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The reaction of Co(NCS)₂ with 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (ClBP) leads to the formation of Co(NCS)₂·{4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine}₂ (1) and [Co(NCS)₂(4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine)]₂ₙ (2). In the crystal structure of 1 the Co(II) cations are octahedrally coordinated by two terminal bonded thiocyanato anions and four ClBP ligands, whereas in 2 the Co(II) cations are linked into chains by pairs of µ-1,3-bridging thiocyanato anions. Magnetic measurements of 2 show an antiferromagnetic phase transition with Tₘ = 3.9 K. A metamagnetic transition is observed at the critical magnetic field of 260 Oe. Magnetic relaxations in the zero field are consistent with single chain magnetic behavior. These results are compared with those obtained for similar compounds reported recently.

Introduction

Low-dimensional magnetic materials, because of their unusual properties, attracted wide interest of researchers over several decades. The last wave of interest is related with the discovery of the so-called Single Chain Magnets (SCM) and an increasing number of such compounds have been continuously reported.1–9 In this regard compounds which show a slow relaxation of the magnetization that can be traced back to the relaxation of single chains are of special interest because of their potential for future applications as high-density storage material.10–27 To get more insight into the influence of a chemical and a structural modification on the magnetic properties of such compounds systematic investigations using strongly related compounds are needed.

For the creation of such materials, it is necessary that cations of large magnetic anisotropy are linked into chains by small ligands like, e.g. azido anions that can mediate strong magnetic exchange along the chains.28–31 In this context thiocyanato ligands are also useful because they offer a broad number of different bonding modes, which allows the selective preparation of coordination compounds with a different dimensionality of the coordination network.32–53

In our ongoing project on the synthesis, structure and properties of thio- or selenocyanato coordination polymers we are especially interested in compounds, in which Co(n) or Fe(n) cations are connected by pairs of these anionic ligands into chains, because several of them show a slow relaxation of magnetization, indicative of SCM behavior. For the first time we observed such relaxations in [Co(NCS)₂(pyridine)]₂ₙ.54 A similar behavior is observed for the corresponding selenocyanato coordination polymer [Co(NCSe)₂(pyridine)]₂ₙ with significantly stronger intrachain interactions.55 In contrast, the analogous Fe(n) compound [Fe(NCS)₂(pyridine)]₂ₙ reported by Foner et al. is a metamagnet that shows no relaxations but this behavior can be induced by an exchange of the anionic ligand.56,57 [Fe(NCSe)₂(pyridine)]₂ₙ is also a metamagnet but it shows slow relaxation of magnetization and it is assumed that the lower magnetic anisotropy of Fe(n) cations is compensated by stronger intrachain interactions.58

To study the influence of the co-ligand onto the relaxation properties, compounds with different co-ligands were prepared which also consist of 1D Co(NCS)₂ chains and show these relaxations. This includes, e.g., [Co(NCS)₂(4-acetylpyridine)]₂ₙ that exhibits a ferromagnetic ground state.59 In contrast, [Co(NCS)₂(4-ethylpyridine)]₂ₙ has an antiferromagnetic ground state and shows a metamagnetic transition.60 A similar behav-
ior is also observed for \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{bis-(4-pyridyl)ethylene})_2]_n\) and for \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{bis-(4-pyridyl)ethane})_2]_n\). We also tried to investigate the influence of the interchain interactions by using the relatively large 4-(3-phenylpropyl)pyridine as a co-ligand. \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(4-(3-phenylpropyl)pyridine)]_n\) exhibits a ferromagnetic ground state and also shows magnetic relaxations that, however, cannot be clearly attributed to single chain relaxations.

To investigate the influence of the co-ligand on the magnetic properties in more detail we tried to prepare a compound based on the relatively large co-ligand 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine, in which the Co cations are linked by pairs of µ-1,3-bridging thiocyanato anions into chains. Here we report on our investigations.

