Synthesis and (spectro)electrochemistry of mixed-valent diferrocenyl–dihydrothiopyran derivatives†
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ted Three novel diferrocenyl complexes were prepared and characterised. 2,2-Diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran (1, sulphide) was accessible by the hetero-Diels–Alder reaction of diferrocenyl thioketone with 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene. Stepwise oxidation of 1 gave the respective oxides 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1-oxide (2, sulfoxide) and 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1,1-dioxide (3, sulfone), respectively. The molecular structures of 1 and 3 in the solid state were determined by single crystal X-ray crystallography. The oxidation of sulphide 1 to sulfone 3, plays only a minor role on the overall structure of the two compounds. Electrochemical (cyclic voltammetry (= CV), square wave voltammetry (= SWV)) and spectroelectrochemical (in situ UV-Vis/NIR spectroscopy) studies were carried out. The CV and SWV measurements showed that an increase of the sulphur atom oxidation from −2 in 1 to +2 in 3 causes an anodic shift of the ferrocenyl-based oxidation potentials of about 100 mV. The electrochemical oxidation of 1–3 generates mixed-valent cations $1^+–3^+$. These monooxodised species display low-energy electronic absorption bands between 1000 and 3000 nm assigned to IVCT (Intervale-Valence Charge Transfer) electronic transitions. Accordingly, the mixed-valent cations $1^+–3^+$ are classified as weakly coupled class II systems according to Robin and Day.

Introduction

Recently, mixed-valent (= MV) species have attracted considerable attention in particular in the field of molecular electronics as they offer the possibility to act as model compounds for molecular wires, switches and other electronic building blocks.1–15 Besides these foreseen technological applications MV compounds are used in electron transfer studies and are of key importance in biological systems.16–20 Ferrocenyl groups are often used in organometallic chemistry as redox-active terminal units, because ferrocene is thermally stable in its neutral and oxidised form.21 In addition, ferrocenyl/ferrocinium groups in mixed-valent species show an excellent electrochemical reversibility of the Fe(ii)/Fe(iii) redox couple, i.e. 2,5-diferrocenyl five-membered heterocycles.8,22–32 The electron transfer between the Fe(ii)/Fe(iii) centres, i.e. from the donor (Fe(ii)) to the acceptor (Fe(iii)) ion, manifests itself by the appearance of characteristic absorptions, i.e. IVCT (= Inter-Valence Charge Transfer) bands in the near infrared (NIR) spectral range.6,33 Two distinct modes of the electronic communication between the donor and acceptor metal centres in the MV state exist: “through bond” and “through space”.5,6,34 The “through bond” mechanism is characteristic for molecules in which π-conjugated connectivities are linking the two redox-active metal termini,5,6 while the “through space” mechanism requires a close proximity of the interacting centres.34 Depending on the degree of the electronic communication, three classes of MV complexes are distinguished according to the Robin and Day classification: non-coupled (class I), weakly-coupled (class II) and fully delocalized (class III) systems.35 Based on the linking group constitution, five structural types of dinuclear transition-metal compounds are known (types A–E), as schematically shown in Fig. 1.

Among the type A and type B molecules (Fig. 1), the respective diferrocenyl-functionalized systems have been extensively studied,5,6,36,40–42 whereas compounds of structural type D...
remains almost unexplored. The simplest representative of the Fe-based class D molecules is diferrocenylmethane (Fe2CH2, Fe = Fe(n5-C5H5)(n5-C5H5)), however, its monooxidised form (Fe2OCH2) does not show any detectable IVCT band. On the contrary, such IVCT absorptions were observed for other derivatives, in which the methylene hydrogen atoms were replaced by methyl or ferrocenyl groups, indicating that the appearance of IVCT transitions is related to the increased bulkiness of the linker unit due to the presence of sterically demanding groups in the latter compounds as compared to the Fe2CH2 cation. In addition, the strength of the metal–metal interactions in type D compounds featuring CMe2, SiMe2, or GeMe2 bridges, depend on the effective distance between the metal centres. It was found that the extent of the electronic coupling in the MV species decreases with an increase of the atomic radius of the bridging atom (e.g. in the order CMe2 > SiMe2 > GeMe2).

