Metal–support interactions in surface-modified Cu–Co catalysts applied in higher alcohol synthesis
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Cu–Co-based model catalysts were prepared by a sophisticated alkali-free synthesis method and tested in the conversion of synthesis gas to higher alcohols. MoO3-coated alumina was used as the support, providing both high specific surface area and strongly interacting sites for the deposition of the active metals. A bulk Cu/Co ratio of ~2 was found to be most suitable in terms of activity and product distribution. Surface enrichment of Mo for all samples was observed by XPS, which significantly influenced the performance of the catalysts. Mo was found to be both a structural and a chemical promoter. Strong metal-support interactions were further achieved by modification of alumina with magnesia. With 12 wt% Mg incorporated, the catalysts showed 40% total oxygenate selectivity including 11% selectivity to ethanol.

1. Introduction

Conversion of synthesis gas derived from different resources (natural gas, coal, or biomass) has attracted renewed interest due to the possibility of producing clean fuels, fuel additives for octane or cetane enhancement and value added chemicals.1–5 In particular, higher alcohols are considered a potential alternative synthetic fuel for automobiles or a potential source of hydrogen for fuel cell applications.6,7 The heterogeneously catalyzed conversion of syngas to higher alcohols represents a promising route for large-scale higher alcohol production, but no commercial process exists till date. This is mostly due to the fact that controlling the selectivity towards the desired products is still a challenging issue in higher alcohol synthesis (HAS). Consequently, significant improvements in catalyst design and process development are required in order to achieve a commercially attractive process.

Typically, higher alcohol synthesis reactions occur over a catalyst that combines several functions including C–O bond breaking, CO insertion and hydrogenation. The formation of higher alcohols involves the insertion of CO into a metal–alkyl bond to give an acyl intermediate that can form an alcohol molecule by hydrogenation.8,9 The preparation method, composition and structure of the catalyst as well as the reaction conditions applied are the determining factors for the selective formation of the intermediates required in HAS.

In general, noble and non-noble metal-based heterogeneous catalysts have been extensively studied for higher alcohol synthesis. Up to now, Rh seems to be the most suitable metal in HAS in terms of higher alcohol productivities. However, due to their high and fluctuating price, Rh-based catalysts are inapplicable on the industrial scale. Alternative systems containing non-noble metals are based on modified catalysts applied in hydrodesulphurization reactions (Mo-based), methanol synthesis (Cu-based) and Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (Co- or Fe-based).9–11

Combination of these metal species is considered a promising method to cope with the different functions required for the selective formation of alcohols. Catalysts for higher alcohol synthesis based on Cu–Co were first reported by the Institut Français du Pétrole (IFP).12 Since then, several reports on Cu–Co catalysts have been published.13–18 In most cases, Cu–Co-based catalysts are prepared by co-precipitation, impregnation or pyrolysis in the presence of organic acids. Meanwhile, different concepts have been developed regarding the nature of the active sites for the formation of higher alcohols over Cu–Co-based catalyst systems.19,20 However, they all agree that close proximity of the different metal species is mandatory, which requires skillful preparation and enhanced catalyst design.21,22

Although unsupported Co–Cu systems seem to be suitable model catalysts to analyze the nature of active sites and the mechanisms involved in carbon monoxide hydrogenation, the use of a support plays a major role in HAS and its choice...
highly influences the catalytic performance of Cu–Co-based systems in terms of activity, selectivity and stability. Silica has been widely used as a catalyst support due to its high surface area, porosity, and stability. However, silica-supported catalysts exhibit weak metal-support interactions. This leads to an enhanced agglomeration of the cobalt particles during reduction, resulting in a lower degree of dispersion and a decrease in the number of active sites. Therefore, the preparation of highly dispersed and stable Cu–Co catalysts requires a strong interaction between the metal precursor and the support. It has been reported that Co/alumina systems exhibit strong metal-support interactions and favorable mechanical properties, which may positively affect the surface properties, and hence the catalytic activity. Ordered mesoporous alumina are quite interesting and promising catalyst supports due to their structural characteristics such as uniform pore size distribution, high specific surface area, high specific pore volume, tunable pore size and long range ordering of the pore packing. The presence of surface hydroxyl groups offers the opportunity to support metals and metal oxides with potential catalytic activity. Moreover, due to the large pores they provide less diffusion limitation for the reactant and product molecules and minimize coke formation. Traditional alumina supports are nonporous or show disordered structures with wide pore size distributions, which makes it difficult to differentiate which pores are involved in the reaction.

