using 1.0% mol of $2a$. c Isolated yield.

Fig. 2 Molecular structure of complex of $C_6H_5-C\equiv C-C(NCy)(NHCy)$ (4). Most of the hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): C1-N1 1.364(2), C1-N2 1.275(2), C1-C2 1.451(2), C2–C3 1.195(3), C10–N1 1.451(3), N1–C1–N2 121.93(17), C10–N1–C1 125.79(15), C16–N2–C1 117.4(4).

those of 4-ClC₆H₄-C \equiv C–C(NⁱPr)(NHⁱPr) and 2-ClC₆H₄-C \equiv C-C(NⁱPr)(NHⁱPr),^{7a} Ph-C \equiv C-C(NC₆H₃ⁱPr₂-2,6)(NHC₆H₃ⁱPr₂-2,6),¹⁴ and Ph–C \equiv C–C(NC $_{6}$ H $_{3}$ ⁱPr₂-2,6)(NHC $_{6}$ H $_{3}$ Cl₂-3,4).^{7g} The C \equiv C bond length in 4 is 1.195(3) Å, while the C1–N1 and C1–N2 distance $(1.364(2)$ and $1.275(4)$ Å) correspond to standard C–N single and double bonds, respectively. As in $4\text{-}\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}\text{C}\!\equiv\!\text{C--C(N}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})(\text{NH}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})$ and 2-ClC₆H₄-C \equiv C-C(NⁱPr)(NHⁱPr),^{7a} one cyclohexyl substituent points toward the alkynyl group and the other one away, resulting in a transoid conformation around the N–C–N unit. In contrast, a cisoid conformation (both substituents pointing toward the alkynyl group) has been reported for Ph–C \equiv C–C(NC $_{6}$ H $_{3}$ ⁱPr $_{2}$ -2,6)(NHC₆H₃ⁱPr₂-2,6)¹⁴ and Ph–C≡C–C(NC₆H₃ⁱPr₂-2,6)(NHC₆H₃Cl₂- 3.4 ^{7g} which both contain bulky 2.6-diisopropylphenyl substituents.

3. Conclusions

In summarizing the work reported here, we succeeded in the straightforward preparation of a series of new homoleptic lanthanide tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complexes comprising neodymium, samarium, and holmium as central metals. The lithium-cyclopropylethinylamidinate precursors employed in these preparations are readily available in one step from commercially available starting materials. The new complexes 2a–d are highly soluble even in non-polar solvents such as *n*-pentane. The presence of unsolvated, homoleptic tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinate) complexes could be verified by an X-ray crystal structure determination of the holmium complex 2d. An initial catalysis study revealed that the new amidinates effectively catalyze the addition of phenylacetylene to N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide and N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide but have insufficient activity with other terminal acetylenes.

4. Experimental section

4.1 General procedures

All experiments were carried out in oven-dried or flame-dried glassware under an inert atmosphere of dry argon employing standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques ($<$ 1 ppm O₂, $<$ 1 ppm $H₂O$). *n*-Pentane and THF were distilled from sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen atmosphere prior to use. All glassware was oven-dried at 120 \degree C for at least 24 h, assembled while hot, and cooled under high vacuum prior to use. The starting materials, anhydrous LnCl₃ (Ln = Ce, Nd),¹⁵ and the lithiumcyclopropylethinyl-amidinate precursors 1a and $1b^{10}$ were prepared according to the literature methods. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR (100.6 MHz) were recorded in C_6D_6 or CDCl₃ solutions on a Bruker DPX 400 spectrometer at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. Chemical shifts were referenced to TMS. Assignment of signals was made from ¹H-¹³C HSQC 2D NMR experiments. IR spectra were recorded using KBr pellets on a Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrometer system 2000 between 4000 cm^{-1} and 400 cm^{-1} . Microanalyses of the compounds were performed using a Leco CHNS 923 apparatus. Note that is a static existion of thermal attention of the common and the term of the balance of the balance of the common and the

