Mono- and dinuclear metal complexes containing the 1,5,9-triazacyclododecane ([12]aneN₃) unit and their interaction with DNA†
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The ability of mononucleating and dinucleating macrocyclic polyamines and their novel nickel, copper and zinc complexes to induce the left-handed form of poly d(GC) was evaluated. The influence of the nuclearity, the presence or absence of metals ions, the linker length in the case of dinucleating ligands and the metal ion was determined. Almost all dinuclear metal complexes efficiently induced Z-DNA, the zinc ones being the least and the copper ones the most efficient ones. Additionally, the X-ray structures of three dinuclear metal complexes and one partially protonated ligand could be determined.

Introduction

Left-handed Z-DNA is a high-energy form of DNA, it can only be formed by alternating purine–pyrimidine sequences and when additional factors like supercoiling or multiple charged cations are present.¹ In plasmids, formation of Z-DNA depends upon torsional stress that is induced by negative supercoiling.²–⁴ The twin-supercoiled domain model suggests that the movement of an RNA polymerase along the DNA double helix induces positive supercoil waves ahead of, and negative supercoil waves behind the transcription ensemble.⁴ As a consequence, Z-DNA is formed after the passing of the polymerase as the kinetics of the B- to Z-DNA transition is relatively rapid compared to the cellular processes such as transcription. Z-DNA was shown to play a functional role in gene regulation,⁵ positioning of the nucleosome⁶ and chromatin remodelling.⁷ Several research groups have studied the possible correlation between chromosomal breakpoints in human tumours with potential Z-DNA forming sequences.⁸ Z-DNA-GC repeats were shown to cause large-scale genome deletions in mammalian cells⁹ but only small changes in E. coli.¹⁰ These variations were explained by different DNA repair mechanisms.¹¹ Vásquez observed that the deletions or rearrangements generated in mammalian cells are mainly replication independent and are likely to be initiated by repair processing cleavages surrounding the Z-DNA-forming sequence. It was proposed that these types of genomic alterations are related to the chromosome breaks and gene translocations that map near Z-DNA-forming sequences in human leukaemia and lymphoma.¹² Recently, the group of Dröge employed the Z-DNA binding protein ADAR1 as a probe together with a chromatin affinity precipitation. This procedure revealed almost 200 genomic Z-DNA hotspots in human A549 tumor cells.¹³ A forth of the hotspots were located in centromeres, but only two in promoter regions.

In contrast with B-DNA, Z-DNA is highly immunogenic and some antibodies can recognise this conformation and these antibodies are not species-specific.¹⁴ For instance, patients with lupus erythematosis produce – among other nuclear components – antibodies with high specificity for Z-DNA.³ Lipps carried out an experiment where Z-DNA antibodies were used to stain ciliated protozoa that have both macronucleus and micronucleus.¹⁵ The micronucleus is only needed for genetic reproduction, whereas the transcription occurs exclusively in the macronucleus. The Z-DNA antibody was only staining the macronucleus, not the micronucleus. This experiment indirectly connected Z-DNA and transcription.

Antibodies were also used in metabolically active mammalian nuclei to detect Z-DNA. The experiments showed a relationship between the presence of Z-DNA and the negative torsional strain of DNA. As for the findings of Lipps’ group, the amount of Z-DNA increased considerably as transcription occurred, but was unaffected during DNA replication.¹⁶ De la
Results and discussion

In this publication, we study the interaction of poly d(GC) with the mononucleating ligands L, LEt and the dinucleating ligands L2, L3, L4 as well as their Ni, Zn and Cu complexes (Scheme 1).

Originally, we started working on the bis-[12]aneN₃ ligand L₃ that has its two [12]aneN₃ rings joined together by a propylene linker.¹⁴ When comparing the two X-ray structures of the copper complexes L₃Cu₂(NO₃)₂(TfO)₂ (Fig. 1) and 9,²⁴ they revealed the high flexibility of the chosen ligand system. Both complexes were synthesized starting from ligand L₃, in the latter case with copper(II) chloride to give the μ-hydroxo-μ-chlorido bridged complex 9 with a copper-copper distance of 3.2350(9) Å. In the former case, reaction of L₃ with two equivalents of copper(II) nitrate gave the dinuclear complex L₃Cu₂(NO₃)₂(TfO)₂·(CH₃OH)₀.⁷(H₂O)₀ at 50% probability. Non-acidic hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules were omitted for clarity.

