PCCP

PAPER

Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,* 2015, 17, 27380

Received 24th July 2015, Accepted 22nd September 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cp04352b

www.rsc.org/pccp

Introduction

Light-sensitive ruthenium (n) polypyridyl compounds are classical tools in photochemistry that have been recently proposed as prodrugs in photoactivatable anticancer therapy $(PACT)$ ^{1–8} As shown in classical photodynamic therapy (PDT), the use of light to treat cancer allows for spatially and temporally controlling the release of a toxic species, which lowers side effects for cancer patients.⁹⁻¹² Whereas PDT drugs rely on the photocatalytic generation of singlet oxygen to kill cancer cells, PACT exerts cytotoxic activity mainly via an oxygen-independent mechanism, which makes them suitable for hypoxic tumours.^{3,4,7,13} Meanwhile, loading anticancer drugs into drug carriers such as liposomes helps targeting the compounds to tumour tissues.^{9,14,15} Especially sterically hindered liposomes, i.e., those grafted with polyethylene glycol chains, have been recognized as versatile and biocompatible

Sven H. C. Askes,^a Miroslav Kloz,^b Gilles Bruylants,^c John T. M. Kennis^b and Sylvestre Bonnet*^a

Upconversion is a promising way to trigger high-energy photochemistry with low-energy photons. However, combining upconversion schemes with non-radiative energy transfer is challenging because bringing several photochemically active components in close proximity results in complex multicomponent systems where quenching processes may deactivate the whole assembly. In this work, PEGylated liposomes were prepared that contained three photoactive components: a porphyrin dye absorbing red light, a perylene moiety emitting in the blue, and a light-activatable ruthenium prodrug sensitive to blue light. Time-dependent spectroscopic studies demonstrate that singlet perylene excited states are non-radiatively transferred to the nearby ruthenium complex by Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET). Under red-light irradiation of the three-component membranes, triplet–triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC) occurs followed by FRET, which results in a more efficient activation of the ruthenium prodrug compared to a physical mixture of two-component upconverting liposomes and liposomes containing only the ruthenium complex. This work represents a rare example where TTA-UC and Förster resonance energy transfer are combined to achieve prodrug activation in the phototherapeutic window. PAPER
 EXERCT SUBSEX SECT AND SUBSEX CONTROL CONSULTS AND ARTICLE CONSULTS AND SUBSEX SECT AND INTERNATIONAL STRANGE ON A SUBSEX CONTROL CON

drug carriers for the treatment of various diseases because of their long lifetime in the blood circulation. With such PEGylated liposomes tumour uptake is increased because of the so-called enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.^{16,17} In PACT, activation of, for example, ruthenium-functionalized liposomes could be realized using visible light.¹⁸ However, most $ruthenium(n)$ polypyridyl compounds require activation with blue light (400–500 nm), which is outside the so-called ''phototherapeutic window'', a range of wavelengths (600–1000 nm) that permeate mammalian tissues optimally. This drawback can be circumvented by using upconverting drug carriers: once in a tumour they locally convert red photons into blue photons that subsequently activate the phototherapeutic drug without having to travel over long distances in the tissue.

Triplet–triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC), a photophysical interplay of light and molecular dyes, is very promising for upconversion because it features strong red light absorption and high upconversion quantum yields at low irradiation power. In TTA-UC, low-energy photons are converted into higher-energy photons by means of a bimolecular mechanism involving a sensitizer and two annihilator molecules (Fig. 1).^{19–22} The sensitizer absorbs the low-energy light to generate a triplet state, which is transferred to an annihilator molecule by collisions. Further collision of two triplet annihilator molecules leads to

^a Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University,

P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands.