4.2 Synthesis and characterization of the $Ln(m)$ tris(cyclopropylethinylamidinates) 2a–d

 $[c-C₃H₅-C \equiv CC(NCy)₂]$ ₃Sm (2a). Anhydrous SmCl₃ (1.0 g, 4 mmol) and 1b (3.3 g, 12 mmol) were charged in a 250 ml Schlenk flask. 100 ml of THF were added and the mixture was stirred 12 h at r.t. to give a clear yellow solution. The solvent was removed under vacuum followed by extraction with n -pentane $(2 \times 15 \text{ ml})$. The clear yellow flitrate was evaporated under vacuum affording 2a as a pale yellow solid (3.0 g, 79%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 3.34 (m, 6H, CH, Cy), 1.85 (m, 3H, CH, c-C₃H₅), 1.56 (br, 12H, CH₂, Cy), 1.40 (m, 6H, CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 0.97-1.32 (m, 18H, CH₂, Cy), 0.87 (m, 6H, CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 0.69 (br, 12H, CH₂, Cy), -0.21 to -0.12 (q, 6H, CH₂, Cy), -2.31 (br, 12H, CH₂, Cy); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 201.9 (NCN), 104.1 (C \equiv C–C), 73.7 (HC–C \equiv C), 56.9 (CH, Cy), 35.8 (CH₂, Cy), 25.5 (CH₂, Cy), 9.8 (CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 1.8 (CH₂ c-C₃H₅). MS (EI, $M = 965.57$): m/z (%) 965.7(45) [M], 695.4(70) ${\rm [M{\rm -}(c{\rm -}C_{3}H_{5}{\rm -}C\!\equiv\!CC(NCy)_{2})]^{+}}$, 272.2(80) ${\rm [c{\rm -}C_{3}H_{5}{\rm -}C\!\equiv\!CC(NCy)_{2}]^{+}}$, 229.1(58) $[c-C_3H_5-C\equiv CC(NCy)_2-(c-C_3H_5)]^+$, 190.1(63) $[c-C_3H_5$ $C \equiv CC(NCy)_{2}-(Cy) + 2H^{\dagger}$, 177(100) [c-C₃H₅-C $\equiv CC$ -NCy + 2H]+ . IR (KBr): 3668, 3438, 3220, 3012, 2925, 2850, 2665, 2222, 1606, 1469, 1398, 1361, 1174, 1120, 1028, 972, 888, 703, 676, 588 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₅₄H₈₁N₆Sm: C, 67.24; H, 8.46; N, 8.71%. Found: C, 67.22; H, 8.51; N, 8.60%.

 $[c-C₃H₅-C \equiv CC(NⁱPr)₂]$ ₃Nd (2b). A solution of anhydrous NdCl3 (1.0 g, 4 mmol) in 30 ml of THF was added to a solution

of 1a (2.3 g, 12 mmol) in 70 ml of THF. The reaction mixture was heated to 65 \degree C for 2 h and then stirred at r.t. for 12 h. The solution color changed to blue. Work-up using n -pentane as described for 2a afforded 2b as green crystals (1.5 g, 54%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 22.3 (m, 6H, CH–(CH₃)₂), 4.10 (m, 3H, CH, c-C₃H₅), 2.97 (m, 6H, CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 2.02 $(m, 6H, CH_2, c-C_3H_5), -3.55$ $(m, 36H, CH_3);$ $^{13}C(^{1}H)$ NMR (100.6 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C): δ = 228.6 (NCN), 108.5 (C \equiv C–C), 65.3 (CH–(CH₃)₂), 59.8 (HC–C \equiv C), 23.1 (CH₃), 12.1 (CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 2.4 (CH, c-C₃H₅). MS (EI, $M = 715.37$): m/z (%) 631.6(33) $[M-2(^{i}Pr)]^{+}$, 396.4(20) $[2(c-C_3H_5-C\equiv CC(N^{i}Pr)_2) + CH_3]^{+}$, 381.3(15) $[2(\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_5-\text{C} \equiv \text{CC}(\text{N}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})_2)]^+$, 205.2(50) $[(\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_5-\text{C} \equiv \text{CC}(\text{N}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})_2)]^+$ $\rm C\!\equiv\!CC(N^iPr)_2)$ + $\rm CH_3]^+,$ 177.1(34) $\rm [c\text{-}C_3H_5\text{-}C\!\equiv\!CC(N^iPr)_{2}$ - $\text{CH}_3]^{\text{+}}$, 149.1(17) [c-C₃H₅-C \equiv CC(NⁱPr)₂-(c-C₃H₅)]⁺. IR (KBr): 3678, 3439, 3220, 3015, 2963, 2867, 2608, 2220, 1865, 1635, 1591, 1498, 1382, 1332, 1169, 811, 716, 692, 530, 445 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for $C_{36}H_{57}N_6Nd$: C, 60.16; H, 7.93; N, 11.69%. Found: C, 60.25; H, 7.92; N, 11.52%. Paper