L₃Cu₂(NO₃)₂(TfO)₂ that does not show any copper-copper interaction (Cu(1)-Cu(2) distance: 8.5803(10) Å, Fig. 1). These findings were the reason why the linker length in the dinucleating ligand was varied in order to study the effect of increasing and reducing the flexibility of the system on the B- to Z-DNA transition.

In order to synthesize the known 1,4-bis(triazacyclododecyl)-butane (L₄), we did not follow the route described in the literature²⁵ but chose an alternative way that we already had employed for the synthesis of 1,4-bis(triazacyclododecyl)-propane.²⁴ Our method led to an improvement of the overall yield of 1,4-bis(triazacyclododecyl)-butane L₄ from 40%²⁵ to 74%. The synthesis of the corresponding precursor 1,4-butylenebistriflate could also be improved from 63%²⁶ to 98%. 1,4-butylenebistriflate was added to two equivalents of 1,5,9-triazacyclododecane at room temperature to yield the desired product 13 in 86% yield. Compound 13 was then

Table 1 Studied compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L</th>
<th>LEt, R = Et</th>
<th>L₂, n = 1</th>
<th>L₃, n = 2</th>
<th>L₄, n = 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III, R = H</td>
<td>1, MX₂ = Ni(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>2, MX₂ = Cu(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>3, MX₂ = Zn(NO₃)₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, R = Et</td>
<td>4, MX₂ = Cu(OAc)₂</td>
<td>5, MX₂ = Cu(OAc)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, n = 1</td>
<td>6, MX₂ = Ni(OAc)₂</td>
<td>7, MX₂ = Cu(OAc)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, n = 2</td>
<td>8, MX₂ = Ni(OAc)(OTf)</td>
<td>9, M₂X₄ = Cu₂(OH)(CH)(OTf)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, n = 3</td>
<td>11, MX₂ = Ni(OAc)₂</td>
<td>12, MX₂ = Cu(OAc)₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 ORTEP representation of L₃Cu₂(NO₃)₂(TfO)₂·(CH₃OH)₀.⁷(H₂O)₀. View Article Online
refluxed in triflic acid for 18 hours in order to obtain the hexaprotonated ligand L4 in 86% yield (Scheme 2). Deprotonation by sodium hydroxide and finally ion exchange column quantitatively yielded the free ligand L4.

Crystals of 1,4-bis(triazacyclododecyl)butane·4TfOH could be obtained from a partially neutralised ethanolic solution of the hexaprotonated ligand L4, simply by concentrating the sample and storing it at 4 °C (Fig. S1†). In the crystal structure of L4·4TfOH, the asymmetric unit consists of half of a molecule – the other half being generated by a centre of inversion. All four NH protons of one [12]aneN3 ring were localized and, not surprisingly, all are involved in hydrogen bonding: The proton of N1 forms an internal bridge across the ring to N2 (2.693(2) Å), while the remaining 3 protons all bind to triflate anions with N–O distances between 2.732(3) Å (N3–O31_ x + 0.5, –y + 0.5, z – 0.5) to 2.934(3) Å (N2–O30). The synthesis of the ligand 1,2-bis(triazacyclododecyl)ethane (L2) has already been described by us before.27

The synthesis and isolation of the nickel (1), copper (2) and zinc (3) complexes has been achieved from ligand L in methanol and the corresponding nitrate salts. The synthesis of 1 with hydroxide and perchlorate as the anions has been reported together with the stability constant of L-Cu(II).28 A μ-chloro-μ-hydroxo dimer of Cu(ii)[12]aneN3 with perchlorates as remaining anions has been characterised.29 The in situ generation of 3, but not its characterisation, was reported.30

Complex L4Ni2(AcO)4 was obtained when ligand L4 was mixed with two equivalents of Ni(CH3CO2)2. Since crystals could not be grown of the reaction product, an excess of NaB(C6H5)4 was added to a solution of complex L4Ni2(AcO)4 in water. The resulting precipitate was filtered off and dissolved in acetonitrile. Crystals could finally be grown by vapour diffusion of tetrahydropyrane (THP) to the acetonitrile solution.31 The nickel centre of L4Ni2(OAc)2(BPh4)2(NCCH3)2 presents a distorted octahedral coordination centre (Fig. 2). The triazamacrocyle binds to the nickel cation in a facial tridentate fashion; the remaining coordination sites are occupied by a bidentate acetate and an acetonitrile. The intramolecular nickel–nickel distance is 9.6723(7) Å. The asymmetric unit is composed of half a molecule, the other half of the complex is generated by a centre of inversion. A disordered THP molecule was found in the crystal lattice as well.

