New thiocyanate-free ruthenium(II) sensitizers with different pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligands for dye-sensitized solar cells†
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The synthesis of two new thiocyanate free Ru(II) complexes with different pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligands is reported, along with their electrochemical, spectroscopic and theoretical characterization. The corresponding dye-sensitized solar cell devices were prepared, leading to 3.4% conversion efficiency, promising data, considering the simplicity of the ligands and the high chemical stability of the complexes.

Introduction

Although tetrazoles have been known for over a century, there is a continuous and considerable interest in this field.2–4 Important developments include the patented use of tetrazole in air bags5 and pharmaceutical applications.6 Since the first tetrazole complex was discovered by Bladin,1 tetrazole functional groups have been extensively used in various fields of chemistry such as medicinal chemistry and materials science. Owing to their multiple N-donor atoms and various coordination modes, tetrazole and its derivatives have potential importance as functional ligands in coordination chemistry and crystal engineering.7–11 To the best of our knowledge, pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligands have been used in Ru dyes for DSSCs only in one work, recently reported by some of us,12 although they have been used in the last decade for the preparation of luminescent organometallic complexes for OLEDs,13,14 as ligands for polymeric coordination materials15 and lanthanide ions, as contrast agents.16

The use of renewable energy sources instead of fossil fuel is a necessity for humanity. The Sun is the greenest and cheapest source of energy.17,18 The world’s energy challenges can be overcome by harnessing the Sun’s power with photovoltaic technologies. Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have been extensively studied as sunlight-to-electricity conversion systems19,20 with power conversion efficiencies exceeding the value of 12%.21

The photosensitizer dye plays a key role in DSSCs, absorbing the solar light and promoting, at the interface between a mesoporous high bandgap semiconductor and a charge transport layer (the redox couple, for example I–/I3–), the formation of an electron–hole pair, which is then separated, transported, and collected at the electrodes. The semiconductor used is very often TiO2, in fact between its various uses22,23 nowadays, TiO2 nanoparticles have found their principal application in DSSCs. Very recently, the development of perovskite-sensitized solar cells24 appears to have overcome some limits of classical DSSCs, leading to solid-state solar cells with efficiencies of more than 19%.25

The most commonly used photosensitizers in solution-processed classical DSSCs are 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy) Ru(II) complexes with two thiocyanate (NCS) ligands, such as cis-di-(thiocyanato)bis(bpy-4,4′-dicarboxylate)ruthenium(II) (N3 and N719).26,27 A limitation of such Ru(II) complexes is the presence of monodentate NCS ancillary ligands that can be easily replaced by other competing ligands in the electrolyte, yielding less efficient species. Often, 4-tert-butylpyridine is used as an additive in the electrolyte solution,26,28 but NCS-based Ru dyes30 may release a thiocyanate ligand by ligand exchange with 4-tert-butylpyridine or with the solvent. The efficiency of N719-4-tert-butylpyridine complex compared to N719 in a similar DSSC is 50% lower due to ca. 30 nm blue shift in its optical absorption spectrum.21 Clearly it is crucial to develop thiocyanate-free Ru sensitizers.

Cyclometallated Ru complexes are an alternative for classical NCS-Ru dyes in DSSCs. In fact cyclometallating ligands give stability to complexes often used for organic light-emitting
diodes (OLEDs)\textsuperscript{32–34} or organic light-emitting electrochemical cells.\textsuperscript{35–39} Graetzel\textsuperscript{,40} Berlinguette\textsuperscript{,41,42} van Koten\textsuperscript{43} and our team\textsuperscript{44–46} have studied Ru complexes with cyclometallated ligands for DSSCs. In addition to cyclometallated ligands other bidentate ligands were used instead of thiocyanates, for example oxoquinolate,\textsuperscript{47,48} pyridyl pyrazole, pyridyl triazole,\textsuperscript{49–52} and dipyrinamine.\textsuperscript{53,54}

The stronger electron withdrawing character of the tetrazolate ligand with respect to phenylpyridine can increase the oxidation potential of Ru(II) complexes. This observation, together with our previous work,\textsuperscript{12} prompted us to synthesize thiocyanate-free Ru dyes with different pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligands for DSSCs (see Fig. 1).