### Results and discussion

#### Synthesis and crystal structures

The reaction of different ratios of \(\text{Co(NCS)}_2\) and 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine leads to the formation of two different compounds as indicated by X-ray powder diffraction. The results of the elemental analysis reveal a composition of \(\text{Co(NCS)}_2\cdot\text{(ClBP)}_4\) \((1)\) and \(\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{ClBP})_2\) \((2)\). In compound 1 the asymmetric stretching vibration \(\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CN})\) is observed at 2064 cm\(^{-1}\), which proves that only N-terminally bonded thiocyanato anions are present. In contrast, in compound 2 the asymmetric stretching vibration \(\nu_{\text{as}}(\text{CN})\) is shifted to 2105 cm\(^{-1}\), which indicates that µ-1,3 bridging thiocyanato anions are present (Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI†). Based on these results it can be concluded that compound 1 consists of octahedrally coordinated discrete complexes, whereas in compound 2 the cations are linked by the anionic ligands into a coordination polymer. To verify whether compound 1 could be used as a precursor to prepare a ligand deficient phase by thermal decomposition, measurements using simultaneous differential thermoanalysis and thermogravimetry were performed. This shows that compound 1 decomposes in only one step at about 120 °C, without the formation of any further intermediate (Fig. S3 in the ESI†). Single crystals were obtained from acetonitrile \((1)\) or methanol \((2)\) and were characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction.

\(\text{Co(NCS)}_2\cdot\text{(ClBP)}_4\) \((1)\) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group \(\text{C2/c}\) with four formula units in the unit cell. The asymmetric unit consists of one cobalt cation which is located on a center of inversion as well as of one thiocyanato anion and two 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine ligands in general positions. The metal center is octahedrally surrounded by two thiocyanato anions and four 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine ligands (Fig. 1).

The cobalt nitrogen distances range between 2.0943(15) and 2.241(2) Å and the bond angles have values between 88.66(6) and 91.34(6)° and of 180.00(8)° (Table S1 in the ESI†).

\([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{ClBP})_2]_n\) \((2)\) crystallizes in the triclinic space group \(\text{P1}\) with two formula units in the unit cell. The asymmetric unit consists of two crystallographically independent cobalt cations which are located on centers of inversion as well as of two thiocyanato anions and two 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine ligands in general positions. The cobalt cations are in an octahedral environment and are coordinated by two CIBP ligands and by two N and two S atoms of four thiocyanato anions in the trans position (Fig. 2). The cobalt nitrogen distances range from 2.060(2) to 2.165(2) Å and the Co–S distances are between 2.569(1) and 2.607(1) Å (Table S2 in the ESI†). The overall coordination of both crystallographically independent Co cations is almost identical.

The Co cations are linked by pairs of µ-1,3-bridging thiocyanato anions into chains with an interchain distance of 5.6348 Å between neighboring Co cations (Fig. 2). The shortest interchain Co⋯Co distance is close to 8.2413 Å. In the crystal structure the cobalt thiocyanato chains are orientated parallel to each other and this arrangement is similar to that in \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(\text{pyridine})_2]_n\) and \([\text{Co(NCS)}_2(4-Acpy)_2]_n\) reported recently (Fig. 3).\(^{54,59}\)
Magnetic investigations

DC study. As already mentioned in the Introduction, the main topic of this work focuses on single chain magnetism and therefore, especially the chain compound 2 is of interest. However, for completeness we also measured the magnetic susceptibility of the discrete complex 1 as a function of temperature $T$ in the temperature range 2–300 K. The $\chi T$ vs. $T$ dependence for 1 is shown in Fig. S9.† The value of $\chi T$ monotonically decreases with decreasing temperature starting from 3.16 emu mol$^{-1}$ at 290 K and ending at 1.77 K emu mol$^{-1}$ at 2.0 K. This behavior is well described by the simultaneous action of the spin-orbit coupling and the axial crystal field. The fit and fit parameters are given in Fig. S9.†