Ligand L2 was reacted with two equivalents of nickel acetate in a mixture of methanol-acetonitrile to yield a blue solution. The resulting nickel complex (6) was crystallised by vapour diffusion of pentane into the corresponding complex solution in dichloromethane. The nickel centre presents a slightly distorted octahedral coordination. The nickel–nickel distance is with 6.542(1) Å 3.13 Å shorter than in the butylene bridged case. The water molecule coordinated to the nickel centre is in hydrogen bonding with the non-coordinating oxygen atoms of both acetate groups (Fig. 3).

Ligand L3 was used to synthesise dinuclear nickel (8), copper (9) and zinc (10) complexes. All three metal complexes could induce Z-DNA (Fig. 4). These results showed for the very first time an opposite behaviour between mononuclear and dinuclear metal complexes. Thereby, our original hypothesis...
Z-DNA transition. The midpoint of this transition happened even though it contained chloride which is retarding the B- to A- transition. The spectra are shown after the possible transition has been completed.

Further study the other members of the homologous series of the metal series of nickel, copper and zinc, we decided not to synthesise the mononucleating ligand LEt·3TfOH (0.30 equivalent metals needed versus DNA phosphate) among the metal series of nickel, copper and zinc, we decided not to further study the other members of the homologous series of the zinc complexes.

Table 1 Studied ligands and metal complexes and their effect upon poly d(GC): Z: induction of Z-DNA, denat.: denaturation, condens.: condensation; n.d.: not determined. Numbers after the compound number stand for the midpoint of the observed transition expressed as metal equivalents versus DNA phosphates (if observed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>No metal</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L-3TFOH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEt</td>
<td>LEt-3TFOH, n.d.</td>
<td>4, none</td>
<td>2, none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>L2-6TFOH, n.d.</td>
<td>6, Z 0.20</td>
<td>7, none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>L3-6TFOH, none24</td>
<td>8, Z 0.2124</td>
<td>9, Z 0.1624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>L4-6TFOH, condens.</td>
<td>11, Z 0.25</td>
<td>12, Z 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was confirmed that dinuclear metal complexes with the appropriate distance between the two metal centres would be more efficient Z-DNA inducers than mononuclear ones. However, our experimental studies showed a much more dramatic result, since the mononuclear complexes 1, 2 and 3 (Fig. S2, pink; Fig. S3, blue; Fig. S3,† pink) did not induce Z-DNA at all, or even induced denaturation (complex 5), whereas their equivalent dinuclear complexes are one of the best Z-DNA inducers described so far (Table 1).

The nickel complex 8 induced the midpoint of the B- to Z-DNA transition at 0.21 equivalents of metal (0.11 equivalents of metal complex). The zinc complex 10 was the worst Z-DNA inducer among the three successful complexes having a midpoint at 0.30 equivalents of zinc (0.15 equivalents of complex, Fig. 5). The best Z-DNA inducer was the copper complex 9, even though it contained chloride which is retarding the B- to Z-DNA transition. The midpoint of this transition happened at 0.16 equivalents of copper (0.08 equivalents of complex, Fig. 5). As the zinc complex 10 was the worst Z-DNA inducer (0.30 equivalent metals needed versus DNA phosphate) among the metal series of nickel, copper and zinc, we decided not to further study the other members of the homologous series of the zinc complexes.

The linker between the two units in ligand L3 was first increased from three to four carbon atoms to give ligand L4, which is expected to have a higher flexibility and to generate a longer distance between the two metal centres. Nickel (11) and copper (12) complexes induced Z-DNA at a slightly higher concentration than their equivalent complexes with ligand L3. Thus increasing the flexibility of the system had only a slightly negative if any effect on the ability of the complexes to induce Z-DNA (Fig. S4 and S5†).

Since increasing the flexibility of the system did not help to improve the efficiency of Z-DNA induction, a more rigid ligand was synthesised by reducing the length of the linker to two carbon atoms in ligand L2. Nickel (6) and copper (7) complexes were synthesised and tested for their ability to promote the B- to Z-DNA transition. The nickel complex (6) was slightly more efficient in inducing Z-DNA (Fig. S4†) than the other dinickel complexes. However, the copper complex (7) was not able to induce Z-DNA (Fig. S5†), demonstrating a dramatic difference when the flexibility of the ligand was reduced.

