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This perspective article focuses on nanoscopic metal fluorides and hydroxide fluorides prepared via a recently explored fluorolytic sol-gel synthesis approach. Metal fluoride phases obtained via this route exhibit distinctly different properties compared with their classically prepared homologues. Thus, extremely strong solid Lewis acids are available which give access to new catalytic reactions with sometimes unexpectedly high conversion degrees and selectivity. Even more interestingly, metal hydroxide fluorides can be obtained via this synthesis route, which are not accessible via any other approach for which the hydroxide to fluoride ratio can be adjusted over a wide range. As a result, bi-acidic (Børsted and Lewis) solids with tunable Lewis to Børsted acidity can be obtained which show interesting results in a variety of reactions. Finally, these new nano-metal fluorides, due to their very high surface areas and distinct acidic properties, can be used as supports for many novel metal catalysed reactions, thus showing surprising synergistic effects. This overview will briefly outline the synthesis approach of the fluorolytic sol-gel route, will present characteristic bulk and surface properties and will give several examples of their novel catalytic applications in purely Lewis acid and in bi-acidic catalysed reactions, and will also exemplarily show the potential of these new materials as supports for heterogeneous catalytic reactions.

Introduction

As compared to their respective metal oxides, metal fluorides play just a minor role in both homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis. The probably most prominent fluoride used in catalysis is antimony pentafluoride, SbF₅, which is considered to be the strongest Lewis acid. It became very popular as the so-called Swarts catalyst in the late 1920s when it was introduced as a catalyst under homogeneous conditions for the industrial production of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) which were used for decades as refrigerants.¹,² However, alternatively to this liquid phase catalytic process, heterogeneously catalysed processes were developed in the 1960s that mainly used fluorinated alumina and chromia, where in fact aluminium fluoride and chromium(III)fluoride were the catalytically active phases. Measured on their production volume, SbF₅, AlF₃, and CrF₃ are the most widely used Lewis acid catalysts. Not surprisingly, fluorinated alumina and chromia and AlF₃ as well as CrF₃ were intensively investigated for many different fluorination reactions that are mainly related to the synthesis of CFCs and their alternatives hydrochlorofluorocarbons, HCFCs, and hydrofluorocarbons, HFCs. The characteristics of these catalysts as well as reaction mechanisms of the heterogeneously catalysed fluorination reactions were comprehensively reviewed some few years ago (see ref. 3 and 4 and references therein).

In 1999, K.O. Christe et al.⁵ introduced the pF scale which provides for the first time a quantification of Lewis acidity strength of metal fluorides and chlorides. Their approach is based on ab initio calculations of the free formation energies of the gas phase reactions of molecular metal fluorides with a gaseous fluoride anion (eqn (1)):

$$MF_x(g) + F^-(g) \rightarrow MF^{-(x+1)}(g) \quad (1)$$
These formation energy values stand for the fluoride anion affinity of the respective metal halides and, thus, can directly be taken as a measure of the Lewis acidity of these molecules. Thus, the authors consider their \( pF \) scale as a Lewis acid counterpart of the \( pK_a \) scale of Bronsted acids. For selected compounds, values are listed in Table 1; for further data, see ref. 6.

Reflecting on these data, there are at least two surprising facts: first, it turns out that \( \text{AuF}_5 \) is an even slightly stronger Lewis acid than \( \text{SbF}_5 \); is, although this is chemically not relevant since it is a very unstable compound. Second, aluminium fluoride and aluminium chloride fluoride phasess (note that stoichiometric compounds are unknown so far) exhibit practically the same Lewis acidity as the strong Lewis acid \( \text{AlCl}_3 \) which is like iron chloride, tin chloride or zinc chloride, a widely known Lewis acidic Friedel–Crafts catalyst. In contrast, \( \text{AlF}_3 \) is not at all considered as a suitable Friedel–Crafts catalyst; this means that its experienced catalytic potential is in strong contradiction to the Lewis acidity predicted by the \( pF \)-scale.

This situation has fundamentally changed since 2003 when the so-called\fluorolytic\ sol–gel synthesis had been introduced, giving access to nanoscopic high surface aluminium fluoride (HS-\( \text{AlF}_3 \))\,\(^{7}\) and was later on applied to many other nano-metal fluorides as well.\(^{8-10}\)

### 2. Synthesis and properties of nanoscopic metal fluorides

#### 2.1. The non-aqueous \fluorolytic\ sol–gel synthesis of binary metal fluorides

The classical aqueous sol–gel synthesis is represented by the reaction of a metal alkoxide dissolved in an organic solvent with water. In a first step, the hydrolysis reaction with water leads to the formation of \( \text{M-OH} \)-groups (eqn (2a)), which in a second reaction step undergo condensation reactions either with neighbouring \( \text{M-OH} \) or with still un-reacted \( \text{M-OR} \)-groups (eqn (2b) and (2c)); thus, either water or alcohol is formed.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{M(OR)}_n + n\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{M(OH)}_n + n\text{ROH} \quad (2a) \\
\text{M-OR} + \text{H-O} & \rightarrow \text{M-O-OR} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2b) \\
-\text{M-OR} + \text{ROH} & \rightarrow -\text{M-O-OR} + \text{ROH} \quad (2c)
\end{align*}
\]

This brief description is in fact a simplification of the complex reactions, but when properly performed this will lead to the creation of \( \text{M-O-M} \)-bridges, resulting finally in a three-dimensional nanoscale metal oxide.

Because the initial reaction step is a hydrolysis reaction, in this context it will be defined as \hydrolytic\ sol–gel synthesis thus indicating the differences and similarities with the \fluorolytic\ sol–gel synthesis that will be briefly outlined here.

