Binuclear platinum–iridium complexes: synthesis, reactivity and luminescence†‡
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The chemistry of the heterobinuclear platinum–iridium complex [PtIr(CO)3(μ-dppm)]PF6, 1, dppm = Ph2PCH2PPh2, is described. The reaction of a hydride with 1 gave [HPtIr(CO)3(μ-dppm)]PF6, by displacement of the carbonyl ligand from platinum, while reaction of 1 with dihydrogen, hydrogen chloride or Ph3MeSiH gave the fluxional complex [PtIrH2(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6, [PtIrH2Cl(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6, or [PtIrH(SiMePh2)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6, respectively, by oxidative addition at iridium. Complex 1 reacted, often regioselectively, with several alkynes to give the μ–η1:η1 bridging alkyne complexes [PtIr(μ-RCCR’–(CO)(μ-dppm))]PF6, R = H, R’ = Ph, 4-C6H4Me, CO2Me; R = Ph, R’ = CO2Me; R = R’ = CO2Me. The complex [PtIrμ-HCC-4-C6H4Me)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6 reacted reversibly with CO to give [PtIr(μ-HCC-4-C6H4Me)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6 and [PtIr(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6, 1. With HCl, [PtIrμ-HCC-4-C6H4Me)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6 reacted to give [PtIrμ-HCC-4-C6H4Me)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6, 1, by oxidative addition at iridium, and then the alkenylplatinum derivative [PtClμ(C=C–CH4(4-C6H4Me))-CO](μ-dppm)]PF6, [PtIrμ-HCC-4-C6H4Me)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6 reacted slowly with dihydrogen to give 4-MeC6H4CH=C=CH2 and [PtIrH4(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6. The complex [PtIr(μ—HCCPh)(CO)(μ-dppm)]PF6 is intensely luminescent in solution at room temperature, with features characteristic of a d8–d8 face-to-face complex.

Introduction

The study of heterobimetallic or cluster complexes has relevance in the testing of current bonding concepts,1,2 in modeling the reactions proposed to occur during catalysis using bimetallic catalysts3,4 and, in some cases, in developing photonic devices.5 The concepts that are implicit in Wade’s rules and explicit in the isolobal analogy have been crucially important in providing a framework for understanding complex chemistry and in predicting future developments.1,2 Bimetallic Pt–Ir, Pt–Re and Pt–Sn catalysts are universally used in reforming petroleum, to increase the octane number by converting linear alkanes to branched or cyclic alkanes, alkenes and aromatics, and they can also be used for catalytic oxidation in fuel processing, to increase the octane number by converting linear alkanes to branched or cyclic alkanes, alkenes and aromatics, and they can also be used for catalytic oxidation in fuel processing.

This article reports a study of the chemistry of complex 1.

†‡ This article is dedicated to the memory of Professor Ken Wade, whose work has been so important in understanding not only the structure but also the activity of complexes with metal–metal bonds.
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Scheme 1 Synthesis of [PtIr(CO)3(μ-dppm)]PF6, 1 (P = PPh2).
Results and discussion

Hydride complexes derived from complex 1

Some hydrido derivatives derived from complex 1 are shown in Scheme 2. We were not able to grow crystals of any of the hydrides suitable for structure determination, but the main features of the complexes could be determined spectroscopically.

Complex 2 was most readily prepared by reaction of complex 1 with sodium triethylborohydride. It is characterized by a hydride resonance at $\delta \approx 3.3$ with a coupling constant $^{1}J$(PtH) of 1123 Hz, showing that the hydride is bound as a terminal ligand to platinum. Homobinuclear complexes [H2PtPt(L)(μ-dppm)]2+, with the hydride trans to a Pt-Pt bond, give somewhat smaller values of $^{1}J$(PtH), such as $^{1}J$(PtH) 990 Hz when $L = CO$, but this increases to 1326 Hz in [H2PtPt(CO)2(μ-dppm)]2+, which is isoelectronic to complex 1.12 The CH2 protons of the dppm ligands in 2 appeared as a single multiplet, showing that there is an effective plane of symmetry containing the PtP2C2 atoms of the PtIr(μ-dppm)2 unit.8,9,12 The $^{31}P$ NMR spectrum contained two dppm resonances at $\delta$ 16.1, $^{3}J$(PtP) 2873 Hz, and $-16.4$, $^{2}J$(PtP) 69 Hz, for the PtP and IrP groups respectively.