In a previous study, mesoporous Co/alumina composites were successfully prepared by evaporation-induced self-assembly (EISA). The EISA process is one of the most suitable approaches to design functional mesoporous oxides with fine-tuned structural, compositional and morphological properties. However, the strong interaction between cobalt and the alumina support led to enhanced formation of a CoAl2O4 spinel phase. Cu is strongly bound in the spinel structure and is hardly reduced at temperatures below 973 K, which makes application in HAS quite difficult.

The present contribution deals with the preparation of Cu–Co-based model catalysts for HAS applying a support that provides both the structural properties of mesoporous alumina and a suitable surface for efficient anchoring and dispersion of the active sites. For this purpose, mesoporous alumina was partly covered with MoO3 and used as a support for the simultaneous deposition of copper and cobalt. The MoO3 coating reduces spinel formation, which in turn significantly influences the performance of the catalyst. In addition, Mo is known to promote the catalytic performance of Cu–Co based catalysts applied in HAS. The role of the support was further investigated by specific modifications. Moreover, in contrast to conventional preparation methods for HAS catalysts, the presented route does not require any alkali salts. Alkali metals are well-known to promote the HAS reaction. Thus, uncertain amounts of alkali residues in catalyst samples prepared by conventional co-precipitation or impregnation methods can have a strong impact on the catalyst performance and cause misleading results.

The alkali-free Cu–Co model catalysts described in this paper were successfully applied in HAS, revealing the first insight into the role of metal–support interactions.

2. Experimental

2.1 Catalyst synthesis

All solvents and chemicals were obtained from Aldrich Chemicals and used as received.

2.1.1 Synthesis of mesoporous alumina. 6.0 g of (EO)20–(PO)20(EO)20 triblock copolymer (Pluronic P123) was dissolved in 100 mL of ethanol and 12 g of aluminium isopropoxide was dissolved in 10 mL of nitric acid and 100 mL of ethanol. Once dissolved, the two solutions were combined and allowed to stir for additional 5 h.

For the Mg modified support, 6.0 g of (EO)20(PO)70(EO)20 triblock copolymer (Pluronic P123), 12 g of aluminium isopropoxide and 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid were mixed in 100 mL of ethanol and allowed to stir for 5 h. The required amount of magnesium nitrate was added to the resultant mixture and stirred for one additional hour.

The obtained materials were dried and calcined for 6 h at 973 K applying a heating rate of 2 K min⁻¹.

2.1.2 Synthesis of MoO3/alumina. Mesoporous alumina with high specific surface area was used as the substrate, which was covered with MoO3 by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) using Mo(CO)6 as a precursor. CVD was performed under atmospheric pressure in a horizontal quartz-tube reactor with a length of 86 cm, and outer and inner diameters of 26 and 21 mm, respectively. The 20 cm long section in the middle of the tube was equipped with 6 mm deep indentations to improve mixing, and a frit was positioned at the downstream end of the indented section to prevent discharge. The quartz-tube reactor was placed horizontally in a tubular furnace (Carbolite, length 68 cm, inner diameter 4 cm) with an isothermal zone of more than 30 cm length at 673 K. The quartz-tube reactor was connected to the gas supply equipped with mass flow controllers (MFCs), and the effluent was passed to the ventilation system through a water seal. The reactor was rotated by means of a motor at a rate of about 20 revolutions per minute to achieve efficient mixing and isothermal conditions. The synthesis was divided into two steps: sublimation-adsorption at 343 K and oxidative decomposition at elevated temperatures. In a typical synthesis, 2 g of alumina, which was first dehydrated at 393 K and 0.60 g (depending on the loading) of Mo(CO)6 were thoroughly mixed, and then the mixture was placed in the centre of the quartz-tube reactor. The mixture was heated to 343 K with a rate of 5 K min⁻¹, and then this temperature was kept for 3 h in static air. Afterwards, a flow of N2 (80 sccm) and O2 (20 sccm) was passed through the reactor. Simultaneously, the temperature was increased to 673 K with 10 K min⁻¹ and kept for additional 3 h. Finally, the resulting material was cooled to room temperature in the same atmosphere.