For the chain compound 2 the magnetic susceptibility was measured in the temperature range 2–300 K. The $\chi(T)$ dependence is presented in Fig. 4. It has a sharp maximum at 3.9 K characteristic for an antiferromagnetic transition. In the inset the temperature dependence of the $\chi T$ product is shown. The room temperature value of $\chi T$ equals 3.3 emu K mol$^{-1}$ and is much greater than the spin only value 1.87, expected for $s = 3/2$, pointing to the orbital contribution in Co(II) which is also responsible for a small decrease of $\chi T$ with decreasing temperature (not seen in the scale of the figure). However, below about 20 K a strong increase of $\chi T$ is observed due to ferromagnetic intrachain interactions. Thus, the above mentioned antiferromagnetic transition can only be caused by weak antiferromagnetic interchain interactions.

For quasi one-dimensional anisotropic magnetic systems the temperature dependence of $\chi T$ is often presented in the form of $\ln \chi T$ vs. reciprocal temperature plot (Fig. S10 in the ESI†). The slope of the linear part of this plot $\Delta \chi/k_B = 11.9$ K equals the energy for the creation of a domain wall and is a measure of the intrachain exchange interaction $J$. The value of $J$ may be estimated from the relation $\Delta \chi = 2s^2J k_B$ thus, assuming $s = 1/2$ one obtains $J/k_B \sim 23.8$ K. The rounding down near the maximum may indicate antiferromagnetic interchain interactions. This behavior may be well described by the Ising Hamiltonian adequate for linear chain of Co(II) ions in an axially distorted octahedral coordination

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_i \hat{s}_i^z \hat{s}_{i+1}^z + \mu_B \sum_i H \cdot \hat{g}_i \cdot \hat{s}_i,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the effective spin 1/2 is assumed at low temperatures in agreement with the Kramers doublet ground state of Co(II). The expression for susceptibility derived for $H \sim 0$ has the form

$$\chi_{\text{chain}} = \frac{N_A \mu_B^2 g_{||}^2}{4k_B T} \exp \left(\frac{-J}{2k_B T}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $g_{||}$ is the $g$-factor for $H$ parallel to the easy axis of anisotropy. The perpendicular component of susceptibility $\chi_{\perp}^{\text{chain}}$ is negligible at low temperatures. The interchain interaction $\chi'_{\perp}$ may be accounted for in the mean field approximation

$$\chi_{\|} = \frac{\chi_{\text{chain}}}{1 - \chi'_{\|} \chi_{\|}^2 / (N_A g_{||}^2 \mu_B^2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and susceptibility averaged for a powder sample calculated as

$$\chi = \chi_{\|} / 3 + \chi_{\text{up}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The term $\chi_{\text{up}}$ takes into account the increasing population of excited Kramers doublets of single Co(II) centers at elevated temperatures. Fitting such a model into experimental data in the 5–30 K temperature range leads to the following values: $J = 29.8(2)$ K, $\chi'_{\|} = -0.43(2)$ K, $g_{||} = 7.2(1)$ and $\chi_{\text{up}} = 0.034(1)$ emu mol$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4).

Such small interchain interaction $\chi'_{\perp}$ may be easily overcome by a magnetic field. To show this effect, the temperature...
dependent magnetic susceptibility was measured in various magnetic fields. The data obtained prove that a metamagnetic transition occurs between 200 and 400 Oe (Fig. 5). It is noted that the fields given in the figure refer to the external fields and therefore, at 300 Oe an antiferromagnetic-like maximum is still visible especially, considering the fact that the sample is powdered and not all crystallites undergo metamagnetic transition at the same field.\(^\text{64}\)

This transition is also clearly visible in field dependent magnetization measured at 2.0 K (Fig. 6). The rapid increase of \(M\) below 2 kOe is followed by a slower increase up to \(2.4\mu_B\) at 90 kOe. There is no saturation, seemingly because of the high anisotropy of Co(II) ions. No hysteresis loop is observed. The inset in Fig. 6 shows \(M(H)\) in the vicinity of the metamagnetic transition. The critical field \(H_c = 258 \pm 5\) Oe at 2.0 K was determined from crossing of tangents to \(M(H)\) curve below and above the transition. The values for \(H_c\) at higher temperatures were determined in the same way and used for the construction of the magnetic phase diagram (Fig. 7). The additional points at higher temperatures were taken from the DC measurements at different fields (Fig. 5).