The reaction of complex 1 with dihydrogen gave the product of double oxidative addition [PtH2Ir(CO)(μ-dppm)]2+ [PF6]-, containing the PtIrP4C2 atoms of the PtIr(CO)2L2 complex.14 This gave the 1H NMR spectrum, which contained four equal intensity resonances in the hydride region (Fig. 1). At room temperature, the spectrum contained two well resolved hydride resonances and two very broad ones, which sharpened on cooling to $-30 \degree C$ (Fig. 1). There were two resonances for the CH2P2 protons of the dppm ligands, which were broad at room temperature but which also sharpened at $-30 \degree C$. These data suggest that complex 3 is fluxional in such a way that two of the hydride ligands and the CH2IrP2 protons can become equivalent at higher temperatures, while two of the hydrides do not exchange. The activation energies estimated using the Eyring equation from coalescence of the CH2IrP2 protons [coalescence temperature, $T_c = 323 K$, $\Delta\nu = 405 Hz$] and the hydride $H^c,H^d$ protons [coalescence temperature, $T_c = 333 K$, $\Delta\nu = 750 Hz$] were 61.1 and 61.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$ respectively in $C_2D_2Cl_4$ solution. These values are equal within experimental error [61(1) kJ mol$^{-1}$] and indicate that a common step is rate determining. The two hydrides which do not exchange are identified as a terminal PtH group [δ $-3.90$, $^{1}J$(PtH) 1225 Hz, $H^a$] and a terminal IrH group [δ $-8.17$, no PtH coupling resolved, $H^b$], while the two that do exchange are identified as a bridging hydridre [δ $-9.52$, $^{1}J$(PtH) 540 Hz, $H^c$] and a terminal IrH group [δ $-12.02$, $^{1}J$(PtH) ca. 90 Hz, $H^d$]. There are four potential isomers of complex 3 labelled as 3a–3d in Scheme 3, of which 3a, 3b and 3d contain a single bridging hydride ligand and 3c contains two bridging hydride ligands. The ground state structure is likely to be 3a or 3b, in which each of the bridging hydrides is trans to a terminal hydride ligand on iridium, and so more nucleophilic than the hydride trans to carbonyl on iridium. In order to give the observed spectra, the slow step in the fluxionality should exchange positions of $H^a$ and $H^b$ and also generate a mirror plane containing the PtIrP2C2 atoms of the PtH2Ir(CO)2 unit. We suggest that the motion involves mostly rotation of the IRH3(CO) unit about the PtIrP2 axis, in a windscreen wiper fashion (Scheme 3). From 3a, only anticlockwise rotation is possible because the carbonyl group cannot pass through the Pt–Ir bond. Conversion of 3a to 3b involves inversion of $H^a$ through the Pt–Ir bond, 3b to 3c involves $H^a$ also moving into a bridging position, and 3c to 3d involves moving $H^b$ out of the bridging position (3c could be a transition state rather than an intermediate, but the transition

Scheme 2: Synthesis and possible structures of hydride derivatives 2−5 (P = PPh2).
Scheme 3 Proposed fluxionality of complex 3.

The reaction of complex 1 with excess HCl gave the complex [PtIrHCl_2(CO)(μ-dppm)_2][PF_6], indicating that there are two resonances, which were readily assigned to the PtP groups (δ = 7.9, J(PtP) = 2344 Hz), the IrP groups (δ = 6.3) and the [PF_6]^- anions [δ = 143.3, J(PF) = 711 Hz]. There was no evidence of fluxionality of complex 4. Several isomers of 4 are possible but only one was observed and the structure 4a (Scheme 2) is considered most consistent with the NMR data. At the platinum centre, the coupling constant J(PtH) = 858 Hz is lower than expected for a simple terminal hydride and higher than for a symmetrical bridging hydride, but it is consistent with an unsymmetrical bridging hydride or a hydride bound to a 5-coordinate platinum(II) centre. For example, the T-frame Pt-Pt bonded complex [HClPt(μ-dppm)_2PtH] + gives J(PtH) = 1360 and 962 Hz for the 4- and 5-coordinate platinum(II) centres respectively, with a long range coupling constant J(PtH) = 212 Hz for the hydride at the 4-coordinate centre trans to the Pt-Pt bond. At the iridium centre of 4, the hydride shows no resolved long range coupling to platinum while the carbonyl does, suggesting that the carbonyl group is trans to the Pt-Ir bond.

The reactions of 1 to give 3 or 4 occur by double oxidative addition of H_2 or HCl respectively, and may be considered to convert Pt(i)Ir(0) in 1 to Pt(ii)Ir(iii) in 3 or 4. In each case, there must be an intermediate formed by a single oxidative addition step, but it has not been possible to characterize it. We therefore studied reactions of complex 1 with silane derivatives, hoping that, after the first oxidative addition, the bulky silyl group might prevent a second addition. The reagents Ph_3SiH or (PhCH_2)_3SiH failed to react with 1, while Ph_3SiH_2 and PhSiH_3 reacted but gave products which could not be characterized. However, excess Ph_2MeSiH did react with complex 1 to give [PtIr(H)(SiMePh_2)(CO)(μ-dppm)_2][PF_6], Scheme 2. The reaction was reversible and 5 reacted with excess CO to regenerate complex 1. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of complex 5, a single hydride resonance was observed at δ = 82.9, with coupling constant J(PtH) = 33 Hz, showing that the hydride is bound to iridium and cis to the Pt-Ir bond. The ¹³C(¹H) NMR spectrum of a ¹³CO enriched sample contained two carbonyl resonances, a triplet at δ = 186.8, J(PC) = 10 Hz, with no resolved coupling to platinum, and a broad singlet at δ = 170.1, J(13C/1H) = 1130 Hz, which are therefore assigned as IrCO and PtCO groups respectively. Important structural information is obtained from a ¹³C(¹H) coupled NMR experiment, in which the IrCO resonance shows additional doublet splitting due to the coupling J(¹H/¹³C) = 32 Hz. The magnitude of the coupling J(¹H/¹³C) in 5 can be compared with the values of 43 Hz and 5 Hz found in the isomers of [IrHBr(CO)(Si(OEt)_3)(dppe)], in which the hydride and carbonyl ligands are mutually trans or cis respectively, indicating that the trans-IrH(CO) group is present in 5. These data define the stereochemistry of 5 unambiguously. An unusual feature in the ¹³P NMR spectrum of 5 is that the phosphorus atoms of the dppm ligands are all inequivalent. The PtP resonances were well separated and occurred as an "AB" multiplet at δ = 3.6 and 6.2, with J(PtP) = 350 Hz typical of trans P-Pt-P groups, and with J(PtP) = 2946 Hz and 3032 Hz respectively. The Ir-P resonances overlapped at δ = 20.8 in CD_2Cl_2 solution, but were resolved in CD_2CN solution. The inequivalence of the phosphorus centres is not due to the bulky SiMePh_2 group.
being locked into an unsymmetrical conformation. Complex 5 is formed by cis oxidative addition of the Si-H bond at the iridium centre of complex 1, and so is a likely model for the first step in the oxidative addition of dihydrogen to 1. The iridium centre in 1 has an 18-electron configuration so the oxidative addition should be preceded by an effective dissociative step at iridium, which might be loss of CO, heterolytic cleavage of the Pt-Ir bond or migration of a CO ligand from iridium to platinum, but loss of CO must occur at some stage during the reaction. The oxidative addition of the Si-H bond to complex 1 may also provide a model for the first step in more complex reactions of silanes with dppm bridged complexes of rhodium and iridium.