In continuation of our works in the area of electron-transfer studies in (multi)ferrocenyl-functionalised organic and organometallic compounds,8,11,22–32,45–49 we herein present the synthesis and characterisation of 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran, 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1-oxide, and 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1,1-dioxide. The influence of the electronic and steric effects on the electron transfer between the two ferrocenyl moieties in the respective mixed-valent species is reported. The 3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran bridge was chosen, due to its bulkiness and the presence of the oxidisable sulphur atom in a position adjacent to the redox-active ferrocenyl groups. This S-atom reactivity allows us to synthesise three closely related derivatives 1–3 and investigate the influence of the different electron-withdrawing character of the aliphatic bridge on the IVCT properties of the mixed valence species 1⁺–3⁺.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

The synthetic methodologies for the preparation of 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran (1, sulphide), 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1-oxide (2, sulfoxide) and 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1,1-dioxide (3, sulfone) are shown in Scheme 1.

Sulphide 1 was prepared via the hetero-Diels–Alder cycloaddition of diferrocenylthioketone with 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene in a sealed glass-tube at 75 °C (Experimental section). After appropriate work-up, compound 1 was obtained as a red solid in 65% yield. Treatment of sulphide 1 with hydrogen peroxide (30%) and selenium dioxide as oxidising reagents in methanol gave the respective sulfoxide 2, which was purified by column chromatography in 87% yield (Experimental section). Further oxidation of 2 with m-chloroperbenzoic acid (= MCPBA) in dichloromethane produced...
sulfone 3 at ambient temperature in 21% yield (Scheme 1, Experimental section).54

Compounds 1–3 are red solids soluble in common organic solvents. All three compounds are stable towards air and moisture in the solid state and in solution.

Compounds 1–3 were characterised by IR and NMR (1H, 13C{1H}; for more details see Fig. S1–S3, ESI†) spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry. The molecular structures of 1 and 3 in the solid state were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Electrochemical investigations were carried out by using cyclic voltammetry (= CV), square wave voltammetry (= SWV) and in situ UV-Vis/NIR spectroelectrochemistry.

X-ray structure determination

Single-crystals of 1 and 3 suitable for a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution of 1 and by slow diffusion of hexane into a diethyl ether solution of 3. ORTEP diagrams of 1 and 3 are shown in Fig. 2, while selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) are listed in Table 1. Crystal and structure refinement data are presented in the ESI (Table S1†).

Compound 1 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pca2₁, while compound 3 crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1̅1. In the crystal packing of 3, two independent molecules (A and B) are observed. The structure analysis of both molecules confirmed the expected structures in which the two ferrocenyl groups are bonded to a sulphide (1) or a sulfone (3) moiety (Fig. 2). All ferrocenyl units show an eclipsed conformation with nearly equivalent distances between Fe and the centroid of the cyclopentadienyl rings (Table 1).

The oxidation of sulphide 1 to sulfone 3, however, plays only a minor role on the overall structure of the two compounds. A similar behaviour was found for a series of sulphur-containing heterocycles in which the oxidation of the sulphur atom has almost no impact on the geometrical parameters of the heterocycles.54,55 The only bond distances which are somewhat influenced by oxidation are S–Cme and S–CH₂ (Table 1), whereby the corresponding bonds in 3, as compared to 1, are shortened by 0.02 Å, as previously observed by Petrov.54,55 In both compounds, the thiopyran ring adopts a half-chair conformation to limit the steric repulsion between the different substituents (Fig. 2). In these carbon-bridged diferrocenyl complexes, the Fe⋯Fe distances are 5.6377(6) Å (1), 5.6824(9) Å (3A) and 5.6785(9) Å (3B), respectively. These values are comparable to those found in analogous carbon-bridged diferrocenyl compounds.56 Interestingly, the dihedral angles between the two planes of the covalently bonded cyclopentadienyl rings are quite acute in 1 (61.4°) and molecule 3A (63.1°), while in 3B this dihedral angle is normal at 76.6°.56

UV-Vis spectroscopy, electrochemistry and spectroelectrochemistry

The electronic properties of the ferrocenyl-functionalised compounds 1–3 were studied by using UV-Vis, cyclic (= CV) and square wave voltammetry (= SWV) and in situ UV-Vis/NIR spectroelectrochemistry.