The aim of this work is to study the effects of different R substituents on the spectroscopic and electrochemical behavior of bis-heteroleptic Ru complexes of general formula [Ru(5-(4-R-2-pyridyl)tetrazolate)(dcbpy)]\textsuperscript{2+} and their application as sensitizers in DSSCs (dcbpy = 2,2′-bipyridine-4,4′-dicarboxylic acid). Complex 2 was synthesized by introducing on the pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligand a mesomeric π-delocalizing group, R = Ph, while complex 3 was functionalized with an electron withdrawing substituent, R = Cl (see Fig. 1). The introduction of the Ph and Cl substituents should serve respectively (i) to increase the absorptivity of dye 2 with respect to the complex 1, exploiting the higher π-delocalization offered by the phenyl group, in order to improve the light harvesting capability of the sensitized photoanode; (ii) to increase the driving force for the dye regeneration by the redox mediator (in this work I\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}/I\textsubscript{2} couple) making the Ru-based dye more difficult to oxidize by introducing the electron withdrawing Cl atom used to positively shift the oxidation half-wave potential, \( E_{1/2} = O + E_{1/2}(dye+/dye) \) of the complex. These two features should render 2 and 3 as more efficient sensitizers than the already published parent complex 1.

### Experimental

#### Materials

All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed under argon. \(^1\)H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz using a Bruker AVANCE-400 instrument. Chemical shifts (\( \delta \)) for \(^1\)H spectra are expressed in ppm relative to internal Me\textsubscript{4}Si as standard. Signals were abbreviated as s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. Mass spectra were recorded using a FT-ICR Mass Spectrometer APEX II and Xmass software (Bruker Daltonics) – 4.7 Magnet and Autospec Fission Spectrometer (FAB ionization). Electronic absorption spectra were recorded using a Jasco V-530 spectrophotometer. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out with pre-coated Merck F\textsubscript{254} silica gel plates. Flash chromatography (FC) was carried out with Macherey-Nagel silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh).

Complex 1 was synthesized as reported by some of us in a previous work.\textsuperscript{12} The ruthenium precursor, [RuCl\textsubscript{2}(dcbpy)]\textsuperscript{2+} (dcbpy = 4,4′-dicarboxylic acid-2,2′-bipyridine) was prepared according to the literature.\textsuperscript{55}

The new dyes were synthesized involving two steps: (i) preparation of the Ru(II) precursor, [RuCl\textsubscript{2}(dcbpy)]\textsuperscript{2+}; (ii) substitution of the two chlorine ions in [RuCl\textsubscript{2}(dcbpy)]\textsuperscript{2+} with 5-(4-R-2-pyridyl)tetrazolate ligand in methanol [R = Phenyl (2), Cl (3)].

### Synthesis of 5-(4-phenyl-2-pyridyl)tetrazole

5-(4-Phenyl-2-pyridyl)tetrazole was obtained, following a procedure reported in the literature,\textsuperscript{56} by refluxing 4-phenyl-2-pyridinecarbonitrile (1.66 mmol, 300.0 mg), sodium azide (1.83 mmol, 119.0 mg) and zinc bromide (1.66 mmol, 373.8 mg) in 4 mL of water for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling, NaOH (4.15 mmol, 166.0 mg) was added and then the mixture was filtered and acidified with HCl (37% weight) until a precipitate was formed. Finally, the white solid was filtered and washed with water (277.9 mg, 75% yield).

\(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz; DMSO) \( \delta \) ppm: 8.87 (d, \( J = 5.14 \) Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, \( J = 7.03 \) Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, \( J = 7.03 \) Hz, 2H), 7.61–7.53 (m, 1H). Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{10}N\textsubscript{5}: C, 64.56; H, 4.06; N, 31.37; found C, 64.60; H, 4.05; N, 31.39. FAB\textsuperscript{+}: 224 m/z.

### Synthesis of the complex \([\text{Ru}(5-(4-\text{phenyl}-2-\text{pyridyl})\text{tetrazolate})\text{(dcbpy)}]\textsubscript{2}\text{Cl}^{-}\)

In a two-neck flask containing [RuCl\textsubscript{2}(dcbpy)]\textsubscript{2} (0.07 mmol, 45.0 mg) in methanol (20 mL), 5-(4-phenyl-2-pyridyl)tetrazole ligand (0.14 mmol, 30.3 mg) was added and the mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The obtained orange/red suspension was filtered and the filtrate was dried \textit{in vacuo}. Then it was washed with CH\textsubscript{3}Cl to remove the free ligand which is in excess and finally was dried to obtain an orange solid (256.9 mg, 48% yield).