Reactions of alkynes with complex 1

Some reactions of complex 1 with alkynes are shown in Scheme 4. The products were characterized spectroscopically and, in three cases, by structure determination. During each reaction, one carbonyl ligand is displaced and the alkyne coordinates in the μ-η²-η¹ bonding mode, which is common in dppm bridged complexes. The alkynes RCCH (R = Ph, 4-C₆H₄Me, CO₂Me) react selectively to give 6a-6c (Scheme 4), in which the CH and CR groups are bound to platinum and iridium, respectively. The symmetrical alkyne RCCR (R = CO₂Me) gave only complex 7, but the unsymmetrical alkyne PhCCCO₂Me gave an equal mixture of the two possible isomers 8a and 8b (Scheme 4). Diphenyl acetylene failed to react with complex 1. No rearrangement of the complexes 6 to give the μ-η²-η¹ bonded isomers, alkyne-hydride complexes containing PtIrH(CCR) groups, or bridging vinylidene complexes containing PtIr(μ-C≡CHR) groups, was observed though related reactions are known in palladium, rhodium and iridium complexes with bridging dppm ligands.

The structures of complexes 6a, 6b and 6c are similar and are shown in Fig. 2-4. In each case, the cation contains the expected trans-trans-PtIr(μ-dppm)₂ group, with a bridging alkyne and a terminal carbonyl group on each square planar metal centre. The Pt-Ir distance of 2.9180(4) Å for 6b is longer than the sum of the covalent radii (ca. 2.75 Å) but shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (ca. 3.77 Å) of platinum and iridium. In addition, the Pt-Ir distance for 6b is somewhat shorter than the non-bonding distances P(1)P(4) and P(2)P(3) of 3.041(2) and 3.053(2) Å, and the angles C(4)-C(3)-Pt = 111.4(6) and C(3)-C(4)-Ir = 113.9(6)⁰ are less than the natural sp² bond angle of 120⁰. The parameters can be compared with those for [Pt₂C₂H₂(μ-PhCCH)₂(μ-dppm)] in which the Pt-Pt distance of 3.480(4) Å is longer and the angles C=C-Pt of 121(1) and 124(1)⁰ are greater than 120⁰, indicative of no metal–metal bonding. Thus, the data for 6b indicate that there is a weak bonding interaction between the platinum and iridium atoms, which could be of the donor–acceptor or secondary metallophilic bonding type. It should be noted that the platinum and iridium atoms could not be distinguished in the structure determination, and the assignments in Fig. 2-4 are based on the structure determination by NMR analysis described below. For example, the ³¹P NMR spectrum of complex 6a contained dppm resonances at δ 16.5 [IrP] and at δ 3.8 [J(PtP) = 3260 Hz, PtP]. The ¹H NMR spectrum contained two resonances for the dppm methylene groups at δ 3.79 and 4.31, as expected for an A-frame structure, and a resonance for the HC=C proton of the bridging alkyne at δ 7.04 [J(PtC) = 1105 Hz, PtC] and a corre-
A comparison of some bond parameters for 6b and 6c is given in Table 1. One feature is that the P–Pt–P angles are more distorted from linearity (19–23°) than the P–IrP angles (5–7°). This is consistent with a donor–acceptor metal–metal interaction with iridium as the donor. In all cases, the methylene linkages of the dppe groups are folded toward the coordinated alkyne in order to minimize steric interactions between the axial phenyl rings of the dppe ligands and the alkyne.8,12,14

The reactivity of selected alkyne complexes has been studied. Complexes 6a and 6b reacted reversibly with CO to form the adducts 9a and 9b (Scheme 5), but 6c, 7 and 8 did not react. The complexes 9 could not be isolated because the reactions were reversed to give 6 on evaporation of the solvents. They were characterized by reaction of 6a or 6b with 13CO in an NMR tube. For example, the reaction of 6b with excess 13CO in CD2Cl2 solution at low temperature gave 9b essentially quantitatively, with a change in colour from pink/orange to yellow. At −30 °C, two dppe resonances were observed in the 31P NMR spectrum at \( \delta(31P) = 5.78 \) [PtP] = 3164 Hz, PtP] and −4.42 [IrP], with the iridium–phosphorus shifted from \( \delta(31P) = 16.19 \) [IrP] in 6b. In the 13C NMR spec-