Compounds 1–3 show relatively weak absorptions in the visible region and stronger absorptions at higher energies (Fig. 3) as it is characteristic for ferrocene derivatives.57,58

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3A</th>
<th>3B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond distances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe⋯Fe</td>
<td>5.6377(6)</td>
<td>5.6824(9)</td>
<td>5.6785(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Cme</td>
<td>1.850(2)</td>
<td>1.834(3)</td>
<td>1.832(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–CH₂</td>
<td>1.799(2)</td>
<td>1.767(3)</td>
<td>1.771(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=C</td>
<td>1.532(3)</td>
<td>1.530(4)</td>
<td>1.542(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=C–Cm</td>
<td>1.539(3)</td>
<td>1.534(5)</td>
<td>1.533(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=C–Cp</td>
<td>1.515(3)</td>
<td>1.527(4)</td>
<td>1.518(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–centroid (Cp–Cm)</td>
<td>1.657</td>
<td>1.656</td>
<td>1.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–centroid (Cp–Cp)</td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>1.656</td>
<td>1.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–centroid (Cp)</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>1.659</td>
<td>1.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–centroid (Cp)</td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>1.656</td>
<td>1.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–Cme–CH₂</td>
<td>107.91(13)</td>
<td>104.9(2)</td>
<td>105.3(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cme–S–CH₂</td>
<td>97.30(10)</td>
<td>100.65(16)</td>
<td>101.20(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm–Cm–Cp</td>
<td>111.20(16)</td>
<td>112.1(2)</td>
<td>110.0(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O=S=O</td>
<td>117.81(15)</td>
<td>117.57(16)</td>
<td>117.57(16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TD-DFT (Time Dependent-Density-Functional Theory) calculations (Fig. 3) performed for 1 predict eight lowest-energy transitions between 450 and 530 nm in which mainly Fe-centred molecular orbitals of 3d-character are involved.\(^5\) The low energy spectral features are, thus, assigned to an unresolved series of broad overlapping bands resulting from ferrocene-centred d–d transitions.\(^4\) The electrochemical measurements (CV and SWV) were performed under an argon atmosphere in dichloromethane solutions containing [Bu4N][B(C6F5)4] (0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) at 25 °C. The data of the cyclic voltammetry experiments are summarised in Table 2. All potentials are referenced to the FcH/FcH\(^+\) redox couple.\(^6\) The voltammograms of 1–3 are shown in Fig. 5.

As it can be seen from Fig. 5, both ferrocenyl groups in 1–3 can beoxidised separately showing two well-resolved reversible one-electron oxidation steps. The chemical oxidation of the sulphur atom in the neighbouring position to the ferrocenyl units leads to an anodic shift of the both Fe-centred oxidation processes, \(\Delta E^0\) from 90 mV (1) over −15 mV (2) to 5 mV (3) and \(\Delta E^0\) from 275 mV (1) over 355 mV (2) to 375 (3), respectively. This chemical oxidation process increases the group-electronegativity at the sulfur (for example, see group electronegativity: −SMe = 2.592; −SOMe = 2.841; −SO2Me = 2.998)\(^6\) and hence, reduces the electron density at the ferrocenyl groups due to the increased electron withdrawing effect. This trend is also reproduced in the results of the DFT calculations (see below). The Fe-centred occupied frontier orbitals undergo substantial stabilisation upon oxidation of the sulphur atom. For example, the HOMO energy in 3 is about 60 mV smaller than that in non-oxidised 1 (Fig. 4).