of 14 (2.5 μ) Correlation and the station and the station and the M-diasoposition domained on 2015. Downloaded on 15 April 2015. Downloaded on 15 April 2015. Downloaded on 15 April 2015. Downloaded the common an

 $[c-C₃H₅-C \equiv CC(NⁱPr)₂]$ ₃Sm (2c). A reaction of anhydrous $SmCl₃$ (1.0 g, 4 mmol) with 1a (2.3 g, 12 mmol) following the procedure described for 2a afforded 2d as a yellow, crystalline solid (1.6 g, 55%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 3.60 $(m, 6H, CH-(CH₃)₂), 1.81 (m, 3H, CH, c-C₃H₅), 1.37 (m, 6H,$ CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 0.89 (m, 6H, CH₂, c-C₃H₅), -0.47 (m, 36H, CH₃); CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 0.89 (m, 6H, CH₂, c-C₃H₅), -0.47 (m, 36H, CH₃);
¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.6 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 201.6 (NCN), 104.5 $(C\equiv C-C)$, 73.5 (HC–C $\equiv C$), 48.3 (CH–(CH₃)₂), 25.1 (CH₃), 9.7 (CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 1.7 (CH, c-C₃H₅). MS (EI, $M = 725.38$): m/z (%) 726.4(20) $[M]^+, 710.5(23) [M-CH_3]^+, 533.3(10) [M-c-C_3H_5 \text{C} \equiv \text{CC}(\text{N}^{\text{i}} \text{Pr})_{2} + \text{H}]^{+}$, 343.1(32) $[\text{M-2}(\text{c-} \text{C}_{3} \text{H}_{5} \text{--C} \equiv \text{CC}(\text{N}^{\text{i}} \text{Pr})_{2})]$ 327.1(22) [M-2(c-C₃H₅-C \equiv CC(NⁱPr)₂)-CH₃]⁺, 177.1(58) [c-C₃H₅- $\text{C}\!\equiv\!\text{CC(N}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})_{2}\text{-CH}_{3}]^{^{+}}$, 149.1(20) [c-C₃H₅–C $\equiv\text{CC(N}^{\text{i}}\text{Pr})_{2}$ –(c-C₃H₅)] $^{+}$. IR (KBr): 3653, 3440, 3096, 3015, 2963, 2866, 2608, 2221, 1612, 1466, 1330, 1263, 1210, 1185, 1052, 967, 875, 811, 707, 529, 472 $\rm cm^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for $\rm C_{36}H_{57}N_{6}Sm:$ C, 59.70; H, 7.93; N, 11.60%. Found: C, 59.80; H, 7.83; N, 11.55%.