\(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz; MeOD) \( \delta \) ppm: 9.13 (d, \( J = 6.19 \) Hz, 2H), 9.09 (d, \( J = 6.19 \) Hz, 2H), 8.79 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, \( J = 5.69 \) Hz 1H), 8.09 (d, \( J = 5.69 \) Hz, 2H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, \( J = 7.03 \) Hz, 2H), 7.61–7.53 (m, 1H). Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{9}N\textsubscript{5}Cl\textsubscript{2}: C, 64.60; H, 4.05; N, 31.39. FAB\textsuperscript{−}: 224 m/z.
Synthesis of 5-(4-chloro-2-pyridyl)tetrazole

5-(4-Chloro-2-pyridyl)tetrazole was obtained, following a procedure reported in the literature,66 by refluxing 4-chloro-2-pyridinecarbonitrile (3.60 mmol, 500.0 mg), sodium azide (3.97 mmol, 257.9 mg) and zinc bromide (3.60 mmol, 810.7 mg) in 7 mL of water for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling, NaOH (9.0 mmol, 360.0 mg) was added and then the mixture was filtered and acidified with HCl (37% weight) until a precipitate was formed. Finally, the white solid was filtered and washed with water (503.2 mg, 77% yield).

\[ \text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{ClN}_5: \text{C}, 39.69; \text{H}, 2.22; \text{N}, 38.57; \text{found C}, 36.71; \text{H}, 2.84; \text{N}, 14.90. \text{FAB}^+: 812 \text{ m/z.} \]

Electrochemical measurements

Staircase cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) experiments were carried out in a three-electrode minicell containing 2 mL of a well deaerated solution (by bubbling nitrogen) of 1–3 compounds dissolved in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous, ≥99.8%) in the presence of 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF6, Fluka, ≥98.0%) as the supporting electrolyte. Measurements were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT128N potentiostat/galvanostat (EcoChemie, The Netherlands) managed by a PC with GPES software; a glassy carbon disk (Metrohm, geometric area 0.071 cm²) was used as the working electrode, in combination with a platinum sheet and an aqueous calomel (SCE) as the counter and the operating reference electrodes respectively. The SCE electrode was inserted into a glass jacket, ending with a porous frit, filled with the same electrolyte used in the cell (DMF + TBAPF6 0.1 M) in order to minimize the leakage of water and additional chloride ions in the working solution. An instrumental compensation of the resistance (i.e. positive feedback) was carefully performed in order to minimize the ohmic drop between the working and the reference electrodes. The recorded potentials were subsequently referred to as the interosolvant redox reference couple Fc+/Fc (ferrocenium/ferroene)64 used as an external standard (ca. 10⁻³ M) at the end of the daily measures \( (E_{1/2}(\text{Fc}+/\text{Fc}) \approx 0.48 \text{V(SCE)} \) under our reaction conditions).

The experimental parameters for the differential pulse measurements were the following: 5 s of equilibration time were the starting potential, 0.05 s modulation time, 0.05 V modulation amplitude, 0.1 s interval time, 0.005 V step potential (i.e. 0.05 V s⁻¹ scan rate); the staircase CVs were registered at a scan rate ranging between 0.05 and 2 V s⁻¹ and 0.001 V as the step potential. Half-wave potentials of the dyes, \( E_{1/2}(\text{dye}+/\text{dye}) \) were derived by two subsequent and independent measures from the corresponding DPV patterns as \( E_{1/2}(\text{dye}+/\text{dye}) = E_{II} \pm \Delta E/2, \) where \( E_{II} \) is the oxidation peak potential attributed to the ruthenium-centred process (see the Results and discussion section for more details) and \( \Delta E \) is the modulation amplitude.65