Additional temperature dependent DC measurements were performed under the field cooling (FC) and zero-field cooling (ZFC) regimes. Only a small bifurcation between FC and ZFC curves in the limits of experimental uncertainties was observed, which does not clearly prove the presence of long time magnetic relaxations (Fig. S11 in the ESI\(^\ddagger\)).

**AC study.** Fig. 8 presents the temperature dependent AC magnetic susceptibility measured with the AC field amplitude...
of 5 Oe for various frequencies in a small DC field of 5 Oe. The measurements in higher DC fields: an intermediate field 360 Oe just above \( H_c \) and 1000 Oe far above \( H_c \) were also done for comparison. At 5 Oe a maximum at 3.89 K is observed in the \( \chi ' \) curve that does not depend on frequency and originates from the antiferromagnetic phase transition. At lower temperatures the frequency dispersion appears in the antiferromagnetic state. However, the \( \chi '' \) component is observed in the wider temperature range up to 4.5 K. The \( \chi '' \) maxima depend on frequency and show a temperature shift of their \( T_m \) positions. The Mydosh parameter \( \varphi \) determined according to equation

\[
\varphi = \Delta T_m/[T_m \Delta (\log f)].
\]

is equal to 0.14, which is in the range expected for superparamagnets or single chain magnets. Above the critical field strong relaxations are observed. The \( \chi ''(T) \) maxima change their character and are no more a sign of the antiferromagnetic transition. This behavior is characteristic for the mixed phase in which 3D ferromagnetic domains appear.\(^{64-66}\) Therefore, it cannot be described by a single relaxation process. In a still higher field the mixed phase fades and the chains are nearly decoupled. Because the object of our interest is single chain magnets, in the following we focus only on the relaxation behavior that is observed in fields below \( H_c \).

In Fig. 9 frequency dependent AC susceptibility measured at various temperatures in DC field of 5 Oe is presented. All frequency dependencies can be well described by the generalized Debye model with one mean relaxation time according to the equation

\[
\chi = \chi ' - i \chi '' = \chi_\infty + \frac{\chi_0 - \chi_\infty}{1 + (i 2 \pi f \tau)^{\alpha}},
\]

where \( \chi_0 \) and \( \chi_\infty \) are AC susceptibilities in the limit of zero and infinite frequency, respectively, \( \tau \) is the mean relaxation time,

\( f \) is the frequency of the AC field, \( \alpha \) is a parameter related to the width of the relaxation time distribution (\( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1; \alpha = 0 \) means a unique relaxation time, \( \alpha = 1 \) means an infinity of relaxations times).

The table with the best fit parameters is given in the ESI (Table S3). As seen in the table, \( \tau \) strongly increases with decreasing temperature. The simultaneous increase of \( \alpha \) means that the width of relaxation time distribution increases with decreasing temperature, which should be caused by interchain interactions. The Cole–Cole plots, which present \( \chi'' \) vs. \( \chi' \) dependence are shown in Fig. 10. They are an arc of circles which are shifted down with respect to the \( \chi' \) axis according to the non-zero \( \alpha \) parameter.

The temperature dependence of \( \tau \) is shown in Fig. 11 as a plot of \( \ln \tau \) vs. \( 1/T \), from which the energy barrier \( \Delta E \) and prefactor \( \tau_0 \) in the Arrhenius equation

\[
\tau = \tau_0 \exp(\Delta E/k_B T)
\]

can be determined. Their values are: \( \Delta E/k_B = 37.1 \pm 0.5 \) K and \( \tau_0 = (9.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-11} \) s.