 $[c-C₃H₅-C \equiv CC(NⁱPr)₂]$ ₃Ho (2d). A solution of anhydrous $HoCl₃$ (1.0 g, 3.7 mmol) in 30 ml of THF was added to a solution of 1a (2.2 g, 11.1 mmol) in 60 ml of THF. The reaction mixture was heated to 65 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 h and then stirred at r.t. for 12 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum followed by extraction with pentane 2×15 ml to give a clear brightyellow solution. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 5 ml. Crystallization at -30 °C for three months afforded 2d as pale yellow crystals (1.2 g, 45%). Due to the strongly paramagnetic nature of the Ho³⁺ ion, no meaningful ¹H NMR data could be obtained.¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 224.8 (NCN), 158.8 (C \equiv C-C), 62.7 (HC-C \equiv C), 50.4 (CH-(CH₃)₂), 29.8 (CH₃), 26.5 (CH₃), 8.7 (CH₂, c-C₃H₅), 0.35 (CH, c-C₃H₅). MS (EI, $M = 738.39$): m/z (%) 738.5(35) [M], 723.5(50) [M-CH₃]⁺, 695.5(32) $[M-2CH_3]^+, 547.3(36) [M-c-C_3H_5-C \equiv CC(N^{11}Pr)_2],$ $177.1(100)$ $[{\rm c}\text{-}{\rm C}_{3}{\rm H}_{5}\text{-}{\rm C}\!\equiv\!{\rm CC}({\rm N}^{\rm i}{\rm Pr})_{2}\text{-}{\rm CH}_{3}]^{+}$, $149.1(43)$ $[{\rm c}\text{-}{\rm C}_{3}{\rm H}_{5}\text{-}$ $C \equiv CC(N^{i}Pr)_{2}$ - $(c-C_{3}H_{5})$]⁺. IR (KBr): 3440, 3219, 2964, 2932, 2869, 2227, 1636, 1612, 1486, 1375, 1315, 1260, 1179, 1031, 984, 879, 812, 505, 468 $\rm cm^{-1}$. Anal. calcd for $\rm C_{36}H_{57}HoN_6$: C, 58.52; H, 7.78; N, 11.38%. Found: C, 58.75; H, 7.33; N, 11.17%.

4.3 General procedure for the addition of phenylacetylene to N , N' -diisopropylcarbodiimide catalyzed by 2a–d.

A 100 ml Schlenk flask was charged with phenylacetylene (1.40 ml, 12.8 mmol) and N,N'-diisopropylcarbodiimide (2.0 ml, 12.8 mmol) in 20 ml of THF. To the mixture was added the catalyst (2a, 2b, 2c, or 2d) (0.5 or 1.0% mmol), dissolved in 5 ml of THF. The resulting mixture was stirred at 60 \degree C or at room temperature for a fixed time. The solvent was completely removed under vacuum and the product was purified by crystallization from a minimum amount of dry acetonitrile in air to give 3 in yields as shown in Table 2.

4.4 General procedure for the addition of terminal alkynes to $N_\cdot\!N'$ -diisopropylcarbodiimide catalyzed by 2a

A 100 ml Schlenk flask was charged with the terminal alkyne (1.0 mmol) and N, N' -diisopropylcarbodiimide (1.0 mmol) in 15 ml of THF. To the mixture was added the catalyst 2a (0.01 mmol), dissolved in 5 ml of THF. The resulting mixture was stirred at 60 \degree C for a fixed time, as shown in Table 2. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the product was purified by crystallization from a minimum amount of dry acetonitrile in air. The resulting propiolamidines 3–5 were identified through their ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (cf. ESI‡).^{7,14}

4.5 X-Ray crystallographic studies

The intensity data of 2d and 4 were collected on a Stoe IPDS 2T diffractometer with MoKa radiation. The data were collected with the Stoe XAREA¹⁶ program using ω -scans. The space groups were determined with the $XRED32^{24}$ program. Absorption corrections were applied using the multi-scan method. The structures were solved by direct methods $(SHELXS-97)^{17a}$ and refined by full matrix least-squares methods on F^2 using SHELXL-97.^{17b} Data collection parameters are given in Table 1.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg is gratefully acknowledged. Farid M. Sroor is grateful to the ministry of Higher Educational Scientific Research (MHESR), Egypt, and the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), Germany, for a PhD scholarship within the German Egyptian Research Long-Term Scholarship (GERLS) program.

References

- 1 Recent review articles: (a) F. T. Edelmann, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 2008, 57, 183–352; (b) M. P. Coles, Chem. Commun., 2009, 3659–3676; C. Jones, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 1273–1289; (c) A. A. Trifonov, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 1327–1347; (d) A. A. Mohamed, H. E. Abdou and J. P. Fackler Jr., Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 1253–1259; (e) S. Collins, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2011, 255, 118-138; (f) F. T. Edelmann, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 2013, 61, 55–374.
- 2 (a) F. T. Edelmann, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 2253–2268; (b) F. T. Edelmann, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 7657–7672.
- 3 A. Devi, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2013, 257, 3332–3384, and references cited therein.
- 4 (a) H. Fujita, R. Endo, A. Aoyama and T. Ichii, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1972, 45, 1846–1852; (b) G. Himbert, M. Feustel and M. Jung, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1981, 1907–1927; (c) G. Himbert and W. Schwickerath, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1984, 85–97; (d) G. F. Schmidt and G. Süss-Fink, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 356, 207–211; (e) T.-G. Ong, J. S. O'Brien, I. Korobkov and D. S. Richeson, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 4728; (f) X. Xu, J. Gao, D. Cheng, J. Li, G. Qiang and H. Guo, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2008, 350, 61-64; (g) W. Weingärtner, W. Kantlehner and G. Maas, Synthesis, 2011, 265-272; (h) W. Weingärtner and G. Maas, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2012, 6372–6382. NGC