Solar cell fabrication and characterization

FTO glass (TEC-15, 2.2 mm thickness, Solaronix) was used for transparent conducting electrodes. The substrate was first cleaned in an ultrasonic bath using a detergent solution, acetone and ethanol respectively (each step 15 min long). The FTO glass plates were immersed into a 40 mM aqueous TiCl₄ solution at 70 °C for 30 min and washed with water and ethanol. A double layer of TiO₂ paste (transparent + scattering layer, Dyesol) was spread on the FTO glass plates by using a doctor blade. The TiO₂ layer was treated in an ethanol chamber and dried for 5 min at 120 °C. The TiO₂ coated electrodes (active area 0.2 cm²) were gradually heated under air flow at 325 °C for 5 min, at 375 °C for 5 min, at 450 °C for 15 min, and 500 °C for 15 min. After the sintering process, the TiO₂ film was treated with 40 mM TiCl₄ solution, then rinsed.
with water and ethanol. The electrodes were heated at 500 °C for 30 min and after cooling (80 °C) were immersed for 20 h into sensitizing baths. These consisted of EtOH/DMSO (20 : 1) solutions of the dyes in 0.2 mM concentration with 0.01 M 3a,7a-dihydroxy-5b-cholic acid (CDCA) added. Counter electrodes were prepared by coating with a drop of H2PtCl6 solution (2 mg of Pt in 1 mL of ethanol) on a FTO plate (TEC 15/2.2 mm thickness, Solaronix) and heating at 400 °C for 15 min. The TiO2 sensitized photoanodes and Pt counter electrodes were assembled in a sealed sandwich-type cell by a hot-melt ionomer film (Surlyn, 25 μm thickness, Dyesol). The electrolyte solution consisted of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium iodide, iodine, guanidinium thiocyanate, and tert-butylypyridine in valeronitrile/acetonitrile (IOLITECH ES-004-HP) with LiI 0.1 M added. The electrolyte solution was introduced by vacuum backfilling. Then, the hole was sealed by using an additional Surlyn patch and a cover glass and finally a conductive Ag-based paint was deposited at the electrical contacts. Photovoltaic measurements were recorded by means of AM 1.5 solar simulator equipped with a xenon lamp (LOT-ORIEL LS 0106). The power of the incoming radiation, set at 100 mW cm−2, was checked by a pyranometer. J–V curves were obtained by applying an external bias to the cell and measuring the generated photocurrent with a Keithley model 2400 digital source-meter, under the control of dedicated LabTracer 2.0 software. A black shading mask was employed to avoid the overestimation of the measured parameters.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Complex 1 was synthesized as reported by some of us in a previous work.12 The ruthenium precursor, [RuCl2(dcbpy)2], was prepared according to the literature.55

The new dyes were synthesized involving two steps: (i) preparation of the Ru(II) precursor, [RuCl2(dcbpy)2]; (ii) substitution of the two chloride ions in [RuCl2(dcbpy)2] with 5-(4-tert-butylpyridine)-tetrazole ligand in methanol [R = Phenyl (2), Cl (3)] (Scheme 1).

The chemical stability of the complexes in acetonitrile solution was tested at reflux temperature (82 °C) for 24 h with a 100 : 1 (mol : mol) excess of 4-tert-butylypyridine (a commonly used additive in DSSC electrolytes) with respect to the Ru complex.

For comparison an analogous test was performed on the N719 complex. This procedure was inspired by previous studies by Lund et al.30,66 investigating the stability of commonly employed ruthenium dyes, such as N719 and Z907, toward substitution of the thiocyanate ligands with pyridine-based additives or solvent molecules, both in homogeneous solutions and in colloidal mixtures of dyed TiO2 nanoparticles. In later studies,31,67 they correlated the dye degradation to the device’s efficiency losses, finding that high temperatures (~80 °C) lead to performance decay, even in the dark, due to ligand exchange reactions. In line with previous results, FAB+ mass spectrometry confirmed that N719 underwent, at least partially, substitution of NCS ligands with 4-tert-butylypyridine, whereas our Ru complexes were inert to the presence of the competitive ligand (see ESI†).

Furthermore it has to be noted that pyrid-2-yl pirazolate (or triazolate) Ru-based dyes have shown promising stability in working devices under 1000 h−1 Sun light soaking, at 60 °C.49,51 These results therefore suggest that the newly designed dyes could show possible improvements concerning the stability compared to the thiocyanate-bearing ruthenium sensitizers.

Electrochemical and optical characterization

The electrochemical and optical properties of our complexes were investigated.

In Table 1 the main absorption bands of the sensitizers are listed, while in Fig. 2 a comparison between the experimental and calculated absorption spectra in DMSO solution is reported.