The redox separation between the 1\(^{st}\) and the 2\(^{nd}\) oxidation processes, however, is not affected by the different degree of the sulphur oxidation and is ca. 370 mV throughout the series. Due to the use of [Bu4N][B(C6F5)4]\(^{25,63-69}\) as weakly coordination counter-ion within the electrolyte, the ion-pairing effects are minimised\(^70-72\) and thus, the electrostatic stabilisation forces between the ferrocenyl groups are increased, when compared with diferrocenylmethane measured in [Bu4N][ClO4]\(^{3}\) (\(\Delta E^0 = 120\) mV).\(^7\)

The UV-Vis/NIR spectroelectrochemical measurements were performed in an OTTLE (= Optically Transparent Thin-Layer Electrochemistry) cell\(^74\) using an analyte concentration of 2.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) and [Bu4N][B(C6F5)4] (0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) as supporting electrolyte in dichloromethane (1–3) or acetonitrile (2). The UV-Vis/NIR spectra are depicted in Fig. 6 (1), 7 (2), and 8 (3). The spectrum measured in acetonitrile (2) is shown in Fig. S5.\(^†\)

The appropriate compounds were oxidised by stepwise increasing the potentials (step width 25, 50 and 100 mV). Thus, the studied compounds 1–3 underwent oxidation to the mono-cationic 1\(^{\text{+}}\)–3\(^{\text{+}}\) and di-cationic 1\(^{2+}\)–3\(^{2+}\) species, respectively. After complete oxidation, each sample was reduced at −200 mV to prove the reversibility of the redox processes. The resulting UV-Vis/NIR spectra were identical to those of the starting molecules. During the oxidation of 1–3 a broad band with a very low intensity (\(\varepsilon_{\text{max}} = 100\) L mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) between 1000 and 3000 nm appeared (Fig. 6–8). A further increase of the potential resulted in the decrease of this band. Such a behaviour is typically observed for intervalence charge transfer (= IVCT) absorptions.\(^2,13\) The experimental spectra can be deconvoluted into three Gaussian-shape bands assigned to an

---

**Table 2 Cyclic voltammetry data of 1–3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compd.</th>
<th>(E^0) ([\text{mV}] (\Delta E^0))</th>
<th>(E^\prime) ([\text{mV}] (\Delta E^\prime))</th>
<th>(\Delta E^0) ([\text{mV}])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90 (73)</td>
<td>275 (75)</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−15 (75)</td>
<td>355 (76)</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5 (73)</td>
<td>375 (83)</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Potentials vs. FcH/FcH\(^+\), scan rate 100 mV s\(^{-1}\) at glassy carbon electrode of a 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) solution in dry dichloromethane; 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) [N\(^\square\)Bu4][B(C6F5)4] as supporting electrolyte at 25 °C.\(^b\) \(E^0 = \) Formal potential.\(^c\) \(\Delta E^0 = \) potential difference between the oxidation and the reduction potential.\(^d\) \(\Delta E^\prime = \) potential difference between the two ferrocenyl-related redox processes \(E^\prime_2-E^\prime_1\).
IVCT, a ligand field transition, and a band representing the edge to the higher energy absorptions. The sum of these three Gaussian-shaped bands fits almost exactly with the experimental spectra. The deconvolution reveals the intensity $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$, the full width-at-half-height $\Delta \nu_{1/2}$ and the $\nu_{\text{max}}$ values for the IVCT component.

The solvent polarity change from $P = 3.1$ (dichloromethane) to $P = 5.8$ (acetonitrile), resulting in a shift of the $\nu_{\text{max}}$ value from 5250 cm$^{-1}$ to 7525 cm$^{-1}$. It is remarked that strong solvatochromic shifts are expected for IVCT absorption bands being of distinct charge transfer character. Thus, the IVCT assignment of the observed NIR absorption features (Fig. 6–8 and Table 3) is further substantiated. The appearance of low energy ligand field transitions is characteristic for ferrocenyl containing compounds as for example demonstrated by UV/Vis-NIR measurements of mono ferrocenyl thiophenes.27

The numerical data derived from the deconvolution procedure is summarised in Table 3. However, the data should be
Conclusions