2.1.3. Preparation of (NH4)6[MC6H4O7]3; M = Cu, Co. Synthesis of the metal citrate precursors followed the method
described by Matzapekats et al.33 Cobalt nitrate (0.15 g, 0.51 mmol) was dissolved in distilled water and citric acid monohydrate (0.22 g, 1.04 mmol) was added under continuous stirring. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred overnight at 323 K. Afterwards, the solution was dried in a rotary evaporator. The solid was redissolved in water and the pH was raised to ~8 with aqueous ammonia. Ethanol was subsequently added and the solution was placed in a refrigerator (277 K). After several days, pink crystals were obtained and isolated by filtration. Synthesis of the desired precursor phase was verified by elemental analysis. The preparation of [Ni(H)(2-C6H5O7)] followed a similar procedure. The synthesized Cu and Co precursors were used for wet impregnation of the modified Al2O3 support followed by calcination at 673 K for 3 h.

2.2 Catalyst characterization

The actual composition of the synthesized materials was revealed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) using a UNICAM PU 700.

The modified support and the final catalysts were characterized by N2 physisorption measurements at 77 K using a slightly modified Autosorb 1C setup (Quantachrome). Prior to the measurements, samples were degassed under vacuum for 2 h at 473 K. The specific surface areas were determined from the adsorption isotherms in the relative pressure (p/p0) range from 0.06 to 0.2 applying the BET method. The pore size distribution (PSD) was calculated from the nitrogen desorption branch using the BJH method considering the maximum of the PSD as the average pore size. The pore volume was considered as the volume of liquid nitrogen adsorbed at p/p0 ~ 1.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded in the 2θ range from 10 to 70° (step width of 0.0308°) with a PANanalytical MPD diffractometer using Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) at 45 kV and 40 mA, 0.58 divergent and anti-scatter slits, a 0.2 mm high receiving slit, 0.04 rad incident and diffracted beam soller slits, and a secondary graphite monochromator. Powder diffraction files (PDFs) from the International Centre of Diffraction Data (ICDD) combined with the X’Pert Line software (PANalytical, Almelo) were used for qualitative phase analysis.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was applied for optical evaluation. Measurements of the powder materials were performed with a high resolution thermally aided field SEM (Zeiss, LEO1530 Gemini).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out in an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) set-up equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (hν = 1486.6 eV), operated at 14.5 kV and 35 mA, and a high resolution Gammadata-Scienta SES 2002 analyzer. The base pressure in the measurement chamber was maintained at about 7 × 10−10 mbar. The measurements were performed in the fixed transmission mode with a pass energy of 200 eV, resulting in an overall energy resolution better than 0.5 eV. A flood gun was applied to compensate the charging effects. High-resolution spectra of C 1s, O 1s, Al 2p and Co 2p were recorded. The binding energy scales were re-calibrated based on the C 1s line from adventitious hydrocarbon at 284.8 eV. Casa XPS software with a 70 : 30 Gaussian–Lorentzian product function and Shirley background subtraction was used for peak deconvolution.

Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) measurements were performed with a sample weight of approximately 0.2 g loaded in a U-shaped quartz reactor and pre-treated in flowing Ar (100 mL min−1) at 573 K for 1 h. After cooling, a 5% H2/Ar mixture flowing at 100 mL min−1 was applied while heating the sample to 1123 K with a heating rate of 5 K min−1. The hydrogen consumption was measured by a thermal conductivity detector. Subsequent to each TPR measurement, N2O reactive frontal chromatography (RFC) was performed applying a flow of 1% N2O in He probing both metallic Cu0 and Co0 surfaces.34

2.3 Catalytic testing

The catalytic measurements were performed in a high-pressure flow set-up consisting of a gas supply, a heatable glass-lined packed-bed microreactor with an inner diameter of 8 mm and a pressure controller (Dräger Tescom). The applied synthesis gas consisted of 39.71% CO (99.997% purity), 40.36% H2 (99.999%), and 19.93% N2 (99.999%). A total gas flow of 40 Nmil min−1 was regulated by mass flow controllers (MFCs). The reactor was filled with 250 mg of the calcined catalyst and diluted with 400 mg of alumina in a sieve fraction of 250 to 355 μm. The oven temperature was controlled by a Eurotherm 2416. Furthermore, the gas line was heated to 393 K to avoid condensation of alcohols and water. Prior to each measurement, the catalysts were reduced in 100 Nml min−1 5% H2 in N2. The temperature was raised to 623 K (1 K min−1) and kept constant for 6 h. The reaction temperature was chosen to be 553 K and a pressure of 60 bar was applied. Online gas analysis was realized by using two isotherm operating gas chromatographs (GC, Shimadzu 14A, Shimadzu 8A) using a Porapak N column to determine light hydrocarbons, alcohols, CO2, and H2O as well as a Molsieve 5Å column to determine N2, CO, and CH4.30

3. Results and discussion

3.1 The Cu–Co/MoO3/Al2O3 system

The compositions of the different samples determined by ICP are summarized in Table 1. The samples are denoted by the theoretical weight percentage of Cu and/or Co impregnated on the Mo-modified Al2O3 support.

The nature of the isotherm of nitrogen adsorption and desorption for pure Al2O3 was found to be type IV with an H1 hysteresis loop and confirms the mesoporosity of alumina.27 The Al2O3 sample exhibited a specific surface area of about 300 m2 g−1 with a maximum pore diameter of about 6.8 nm. After deposition of the MoO3 layer, the specific surface area...
decreased to 267 m² g⁻¹. A further decrease is observed after impregnation of the Mo-modified alumina with the respective amounts of Cu and/or Co (Table 1). Although the values differ, no clear trend with respect to the metal loading is found. In general, half of the specific surface area of pure Al₂O₃ was retained after the subsequent two deposition steps. As the decrease in the specific surface area is accompanied by a decrease in the pore volume and diameter, it is assumed that MoO₃ as well as Co and Cu oxides mainly coat the inner surface of the alumina support.

The bulk structure of the Cu–Co/(Mo/Al) samples was investigated by powder X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1). All samples show very broad and diffuse patterns typical for non-crystalline X-ray amorphous materials. The increasing background intensity with increasing Cu content is due to the fluorescence caused by the interactions between Cu and the applied Cu-Kα radiation. Significant reflexes of Mo, Cu or Co oxides are not observed, indicating small primary particles and a high degree of dispersion. The homogeneous dispersion of the metal components is confirmed by elemental mapping applying EDX (Fig. 2).