The mononucleating ligand LEt was synthesised in order to generate a mononuclear system that resembles a halved dinuclear complex, in which the ethyl substituent mimics the steric and electronic influences of the linker in the dinuclear systems. A similar behaviour as for nickel complex 1 was observed when the nickel complex (4, Fig. S6,† blue) with ligand LEt was tested in the B- to Z-DNA transition. However a different result was obtained with the copper complex 5 that contained ligand LEt. Denaturation of the DNA was induced after the addition of 0.3 equivalents of the copper complex 5 (Fig. S6,† pink). The denaturation is more easily seen by either looking at the whole CD or UV/vis spectra at different equivalents of the copper complex (Fig. S7†). In summary it can be said that neither the nickel 4 nor the copper 5 complexes induced Z-DNA.
As a control, the mononuclear ligand LEt·3TfOH and dinuclear butylene bridged ligand L4·6TfOH were also tested for their ability to induce Z-DNA (Fig. S8†). Ligand LEt·3TfOH did not have any effect on DNA, however, the dinucleating ligand L4·6TfOH induced condensation of DNA.34 This condensation started at around 0.5 equivalents of ligand versus DNA phosphates and lead to a strong increase of the absorption at 255 nm. This aggregation of DNA did not have an intermediate Z-DNA transition as observed in Zacharias’ work, instead of that the condensation occurred directly from B-DNA as in Chaires studies34,35 (Fig. S9†). Zacharias suggested that the hydrophobic part of the acetate group could be decisive for the condensation.34 In our system, 6 triflates per ligand are present; the CF3 group of the triflates could be the hydrophobic tale needed for the condensation. However, LEt·3TfOH and L3·6TfOH with the same ratio of nitrogen atoms versus triflates did not induce condensation of DNA.

EDTA was used to study the reversibility of the transition metal induced B- to Z-DNA transformation.32,36 The action of EDTA alone upon poly d(GC) was studied first. As expected, EDTA did not induce Z-DNA but rather surprisingly, at high concentration caused condensation of DNA (Fig. S10†). Further addition of EDTA lowered the positive CD band at 275 nm. Then, the effect of EDTA upon the complexes 6 (Ni)/9 (Cu) and poly d(GC) were investigated (Fig. S11†). In both cases, the DNA essentially converted back to the B-form after the addition of two equivalents of EDTA, and a full conversion back to B-DNA was found after 3 equivalents of EDTA versus metal ions.

Conclusions

This study aimed to determine the factors that favour the induction of Z-DNA by metal complexes. Our original hypothesis was that sterically demanding dinuclear metal complexes with a metal to metal distance of about 5–7 Å would be more efficient inducers of the left-handed form than other dinuclear and mononuclear complexes. This idea was inspired by looking at the geometry of Z-DNA with its solvent exposed atom N7 of guanine and the internastrand N7–N7 distance between two guanine of a GC base pair step. We soon realized that for the 1,5,9-triazadodecane system, the reality was even better than our hypothesis: Only the dinuclear copper and nickel complexes of 1,3-bis-triazadodecyl-propene were inducing the left-handed form of poly d(GC) but not the corresponding N-ethyl-triazadodecane mononuclear metal complexes.24 In the current publication, we substantially expanded the set of studied compounds by including dinucleating ligands whose rings are either linked by an ethylene or butylene group. As a first conclusion, we can say that none of the studied ligands were inducing the Z-form. L4·6TfOH was causing condensation of the DNA, but apart from this result no effects were observed. This is interesting because various linear polyamines were found to be powerful Z-DNA inducers.37 To the best of our knowledge, no macrocyclic polyamines were ever reported to induce Z-DNA.38 Summarising the results of the extended set of mononuclear metal complexes, none of them induce Z-DNA. On the other hand, almost all of the dinuclear complexes do induce the left-handed form with the notable exception of the di-copper complex of 1,2-bis-triazadodecyl-ethane. At the moment, we still do not know, what causes this exception. Comparing the relative ability of the various metal ions, copper seems to be always better than nickel and zinc. This trend essentially follows the Irving–Williams series29 as discussed by Barone et al.40 Concerning the influence of the linker length, we can note that the differences between ethylene, propylene and butylene linker are very subtle, with the propylene linker being the most efficient among them. This indifference of the system towards the linker length might be caused by the nature of the ligands that lack an anionic central attachment point for the metal ions41 and the flexibility of the chosen aliphatic linker.