The simplified chemical pathway of the \fluorolytic\ sol–gel synthesis is given by eqn (3).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{M-OR} + \text{H-F} & \rightarrow \text{M-F} + \text{ROH} \quad (3a) \\
\text{nM-F} & \rightarrow (-\text{N-F-M-F})_{n/2} \quad (3b)
\end{align*}
\]

As can easily be seen, this first reaction step (eqn (3a)) resembles closely the first step of the \hydrolytic\ sol–gel route (eqn (2a)). The only but important difference is that in the \fluorolytic\ sol–gel synthesis water as a reactant is completely replaced by hydrogen fluoride thus directly resulting in the formation of M–F bonds. The second reaction step of both synthesis routes has nothing in common although both strategies result – if properly performed – in the formation of either nanoscale metal oxides or nanoscale metal fluorides, respectively. M–F-bonds formed according to eqn (3a) cannot undergo condensation reactions, but fortunately another typical property of a fluoride anion supports the formation of a three-dimensional fluoride network. This means that fluoride ions strongly tend to bridge (eqn (3b)). There are just some very scarce examples of solid fluorides exhibiting terminal, meaning non-bridging, fluoride ions.\(^{11}\) Consequently, instead of condensation in case of metal oxide formation, the strong bridging tendency of fluoride ions causes the formation of nanoscopic three-dimensional particles. This new \fluorolytic\ route was published first time for the synthesis of nanoscopic high surface area aluminium fluoride (HS-\( \text{AlF}_3 \)) in 2003 (ref. 7) that has been proven in the meantime to be a general synthesis approach for nanoscopic metal fluorides.\(^{12-27}\)

For deeper insights into the mechanism of the \fluorolytic\ sol–gel approach, see ref. 10.

The reaction conditions differ sometimes drastically; however, the general synthesis path of the \fluorolytic\ sol–gel synthesis for all the binary metal fluorides follows the same rule that may be summarized according to Scheme 1.

Based on the same synthesis procedure, also ternary and quaternary metal fluorides and even complex fluorometallates are accessible.\(^{28-31}\)

The topological properties differ inside a narrow range, e.g. the particle size of many metal fluorides prepared according to this route varies between 5 and 20 nm. Hence, for many metal fluorides optically transparent clear sols can be synthesized (Fig. 1 left) from which dry xerogels can be obtained by evaporation of the solvent (Fig. 1 right).

Due to the characteristics of the synthesis path, highly distorted, often totally X-ray amorphous solids are formed,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>( \text{SbF}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{AlF}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{AlF}_2\text{Cl} )</th>
<th>( \text{AlFCl}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{AlCl}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{InF}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{GaF}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{AsF}_3 )</th>
<th>( \text{SiF}_4 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( pF )</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>10.70</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with BET surface areas ranging from, e.g., 250 m² g⁻¹ for HS-AlF₃ up to 400 m² g⁻¹ in case of MgF₂ xerogels.

Bulk and surface properties of these nano-metal fluoride phases sometimes differ drastically from their classically prepared analogues. An essential feature that is relevant for applications in catalysis is the surface topology of those phases. This will be displayed for two different AlF₃ phases, namely β-AlF₃ being one of the most intensively investigated crystalline AlF₃-modification because it exhibits for many Lewis acid catalysed reactions the best catalytic performance (see ref. 3 and 4 and references therein). The other one is HS-AlF₃ obtained according to the fluorolytic sol-gel route being almost X-ray amorphous with a surface area of >200 m² g⁻¹. In Fig. 2, IR difference spectra of adsorbed CO as a probe molecule on β-AlF₃ are displayed.

The bands at ν(CO) of ca. 2150 cm⁻¹ are characteristic of weakly (physi sorbed) CO, whereas those at ν(CO) of about 2175 cm⁻¹ represent medium strong Lewis acid sites. There is a very weak shoulder appearing at ν(CO) = 2240–2220 cm⁻¹ which can be explained by very strong Lewis acid sites.³²

The same CO IR spectra of sol-gel derived HS-AlF₃ are significantly different (Fig. 3). Besides the bands at ν(CO) = 2150 cm⁻¹ and ν(CO) = 2175 cm⁻¹, bands at ν(CO) = 2240–2220 cm⁻¹ are the dominating feature of this sample indicating the presence of very strong Lewis acid sites.³² Note that, to the best of the author’s knowledge, there is no solid Lewis acid described in the literature exhibiting such strong surface sites.

A rationalization of these big differences can be provided based on DFT calculation performed by N. M. Harrison et al.,³³ who calculated relaxed surface structures of different crystalline AlF₃ phases and predicted the presence of undercoordinated surface Al-sites which are accessible for reactant molecules. For both crystalline β-AlF₃ (ref. 34) and α-AlF₃ (ref. 35), F-terminated relaxed surfaces are displayed in Fig. 4 and 5, respectively.

It is evident that surfaces of both phases exhibit undercoordinated sites; thus, both should act as solid Lewis acids.³⁶ However, because of the usually very low surface areas of these crystalline phases, just a very limited number of these surface sites are available in catalytic reactions.

Independently, Chupas et al.³⁷ calculated two thermodynamically relaxed surfaces of α-AlF₃ clusters, one formed by 1800 and the other constituted by 2400 AlF₃ molecules. The major outcome was that at the surfaces of these clusters six-, five-, as well as four-fold coordinate Al sites are present. However, the percentage of five- and four-fold coordinate sites increased with a smaller cluster size! This is in full agreement with the general prediction from the surface effect of nano-science.

This effect is not restricted to AlF₃. Also MgF₂, which as a crystalline material has to be considered as a neutral compound,³⁸–⁴² exhibits weak Lewis acid sites as evidenced by CO-IR investigations.⁴³–⁴⁵ This holds for other metal fluorides obtained via the fluoro lytic sol-gel approach as well.⁴⁶ Thus, ZnF₂ (ref. 26) and FeF₃ (ref. 27) are further examples for this new class of catalysts.

In conclusion, via the fluoro lytic sol-gel synthesis, nanoscopic metal fluorides with large surface areas can be obtained exhibiting a huge number of co-ordinatively unsaturated Lewis acidic surface sites. The catalytic properties of these compounds will be described below.