Table 1  Selected bond parameters (Å,°) in complexes 6b and 6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6b</th>
<th>6c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Ir</td>
<td>2.9180(4)</td>
<td>3.0047(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–C(1)</td>
<td>1.918(9)</td>
<td>1.95(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–C(3)</td>
<td>2.075(8)</td>
<td>2.069(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir–C(2)</td>
<td>1.96(2)</td>
<td>1.89(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir–C(4)</td>
<td>2.109(8)</td>
<td>2.088(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–P(1)</td>
<td>2.323(2)</td>
<td>2.352(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–P(2)</td>
<td>2.327(2)</td>
<td>2.292(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir–P(3)</td>
<td>2.298(2)</td>
<td>2.315(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir–P(4)</td>
<td>2.299(2)</td>
<td>2.278(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(1)–Pt–P(2)</td>
<td>156.71(7)</td>
<td>161.07(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(3)–Ir–P(4)</td>
<td>174.58(8)</td>
<td>173.03(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(4)–C(3)–Pt</td>
<td>111.4(6)</td>
<td>108.8(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(3)–C(4)–Ir</td>
<td>113.9(6)</td>
<td>118.5(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 5  Reactions of 6a and 6b with CO.
The reactions of complex 6b with dihydrogen and with hydrogen chloride are shown in Scheme 6. With dihydrogen a slow reaction occurred to give the same hydride complex [PtH4Ir(CO)(μ-dppm)]2[PF6]3, which had previously been isolated by reaction of dihydrogen with complex 1 (Scheme 2). The alkynyl group was hydrogenated to 4-methylstyrene, which appeared at δ 5.41 and 5.68, in the range expected for a terminal alkyne group, and there was a coupling J(1H) = 17 Hz between the two vinyl protons, showing that they are mutually trans. The β-hydrogen at δ 5.68 couples to platinum with J(1H) = 63 Hz, showing that the alkenyl group is bound to platinum. In the 13C NMR spectrum, there was only one carbon resonance, assigned as an iridium carbonyl because there was no resolved coupling to platinum. The carbonyl group is terminal, so a bridging chloride ligand is suggested to give a stable structure.

Absorption and emission spectra of complex 6a

The complex [PtIr(CO)2μ-PhCCH(μ-dppm)]2[PF6], 6a, which is pink in the solid state, forms dichroic solutions which may appear orange (concentrated solution) or bright pink (dilute solution), depending on the concentration and whether viewed by transmitted or reflected light. This unusual colour led us to investigate its absorption and emission spectra (Fig. 5). The UV-visible spectrum of 6a contains a very weak absorption at 650 nm (ε = 100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, barely visible in Fig. 5) and a strong absorption at 522 nm (ε = 4.8 × 10⁵ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). There are also partially resolved, higher energy, absorptions at ca. 420 and 365 nm.

There have been several detailed studies of the photophysical properties of d⁸–d⁸ face-to-face complexes, for which the two lower energy bands have been assigned, for third row transition metal complexes, as primarily due to the spin forbidden singlet-triplet and spin-allowed singlet-singlet 5dσ*→6pσ transitions. Complex 6a can be considered as a distorted face-to-face complex, because of the constraints of the bridging alkyne ligand, and it has strong π-acceptor carbonyl ligands, so the transitions are likely to be primarily 5dσ*→6pσ/CO* transitions (Fig. 6). The Pt-Ir bonding should be stronger in the excited state. In the heterobinuclear PtIr complex 6a, the HOMO will have more iridium 5dπ character and the LUMO will have more platinum 6pπ and CO π* character (Fig. 6), so the lowest energy transitions will involve some iridium to platinum charge transfer. The absorption spectrum is very similar to that of the face-to-face Pt(μ-CN)Rh(i) complex [Pt(CN)2Rh(BuNC)2(μ-dppm)]⁺, A, [λmax 547 nm (triplet) and 469 (singlet)] except that the bands...
Fig. 6 Schematic diagram of the likely HOMO and LUMO in complexes such as 6a (dppm ligands omitted for clarity). The different orbital sizes are intended only to depict the likely differences in orbital character in the HOMO and LUMO.

in 6a are shifted to considerably lower energy [λmax 650 nm (triplet) and 522 (singlet)]. This shift can be understood in terms of the neutral iridium(i) centre in 6a being more electron rich than the cationic rhodium(i) centre in A and the cationic platinum(ii) centre in 6a being more electron deficient than the neutral platinum(ii) centre in A.

Complex 6a is strongly emissive at room temperature in a dichloromethane solution, giving an emission band at 575 nm which is assigned to the 6π→5dε2* fluorescence, with a shoulder at ca. 670 nm which might arise from the corresponding phosphorescence. The Stokes shift of 53 nm for the main fluorescence band is similar to that observed in related complexes. The addition of carbon monoxide to this solution results in the complete suppression of the room temperature luminescence as complex 9a is formed (Scheme 5).

Fig. 7 The calculated structure of (a) [PtIrH(CO)(μ-dmpm)]2+, 1*, [Pt–CO 1.96, Ir–CO 1.91, Pt–Ir 2.78 Å, P–Ir–P 175°] and (b) PtH(CO)(μ-dmpm)]2, 2*, [Pt–H 1.64, Ir–CO 1.91, Pt–Ir 2.85 Å, P–Ir–P 156°] with (c) and (d) the corresponding HOMO.

Calculations were carried out on the isomers of the model complex cation [PtIrH2(CO)(μ-dppm)]+, 3+, which is a model for the complex 3 formed by reaction of dihydrogen with complex 1 (Schemes 2 and 3). Good minima were found for isomers 3a* and 3b* (Fig. 8), but attempts to optimize the geometry of isomers 3c* or 3d* (or isomers with only terminal hydrides) led to spontaneous isomerisation to 3b*. A plausible reaction coordinate diagram for the fluxionality of complex 3 based on these calculations and on the experimental observations (Fig. 1, Scheme 3) is shown in Fig. 8. The high point is the transition state associated with inversion of the PtIr group in 3d*, and this is the step that leads to H5–H5 exchange.