E-mail: bonnet@chem.leidenuniv.nl

^b Laserlab Amsterdam, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^c Engineering of Molecular NanoSystems, Université Libre de Bruxelles,

⁵⁰ av. F.D. Roosevelt, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cp04352b

Fig. 1 (a) Chemical structures of palladium tetraphenyltetrabenzoporphyrin (1), perylene (2), [Ru(tpy)(bpy)(SRR')]²⁺ (3²⁺), and [Ru(tpy)(bpy)(H₂O)]²⁺ (4²⁺), and the photochemical reaction from 3^{2+} to 4^{2+} . (b) Cartoon showing the sequence of photochemical events demonstrated in this work: red light is absorbed by compound 1, after which triplet–triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC) occurs, followed by non-radiative energy transfer from 2 to 3^{2+} , and finally the ruthenium prodrug photodissociates from the lipid bilayer. (c) Absorption spectrum of 3^{2+} in chloroform (solid, left axis, $\varepsilon_{\text{max}} = 7700$ at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 456$ nm) and area-normalized emission spectrum of compound 2 in PEGylated DMPC liposomes (dashed, right axis, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 400$ nm, 0.5 mol% of compound 2 with respect to the lipids).

triplet–triplet annihilation (TTA), whereby one annihilator molecule is promoted to the high energy-emitting singlet excited state, while the other falls back to the ground state. TTA-UC has been demonstrated in organic solvent, $19,20,22$ ionic liquid,²³ polymer matrix,^{19,21,24-28} functionalized polymer,^{29,30} various water-soluble nanodevices, $8,31-40$ and in a solvent-free liquid.⁴¹ In all these systems further use of the upconverted light, for example to activate a prodrug, 8 excite a quantum dot, 38 control a soft actuator,⁴² or power photoelectrochemistry,⁴³ relied exclusively on radiative energy transfer, because the molecule sensitive to high-energy light lies too far, at the nanoscale $(>10 \text{ nm})$, from the annihilator. For example, Kwon et al. showed that TTA-UC in the core of oleic acid nanoparticles, encapsulated by a 12 nm thick silica layer, could trigger a photocatalytic reaction on CdS nanoparticles grafted on the silica shell, but that the shell was too thick to allow non-radiative energy transfer.38 Non-radiative energy transfer would be by far preferable as it is more efficient; however, it also requires a close contact, at the nanoscale, between the annihilator molecule and the functional molecule to be photoactivated.

In this work PEGylated liposomes were used as a supramolecular scaffold to put palladium tetraphenyltetrabenzoporphyrin (1) and perylene (2) in close proximity to the cytotoxic ruthenium

complex $\left[\text{Ru(tpy)(bpy)(SRR')}\right]^{2^+}$ (3²⁺, see Fig. 1a). When put together the red photosensitizer 1 and the blue emitter 2 are capable of red-to-blue TTA upconversion. On the other hand, 3^{2+} dissociates, upon blue light irradiation, into the aqua species $\left[\text{Ru(tpy)}\right]\left(\text{bpy}\right)\left(\text{H}_2\text{O}\right)\right]^{2+}(4^{2+})$ and the free thioether ligand (Fig. 1a).^{8,18,44,45} The cytotoxicity of 3^{2+} and its modification by blue light irradiation is a complex matter that will be reported in a separate paper.⁴⁶ Here we realized that the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) absorption band of 3^{2+} ideally overlaps with the emission spectrum of 2 (Fig. 1c), which maximizes the distance at which non-radiative energy transfer from 2 to 3^{2+} may take place. In liposomes containing all three components 1, 2, and 3^{2+} , the average distance between photochemically active components becomes shorter than 5–8 nm, for which non-radiative energy transfer may take place (see Fig. 1b).⁴⁷ However, such proximity might also open unwanted quenching routes, such as energy back-transfer from the complex to either photosensitizer or annihilator, hetero triplet–triplet annihilation between any pair of triplets present in the membrane, or phase separation of one of the molecules. This article explores via steady-state and time-dependent spectroscopic studies how efficient non-radiative energy transfer from perylene to the ruthenium complex is, and whether the red-light triggered

Experimental section

General

Palladium tetraphenyltetrabenzoporphyrin (1) was purchased from Frontier Scientific, Inc. (Logan, Utah, USA). Perylene (2) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie BV (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). Sodium N-(carbonyl-methoxypolyethylene glycol-2000)-1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DSPE-MPEG-2000), and 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) were purchased from Lipoid GmbH (Ludwigshafen, Germany) and stored at -18 °C. Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and had a formulation of 8 g L^{-1} NaCl, 0.2 g L^{-1} KCl, 0.2 g L^{-1} KH₂PO₄, and 1.15 $\mathrm{g\,L}^{-1}\,\mathrm{K_2 HPO_4}$ with a pH of 7.1–7.5. All chemicals were used as received. The synthesis of $3[PF_6]_2$ is described elsewhere.⁸ The concentration of 3^{2+} in the liposome samples was measured with inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) after lysis of 100–500 μ L of liposome suspension in 4 mL 65% nitric acid for 24 hours at 90 \degree C, and dilution to 10.0 mL. Regular UV-Vis absorption and emission spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 50 UV-Vis spectrometer and a Shimadzu RF-5301PC spectrofluorimeter, respectively.