**Discussion of the magnetic properties**

Compound 2, built from ferromagnetic Co-(NCS)$_2$-Co chains, shows an antiferromagnetic transition at a Néel temperature of 3.8 K. It undergoes a metamagnetic transition in the field of \(~260 \) Oe. The magnetic relaxations existing in the antiferromagnetic state are well described with one mean relaxation
time and a single energy barrier for thermal activation $\Delta E/k_B = 37.1$ K, which may originate from single chain relaxations.\(^6\)

According to Coulon et al.,\(^7\) the activation energy of the relaxation time may be discussed using the following equation

$$\Delta E = k\Delta\xi + \Delta\lambda,$$

where $\Delta\xi$ is the creation energy of the domain wall (here equal to $J/2$), $k$ is a factor equal to 1 or 2, depending on whether we are dealing with finite or infinite chains, respectively, and $\Delta\lambda$ is the activation energy of a single uncoupled anisotropic spin.

Assuming $k = 1$, a $\Delta\lambda$ value of 22.6 K is obtained for compound 2. Thus the Glauber criterion $\Delta\lambda > \Delta\xi$ is satisfied for Co(NCS)$_2$(CLBP)$_2$ chains in accordance with their Ising nature.

A crossover from $k = 1$ to $k = 2$ is expected with increasing temperature around $T^*$, that can be related to the chain length $n$ (ref. 27)

$$2n\exp(-2Js^2/k_BT^*) = 1.$$  

However no change of slope (change of $\Delta E$) is visible in Fig. 11. If $k = 1$ and all points in the figure are below $T^*$, $1/T^* < 0.29$ K$^{-1}$, then $n < 50$ Co atoms for our sample of 2. It is an acceptable limit.

As mentioned in the Introduction compound 2 is a member of a family of compounds we have investigated in the past. All of these compounds of the general composition [Co(NCS)$_2$(L$_2$)$_n$ (L = co-ligand)] consist of octahedrally coordinated Co(II) cations that are linked into chains by pairs of thiocyanato anions. When the magnetic properties of these compounds are compared always similar values for the intrachain interactions must come into play.

The second group consists of compounds with a ferromagnetic ground state. For these two compounds with 4(3-phenylpropyl)pyridine (PPP) and 4-acetylpyridine (Acpy) as co-ligands, a relatively small Mydosh parameter is observed indicating spin glass-like behaviour (Table 2). Interestingly, for the Acpy compound the frequency dependent AC data can be fitted using the Debye model but in this case relatively high values of $\alpha$ and $\Delta E/k_B$ are obtained together with much smaller $\tau_0$ value, which clearly shows that this compound behaves differently from that with the AF ground state. Moreover, temperature dependent AC data obtained for the compound with PPP lead to an unrealistic small $\tau_0$, so obtained $\Delta E$