Computational studies

In order to gain further insight into the above chemistry, DFT calculations were carried out on some of the complexes, using the ligand CH3[PMe2]3, dmpm, in place of dppm in order to make the computations times reasonable (see experimental for details). The calculated structures of [PtIr(CO)]2(μ-dmpm)]3+, 1*, [Pt–CO 1.96, Ir–CO 1.91, Pt–Ir 2.78 Å, P–Ir–P 175°] and [PtIrH(CO)]2(μ-dmpm)]2, 2*, [Pt–H 1.64, Ir–CO 1.91, Pt–Ir 2.85 Å, P–Ir–P 156°] are shown in Fig. 7. The structure of complex 1 has been determined [Pt–CO 1.91, Ir–CO 1.90, Pt–Ir 2.77 Å, P–Ir–P 169°] but that of 2 has not. The calculation predicts a greater twist of the diphosphine ligand, a longer Pt–Ir distance and a greater distortion of the Pt–Ir bond angle from linearity in 2 when compared to 1. These calculated features can be understood in terms of the greater trans-influence of hydride in 2 and 4* compared to carbonyl in 1 and 1*, leading to a higher degree of Pt–Ir bonding character in 2 and 2*. Note that the complexes can be formulated as Pt(0–Ir(0)], Pt(3–Ir(0) or Pt(0–Ir(0)], depending on how the electrons in the PtIr bond are assigned. The HOMO in both 1 and 2 is expected to be Pt–Ir bonding, with a high degree of iridium 6p/5d character, Fig. 7, and the calculation for 1* and 3* predicts polarity [Pt*–Ir*] [calculated Hirshfeld charges: 1*, Pt 0.03e, Ir −0.17e; 2*, Pt −0.08e, Ir −0.22e]. Oxidation of both 1 and 2 is expected to occur at the more electron-rich iridium centre, provided there is a low energy pathway.
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ation, so concerted oxidative addition would occur either at platinum or across the Pt–Ir bond. However, the face-to-face isomer 12c contains a 16-electron iridium(I) centre, and the highest occupied molecular orbitals have mostly iridium 5d character, so oxidative addition might occur at iridium after isomerisation of 12a to 12c. The carbonyl dissociation from platinum might occur during or after the oxidative addition of dihydrogen.

Calculated structures of some isomers of [PtIrH2Cl2(CO)-(μ-dmpm)]+, 4+, are shown in Fig. 10. The most stable isomer is 4c+, followed by 4d+, 4a+ and 4b+, with isomers having the iridium chloride ligand trans to the Pt–Ir bond at higher energy. Complexes 4a+ and 4b+, and 4c+ and 4d+, can interconvert by inversion of the PtIr group, but there is no easy way for 4a+ to isomerise to 4c+. The NMR spectra of the complex [PtIrH2Cl2(CO)(μ-dmpm)]+, 4, were considered to favour isomer 4a, but the evidence is not definitive and a structure analogous to 4c+ cannot be ruled out. The calculations support the presence of a very unsymmetrical bridging hydride (Fig. 10), with a short Pt–H and a long Ir⋯H distance, as suggested by the hydride NMR data.

Some calculated structures for the dmpm analogues of alkyne complexes 6 and 8 (Scheme 3) are shown in Fig. 11 and 12.

**Fig. 8** The calculated structures of isomers 3a* and 3b* of [PtIrH2(CO)-(μ-dmpm)]+ and a plausible reaction coordinate diagram for the observed fluxionality of the dpdm analogue (Scheme 3).

**Fig. 9** The calculated structures of (a) the complex [PtIrH(SiMePh2)(CO)2(μ-dmpm)]+, 5*, (b), (c), (d) possible isomers of [PtIrH2(CO)2(μ-dmpm)]+, 12*, and (e), (f) the highest energy 5dx* and 5dx* occupied MOs of the face-to-face isomer 12c*.

The calculated energies of reaction to form the alkyne complexes 6a*, 6c*, 7* and 8a* from complex 1*, with displacement of one carbonyl ligand are −22, −85, −99 and −72 kJ mol$^{-1}$ respectively, predicting that more electronegative substituents on the alkyne, and especially the –CO$_2$Me groups, favour the reaction. For the complex 6a* or 6c* the conformation of the phenyl or –CO$_2$Me group respectively is close to coplanar with the Pt–C=C–Ir unit, which allows maximum π-conjugation, but in the disubstituted alkyne complex 8a* or 8b* the substituents are twisted out of the Pt–C=C–Ir plane to reduce steric effects (Fig. 12). The reduction in π-bonding because of this twisting effect can explain the lack of reactivity of diphenylacetylene with complex 1 (the calculated energy of reaction is −13 kJ mol$^{-1}$). The calculation predicts that 8a is more stable than 8b, but by only 4 kJ mol$^{-1}$, consistent with the experimental observation that both isomers are formed. However, the calculations predict that, based on the ground state energies, there might also be an equilibrium between the isomers 6a* and 6a*′ [6a*′ favoured by 4 kJ mol$^{-1}$] and between 6c* and 6c*′ [6c*′ favoured by 3 kJ mol$^{-1}$] (Scheme 7) whereas, experimentally, only isomers 6a and 6c were observed (Scheme 4). No significant differences between steric effects in the isomers are expected. Unless the calculations give a wrong prediction, it is likely that the observed selectivity is based on kinetic rather than thermodynamic control. Perhaps the alkyne first coordinates to iridium with the bulky substituent oriented outwards, then slides over to the bridging position.

Fig. 12 (a), (b) The calculated structures of the alkyne complex [PtIr(HCCO$_2$Me)(CO)$_2$(μ-dmpm)$_2$]$^+$, 6c*, and its isomer 6c*′, (c), (d) complex 8a* and its isomer 8b*, (e) complex 9a, (f) the possible intermediate dihydride complex 13*.

Scheme 7 Predicted equilibrium between isomers of the alkyne complexes 6 (PP = dmpm).
further oxidative addition of hydrogen and C–H reductive elimination would give styrene. However, given the ease with which hydride and carbonyl ligands can migrate between metal centres, there are several mechanisms that might apply.