**An alternative access to highly Lewis acidic aluminium fluoride.** Besides the abovementioned sol-gel synthesis of strong Lewis acidic AlF₃, there is an alternative approach to an equally Lewis acidic aluminium fluoride phase which can be obtained by a very simple reaction as shown by eqn (4).

\[
\text{AlCl}_3 + (3-x) \text{CCl}_4 \text{F} \rightarrow \text{AlCl}_x \text{F}_{3-x} + (3-x)\text{CCl}_4
\]

Just by reacting dry AlCl₃ with a suitable chlorofluorocarbon like CCl₄F, an AlF₃-phase is formed that under no circumstances can be obtained chloride free and was therefore designated as aluminium chloride fluoride (ACF). The latter was originally discovered by Krespan and Petrov⁴⁷ who intensively investigated their catalytic potential in Lewis acid catalyzed reactions.⁴⁸–⁵⁴ The real structural composition as well
Fig. 2 CO IR difference spectra on crystalline β-AlF₃. ν(CO) = 2150 cm⁻¹: physisorbed CO; ν(CO) = 2175 cm⁻¹: medium strong Lewis acid sites.

Fig. 3 CO IR difference spectra on sol–gel derived HS-AlF₃. ν(CO) = 2150 cm⁻¹: physisorbed CO; ν(CO) = 2175 cm⁻¹: medium strong Lewis acid sites; ν(CO) = 2240–2220 cm⁻¹: very strong Lewis acid sites.
as solid state properties were later comprehensively investigated by us.\textsuperscript{55,56} It was evidently shown that ACF can be described as a fluorine rich aluminium chloride fluoride with a variable but narrow chlorine stoichiometry corresponding to the general formula $\text{AlCl}_x\text{F}_{3-x}$ with $x \approx 0.05-0.25$. Even a very similar aluminium bromide fluoride (ABF) has been obtained following the same general synthesis route that exhibits similar properties as ACF. The main structural features are presented in Scheme 2. The different connectivity of fluorine, on the one hand, and chloride and bromide,
respectively, on the other hand, causes a highly distorted structure resulting in comparatively large surface areas (>100 m² g⁻¹) and co-ordinatively unsaturated surface Al-sites which evidently represent the strong Lewis acid sites.

ACF and ABF are extremely moisture sensitive compounds that lose all their novel Lewis acidic potential irreversibly in a few seconds of contact with air. Thus handling them in catalytic reactions demands working under extremely dry conditions, and therefore, their practical applications are limited because of this. A comprehensive presentation type setting of the main characteristics of ACF and ABF is reviewed in ref. 57.

2.2. Binary metal hydroxide fluorides

Metal hydroxide fluorides are scarce, but they do exist. For instance, fluorine rich phases of aluminium hydroxide fluoride in a pyrochlore structure are known and comprehensively investigated. Further examples are apatite fluoride, Ca₅[PO₄]₃F, and zharchikite, AlF(OH)₂. These are exclusively crystalline phases which did not show any catalytic activity in reactions where, e.g., crystalline phases of AlF₃, CrF₃ or FeF₃, respectively, are catalytically active.

In the course of intensive mechanistic investigations that are reviewed in ref. 9 and 10, the presence of intermediately formed metal alkoxide fluorides was evidenced by both MAS-NMR and single crystal structure determination. This will be exemplarily shown for the aluminium fluoride system. ¹⁹F and ²⁷Al MAS NMR investigations clearly show a systematic change in the chemical shift values with increasing HF to Al ratio, thus indicating the presence of mixed AlF₆ₓ₋ₙ(OR)ₙ octahedral units in the intermediately formed molecular clusters whereby the x-value decreases as the HF to Al stoichiometry increases (Fig. 6). A similar dependency was established for the MgF₂-system.

These NMR-based results were later confirmed by several single crystals that could occasionally be isolated from synthesis batches, thus giving clear evidence for mixed F and O coordinate octahedral metal sites formed in the course of the fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis (cf. Fig. 7).

Based on these findings, we wondered whether it might be possible to obtain metal alkoxide fluorides and hydroxide fluorides starting from such intermediately formed metal alkoxide fluorides just by introducing water into the reaction system that may transform M–OR into M–OH units. In fact, this hypothesis was worked out to a very elegant synthesis route towards metal hydroxide fluorides. Thus, the fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis is not only limited to metal fluorides but by modification also gives an excellent access to...
hydroxide fluorides following the reaction as displayed in eqn (5):

\[
\text{M(OR)}_n + (n - m)\text{HF} + m\text{HOX} \rightarrow \text{MF}_{n-m}(\text{OX})_m
\]  

Group X may be any organic group, thus organofluorides may be obtained which are of interest for the fabrication of inorganic–organic composite materials by introducing nano-metal fluorides into organic polymers.75 However, if group X is H (the reactant molecule is water that competes with HF), hydroxide fluorides will be formed.

The formation of real hydroxide fluorides (not mixtures of hydroxides and fluorides!) can doubtlessly be evidenced by MAS NMR, as is shown in Fig. 8 for the MgF$_2$$_x$(OH)$_x$-system. If for these samples a post calcination step is applied, the hydroxide fluorides can be further transformed into oxide fluorides according to eqn (6):20,24

\[
\text{MF}_{n-m}(\text{OH})_m \xrightarrow{T} \text{MF}_{n-m}\text{O}_{m/2} + m/2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

Surface areas up to 600 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ were measured for these samples. Another interesting fact is the significantly increased thermal stability of metal oxide fluorides. Whereas the pure metal fluorides undergo crystallization at comparatively low temperatures (for comparison MgF$_2$ slowly starts to crystallize above 280 °C, and AlF$_3$ starts to crystallize above ca. 500 °C) resulting in an increased structural order and, consequently, decreased surface areas accompanied by loss of reactivity, the metal oxide fluorides are stable even up to temperatures of 700 °C. This property makes these compounds interesting candidates to be used as functional supports.

It is worth noting that this approach can be applied for multi-metal oxide fluorides as well.

In conclusion, via the fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis, nano-metal fluorides with large surface areas can be obtained exhibiting a huge number of co-ordinatively unsaturated Lewis acidic surface sites. The catalytic properties of these compounds will be described below.