Activation of the catalyst prior to HAS is regarded as one crucial step in terms of active site formation. The reduction behavior of the different samples was investigated by TPR (Fig. 3). Sample 12Cu/(Mo/Al) shows a sharp reduction signal at relatively low temperatures, which can be assigned to the reduction of CuO. By analyzing the H₂ consumption in this temperature range, complete Cu reduction is confirmed. Further H₂ consumption at high temperatures originates from the reduction of MoO₃. As the TPR set-up is limited to a maximum temperature of 1123 K, MoO₃ reduction is not completed. Calculations based on the H₂ consumption indicate that approximately 80% of MoO₃ is reduced under the applied TPR conditions. With increasing Co amount (decreasing Cu) the reduction profile appears much broader and distributed over the whole temperature range. The profile of sample 12Co/(Mo/Al) displays the typical stepwise reduction of Co₃O₄ to Co₀.³⁵,³⁶ Due to the strong overlap for the Co-containing samples, precise deconvolution of the single signals is not possible. However, by analyzing the overall H₂ consumption, assuming complete reduction of CuO and 80% reduction of MoO₃, the degree of Co reduction can be estimated (Table 2). Although it is just a rough approximation, the values indicate that the degree of Co reduction decreases with decreasing amount of Cu. This observation is explained by a spill-over effect: reduced Cu⁰ nanoparticles formed at lower temperatures adsorb hydrogen dissociatively and facilitate the reduction of the neighboring cobalt oxide.³⁷ Incomplete Co reduction is assumed to be caused by the formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Composition (wt%)</th>
<th>Specific surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12Cu/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>32.9 7.2 12.3</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>32.6 6.7 8.4</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Cu–6Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>32.3 6.5 6.0</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Cu–8Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>33.1 6.9 3.8</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>31.9 7.8</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determined by ICP. b Determined by N₂ physisorption using the BET and BJH methods.
of a CoAl₂O₄ spinel phase, which requires temperatures higher than 1000 K to be reduced to Co⁰. However, TPR measurements of a Co/Al₂O₃ sample prepared by a similar impregnation method revealed that only 22% of the cobalt was reduced due to severe spinel formation. The comparison with 58% Co reduction for the 12Co/Mo/Al) sample confirms that the partial coating of the Al₂O₃ surface with MoO₃ resulted in reduced formation of the unwanted spinel phase.

Although the samples were prepared by sequential deposition of Mo, Cu and Co oxide on Al₂O₃, the surface composition revealed by XPS differs significantly from what is expected (Table 3). All samples show a surface enrichment of Mo compared to the bulk composition. The surface Cu/Co ratios for samples 8Cu–4Co/Mo/Al) and 4Cu–8Co/Mo/Al) are almost equal to the respective bulk values. However, the Cu/Co ratio is significantly decreased for sample 6Cu–6Co/Mo/Al) with respect to the expected bulk composition. The surface composition of this sample equals that of sample 4Cu–8Co/Mo/Al), which is further confirmed by the estimated N₂O consumption during RFC measurements. The values clearly correlate with the Cu content of the surface rather than with the bulk Cu amount.

Fig. 4a shows the CO conversion of the different samples applied in HAS following the described test procedure as a function of time on stream. While strong deactivation is observed for the bimetallic samples during the first 10 h, the pure Cu and Co catalysts show constant conversion values. The overall conversion values obtained after 35 h of TOS do not follow a clear trend with respect to the catalyst composition. However, with increasing Cu content a decrease in the intrinsic conversion, which relates the overall conversion to the accessible metallic surface area revealed by N₂O-RFC, is observed (Fig. 4b). The high intrinsic conversion value of the 12Co/Mo/Al) sample is explained by the Fischer-Tropsch activity confirmed by the high selectivity to methane and hydrocarbons and relatively low oxygenate selectivity (Fig. 4c–d, Table 4). With increasing Cu content, the catalytic properties of the samples shift from FT-like toward methanol synthesis. An increase in the oxygenate selectivity is observed with methanol being the most prominent compound, while the selectivities to methane and other hydrocarbons are gradually decreasing. The increasing CO₂ selectivity is explained by an increasing shift activity with increasing Cu amount. Sample 12Cu/Mo/Al) shows a clear drop in the selectivity to methanol and ethanol due to increased ether formation caused by acid sites on the catalysts. Again, this result shows that the mesoporous Al₂O₃ support is not completely coated with the less acidic MoO₃, resulting in enhanced ether formation.