The absorption and emission spectra of complex 6a can be understood in terms of the frontier orbitals for the model complex 6a* shown in Fig. 11. The HOMO (Fig. 11c) is primarily the Pt–Ir 5dσ* molecular orbital, which is similar to that in the face-to-face complex 12e* (Fig. 9e), though the planes of the platinum(0) and iridium(i) are at an angle from the ideal face-to-face orientation. This HOMO has a greater character of the more electron rich iridium(I) centre, and it is very similar to that calculated for the isomeric 6a* (Fig. 11d). The LUMO has mostly Pt–Ir 6pπ bonding character, with significant contribution of the p–π character of the carbonyl ligands, and is mostly centred on the PtCO group. The lowest energy singlet–singlet absorption and emission bands for 6a (Fig. 5) are associated with the transition between these molecular orbitals, in agreement with literature assignments for related compounds.5,25 The first singlet–singlet absorption band for complex 6a* is calculated to have a maximum at 537 nm, compared to the observed band for 6a at 522 nm.

Conclusions

The metal oxidation states in complex 1 can be considered as Pt(0)–Ir(0)−, Pt(II)−Ir(0) or Pt(II)−Ir(0)−, depending on how the electrons in the PtIr bond are assigned, but the reactivity is most easily interpreted in terms of the Pt(II)−Ir(0)− formalism, in which the metal–metal bond can be considered as a donor–acceptor bond, formed by donation of electrons from Ir(0)− to Pt(II).27 This is also consistent with the nature of the HOMO (Fig. 7) and with the calculated charges on platinum and iridium in 1−. Thus the nucleophilic substitution of hydride for carbonyl in 1 occurs at the platinum centre, while oxidative addition reactions occur, at least initially, at the iridium centre (Scheme 2). Alkynes react with 1 at the metal–metal bond and the products 6–8 (Scheme 4) are considered as distorted face-to-face Pt–Ir− complex, and complex 6a exhibits strong room temperature emission, which is a characteristic property of such complexes.5

The unusual chemistry of the polar Pt–Ir bond in complex 1 may provide insight into the mechanisms of reaction of the important bimetallic PtIr catalysts.3,4

Experimental

The syntheses were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques under an atmosphere of nitrogen. The complex [PtIr(CO)3(μ-dppm)2][PF6], 1, was prepared by the literature method, from [Pt(q−dppm)][PF6], and [PPN][Ir(CO)4], and 13CO enriched samples were prepared by stirring under an atmosphere of 13CO.6,28 The 1H, 31P[1H], and 13C[1H] NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian Gemini 300, Varian Inova 400 or Inova 600 spectrometer. The gCOSY, gHSQC, and gHMBC spectra were recorded using the Varian Inova 400 or Inova 600 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are cited with respect to TMS or 85% phosphoric acid (31P). IR spectra were recorded with Nujol mulls or solutions using a Perkin Elmer 2000 FTIR spectrometer. Emission spectra were recorded by using a Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorimeter (ISA Jobin Yvon Spex), using a solution in CH2Cl2 at room temperature in a quartz cuvette. DFT calculations (gas phase only) were carried out by using the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) program based on the BP functional, with double-zeta basis set and first-order scalar relativistic corrections.26

X-ray crystallography29

Crystals of compounds 6a, 6b, and 6c were mounted on glass fibers. Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, cell indexing, data reduction and absorption correction were those supplied by Nonius. Diffraction measurements were made using a Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation at 200 K (6a and 6c) or 150 K (6b). Structure solution and refinement was carried out using the SHELX97 or the SHELEX suite of programs, using the WinGX graphical interface. The initial solutions were obtained by direct methods and refined by successive least-squares cycles. Compound 6a co-crystallized with two solvent acetone molecules, one of which was disordered over two positions, as was the PF6− counterion. All non-hydrogen atoms in the main residue were refined anisotropically. Disordered C, O, and F atoms in the disordered solvent and anion were refined isotropically. The agreement factors were poor and so this is considered as a partial structure determination only. Compound 6b co-crystallized with a small amount of a chloro analog, presumably formed from the CH2Cl2 solvent during crystallization. The resulting CO–Cl (75 : 25) disorder was successfully modeled and all non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. Compound 6c was refined as a racemic twin, and also contains disorder in the CO2CH3 group of the main residue, as well as in two phenyl rings of the main residue. In each case, the disorder was modelled over two positions using isotropic thermal parameters for the disordered C and O positions. All other non-H atoms in the main residue were refined anisotropically. Disorder was also present in the PF6− counterion and in two co-crystallized CH2Cl2 molecules. Details of the data collection and refinement can be found in the cif files (CCDC 1040457–1040458).

\[[\text{HPt(μ-dppm)}]_2\text{Ir(CO)}_3\right|_{2}\]

To a stirred solution of [[CO]Pt(μ-dppm)2Ir(CO)3][PF6] (35 mg) in thf (10 mL) was added a solution of NaBHEt3 in thf (1.0 mL, 2 M). The solution was vigorously stirred for 3 hours, over which time the orange colour of the solution changed to yellow colour. The solvent was removed under vacuum, the solid was extracted with CH2Cl2 (1 mL) and the product was precipitated as a yellow solid by addition of pentane (5 mL). Yield: 17 mg, 55%. Anal. Calc. for C52H45IrO2P4Pt: C, 51.48; H, 3.74. Found: C, 50.97; H, 3.53%. NMR in CD2Cl2: δ(1H) = −3.33
To a stirred, degassed solution of [PtIr(CO)$_3$(μ-dppm)$_2$][PF$_6$] (30 mg) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) was added dihydrogen (1 atm) and the flask was sealed. The colour slowly changed from orange to yellow. After 3 h, the solvent was removed under vacuum, the residue was redissolved in a minimum amount of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (ca. 1 mL) and the product was precipitated as a yellow solid by addition of ether (5 mL). Yield: 25 mg, 82%. Anal. Calc. for C$_{51}$H$_{46}$Cl$_2$F$_6$IrOP$_5$Pt: C, 43.69; H, 3.31. Found: C, 43.25; H, 3.88.