2.3. Partly hydroxylated metal fluorides

A closely related but distinct different synthesis approach is the competitive fluoroysis/hydrolysis reaction. This means that hydrogen fluoride will be used in almost stoichiometric portions as in the case of the original fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis (cf. eqn (3)) but small amounts of water will be added to the reaction system at the same time thus acting as a competitor for HF as shown in eqn (7):

\[
\text{M(OR)}_n + (n - m)\text{HF} + m\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{MF}_{n-m}(\text{OR})_x + n\text{ROH} \\
+ x\text{HF} + m - x\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]
than 10 times faster reaction with HF as compared to water was measured.\textsuperscript{74,75} Also thermodynamic considerations allow us to expect a privileged formation of the fluoride for many metals. Hence, just a very little amount of hydroxyl groups becomes incorporated when water is added to the reaction mixture as a competitor for HF, meaning the $x$-value may lie in the region of some few thousands of a percent only.\textsuperscript{76}

Thus, the products formed this way still are nearly pure metal fluorides as can be seen from the $^{19}\text{F}$ MAS NMR spectra of, e.g., different MgF$_2$-phases prepared at constant HF/Mg ratios of 2 but with additional varying amounts of water (see Fig. 9).

Therefore we may define them more appropriately as “partially hydroxylated” metal fluorides rather than as hydroxide fluorides. Just by variation of the water but maintaining the stoichiometric HF to metal ratio the extent of partial hydrolysis can be tuned at a very low OH concentration.

Thus, this general approach was successfully applied for a one-pot sol–gel synthesis of different partially hydroxylated magnesium fluorides.\textsuperscript{44} The MgF$_{2-x}$(OH)$_x$ samples obtained this way exhibit almost the same bulk structure as MgF$_2$, the absence of any carbon indicating a complete solvolysis process. $^{19}\text{F}$ MAS NMR, XRD, TEM and XPS investigations show for samples obtained from aqueous HF with increasing water concentration an increase of hydroxyl groups which are mainly on the surface whereas the bulk composition remains almost unchanged. Thus, it seems that shell-like nanoparticles of MgF$_{2-x}$(OH)$_x$ phases with an inner core (bulk) of mainly pure MgF$_2$ are formed. As long as the overall OH-stoichiometry is <$0.1$, as a very big surprise, these hydroxyl groups are Brønsted acidic in nature as has been proven by IR difference spectra of adsorbed CO on MgF$_2$-phases.\textsuperscript{44} The observed $\nu$(CO) stretching vibrations at 2180–2200 cm$^{-1}$ both

![Fig. 8 $^{19}\text{F}$ MAS NMR spectra of Mg(OMe)$_2$F$_x$ with $x = 0.3$ (a), 0.4 (b), 1 (c), 1.5 (d) and 1.75 (e), recorded in a 2.5 mm probe at 25 kHz. Reproduced from ref. 74 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.](image1)

![Fig. 9 $^{19}\text{F}$ MAS NMR spectra of a) MgF$_2$-crystalline, b) MgF$_2$-100\%HF, c) MgF$_2$-87\%HF, d) MgF$_2$-71\%HF, e) MgF$_2$-57\%HF, and f) MgF$_2$-40\%HF. Reproduced from ref. 44 with permission from Wiley.](image2)
dominant for MgF$_2$–100%HF and MgF$_2$–87%HF can be assigned to Lewis acidic sites of medium strength.\textsuperscript{32} The bands at 2165–2150 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to CO coordinated to both weak Lewis and Brønsted acidic sites in this region. Therefore, lutidine as a more sensitive probe molecule for distinction between Lewis and Bronsted sites has been used. Comparing these results with those obtained from CO-adsorption measurements allows us to conclude that MgF$_2$–100%HF and MgF$_2$–87%HF samples exhibit exclusively Lewis centres mainly represented by 5-fold coordinate Mg surface sites whereas the samples MgF$_2$–71%HF, MgF$_2$–57%HF, and MgF$_2$–40%HF are believed to carry both Lewis and Bronsted sites (Fig. 10).

Thus, bulk-surface techniques as well as catalytic performance with these materials confirm this unusual result, which was also found for the AlF$_3$–x(OH)$_x$ system.\textsuperscript{77} Thus, high resolution MAS $^1$H NMR investigations on MgF$_2$–x(OH)$_x$ samples obtained from 71% aqueous HF showed an isotropic chemical shift of $\delta$ = 4.8 ppm, characteristic of remaining water on the surface and/or bulk,\textsuperscript{78} and a central signal at $\delta$ = 3.3 ppm. The latter was never observed before for MgO\textsuperscript{79} or magnesium oxide fluoride (unpublished work). Our DFT calculations showed that a $^1$H isotropical shift of $\delta = 3.3$ ppm is representative for acidic OH-groups. Basic groups, in full agreement with experimental data, were calculated to be in the range of 0 < $\delta$ < −2 ppm. Hence, $^1$H MAS NMR strongly argues for Bronsted acidic Mg–OH groups.

The unexpected Bronsted acid character of the M–OH groups on these magnesium or aluminium fluoride surfaces, respectively, is a result of the combination of different factors as shown in Scheme 3. Mainly because of the high electronegativity the fluorine atoms induce additional electron withdrawal from Mg atoms thus strengthening the polarity of the O–H bonds (Scheme 3a). In addition, the presence of <6 coordinate magnesium surface sites further facilitates withdrawing of electron density from the O–H bond (Scheme 3b). Moreover, simultaneous fluorolysis and hydrolysis reactions facilitate formation of bridging OH-groups at the surface. Such groups are known to be stronger Brønsted acid sites than non-bridging ones (Scheme 3c).\textsuperscript{80} Even the formation of hydrogen bonds between O of an OH-group and a neighbouring F atom has to be taken into account (Scheme 3d) as was demonstrated for adsorbed water on MgF$_2$.\textsuperscript{43}

However, all these considerations hold only as long as the OH content is low (x < 0.1 for MgF$_2$–x(OH)$_x$). For example, by using 20 wt% aqueous HF (Mg:HF ratio is 1 : 2), the hydrolysis becomes significant in comparison to the fluorolysis and consequently Mg–OH groups turn from Bronsted acidic into basic sites.\textsuperscript{45} In such samples OH-groups are present not only at the surface but also are involved in the bulk structure, thus effects described in Scheme 3 become less relevant and typical OH-groups (basic) like in MgO are formed.