3.2 Influence of the Mo loading

In order to confirm the beneficial effect of MoO₃ coating, TPR measurements with catalysts prepared by deposition of

![Fig. 3 TPR profiles of the different Cu–Co/(MoO₃/Al₂O₃) samples.](image)

### Table 2 Molar composition and approximate degrees of Co reduction for the different Cu–Co/(Mo/Al) samples estimated from the H₂ consumption during TPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Molar composition (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>H₂ consumptionᵃ (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Degree of Co reductionᵇ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12Cu/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Cu–6Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Cu–8Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Co/(Mo/Al)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Determined by TPR. ᵇ Assuming complete CuO reduction and 80% MoO₃ reduction; Co present as Co₃O₄.
Cu and Co on the unmodified alumina support were performed (not shown). Analysis of the detected H₂ consumption revealed a degree of Co reduction of approximately 34%, which is slightly higher compared with the value of 22% obtained for the Co/Al₂O₃ sample, demonstrating the beneficial effect of Cu (spillover effect). However, this value is still rather low, confirming severe spinel formation. As the chosen reduction temperature for the activation of the catalyst prior to the catalytic test is only 623 K, the insufficient reduction of the active phase for Cu–Co/Al₂O₃ resulted in a poor catalyst for CO hydrogenation. In contrast, coating of the alumina support with MoO₃ resulted in significantly higher degrees of reduction caused by suppressed spinel formation, leading to suitable catalytic performance of the catalysts applied in HAS.

The results of the sample with Cu–Co deposited on Mo-modified Al₂O₃ indicate that the alumina surface was not

### Table 3  Surface composition, relative surface and bulk metal ratios and N₂O-RFC results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface composition (at%)</th>
<th>Cu/Co ratio</th>
<th>N₂O consumption (mmol g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–4Co/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–6Co/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu–8Co/Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Determined by XPS.

---

**Fig. 4**

a) CO conversion of the different catalysts as a function of time on stream. b) Overall (dark) and intrinsic (bright) conversion with respect to the Cu–Co composition measured after 35 h of TOS. c) Selectivity to MeOH, EtOH and other oxygenates. d) Selectivity to CO₂, methane and other hydrocarbons.
completely covered with MoO$_3$. The high reduction temperature for samples with high Co loading as well as the XPS measurements revealed the formation of the undesired CoAl$_2$O$_4$ spinel phase. Therefore, additional samples with two and threefold Mo loadings were prepared and impregnated with the active metals, achieving a Cu : Co molar ratio of 2 : 1. Table 5 summarizes the specific surface areas of the samples compared with the pure support. A strong decrease in the specific surface area is observed with increasing Mo loading.

### Table 4 Conversion and selectivity values of the different catalysts obtained at $T = 553$ K and $p = 6$ MPa after 35 h of TOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$X_{\text{CO}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{CO}_2}$ (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{MeOH}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{EtOH}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{Oxy}^a}$ (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{CH}_4}$ (%)</th>
<th>$S_{\text{HC}^b}$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12Cu/$\text{Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/$\text{Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6Cu–6Co/$\text{Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Cu–8Co/$\text{Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Co/$\text{Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 5 Influence of the Mo loading on the specific surface area and mean pore diameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Specific surface area ($\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{MoO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/$\text{Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/$\text{2Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/$\text{3Mo/Al}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 a) CO conversion of the catalysts with varying Mo loading as a function of time on stream. b) Overall conversion with respect to the Mo loading measured after 35 h of TOS. c) Selectivity to MeOH, EtOH and other oxygenates. d) Selectivity to CO$_2$, methane and other hydrocarbons.
due to the covering of the inner surface of the alumina support.

The test results for the catalysts with different Mo loadings applied in HAS are shown in Fig. 5. The conversion shows a small maximum for sample 8Cu–4Co/[Mo/Al]. The initial increase in conversion with higher loading is assumed to be caused by a higher coverage of the alumina surface with MoO$_3$, leading to decreased spinel formation and thus a higher amount of reduced active metal species. By further increasing the Mo loading, the surface area drops resulting in a lower degree of dispersion and thus a lower overall activity.