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of all the three compounds are essentially characterized by three main bands, localized at about 490, 440 and 380 nm. Complex 2 presents the most promising optical properties, having the highest extinction coefficient (11 200 M−1 cm−1 for the lowest energy absorption band), due to the extended π-conjugation guaranteed by the phenyl group. The calculated absorption spectra are in good agreement with the experimental ones, with discrepancies of less than 0.12 eV for the main absorption band in the visible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)/ε (×103, M−1 cm−1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>496/16.1 440/6.5 377/9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>497/11.2 444/9.1 385/12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>492/8.9 441/6.4 378/9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
region. Also the experimental trend of the absorption maxima, showing a red shift moving from 3 to 1 and 2, is well reproduced by TDDFT at the employed calculation level (see ESI†). The experimental shoulder at about 440 nm is not observed in the calculated spectra, even if a transition essentially coincident with the absorption maxima is calculated. The main absorption bands in the visible region are characterized by MLCT transitions for all the complexes. HOMO orbitals are essentially Ru-centered, mixed with the tetrazole, and exhibit slight differences in their energy levels, depending on the substituents on the pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligand. LUMO and LUMO+1 are localized on the carboxylated bipyridine, and are essentially isoenergetic along the series (see Fig. 3 and ESI†).

The electrochemical characterization of complexes 1–3 (see Fig. 4) shows only small variations along the series according to the spectroscopic results. In particular the anodic window is characterized by two peaks clearly different in terms of current. The more intense signal at ca. 0.5 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc can be associated with the electrochemical oxidation of Cl⁻ counterion, characterized by a significant higher diffusion coefficient with respect to the complex cation which is in turn oxidized only at a more positive potential due to the electron withdrawing nature of the tetrazole-containing ligand which influences the electron transfer tentatively related to a Ru-based reaction.

The attribution of the two voltammetric signals was corroborated by different DPV tests (see ESI†). First of all we verified the coincidence in terms of both position and current intensity of the peak invariably recorded at ca. 0.5 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc in the solutions of complexes 1–3 with that obtained by adding to a
blank solution (DMF + TBAPF$_6$) an equimolar amount of TBACl which was chosen as an external source of chloride ions. This first correspondence was validated by recording voltammograms obtained at increasing amount of Cl$^-$ (spiking TBACl solution) added as the internal standard to solutions containing Ru complexes.

As anticipated the more positive oxidation peak at ca. 0.8 V vs. Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ is related to the metal-centered electron transfer process. In quite perfect qualitative agreement with theoretical HOMO energy values (Fig. 3) the half-wave potential is essentially invariant for 1 and 2 compounds, being $E_{1/2(dye/dye)} = 0.78$ V vs. Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$, while it is $E_{1/2(dye/dye)} = 0.81$ V vs. Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ for 3 in DMF solution. The slight positive shift of 0.03 V for complex 3 can be attributed to the electron withdrawing nature of the halogen atom on the pyrid-2-yl tetrazolate ligand, which can affect the electronic properties of the metal center. The positive displacement induced by the Cl atom on the $E_{1/2}$ of complex 3 is significantly lower with respect to the effect induced by the same substitution on a ferrocene molecule (0.03 V vs. 0.14 V, respectively). The related lower stabilization of the HOMO level for complex 3 can be tentatively attributed to the distance of the halogen atom from the redox site directly involved in the electron transfer, largely centered on the Ru atom and tetrazole ring as indicated by computational results. The $E_{1/2}$ of complexes 1–3 are invariably more positive than the value of the actual active couple $1^+$/1$^−$ ($E_{1/2(TiO_2)}$ ≈ 0.17 V vs. Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ in acetonitrile,$^{68,69}$ obtained considering $E_{1/2(Fe^3+/Fe^2+)} = 0.63$ V vs. NHE) involved in the dye reduction in iodine-based electrolyte in DSSCs. This results in a driving force of more than 0.6 V for the dye regeneration process. As a consequence the increase of 0.03 V in the half-wave potential of complex 3 with respect to its analogues 1 and 2 is probably too small to cause perceivable improvement in the regeneration process occurring in DSSC (see below).

### Photovoltaic data

The ruthenium complexes were finally tested in working devices. All the devices have been realized by using a double TiO$_2$ layer, a transparent and a scattering one. In our previous work$^{12}$ we demonstrated that the use of the CDCA coadsorbent (0.01 M) and the Li$_2$ electrolyte additive (0.1 M) was beneficial for the cell performance of dye 1, so we employed the same experimental conditions for the test of the new dyes. The photovoltaic data of realized devices are shown in Table 2 and in Fig. 5. A comparison with the reference N719 dye, measured in analogous fabrication conditions is also reported.