For hydroxylated magnesium fluoride, the range from 100 wt% to 40 wt% aqueous HF at a constant HF to Mg ratio of 2 results in Brønsted acidic samples of different strength, and for hydroxylated aluminium fluoride even higher water concentrations can be applied. These samples possess very high surface areas ranging from 200 to 450 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. Moreover, adsorption of CO reveals the presence of five- and fourfold coordinate Mg$^{2+}$ sites at the surface. This additional finding suggests that sol-gel prepared MgF$_2$–x(OH)$_x$ samples are bi-acidic materials. The crystallisation of these samples starts at around 220 °C and limits the catalytic application to 200 °C, which is sufficient for the fine chemical production as it will be shown below.

3. Metal fluorides and hydroxide fluorides in catalytic reactions

As briefly outlined in section 2, these new nanoscopic metal fluorides are characterized by their unique acidic properties, which can be tuned from purely Lewis to bi-functional, that is Lewis and Brønsted. Moreover, due to this tunable acid character in combination with the large surface area of these new materials, they are also interesting materials as co-catalysts and/or supports for other functionalities, e.g. novel metal catalysts. Hence, the following sections will be sorted according to these different acidic properties resulting in different classes of catalytic reactions.

3.1. Lewis acid catalysed reactions

The isomerization of 1,2-dibromo-hexafluoropropane into 2,2-dibromo-hexafluoropropane (eqn (8)) can be catalysed effectively only in the presence of very strong Lewis acids.

$$\text{CF}_2\text{Br}–\text{CFBr}–\text{CF}_3 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3–\text{CBr}_2–\text{CF}_3 \quad (8)$$

Thus, with SbF$_5$ as a catalyst >90% conversion can be obtained at around 80 °C. Surprisingly enough, both ACF and HS-AlF$_3$ give comparable conversions (>90%) even at room temperature.\textsuperscript{57} Another example for this exciting high
Lewis acidity is the dehydrofluorination reaction of 3-chloro-1,1,1,3-tetrafluorobutane (eqn (9)).

\[
\text{CF}_3\text{-CH}_2\text{-CFCl-CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{-CH} = \text{CCl-CH}_3 + \text{HF} \quad (9)
\]

With HS-AlF$_3$ a conversion of the starting compound >99% with a selectivity towards the dehydrofluorination product of 100% was obtained at 200 °C, which has never been achieved with any other catalyst. Interestingly enough, by employing nanoscopic, high surface area BaF$_2$ as a solid catalyst, exclusively the hydrodechlorination reaction (eqn (10))

\[
\text{CF}_3\text{-CH}_2\text{-CFCl-CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3\text{-CH} = \text{CF-CH}_3 + \text{HCl} \quad (10)
\]

was observed, giving ca. 98% conversion and 100% selectivity regarding the dehydrochlorination product. Thus, based on these different nano-metal fluorides extremely high conversion and selectivity towards either dehydrofluorination or dehydrochlorination can be obtained. Mechanistically this different behaviour might be rationalized based on the hard and soft acids and basis concept. This means that the hard Lewis acid Al$^{3+}$ preferentially interacts with the hard fluorine atom of the CFC, whereas the weak acidic Ba$^{2+}$-surface sites dominantly tend to interact with the weak chlorine atoms of the CFC (Scheme 4).

Nanoscopic MgF$_2$, CaF$_2$, and SrF$_2$ gave also appreciable conversions between 83 and 96%, however with drastically different selectivities. MgF$_2$, in crystalline form a rather “neutral” compound, mainly results in the dehydrofluorination product ($S \approx 90\%$), whereas CaF$_2$ and SrF$_2$ act increasingly as dehydrochlorination catalysts (50% and 70%, respectively). The behaviour of nano-MgF$_2$ is a further good example of how catalytic activity of usually less active materials can be altered by the synthesis and the resulting high surface area. Thus it was shown by FT-IR investigations using different probe molecules that MgF$_2$ in fact carries a considerable part of under-coordinated surface sites of medium strong Lewis acidity. This has been recently also proven by periodic calculations at the B3LYP level in combination with CO adsorption measurements which evidence the presence of five-fold, under-coordinated Mg-surface sites that are strong enough to adsorb CO.
Whereas dehydrohalogenation reactions are thermodynamically forced by the formation of stable olefins, another type of C-F bond activation has gained a tremendous attention over the past 10 years. This means that catalytic transformation of C-F into C-H bonds is a challenging task but can provide access to otherwise inaccessible fluorinated compounds and building blocks.83,84

Deuterated compounds play a fundamental role in isotope labelling reactions in pharmaceutical, medical and spectroscopic applications.85–89 Besides the novel Shilov or Periana type precious metal catalysts90,91 and others, also solid Lewis acids like zeolites and dehydroxylated aluminas are described to catalyse to some extent H/D-exchange reactions above 150 °C92–101 whereas molecular Lewis acids like AlBr3 or MoCl5 catalyse H/D exchange between arenes only.102,103

Thus, both very strong Lewis acids ACF and HS-AlF3 were tested for their catalytic activity in H/D exchange reactions between aliphatic and aromatic C-D/H bonds.104 Expectedly, H/D exchange between C6D6 and C6H12 after 22 h at 110 °C in the presence of these aluminium fluorides gave conversions between 35 and 65%, however, using instead C6D12 as deuterium source and C6H6 as proton source for H/D exchange showed almost conversions even in the range of ca. 80% at just 40 °C to 70 °C. This method is not restricted to cyclohexane. Cyclopentane, cyclooctane, and hexamethylethane were also deuterated at 40 °C with 42%, 9%, and 18% deuterium incorporation into the alkanes. A higher conversion was achieved after prolonged reaction times, that is, 45%, 64%, and 21% deuterium incorporation were obtained after 72 h at 40 °C, respectively.