For [PtIr(CO)$_3$(μ-dppm)$_2$][PF$_6$], 6a

To a solution of [PtIr(CO)$_3$(μ-dppm)$_2$][PF$_6$], 1 (50 mg, 0.036 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) was added phenylacetylene (3.7 mg, 3.9 μL, 0.072 mmol) and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 16 h. After the reaction time, a change from an orange solution to a bright pink/orange dichroic solution was observed. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the pink residue was recrystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$-Et$_2$O to yield the product as a dark pink solid. Yield: 35 mg, 68%. Anal. Calc. for Cs$_{50}$H$_{64}$IrO$_3$PtP$_3$: C, 49.39; H, 3.45. Found: C, 49.47; H, 3.45%. IR (Nujol): δ(CO) = 2067 (m), 1964 (s); δ(CC) = 1606 (s). NMR in CD$_2$Cl$_2$: δ($^1$H) = 3.79 [m, 2H], δ($^1$HH) = 14 Hz, δ($^1$PH) = 7 Hz, CH$_3$P$_2$], 4.31 [m, 2H], δ($^1$PH) = 60 Hz, CH$_3$P$_2$], 6.42 [d, δ($^1$PH) = 60 Hz, CH$_3$P$_2$], 6.20 [d, δ($^1$PH) = 60 Hz, CH$_3$P$_2$], 6.19 [m, δ($^1$PH) = 36 Hz, 1$^1$H(PF) = 2817 Hz, PtP]; −6.10 [t, δ($^1$PH) = 36 Hz, PtP]; −143.42 [septet, δ($^1$PF) = 711 Hz, PF$_6$].

[PtIr(CO)$_3$(μ-HCCPh)(μ-dppm)$_2$][PF$_6$], 6b

This was prepared in a similar way from complex 1 (29.8 mg, 0.022 mmol) and 4-ethynyltoluene (4 μL, 0.032 mmol). Yield: 24.7 mg, 84%. Anal. Calc. for Cs$_{50}$H$_{64}$IrO$_3$PtP$_3$: C, 49.73; H, 3.56. Found: C, 49.38; H, 3.47%. IR (Nujol): δ(CO) = 2065 m, 1954 m. NMR in CD$_2$Cl$_2$: δ($^1$H) = 2.00 [s, 3H, Me], 3.25 [m, CH$_3$P$_2$], 3.75 [m, CH$_3$P$_2$], 6.28 [d, 2H, δ($^1$HH) = 8 Hz, C$_6$H$_4$H$_2$], 6.37 [d, 2H, δ($^1$HH) = 8 Hz, C$_6$H$_4$H$_2$], 6.79 [tt, 1H, δ($^1$PH) = 14 Hz, δ($^1$PH) = 2 Hz, C=CH], 7.10–7.70 [m, 40H, δ($^1$P) = 16.19 [m, IrP]; 2.83 [m, 1$^1$H(PF) = 3236 Hz, PtP]; −142.22 [septet, δ($^1$PF) = 710 Hz, PF$_6$]; 188.65 [t, δ($^1$PC) = 10 Hz, IrCO].

[PtIr(CO)$_3$(μ-HCCCO$_4$C$_4$H$_6$Me)(μ-dppm)$_2$][PF$_6$], 6c

This was prepared in a similar way from complex 1 (71.9 mg, 0.0519 mmol) and methyl propiolate (4.7 μL, 0.0528 mmol). Yield: 51.1 mg, 68%. Anal. Calc. for Cs$_{50}$H$_{64}$IrO$_3$PtP$_3$: C, 46.67; H, 3.36. Found: C, 46.65; H, 3.01%. IR (Nujol): δ(CO) = 2064 m, 1959 m; δ(CO) of CO$_2$CH$_3$ = 1687 (m). NMR in CD$_2$Cl$_2$: δ($^1$H) = 2.63 [s, 3H, Me], 3.60 [m, 2H, CH$_3$P$_2$], 3.84 [m, 2H, CH$_3$P$_2$], 7.0–8.1 [m, 40H, Ph]; δ($^1$P) = 12.95 [m, IrP]; 2.45 [m, δ($^1$PF) = 3105 Hz, PtP]; −143.36 [septet, δ($^1$PF) = 710 Hz, PF$_6$].

[PtIr(CO)$_3$(μ-MeO$_2$C$_4$CCO$_4$C$_4$H$_6$Me)(μ-dppm)$_2$][PF$_6$], 7

This was prepared in a similar way from complex 1 (176 mg, 0.1271 mmol) and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (16 μL, 0.130 mmol). Yield: 140 mg, 73%. Anal. Calc. for Cs$_{50}$H$_{64}$IrO$_3$PtP$_3$: C, 46.47; H, 3.36. Found: C, 45.98; H, 3.22%. IR (Nujol): δ(CO) = 2043 m, 1952 (m); δ(CO) of CO$_2$CH$_3$ = 1702 (m). NMR in CD$_2$Cl$_2$: δ($^1$H) = 2.20 [s, 3H, OMe], 2.47 [s, 3H, OMe], 3.75 [m, 2H, CH$_3$P$_2$], 3.88 [m, 2H, CH$_3$P$_2$], 7.1–8.3 [m, 40H, Ph]; δ($^1$P) = 8.30 [m, IrP]; 0.12 [m, δ($^1$PF) = 2862 Hz, PF$_6$].
This was prepared in a similar way from complex 1 (71.7 mg, 0.0518 mmol) and methyl phenylpropiolate (8.3 μL). Yield: 47 mg, 60%. Anal. Calc. for C₆₂H₅₂F₆IrO₄P₅Pt: C, 49.08; H, 3.60%. Found: C, 49.26; H, 3.41%. IR(Nujol): ν(CO) = 1698 (m). NMR in CD₂Cl₂: δ(H) = 2.26 [s, OMe], 2.35 [s, OMe], 3.80 [m, CH₂P₂], 3.80 [m, CH₂P₂], 3.92 [m, CH₂P₂], 3.97 [m, CH₂P₂], 4.07 [m, CH₂P₂], 5.95-8.00 [m, Pt]; δ(31P) = 9.02 [m, IrP]; 7.97 [m, IrP]; 7.97 [m, IrP]; 7.97 [m, IrP]; 7.97 [m, IrP].