2. A. Devi, Coord. Chem, Rev, 2011, 217, 3332-3348, and

2. Operacion, 2016, 6:37 April 2015. Download Chem, 302, 2016. Chem, 2016, 2016. When 8711 [6] Co. Koordies, 102

2. April 2016. Applished on Chem, 1981, 1981,
	- 5 (a) H. Fujita, R. Endo, K. Murayama and T. Ichii, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1972, 45, 1581; (b) W. Ried and M. Wegwitz, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1975, 89–94; (c) W. Ried and R. Schweitzer, Chem. Ber., 1976, 109, 1643–1649; (d) W. Ried and H. Winkler, Chem. Ber., 1979, 112, 384–388.
	- 6 (a) P. Sienkiewich, K. Bielawski, A. Bielawska and J. Palka, Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol., 2005, 20, 118–124; (b) T. M. Sielecki, J. Liu, S. A. Mousa, A. L. Racanelli, E. A. Hausner, R. R. Wexler and R. E. Olson, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2001, 11, 2201–2204; (c) C. E. Stephens, E. Tanious, S. Kim, D. W. Wilson, W. A. Schell, J. R. Perfect, S. G. Franzblau and D. W. Boykin, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 1741–1748; (d) C. N. Rowley, G. A. DiLabio and S. T. Barry, Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 1983–1991.
	- 7 (a) W.-X. Zhang, M. Nishiura and Z. Hou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16788–16789; (b) S. Zhou, S. Wang, G. Yang, Q. Li, L. Zhang, Z. Yao, Z. Zhou and H.-B. Song, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 3755–3761; (c) W.-X. Zhang and Z. Hou, Org. Biomol. Chem.,
- 2008, 6, 1720–1730; (d) Z. Du, W. Li, X. Zhu, F. Xu and Q. Shen, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 8966–8972; (e) C. N. Rowley, T.-G. Ong, J. Priem, D. S. Richeson and T. K. Woo, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 12024-12031; (f) Y. Wu, S. Wang, L. Zhang, G. Yang, X. Zhu, Z. Zhou, H. Zhu and S. Wu, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2010, 326–332; (g) F. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Hong and X. Zhou, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 6186–6192.
- 8 (a) P. Dröse, C. G. Hrib and F. T. Edelmann, *J. Organomet*. Chem., 2010, 695, 1953–1956; (b) L. Xu, Y.-C. Wang, W.-X. Zhang and Z. Xi, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 16466-16469.
- 9 W. W. Seidel, W. Dachtler and T. Pape, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2012, 638, 116–121.
- 10 F. M. A. Sroor, C. G. Hrib, L. Hilfert and F. T. Edelmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2013, 639, 2390–2394.
- 11 F. M. Sroor, C. G. Hrib, L. Hilfert, P. G. Jones and F. T. Edelmann, J. Organomet. Chem., 2015, 785, 1–10.
- 12 S. Cotton, Lanthanide and Actinide Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2006.
- 13 G. F. Schmidt and G. Süss-Fink, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 356, 207–211.
- 14 M. Arrowsmith, M. R. Crimmin, M. S. Hill, S. L. Lomas, M. S. Heng, P. B. Hitchcock and G. Kociok-Köhn, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 14249–14256.
- 15 J. H. Freeman and M. L. Smith, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1958, 7, 224.
- 16 Stoe, XAREA Program for Xray Crystal Data collection, (XRED32 included in XAREA), Stoe, 2002.
- 17 (a) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97 Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 1997; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97 Program for Crystal Structure Solution, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 1997.