Within this study it was shown that 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran (1) is readily available through a hetero-Diels–Alder cyclo-addition reaction of differrocenylthioketone with 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene. Stepwise oxidation of the sulphur atom in 1 afforded the corresponding S-oxides: 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1-oxide (2, sulfoxide) and 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1,1-dioxide (3, sulfone). Electrochemical measurements on 1–3 revealed well separated redox events related to the two ferrocenyl groups. The corresponding $E'_1$ and $E'_2$ potentials are shifted anodically in the order of $1 < 2 < 3$. This is accounted for the increasing electron-withdrawing effect of the adjacent S, SO, and SO₂ fragments on the ferrocenyl groups. Thus, the Fe-centred orbitals in 2 and 3 are more stabilised than in 1. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study of 1 and 3 revealed that the chemical oxidation of the sulphur atom has only a negligible influence on the overall molecule’s geometry (bond lengths and angles). Nevertheless, the X-ray analyses have also shown that the Fe–Fe distances in 1 and 3 are shorter than that in Fc₂CH₂ (5.765 Å). This effect is ascribed to the steric hindrance exerted by the 4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran ring. It has been also demonstrated that the cyclic 4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran group enables weak metal–metal electronic interactions in the mono-oxidised species 1–3, as confirmed by the appearance of weak IVCT absorptions characteristic for mixed-valent systems (= MV). This allows to categorise cations 1–3 as weakly coupled class II MV systems according to Robin and Day. Moreover, the extent of metal–metal electronic interactions in 1–3 does not change significantly with the oxidation state of the sulphur atom (sulphide (1) → sulfoxide (2) → sulfone (3)). In summary, our results demonstrate that sterically demanding 2H-thiopyran-derived bridges enable metal–metal electronic interactions between redox centres in mixed-valent molecular systems. In the studied MV species, most probably, the “through space” mechanism is dominantly operative.

Experimental section

General data and reagents

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of argon using standard Schlenk techniques. Chromatographic separations were carried out using silica gel 60 (Merck, 230–400 mesh ASTM). Dichloromethane was purified by distillation from CaH₂ prior to use and methanol was purified by distillation over magnesium. 2,3-Dimethyl-1,3-buta diene, m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, 30% hydrogen peroxide and selenium dioxide were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification.

Instruments

¹H NMR (600 MHz) and ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150 MHz) spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometer operating at 298 K in the Fourier transform mode. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units (ppm) using as residual CDCl₃ (¹H δ 7.26 ppm, ¹³C δ 77.00 ppm) as the reference. Infrared spectra were recorded with a FTIR Nexus Nicolet apparatus. Mass spectra were recorded with a Varian 500-MS IT mass spectrometer (ESI) or with a Finnigan Mat95 mass spectrometer (EI). Microanalyses were determined by Analytical Services of the Polish Academy of the Sciences, Łódź. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded with a Varian Cary 300 double beam spectrometer.

DFT computations and spectroelectrochemical measurements

The geometry optimisations and electronic transition calculations were performed using density-functional theory (= DFT) and time dependent density-functional theory (= TD DFT) with Becke’s three parameter functional with the non-local Lee–Yang–Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) and the standard 6-31G(d,p) basis set as implemented in the Gaussian 09 program package.

Electrochemical measurements of 1.0 mmol L⁻¹ dichloromethane solutions of 1–3 were performed in a dried, argon purged cell at 25°C with a Radiometer Voltalab PGZ 100 electrochemical workstation interfaced with a personal computer. Dichloromethane solutions (0.1 mol L⁻¹) containing [Bu₄N][B(C₆F₅)₄] were used as supporting electrolyte. For the measurements a three electrode cell containing a Pt auxiliary electrode, a glassy carbon working electrode (surface area 0.031 cm²) and an Ag/Ag⁺ (0.01 mmol L⁻¹ [AgNO₃]) reference electrode fixed on a Luggin capillary was applied. The working electrode was pretreated by polishing on a Buehler microcloth first with a 1 micron and then with a 1/4 micron diamond paste. The reference electrode was constructed from a silver wire inserted into a 0.01 mmol L⁻¹ [AgNO₃] and 0.1 mol L⁻¹ [Bu₄N][B(C₆F₅)₄] acetonitrile solution in a Luggin capillary with a Vycor tip. This Luggin capillary was inserted into a second Luggin capillary containing a 0.1 mol L⁻¹ [Bu₄N][B(C₆F₅)₄]...
dichloromethane solution and a Vycor tip. Experiments under the same conditions showed that all reduction and oxidation potentials were reproducible with 5 mV. Experimental potentials were referenced against an Ag/Ag+ reference electrode but the presented results are referenced against ferrocene as an internal standard as required by IUPAC. To achieve this, each experiment was repeated in the presence of 1 mmol L⁻¹ decamethylferrocene (= Fc*). Data were processed on a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet to set the formal reduction potentials of the FcH/FcH⁺ couple to 0.0 V. Under our conditions the Fe⁺/Fe²⁺ couple was at −619 mV vs. FcH/FcH⁺ (ΔEₚ = 60 mV), while the FcH/Fc⁺ couple itself was at 220 mV vs. Ag/Ag⁺ (ΔEₚ = 61 mV). Spectroelectrochemical UV-Vis/NIR measurements of 2.0 mmol L⁻¹ solutions of 1–3 in dichloromethane (1–3) or acetonitrile (2) containing 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of [Bu₄N][BF₄] as the supporting electrolyte were performed in an OTTLE (Optically Transparent Thin-Layer Electrochemistry) cell with a Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer at 25 °C. The values obtained by deconvolution could be reproduced within εₘₐₓ, 100 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹; εₘₐₓ, 50 cm⁻¹ and Δε₁/₂, 50 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran (1)