Reaction of 6a and 6b with CO to give [PtIr(CO)₃(CH=CH₂)], 9a and 9b

An NMR tube containing a solution of complex 6b (29.5 mg, 0.020 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (1 mL) was cooled to −80 °C and then evacuated and refilled with ¹³CO. On shaking the tube, the colour of the solution changed from pink/orange to yellow, and spectra were recorded at −30 °C and at 20 °C. NMR in CD₂Cl₂ for 9b: δ(H) = 2.18 [s, 3H, Me], 3.73 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 3.87 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 6.19 [d, 2H, J(HH) = 8 Hz, C₆H₄−H²], 6.73 [d, 2H, J(HH) = 8 Hz, C₆H₄−H⁴], 7.1-7.7 [m, 4H, Ph and =CH]; δ(13C) = 20.1 [s, Me], 126.0 [C₆H₄−C²], 128.8 [C₆H₄−C⁴], 128-135 [Ph], 175.67 [m, IrCO], 178.35 [m, IrCO], 184.80 [s, J(PP) = 1184 Hz, PtCO]; δ(31P) = 5.78 [m, J(PP) = 3164 Hz, PtP]; −4.42 [s, IrP]; −143.31 [septet, J(PF) = 711 Hz, PF₆]. At 20 °C, resonances for 9b were still observed, but there were also resonances for complex 1 and MeC₆H₄CCH. The IrP (δ = −3.96) and IrCO (δ 176) resonances were broad. When the CO was removed, the resonances for 6b returned.

The reaction of CO with 6a was carried out in a similar way to give reversible formation of 9a. NMR in CD₂Cl₂: δ(H) = 3.81 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 3.93 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 6.32 [m, 2H, C₆H₄−H⁴], 6.91[m, 2H, C₆H₄−H⁴], 7.04 [m, 1H, C₆H₄H⁺], 7.2-7.8 [m, 41H, Ph and =CH]; δ(13C) = 182 [br, IrCO], 184.7 [s, J(PP) = 1176 Hz, PtCO]; δ(31P) = 5.5 [m, J(PP) = 3170 Hz, PtP]; −4 [br, IrP].

Reaction of 6b with dihydrogen

A solution of complex 6b (74 mg, 0.050 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (1 mL) in an NMR tube was treated with hydrogen (1 atm). The colour of the solution changed from pink-orange to orange over a period of 48 h, and NMR spectra were recorded during this period. Resonances for 6b decayed to zero after 48 h and were replaced by those for complex 3 (data as above) and 4-MeC₆H₄CCH=CH₂, which were identical to those of an authentic sample. No resonances for 4-MeC₆H₄CCH were observed. The product 3 was precipitated by addition of pentane. Yield: 45 mg, 67%.

To a solution of complex 6b (72.2 mg, 0.049 mmol) in CD₂Cl₂ (0.6 mL) in an NMR tube was added a solution of HCl in CD₂Cl₂ (0.42 mL, 0.12 M, 0.0504 mmol). There was an immediate colour change from pink to orange. The initial product 10 was characterized spectroscopically. NMR in CD₂Cl₂: δ(H) = −19.34 [t, 1H, J(PP) = 14 Hz, J(PP) = 139 Hz, IrH], 2.00 [s, 3H, Me], 3.33 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 3.62 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 6.09 [d, 2H, J(HH) = 8 Hz, C₆H₄−H²], 6.23 [d, 2H, J(HH) = 8 Hz, C₆H₄−H⁴], 6.70 [m, 1H, C=C], 7.0-7.9 [m, 40H, Ph]; δ(13C) = 171.2 [s, IrCO], 173.8 [s, J(PtC) = 1110 Hz, PtCO]; δ(31P) = 12.89 [t, J(PP) = 22 Hz, J(PP) = 2398 Hz, PtP]; −9.09 [m, IrP]; −143.31 [septet, J(PF) = 711 Hz, PF₆]. After 17 h, the conversion to complex 11 was complete, and the product was isolated by evaporation of the solvent and precipitation from a solution in CH₂Cl₂ by addition of pentane. Yield: 54%. Anal. Calc. for C₆₀H₅₂F₆IrO₄P₅Pt: C, 48.64; H, 3.61. Found: C, 48.22; H, 3.46%. IR(Nujol): ν(CO) = 1996 cm⁻¹. NMR in CD₂Cl₂: δ(H) = 2.06 [s, 3H, Me], 4.24 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 4.55 [m, 2H, CH₂P₂], 5.41 [dt, 1H, J(HH) = 17 Hz, J(PP) = 7 Hz, Pt−CH=C=], 5.68 [d, 1H, J(HH) = 17 Hz, J(PP) = 63 Hz, PtC=CH=]; 5.88 [d, J(HH) = 8 Hz, C₆H₄H⁺], 6.63 [d, J(HH) = 8 Hz, C₆H₄H⁺], 6.8-8.2 [m, 40H, Ph]; δ(13C) = 171.13 [s, IrCO]; δ(31P) = 17.50 [s, J(PP) = 2858 Hz, PtP]; −5.00 [s, br, IrP]; −143.34 [septet, J(PF) = 711 Hz, PF₆].
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