Mechanistically, it was presumed that in an initial step the C-H/D bonds in organic substrates RH interact via the σ-bond with a Lewis acidic surface site at the ACF or HS-AlF3 (Scheme 5).105

It was shown that both aluminium fluoride phases exhibit a huge number of under-coordinated Al surface sites, which define the Lewis acidic properties of these catalysts.106 After coordination, the C-H/D bonds are further activated as a consequence of an interaction of a neighbouring fluoride with the H/D atom, which is presumably positively charged.107,108 This leads to Al bound organyl moieties that are carbanionic in nature. The presence of adjacent F⋯D–R and F⋯H–R sites results in H/D exchange via reversible C-H/C-D bond forming reactions (Scheme 5).

Catalytic C-F bond cleavage under mild reaction conditions is a fundamental challenge in synthetic chemistry.109–120 The conversions can yield otherwise inaccessible fluorinated compounds and building blocks.121–131 Despite some progress that was achieved for the intermolecular activation of highly fluorinated aromatics and olefins, C-F bond cleavage reactions of fluorinated alkanes are less developed. Since silylum or aluminium ions as homogeneous Lewis-acidic catalysts, which are stabilized by weakly coordinating anions, were successfully employed in homogeneously catalyzed reactions,132–141 we wondered whether or not this concept might be applied for heterogeneous catalysts which carry very strong Lewis acidic surface sites. In fact, by 1H MAS NMR spectroscopy, the formation of a silylium-like species at the surface was evidenced142 (cf. Fig. 11).

On treatment of CH3F, CH2F2, and CHF3 with the aluminium fluoride catalysts in C6D6 in the presence of Et3SiH at room temperature and one atmosphere, vigorous reactions and the evolution of gaseous products were observed (Fig. 12). In all these cases, formation of fully hydrogenated methane was observed for the first time under heterogeneous conditions, at low temperature and in the absence of a precious metal catalyst. Indeed, the formation of the Friedel–Crafts product [D10]diphenylmethane was observed in the C6D6 solution. For CHF3 the [D10]diphenylmethane formation involves two Friedel–Crafts reaction steps and a subsequent hydrodefluorination reaction.

Mechanistically, it was presumed that Et3SiH initially binds at the Lewis-acidic sites of ACF, thus resulting in the surface bound Et3SiH entities ACF⋯H–SiEt3. A polarization of the Si–H bond probably leads to species which have a silylum ion character and are able to cleave a C-F bond to yield Et3SiF and carbenium-like species, which in turn attack the solvent (C6D6). The Wheland intermediates formed this way subsequently react with the remaining hydrogen atom on the ACF to release the Friedel–Crafts product as well as HD. The Lewis-acidic ACF site is thereby regenerated (Fig. 13).

However, in an alternative process ACF⋯H–SiEt3 converts the C-F bonds into C-H bonds to yield hydrodefluorination products methane and Et3SiF. This might occur by a concerted reaction pathway (Fig. 13, right cycle) or again by a two-step mechanism which also involves the carbenium-like species and the ACF-bound hydrogen

---

**Scheme 5** C-H-activation on bifunctional Lewis-acidic HS-AlF3 or ACF surface sites. R = alky1, aryl. Reproduced from ref. 104 with permission from Wiley.
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(Fig. 13, left cycle). Hence, the latter entity could be regarded as a weakly coordinating anion (WCA) which stabilizes the carbenium-like species. The stabilization of fluorinated carbocations by weakly coordinating anions and the resulting Friedel–Crafts reactivity have also been described for Et₃Si(carborane) in homogeneous phase.¹⁴³ In the meantime, these results have been reconfirmed by employing HS-AlF₃ instead, which especially in case of larger fluorinated molecules gives even higher conversions than ACF does.

These results show that the Lewis acid aluminum fluoride catalysts are superior over all (even homogeneous) catalysts reported so far and can be used in an unprecedented heterogeneous catalytic process for the C–F activation of fluoromethanes in the presence of Et₃SiH. Thus, interesting new catalytic reactions will be explored based on this new finding.

3.2. Biacidic Lewis and Brønsted acid catalyzed reactions

In sections 2.1 and 2.2, it was shown that – based on the fluoroletic sol-gel synthesis – even nanoscale metal hydroxide fluorides or partly hydroxylated metal fluorides with adjustable Lewis to Brønsted surface sites can be obtained. Consequently, these new biacidic catalysts were checked for their...
catalytic potential, the main catalytic features of which will be briefly described below.

Partly hydroxylated nanoscopic magnesium fluoride phases of different degrees of hydroxylation were used for the synthesis of vitamin E by the condensation of 2,3,6-trimethylhydroquinone (TMHQ, 1) with isophytol (IP, 2). This reaction proceeds in a first step through Friedel–Crafts alkylation–cyclization (Scheme 6, 144–151) in the presence of Bronsted surface sites whereas the second ring closure step is regarded to proceed at Lewis acid sites.

Both crystalline and HS-MgF$_2$ did not show noticeable catalytic activity. In contrast, HS-MgF$_2$ prepared with 71% aqueous HF gave a complete conversion of isophytol and almost 100% to (all-rac)$\alpha$-tocopherol. Hydrofluoric acid with increased water content (higher amount of OH-groups become introduced into the MgF$_2$-phases) resulted all in 100% conversion but with decreasing selectivity toward (all-rac)$\alpha$-tocopherol, obviously because of the decreasing strength of Lewis acidity (cf. section 2.3). Similar high conversions and selectivities were obtained for this reaction using

---

**Scheme 6** Synthesis of vitamin E by condensation of 2,3,6-trimethylhydroquinone with isophytol.
hydroxylated HS-AlF₃ (ref. 77), thus pointing out the general applicability of this approach.

Another example of multi-step Lewis–Brønsted catalysed reactions is the synthesis of vitamin K₁ (Fig. 14) that involves as key step the Friedel–Crafts alkylation of menadiol acetate 12 (MDA) with isophytol 2 (IP) followed by the dihydro vitamin K₁ oxidation.¹⁵²

The reaction cycles displayed in Fig. 14 summarize the main results from this heterogeneous catalytic reaction.⁴⁴,¹⁵³ Obviously, a combination of low amount of Lewis acid sites and medium Brønsted acid sites (e.g. MgF₂-57) highly favours the formation of vitamin K₁ in good yields of ca. 60% being comparable with the liquid phase catalytic process employing BF₃·OEt₂.

