



Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 11669

## Correction: Iminoborylene complexes: evaluation of synthetic routes towards BN-allenylidenes and unexpected reactivity towards carbodiimides

J. Niemeyer,<sup>\*a</sup> M. J. Kelly,<sup>b</sup> I. M. Riddlestone,<sup>b</sup> D. Vidovic<sup>b</sup> and S. Aldridge<sup>\*b</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/c5dt90082d  
www.rsc.org/dalton

Correction for 'Iminoborylene complexes: evaluation of synthetic routes towards BN-allenylidenes and unexpected reactivity towards carbodiimides' by J. Niemeyer *et al.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/c5dt00131e.

On the 4<sup>th</sup> page, last paragraph, it says:

"The cationic borylene component features a cumulene-type linear arrangement of the Fe–B–N–C unit [ $\angle\text{Fe}(1)\text{--B}(28)\text{--N}(29) = 170.9(5)^\circ$ ,  $\angle\text{B}(28)\text{--N}(36)\text{--C}(37) = 175.3(2)^\circ$ ]."

It should say:

"The cationic borylene component features a cumulene-type linear arrangement of the Fe–B–N–C unit [ $\angle\text{Fe}(1)\text{--B}(28)\text{--N}(29) = 170.9(5)^\circ$ ,  $\angle\text{B}(28)\text{--N}(29)\text{--C}(30) = 166.6(5)^\circ$ ]."

On the same page, subscript of Fig. 2, it says:

"...(for **12d**) Fe(1)–B(28) 1.835(6), B(28)–N(29) 1.302(8), N(29)–C(30) 1.287(7), Fe(1)–B(28)–N(29) 170.9(5), B(28)–N(36)–C(37) 175.3(2)."

It should say:

"...(for **12d**) Fe(1)–B(28) 1.835(6), B(28)–N(29) 1.302(8), N(29)–C(30) 1.287(7), Fe(1)–B(28)–N(29) 170.9(5), B(28)–N(29)–C(30) = 166.6(5)°]."

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstrasse 7, 45141 Essen, Germany. E-mail: jochen.niemeyer@uni-due.de

<sup>b</sup>Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3QR, UK. E-mail: SimonAldridge@chem.ox.ac.uk