A mixture of diferrocenyl thioketone (399 mg, 0.96 mmol) and thiopyran (1) was subjected to column chromatography on SiO₂ (chloroform–hexane, ratio 1/1 (v/v)). Crystallization from 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.26 (bs, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.20 (bs, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.13 (bs, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.11 (bs, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.07 (s, 10H, C₅H₄), 3.00 (s, 2H, C₅H₄), 2.64 (s, 2H, C₅H₄), 1.85 (s, 3H, C₅H₄), 1.74 (s, 3H, C₅H₄). 13C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 126.6, 124.3, 97.1, 69.0, 66.9, 66.8, 66.7, 66.4, 44.5, 43.3, 31.3, 20.4, 19.4. FTIR (KBr): 3103, 2918, 2857, 1629, 1406, 1104, 1053 (s, S=O), 1031, 1000, 824, 487 cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): m/z = 535 (MNa⁺), 513 (M⁺). MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z = 512 (M⁺). HRMS: m/z = 512.0558 (Calc. for C₂₇H₂₉OSFe₂). Anal. Calcd for: C, 63.30; H, 5.51; S, 6.26%. Found: C, 63.23; H, 5.72; S, 6.27%.

Synthesis of 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1,1-dioxide (3)

m-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid (= MCPBA) (45 mg, 0.26 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of sulphoxide 2 (100 mg, 0.19 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL) at −20 °C. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 2 h and then for an additional 24 h at ambient temperature. Afterwards, the 2nd portion of MCPBA (45 mg, 0.26 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for another 24 h. Subsequently, a saturated NaHCO₃ solution was added in a closed glass-tube for 50 h at 75 °C. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and the thus obtained solid was subjected to column chromatography on SiO₂ (chloroform–hexane, ratio 1/1 (v/v)). Crystallization from chloroform–hexane gave pure 1 as red crystals in a 65% yield (309 mg).

Synthesis of 2,2-diferrocenyl-4,5-dimethyl-3,6-dihydro-2H-thiopyran-1-oxide (2)

Hydrogen peroxide [30%, 71 mg] and selenium dioxide (72 mg, 0.65 mmol) in water (1 mL) were subsequently added to a stirred solution of 1 (312 mg, 0.63 mmol) in methanol (10 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min and then for an additional 5 min at ambient temperature. The reaction was quenched with water (~7 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was washed with brine, separated and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and then all volatile materials were evaporated. The residue was subjected to chromatography on SiO₂ (diethyl ether–hexane, ratio 3/1 (v/v)) to give pure sulfoxide 2 as red crystals in 87% yield (281 mg).
were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms using the SHELXL default parameters, except for the N–H hydrogen atoms which were located on the Fourier difference map and refined. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically using weighted full-matrix least-square on $F^2$. Crystallographic details for 1 and 3 are summarized in Table S1 (see ESI†).

CCDC 1031233 (1) and 1031234 (3) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.
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