As an outlook, one could imagine similar complexes having high affinity for these specific forms of DNA that could be functionalised with fluorescence markers or damaging moieties for future applications in a chemical detection of the transcription or in an antitumour therapy.

Experimental section

Instrumentation and materials

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Buchs, CH) and used without further purification. The synthesis of compounds LEt, L3, 4, 5, 8, 924 and L227 has already been described by us previously. The synthesis of 1,4-butylenebistriolate was done slightly differently than reported in the literature26 (see ESI† for details). All the reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reactions were monitored by HPLC or thin layer chromatography (TLC). TLC was carried out on 0.25 mm Merck silica gel aluminium plates (60 F254) or aluminium oxide pre-coated plastic sheets (alox N/UV254) using UV light or Schliitter’s reagent42 as a visualising agent. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (particle size 0.040–0.063 mm) or aluminium oxide (basic, 0.05–0.15 mm, pH 9.5 ± 0.5). Ion exchange chromatography was performed on DOWEX 2 X8 20–50 (Fluka) or AMBERLYST A26-OH, macromolecular ion-exchange resin (ABCR). Elemental analyses were performed on a Leco CHNS-932 elemental analyser. Electrospray ionisation (ESI) mass spectra were recorded on a Merck Hitachi M-8000 spectrometer or a Bruker Daltonics HCT 6000 mass spectrometer. UV/Vis spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 50 spectrometer and IR spectra on a Perkin Elmer, Spectrum Two spectrometer equipped with a Golden Gate ATR. NMR spectra were acquired on a Varian Mercury 200 MHz or Gemini 300 MHz, and on a Bruker DRX 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer. The chemical shifts are relative to residual solvent protons as reference. Circular dichroism measurements were performed using a Jasco J-810 spectro-
polarimeter equipped with a Jasco PFD-4255 Peltier temperature controller. A 0.1 mM poly d(GC) solution in 1 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH = 7) was titrated with various aliquots of 1 mM solutions of the ligands or metal complexes in the same buffer. The samples were warmed to 60 °C for 5 minutes and then cooled down to 25 °C for the CD measurements. The CD spectra were smoothed by adjacent averaging. Crystallographic data were collected at 183(2) K on a Stoe IPDS (Mo Kα radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å) diffractometer using a graphite-monochromated radiation. Suitable crystals were covered with Paratone N oil, mounted on top of a glass fibre and immediately transferred to the diffractometer. A maximum of eight thousand reflections distributed over the whole limiting sphere were selected by the program SELECT and used for unit cell parameter refinement with the program CELL. Data were collected for Lorentz and polarisation effects as well as for absorption (numerical). Structures were solved with direct methods using SHELXS-97 or SIR97 and were refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on F² with SHELXL-97. Crystals with low symmetry space groups measured on the one-circle Stoe IPDS diffractometer have an intrinsically low completeness of their data sets. CCDC 1021588–1021591 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

**Synthesis of compound 13.** 1,4-Butylenebis triflate (4.7 g, 13.3 mmol) dissolved in dry DCM (90 ml) was slowly added to a solution of 1,5,9-triazatricyclo[7.3.1.0]tridecane (3.93 g, 13.3 mmol) dissolved in dry DCM (90 ml) was slowly added to a solution of ligand L4·6 TfOH. After 18 hours of stirring, abundant precipitate was obtained. The precipitate was filtered off and dried under reduced pressure, but no further solid was obtained (13, 66.8 g, 86%). Elemental analysis: C_{26}H_{44}F_{6}N_{6}O_{18}S_{6}: calc. C (25.93%), H (4.20%), N (6.48%); exp. C (25.81%), H (4.23%), N (6.43%). MS (ESI): m/z (%) = 547 [M + TFOH + H]^+ (100), 697 [M + 2(TFOH) + H]^+ (7), 847 [M + 3(TFOH) + H]^+ (4). IR (Golden Gate ATR): ʋ = 3045 (NH₂⁺, w), 2830 (CH₂, w), 1212 (C–F, s), 1165 (SO₃, s), 1021 (S=O, s) cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, D₂O): δ = 1.93 (broad s, 4 H, H(a)), 2.30–2.33 (m, 12 H, H(d) + H(g)), 3.37 (broad s, 4 H, H(b)), 3.41–3.46 (m, 16 H, H(e) + H(f)) and 3.53 ppm (broad s, 8 H, H(c)). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, D₂O): δ = 17.88 (C(d)), 20.96 (C(g)), 21.47 (Ca(a)), 41.39 (C(f)), 42.46 (C(e)), 47.57 (C(c)), 54.60 (C(b)) and 120.21 (q, J = 317.0 Hz, CF₃). Crystals could be obtained from a partially neutralised ethanolic solution of the originally hexaprotonated ligand, simply by concentrating the sample and storing at 4 °C.