A further interesting reaction is the cyclization of citronellal to (±)-isopulegol that is an important intermediate for the (±)-menthol synthesis (Scheme 7). Here too, nanoscopic hydroxylated magnesium fluorides were successfully employed as very active and selective heterogeneous catalysts.³²,¹⁰⁶,¹⁵⁴–¹⁵⁶

The hydroxylated fluoride catalysts showed unexpected diastereoselectivities (ds) (91.7%, for hydroxylated AlF₃ and 84.7% for hydroxylated MgF₂-71) superior to most conventional catalysts used for this reaction (71–75%).

Taking the tunable properties of these hydroxylated metal fluorides into account, it is logical to test them in benzylation reactions. Hence they were employed in alkylation reactions of benzene (Fig. 15).

The best results were obtained with MgF₂-57 and MgF₂-71 catalysts which gave selectivities towards dibenzyl ether (DBE) between 85 and 97%, thus evidencing that the etherification of benzyl alcohol to DBE was much faster than the benzylation of the substrate.¹³⁸

A very recent example is the dehydration of D-xylose to furfural, the mechanism of which being different in the presence of Lewis or Brønsted sites.¹⁵⁹,¹⁶⁰ In this case the biacidic MgF₂ catalysts were further functionalized using different fluorosulfonic precursors. It was proven by TG-MS,¹⁹⁹F-MAS-NMR, and pyridine adsorption that surface OH groups were converted into stronger Brønsted surface sites. The catalytic data showed a significant change in the reaction kinetics and a furfural selectivity of 90% at 160 °C in water/toluene for optimized Lewis to Brønsted site ratios.

It is worth mentioning that these new biacidic catalysts are not only restricted to binary metal fluorides, but a second or even third metal may also be incorporated in the solid catalyst thus opening an even wider field of catalytically active solids. Thus, a good example for making benefit of this

![Fig. 14](image-url) The syntheses of vitamin K₁⁵ and K₁-chromanol 13 (the reaction steps are compared with the reaction steps for the synthesis of tocopherol 4). Reproduced from ref. 157 with permission from Wiley.
A synthesis approach to ternary biacidic metal fluorides with tunable functionalities relates to the fast and selective saccharification of cellulose to glucose.\(^\text{161}\) Sn-doped hydroxylated MgF\(_2\) exhibited a very high catalytic activity for cellulose degradation and also high selectivity to glycose. It was concluded that the cellulose degradation proceeds via a two-step homogeneous/heterogeneous mechanism. Thus, an initial hydrolysis of cellulose starts involving hydrated tin fluoride that is partly released from the solid catalyst. Hence, the formed oligomers are small enough to enter into the pores where they can interact with the active surface sites of the heterogeneous catalyst, thus forming glucose.

### 3.3. Nanoscopic metal fluorides supported precious metal catalysed reactions

Anchoring of other catalytically active materials is a known approach to create even more complex catalytic functions inside not only a metal fluoride catalyst.\(^\text{38}\) Thus, impregnation of precious metals can be easily achieved by adding suitable precursors like PdCl\(_2\), H\(_2\)PtCl\(_6\), or HAuCl\(_4\) to MF\(_n\) or MF\(_{n-x}\)O\(_x\) (M: metal, n: oxidation state of the metal) in an alcoholic solution. This “incipient wetness impregnation” method was also used for the preparation of a new cationic gold/hydroxylated magnesium fluoride catalyst, Au@MgF\(_{2-x}\)(OH)\(_x\), that exhibits both the novel acidic properties of the nanoscopic magnesium fluoride and that of the gold metal.\(^\text{162}\) This catalyst was used for the one-pot synthesis of \((\pm)\)-menthol from citronellal (Fig. 16).

This catalyst provides unexpectedly active ionic gold species for the selective hydrogenation of isopulegols to menthols. Interestingly enough, the catalytic features of the fluoride needed for the diastereoselective isomerization of citronellal to \((\pm)\)-isopulegol are preserved.

By comparing the ionic gold based catalyst with Ir-based catalysts,\(^\text{163}\) it was found that the former is totally selective to...
menthols (no by-products such as citronellol, 3,7-dimethyloctanol, and 3,7-dimethyloctanal were observed) suggesting a different reaction mechanism (Fig. 16).

In agreement with the previous work of Comas-Vives et al.,\textsuperscript{164} who established that in the presence of an ionic gold based catalyst the heterolytic bond cleavage of \( \text{H}_2 \) is more probable than its homolytic activation, we found that this effect is even more favourably by the hydroxyslated \( \text{MgF}_2 \) support; the proton remains on the polar surface whereas the hydride is bonded to the gold site. Thus, this novel catalyst can be used to perform such reactions in nonpolar solvents like toluene.

Nano-aluminium fluoride-supported platinum and palladium catalysts were found to be very efficient \( n \)-pentane hydroisomerization catalysts.\textsuperscript{165} By \textit{in situ} preparation, very small metal nanoparticles (2 nm) finely distributed over the fluoride catalyst were created that maintained very good activity, stability, and selectivity towards isomerization (up to nearly 100%) at 350 °C. The exciting requisite for this superior catalytic behaviour was found to be the combination of very strong Lewis acidity of the HS-\( \text{AlF}_3 \) and the precious metal. The performance of the 0.5 wt% Pd@HS-\( \text{AlF}_3 \) catalyst appeared as good as that of the catalyst with 2 wt% Pd.

Hydrogenation reactions are another type of reactions in which M@MF\textsubscript{n} catalysts were successfully employed as very active and selective new catalysts. Thus Pd–Cu@HS-\( \text{AlF}_3 \) catalysts were tested in hydroisomerization reactions of \( n \)-pentane and compared with Pd–Cu catalysts impregnated on active carbon for comparison.\textsuperscript{166}

Another example of reactions in which M@MF\textsubscript{n} catalysts exhibit exiting properties is the very selective olefin hydrogenation by palladium nanoparticles supported on magnesium hydroxide fluoride. The catalysts were prepared in a one-pot synthesis procedure using palladium acetate and magnesium methoxide as precursors in the fluoroletic sol–gel synthesis as described in section 2.2. As can be seen from the TEM micrographs in Fig. 17, the majority of Pd-particles are approximately 5 nm in diameter, although the particle size distribution spreads up to 20 nm. These catalysts showed extremely high metal dispersion compared to examples reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{167}

First, hydrogenation of styrene was investigated as a model reaction. The reaction was performed at room temperature and atmospheric pressure by bubbling hydrogen gas through the reaction mixture (Scheme 8).