### Table 2 Photovoltaic data of realized devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (mV)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N719</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three investigated sensitizers show comparable efficiencies of more than 3%, while the reference N719 dye performs better (6.5% efficiency). The main differences in the photovoltaic parameters are in the smaller photocurrent, $J_{sc}$ values and are probably due to the different light harvesting capabilities of the tetrazole-based sensitizers with respect to the benchmark N719. All the new thiocyanate-free sensitizers show in fact less extended absorption spectra (Table 1 and Fig. 2) with respect to N719 dye (535 nm, 14 700 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for the main absorption band in the visible region).$^{71}$ The $J_{sc}$ values of the new dyes are about 40% lower compared to the N719-based devices, and this reflects well the discrepancy in the light absorption.

Focusing the photovoltaic data analysis on the three tetrazole-containing sensitizers 1–3, the results show that once again short-circuit current is the only cell parameter significantly modified along the series of nominally identical cells in which the only variation is related to the nature of the dye. In fact $J_{sc}$ exhibits a 14% maximum variation (from 7.21 mA cm$^{-2}$ with dye 3 to 8.20 mA cm$^{-2}$ with dye 2) compared to 4% and 1% for $V_{oc}$ and FF respectively (Table 2). This is in good agreement with expectation since both $V_{oc}$ and FF are primarily affected by the electrolyte composition (e.g. redox couple, additives, solvent) that in contrast was kept constant in all measurements. Moreover photocurrent values, and also efficiencies, follow the trend of the absorption maxima of the dyes (Tables 2 and 1 respectively). As anticipated computational results show some electronic structural similarities between dyes 1–3; in particular LUMOs of the three tetrazole-based sensitizers have essentially isoenergetic levels (Fig. 3) and are mainly localized on the carboxylated bipyridine moiety which is directly involved in the anchoring process to the TiO$_2$ surface. This allows us to tentatively consider the comparable efficiency of the electron injection process occurring from the excited charge-separated state of dyes 1–3 to the conduction band of the semiconductor, being induced by the same...
thermodynamic driving force. Coherently the higher $J_{sc}$ for the cell sensitized with 2 (and the related higher overall conversion efficiency of 3.4%) can be attributed, with a certain reasonableness, to the increased light harvesting related to the higher extinction coefficient of the more $\pi$-delocalized dye 2 with respect to its structural analogues 1 and 3. These results suggest that the photovoltaic performances of this class of easy-to-prepare thiocyanate-free dyes were improved by introducing a $\pi$-delocalizing substituent (i.e. a phenyl ring) and could potentially be further improved by extending the $\pi$-conjugation on the pyridyl-tetrazole ligand, and thus enhance the light harvesting ability of the sensitizers.

**Conclusions**

A remarkable increase of ca. 10% of the overall cell conversion efficiency was obtained by simply functionalizing the pyrid-2-yl tetrazole ligand of complex 1 with a phenyl group achieving ca. 3.4% efficiency with the new bis-heteroleptic Ru dye 2. The use of the highly $\pi$-delocalized 5-(4-phenyl-pyrid-2-yl)tetrazole increases the extinction coefficient of the corresponding complex 2 improving the solar light harvesting of the sensitized photoanode and enhancing the DSSCs efficiency. Surely the absorptivity of the sensitizer is only one of the numerous factors that define the cell efficiency. Nevertheless (i) the iso-energetic LUMO levels of dyes 1–3, and as a consequence the supposed comparable electron injection efficiency into TiO$_2$ film, and (ii) the almost complete invariance of cell parameters except for the photocurrent in DSSCs differ significantly, thus suggesting that the photovoltaic performances of this class of thiocyanate-free dyes achieving a better absorption spectrum, which should combine higher extinction coefficient (due to a more $\pi$-extended system) and red-shifted absorption (due to destabilization of the HOMO energy level).

In conclusion our work confirms the great potential of tetrazole Ru complexes as convenient DSSC sensitizers and gives useful guidelines for future work in this field. Computational, spectroscopic and electrochemical results suggest that the functionalization of the pyrid-2-yl-tetrazole scaffold with a $\pi$-conjugated group having also an electron releasing character could further improve performances of this class of thiocyanate-free dyes achieving a better absorption spectrum, which should combine higher extinction coefficient (due to a more $\pi$-extended system) and red-shifted absorption (due to destabilization of the HOMO energy level).
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