Besides the mere physically effect of covering the alumina surface, an additional catalytic influence of Mo in HAS is observed. This promoting effect was recently investigated by de Jongh and coworkers combining the experimental and theoretical results obtained with CuCo/MoO$_3$ catalysts. A pronounced reducibility of Cu and Co in the presence of Mo was found, leading to enhanced formation of a Cu–Co alloy, which is considered the active phase in HAS over Cu–Co catalysts. Interestingly, a promoting effect is also clearly visible for samples 12Cu/[Mo/Al] and 12Co/[Mo/Al] containing only copper or cobalt, respectively (Table 4). On the one hand, for the pure Cu sample significant amounts of methane, higher hydrocarbons and C$_2$+ oxygenates are observed in addition to the expected product methanol. On the other hand, methanol and other oxygenates are formed on the pure Co catalyst in addition to the typical Fischer–Tropsch products. These results indicate the synergetic interaction of Mo with Cu and Co, respectively, leading to a broad product distribution.

### Table 6  Actual composition of the different Cu–Co/[Mo/Mg–Al] samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Composition$^a$ (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/[Mo/Al]</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/[Mo/3Mg–Al]</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/[Mo/6Mg–Al]</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Cu–4Co/[Mo/12Mg–Al]</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Determined by ICP.

Fig. 5 a) CO conversion of the 8Cu–4Co/[Mo/xMg–Al] catalysts with 0, 3, 6 and 12 wt% Mg as a function of time on stream. b) Overall CO conversion with respect to the Mg content measured after 35 h of TOS c) Selectivity to MeOH, EtOH and other oxygenates. d) Selectivity to CO$_2$, methane and other hydrocarbons.
Additionally, a change in selectivity is observed by varying the Mo loading (Fig. 5c–d). While the amounts of MeOH, EtOH and CH₄ are decreasing, a slight increase in the selectivities to C₂⁺ oxygenates, hydrocarbons and CO₂ with increasing Mo content is observed. However, for further optimization the sample containing the twofold amount of Mo is chosen as it shows the highest conversion together with a sufficient selectivity to ethanol.

3.3 Magnesium modification

Although Rh has a significant catalytic effect in HAS, it is economically not feasible in terms of large-scale catalyst manufacturing. Therefore, suitable alternative materials based on less expensive metals have to be developed. For this purpose, Mg-modified alumina was prepared and used as a support for the Cu–Co catalyst applied in HAS. Magnesium has a strong tendency to form a MgAl₂O₄ spinel phase. Thus, the support is saturated to a certain extent resulting in less Co₃Al₂O₆ spinel formation. Moreover, the incorporation of Mg introduces basicity to the support, which is assumed to reduce methane formation and enhance oxygenate selectivity.

Three different Mg–Al materials were prepared containing 3, 6 and 12 wt% Mg, respectively, and used as supports. The overall compositions of the respective final catalysts are summarized in Table 6. The catalysts were tested in HAS and the results were compared to those obtained for the sample without Mg (Fig. 6).

The overall conversion is not affected by the Mg content of the support. However, a significant influence on the product distribution is observed. With increasing Mg content, the selectivities to CH₄ and also CO₂ decrease. While the amounts of C₂⁺ oxygenates and hydrocarbons almost remain constant, the selectivity to ethanol (together with methanol) is significantly increased for the catalyst containing 12 wt% Mg. With a value of almost 11%, this catalyst exhibits by far the highest ethanol selectivity of all catalysts investigated in the present study. These preliminary results suggest that Mg modification is a promising tool for further optimization of Cu–Co-based catalysts applied in HAS.

4. Conclusions

Alkali-free Cu–Co-based model catalysts were prepared and successfully applied in HAS. By deposition of MoO₃ onto the alumina surface, a support was obtained providing both high specific surface area and enhanced interaction with the active metal species. The formation of the unwanted Co₃Al₂O₆ spinel phase was reduced leading to increased activity of the catalyst.

The degree of CO conversion and the product distribution were strongly affected the Cu/Co ratio. Moreover, MoO₃ was not only found to provide enhanced interaction between the active sites and the support, but it was also found to function as a chemical promoter significantly influencing the performance of the catalyst.

The alcohol selectivity was further increased by the modification of the alumina support by incorporation of basic Mg²⁺ cations. These results clearly indicate the presence of strong metal-support interactions in Cu–Co-based catalysts for HAS.
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