A Pd–MgO and a commercial 5 wt% Pd/C catalyst were also used for comparison. With both catalysts, very low conversions below 1, 7, and 4%, respectively, were obtained. In contrast, on running the reaction with Pd@\( \text{MgF}_2 \)-40, 44% styrene conversion was obtained after 3 h, which increased to \textit{ca.} 44% with Pd@\( \text{MgF}_2 \)-71 and as much as 100% styrene conversion was achieved with Pd@\( \text{MgF}_2 \)-100. It should be noted that the latter catalysts contained as little as 0.1 wt% only. Based on these encouraging results, this catalyst was screened for a large variety of substrates. For most of the even less reactive substrates up to 100% conversions were obtained. Moreover the exiting feature of this catalyst in these reactions is its surprising selectivity exclusively for olefinic double bond hydrogenation. A further valuable property is that even after 10 recycle tests, neither leaching nor a noticeable loss of activity and selectivity was observed. Hence, this magnesium fluoride based Pd catalyst represents a very efficient new catalyst for the regioselective hydrogenation of olefins in the presence of other functionalities under ambient reaction conditions which have not been reported so far for heterogeneous catalysts. Also disubstituted olefins like indene and \( \alpha \)-methylstyrene gave almost full conversion, although a longer reaction time was necessary. Even olefins with other reducible functional groups like 2-butenal were 100% converted with 100% selectivity for olefin hydrogenation without reduction of ketone moieties. Similar exciting good conversions and selectivity data were obtained by screening 2-alkenol olefin, 3-phenylpropenol, 2-phenylpropanol, or even olefins with carboxylic group like methyl acrylate and acryl acid.

The effect of bulkier groups and electron withdrawing reducible carboxyl groups was studied on benzalacetophenone. The conversion decreased to 65% without affecting much the selectivity to 1,5-diphenylpentanone. Obviously the presence of phenyl rings lowers the activity. The geometrical isomers \textit{cis} and \textit{trans} stilbene were hydrogenated. The hydrogenation needed a slightly elevated temperature (80 °C) due to higher steric hindrance of the bulkier phenyl group. Further on, the effect of the substituent on phenyl ring of styrene was examined by catalytic hydrogenation of 4-vinylanisole. The reaction showed 95% conversion with 99% selectivity for olefin hydrogenation.

The recyclability of the catalyst was tested using styrene hydrogenation at room temperature and bubbling hydrogen at ambient pressure through the reaction solution. After completion of each reaction, the catalyst was separated, washed, dried and used for the next run and the catalyst did not show noticeable loss of activity.

**Scheme 8** Hydrogenation of styrene.
FTIR studies regarding adsorbed species on the catalyst surface gave no indication for adsorbed olefinic species. Hence activation of hydrogen is supposed to be the crucial step, and hence a mechanistic pathway as displayed in Fig. 18 was deduced.

4. Conclusion

The non-aqueous fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis of nanoscopic metal fluorides, developed just over the past 10 years, has given access to new metal fluoride based catalysts. Not only highly Lewis acidic solids can be obtained but also even more advantageously bi-acidic hydroxide fluorides with tunable Lewis to Brønsted acidity can be synthesized for the first time. These compounds can be obtained by modification of the synthesis procedure in two different ways. The first approach is based on the use of an under-stoichiometric amount of HF during the first synthesis step followed by the addition of the stoichiometrically requested amount of water, which completes the reaction. This way, all nominal compositions of $\text{M(OH)}_{n-x}\text{Fx}$ are accessible. In a second step, these hydroxide fluorides can be transformed into the corresponding metal oxide, fluorides ($\text{MO}_{n-x}\text{F}_x$). By tuning the oxide to fluoride stoichiometry inside these phases the surface side character can be altered from strongly Lewis acidic at high F-content towards weak Lewis but stronger Brønsted acidic or even basic depending on the metal M at high oxygen content. Mainly determined by the O to F ratio these oxide fluoride phases store their amorphous character (depending on the metal M) up to temperatures of about 600 °C and exhibit surface areas up to 700 m² g⁻¹. It is noteworthy that some of these metal fluorides or oxide fluorides, respectively, are thermally stable up to at least 1000 °C, meaning no notable hydrolysis or pyrolysis reaction, respectively, takes place.

The introduction of a second or even a third metal into these new compounds allows for further functionalization resulting in unlimited new compounds with high impact on catalytic applications.

However, these nanoscale metal fluorides and hydroxide fluorides represent not only new, catalytically active classes of compounds with very high surface areas but also are excellent candidates to be used as supports especially if hydroxide fluorides are converted by calcination into oxide fluorides. Thus, other active components like, e.g., precious metals can be supported on these new fluoride based materials by incipient wetness impregnation or even more effectively by in situ incorporation during the fluorolytic sol–gel synthesis. It is noteworthy that some of such catalytically interesting new materials were successfully proven as very effective co-catalysts in combination with, e.g., precious metals like Au, Pd, Pt, etc.

Fig. 18 Proposed reaction mechanism for the hydrogenation of styrene in the presence of the Pd–MgF₂-100 catalyst. Reproduced from ref. 166 with permission from Elsevier.
As it has been exemplarily shown in this review, the new nanoscopic metal fluoride based materials and especially the large variety of metal hydroxide fluoride, MF$_n$(OH)$_{n-x}$, and metal oxide fluoride, MO$_{n-x}$F$_x$, phases represent a new class of potential catalysts waiting for further exploration in heterogeneous catalysis.

Of course, limitation in applications may arise from chemical resistivity (hydrolysis) depending on the metal used. However, there are many metal fluorides being both temperature and hydrolysis resistant over a wide range.
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