Table 1 Selected magnetic parameters for compound 2 and related 1D compounds of composition [Co(NCS)$_2$(L)$_2$]$_n$ with L = 4-ethylpyridine (Etty), 4-acetylpyridine (Acpy), 4-(3-phenylpropyl)pyridine (PPP), 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)-ethylene (bpe) and 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)-ethane (bpa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Etty</th>
<th>bpe</th>
<th>bpa</th>
<th>bpa</th>
<th>Acpy</th>
<th>PPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_c$ (Oe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T$_c$ (K)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi$/$k_B$ (K)</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E/k_B$ (K)</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_0$ (s)</td>
<td>9.5 x 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>2 x 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>1.2 x 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>1.2 x 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>6 x 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>10$^{-19}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\lambda/k_B$ (K)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E/k_B$ (K)</td>
<td>0.09-0.37</td>
<td>0.1-0.4</td>
<td>0.10-0.46</td>
<td>0.06-0.41</td>
<td>0.4-0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameters $\chi$ and $\alpha$ given in the table differ slightly from the values given in ref. 59–62. This is due to different models used to fit $\chi(T)$ in some of these reports, particularly different definitions of $\chi$ and the temperature range used in the fitting procedures. To be able to compare directly between $\chi$ parameters for all compounds of this family, we estimated the parameters $\chi$ and $\alpha$ again, fitting $\chi(T)$ data, but using the identical model as for 2. For better comparison the value if $\Delta\lambda$ for the compound with Etty was recalculated assuming $k = 1$. Thus, it deviates from that given in ref. 60 where arbitrarily $k = 2$ was selected. $J$ = intrachain interaction; $\chi$ = interchain interaction; $\alpha$ = critical temperature; AF = antiferromagnetic ground state; FO = ferromagnetic ground state; $H_c$ = critical field; $\Delta E$ = Arrenius law energy; $\tau_0$ = prefactor; $\Delta\lambda$ = see eqn (8); $\alpha$ = distribution of the relaxation times determined at different temperatures; $\varphi$ = Mydosh parameter.
Table 2  Selected crystal data and details on the structure determination of compounds 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{40}Cl_{4}CoN_{6}S_{2}</td>
<td>C_{26}H_{40}Cl_{4}CoN_{6}S_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_{W}/g mol⁻¹</td>
<td>989.73</td>
<td>582.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{x}(g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>1.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ/µm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>1.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>18.8098(13)</td>
<td>9.0456(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>9.5685(5)</td>
<td>10.8405(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>27.6126(17)</td>
<td>14.9413(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>73.3930(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>106.867(7)</td>
<td>83.37(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>66.336(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>4756.0(5)</td>
<td>3049.0(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/°</td>
<td>293(2)</td>
<td>200(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan range 2θ/°</td>
<td>2.26 to 25.97</td>
<td>2.10 to 26.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured refl.</td>
<td>20774</td>
<td>18952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refl. [F₀ &gt; 4σ(F₀)]</td>
<td>3894</td>
<td>4342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁ [F₀ &gt; 4σ(F₀)]</td>
<td>0.0357</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₁ [all data]</td>
<td>0.0946</td>
<td>0.1021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>1.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δρ_{max}/e Å⁻³</td>
<td>0.428 and −0.394</td>
<td>0.380 and −0.437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The type of the magnetic ground state is due to different arrangements of the anisotropic Co chains in the crystal structures, which lead to different dipolar interactions changing the sign of zJ. The basis for the simulations of dipolar interactions and expected ground state is however single ion Co anisotropy and its direction, which is not obvious. To gain such information magnetic investigations with a single crystal would be desirable.

Conclusions

In the present contribution a new thiocyanato compound with the relatively large co-ligand 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine was prepared, in which the Co(n) cations are linked by pairs of thiocyanato anions into chains. This new compound is a member of a family of compounds that we have recently investigated to examine the influence of a chemical and a structural modification on the magnetic properties in detail. For some of these compounds the magnetic properties can be discussed as a single chain magnetic behavior, while for some other compounds this seems to be inadequate. Within this family, compound 2 presented in this report, in spite of undergoing an antiferromagnetic transition, most clearly demonstrates the relaxation behavior characteristic for single chain magnets.

In this context it is noted that the stem compound Co(NCS)₂(pyridine)₂ mentioned in the Introduction obviously also exhibits a ferromagnetic ground state but shows relaxations which were interpreted as SCM relaxations on the basis of the Mydosh parameter, α and the analysis of the relaxation times. In that work these parameters were determined by a different procedure and the temperature dependence of α was not investigated and therefore, these results cannot be compared here. However, in the light of the results of this work the question arises how this compound can be classified and what the real nature of its relaxations is. Consequently, these questions must be answered in future investigations.

Experimental section

Co(NCS)₂ and 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (ClBP) were obtained from Alfa Aesar. The solvents were used without further purification. The crystalline powders of all compounds were prepared by stirring the reactants in the respective solvents at room temperature. The purity of the compounds was checked by X-ray powder diffraction and elemental analysis.