**Synthesis of 1,4-bis(1,5,9-triazacyclododecyl)butane (L4).** Ligand (L4·6 TFOH) (2.02 g, 1.6 mmol) was dissolved in 15 ml of NaOH (1 M) and NaCl (3.5 M). The resulting solution was extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was then dissolved in water and run through an activated ion exchange column of the type amberlist A-26, macromolecular ion-exchange resin. All the fractions containing the product were concentrated together, yielding a colourless oil of L4 (0.688 g, quantitative). Elemental analysis: C_{32}H_{48}N_{6}O₂: calc. C (66.62%), H (12.20%), N (21.19%); exp. C (66.61%), H (11.95%), N (21.00%).

**Synthesis of the mono-nickel complex of ligand L (1).** Ni(NO₃)₂ (96.9 mg, 0.34 mmol) was dissolved in methanol and added to a solution of ligand L (57.0 mg, 0.33 mmol) in the same solvent. The resulting green solution was concentrated and dried to yield the green complex 1 in quantitative yield. Elemental analysis: C_{21}H_{32}N_{7}O_{16}: calc. C (50.54%), H (5.98%), N (19.78%); exp. C (50.38%), H (5.74%), N (19.62%). MS (ES): m/z (%) = 291 [M – NO₃]⁺, (100), 644 [2M – NO₃]⁺ (50). IR (Golden Gate ATR): ʋ = 3197 (NH, w), 2935 (CH₂, w), 1487 (CH₂, m), 1385 (NO₃, s) cm⁻¹.

**Synthesis of the mono-copper complex of ligand L (2).** Cu(NO₃)₂ (208 mg, 0.861 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (8 ml) and added to a solution of ligand L (145 mg,
Elemental analysis: C 20H44Cu2N6(CH3CO2)4(CH2Cl2)1.5(H2O):
refluxed in ethanol for 10 minutes. Once it had cooled down,
(150.7 mg, 0.12 mmol) and KOH (39.5 mg, 0.70 mmol) was
added to the previous solution to give 76.2 mg (68% yield). Elemen-
tals of complex (4 ml), ligand L3 into the mixture of copper acetate (54 mg, 0.27 mmol) in MeOH,
was slowly added to a stirred solution of nickel acetate (76.6 mg, 0.25 mmol) in acetonitrile.31
solution of nickel acetate (76.6 mg, 0.25 mmol) in MeOH,
changing from blue to green. The solution was dried under reduced pressure obtaining
a bright blue solution with some solid material. The suspension was filtered while still hot and dried under reduced pressure obtaining
a green oil in 90% yield (11). Elemental analysis: C26H38N4Si2(NO3)4(Si2N4H8)+ (90%). IR (Golden Gate ATR): δ = 318.4 Hz, C21H46N6Zn2(CF3SO3)2(OH)2·(CH3CH2OH)0.5 calc. C (33.19%), H (5.92%), N (9.67%); exp. C (33.01%), H (6.17%), N (9.88%). MS (EI): m/z (%) = 533 [LH + CF3SO3H]+ (100%), 745 [LH + Zn(C2F5SO3H)]+ (85%).

Synthesis of the di-nickel complex of ligand L4 (11). Ligand L4 (51 mg, 0.13 mmol) and nickel triflate (136.6 mg, 0.26 mmol) were refluxed for 60 h in an acetonitrile-methanol-water mixture (10:10:1), yielding a bright blue solution with some solid material. Part of this solution was treated with a saturated solution of NaBPh4 in water in order to precipitate the dinickel complex in acetonitrile. Suitable crystals for X-ray analysis could be grown by slow vapour diffusion of tetrahydropyran into a solution of the nickel complex in acetonitrile.31
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