Synthesis of Co(NCS)₂(4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine)₂ (1)

Single crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were prepared using Co(NCS)₂ (26.3 mg, 0.15 mmol) and 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (105.4 µL, 0.60 mmol) in 1.5 mL acetonitrile. A crystalline powder was synthesized by stirring Co(NCS)₂ (175.1 mg, 1.00 mmol) and 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (702.3 µL, 4.00 mmol) in 5 mL acetonitrile for 6 d. Yield: 85% elemental analysis calecd (%) for C_{26}H_{40}Cl_{4}CoN_{6}S_{2}: C 56.67, H 4.07, N 8.49; found C 59.33, H 4.24, N 8.31, S 6.89. IR (ATR): v_{max} = 3075 (w), 3039 (w), 2915 (w), 2064 (s), 2084 (s), 1615 (s), 1558 (m), 1488 (s), 1422 (s), 1348 (s), 1311 (w), 1222 (m), 1091 (m), 1048 (m), 1014 (s), 923 (w), 855 (s), 805 (s), 799 (s), 733 (m), 714 (m), 675 (w), 592 (s), 490 (s), 423 (m).

Synthesis of [Co(NCS)₂(4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine)]₂ (2)

Single crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were prepared using Co(NCS)₂ (52.5 mg, 0.30 mmol) and 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (26.4 µL, 0.15 mmol) in 1.5 mL methanol. A crystalline powder was synthesized by stirring Co(NCS)₂ (175.1 mg, 1.00 mmol) and 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine (989.7 mg, 5.00 mmol) in 5 mL water for 3 d. Yield: 77% elemental analysis calecd (%) for C_{26}H_{40}Cl_{4}CoN_{6}S_{2}: C 53.62, H 3.46, N...
Formed against methods using SHELXS-97 and structure refinement was performed and were refined isotropically with $U_{eq}$(C) (1.5 for methyl H). Selected crystal data and details of the structure determinations are given in Table 2.

Elemental analysis

CHNS analysis was performed using an EURO EA elemental analyzer fabricated by EURO VECTOR Instruments.

IR-spectroscopy

All IR data were obtained using an ATI Mattson Genesis Series FTIR Spectrometer, control software: WINFIRST, from ATI Mattson.

Differential thermal analysis and thermogravimetry (DTA-TG)

The DTA-TG measurements were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere (purity: 5.0) in Al$_2$O$_3$ crucibles using a STA-409CD instrument from Netzsch. All measurements were performed with a flow rate of 75 mL min$^{-1}$ and were corrected for buoyancy and current effects. The instrument was calibrated using standard reference materials.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

The SEM measurements were performed with a Philips Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope ESEM XL30, which is equipped with an EDAX detector.

Magnetic measurements

Magnetic measurements were performed using a PPMS (Physical Property Measurement System) from Quantum Design. All AC data were obtained with the amplitude of the AC field equal to 5 Oe. The data were corrected for core diamagnetism.

X-Ray powder diffraction (XRPD)

The measurements were performed using (1) a PANalytical X’Pert Pro MPD Reflection Powder Diffraction System with CuK$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 154.0598$ pm) equipped with a PIXcel semiconductor detector from PANalytical and (2) a Stoe Transmission Powder Diffraction System (STADI P) with CuK$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 154.0598$ pm) that was equipped with a linear position-sensitive detector from STOE & CIE.

Single crystal structure analysis

The data collection was performed with imaging plate diffraction systems (STOE IPDS-1 (1) and STOE IPDS-2 (2)) using MoK$\alpha$ radiation. The structure solution was done with direct methods using SHELXS-97 and structure refinement was performed against $F^2$ using SHELXL-97. For both compounds a numerical absorption correction was applied using X-red and X-shape of the Program Package X-area. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined isotropically with $U_{eq}$(H) = −1.2$U_{eq}$(C) (1.5 for methyl H). Selected crystal data and details of the structure determinations are given in Table 2.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project No. Na 720/5-1) and the State of Schleswig-Holstein. We thank Prof. Dr Wolfgang Bensch for access to his experimental facilities. Z. T. thanks National Science Centre Poland for financial support granted under decision DEC-2013/11/B/ST3/03799.

References