Liposome assembly

All liposome formulations were prepared by the classical hydration– extrusion method. As an example, the preparation of L123 is described here. Aliquots of chloroform stock solutions containing the liposome constituents were added together in a flask to obtain a solution with 5.0 µmol DMPC, 0.20 µmol DSPE-MPEG-2000, 2.5 nmol 1, 25 nmol 2, and 0.20 µmol $3[PF_6]_2$. The organic solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and subsequently under high vacuum for at least 30 minutes to create a lipid film. 1.0 mL DPBS buffer was added and the lipid film was hydrated by 4 cycles of freezing the flask in liquid nitrogen and thawing in warm water (50 $^{\circ}$ C). The resulting dispersion was extruded through a Whatman Nuclepore 0.2 μ m polycarbonate filter at 40–50 °C at least 11 times using a mini-extruder from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc. (Alabaster, Alabama, USA). The number of extrusions was always odd to prevent any unextruded material ending up in the final liposome sample. The extrusion filter remained practically colourless after extrusion, suggesting near-complete inclusion of the chromophoric compounds in the lipid bilayer. Liposomes were stored in the dark at 4 \degree C and used within 7 days. The average liposome size and polydispersity index (PDI) were measured with a Malvern Instruments Zetasizer Nano-S machine, operating with a wavelength of 632 nm. The size and PDI were typically 130–170 nm and 0.05–0.20, respectively.

Time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC)

For TCSPC experiments, a FluoTime 200 system from Pico-Quant (Berlin, Germany) was used, operating with a PicoHarp

300 photon counting module, a PDL 800-B picosecond pulsed diode laser driver set at 10 MHz repetition rate, and a 440 nm LDH-P-C-440 laser diode. Samples were excited at 440 nm (6 μ W laser power, 0.6 pJ per pulse) and detection was recorded at 474 nm. A 111-QS cuvette from Hellma GmbH & Co. KG (Müllheim, Germany) was used and was thermostated in the holder at either 293 K or 310 K with a TC 125 temperature controller from Quantum Northwest (Seattle, WA, USA). Samples were always allowed a minimum of 7 minutes of thermal equilibration before measurement. The samples were greatly diluted so that in all cases, $[2] = 1 \mu M$ and the absorption at excitation and emission wavelengths was below 0.1 (for 10 mm path length). The data was fitted with Origin Pro 8.5 software.

Transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy

Transient absorption spectroscopy was performed on a femtosecond laser setup described in detail elsewhere.^{48,49} A combined libra and legend laser system from coherent produced pulses at 40 fs duration and 1 kHz repetition rate. Long delays were achieved by using two individual femtosecond amplifiers for pump and probe. Due to the shared source of seed pulses among the two lasers (the legend was seeded from the libra seed), femtosecond time resolution and arbitrary long pump probe delays were achieved. The pump laser was fed into an automated optical parametric amplifier that allowed conversion of the input pulse into any wavelength in the region 480–1600 nm while keeping the pulse duration. In this case, the samples were excited with 400 nm light (20–60 μ W, 20–60 nJ per pulse). The laser driving the probe beam was focused on a calcium fluoride plate to generate a super continuum spanning from 360–1200, which enabled spectrally resolved probing without the need for scanning. After passing through the sample, the probe beam was dispersed on a 256 element diode array, calculating pump-on pump-off difference signal on a shot to shot basis. The diode array was calibrated so that the spectrum was recorded from 430 to 730 nm. High concentration samples were used with $[2] = 0.1$ mM in a 110-QS cuvette from Hellma with a 1 mm optical path length so that $A_{400} \le 0.6$ (including sample scatter). The sample volume was 200 µL and the cuvette was mounted on a shaker to ensure mixing throughout the measurement. The acquisition time per sample was approximately 2 hours. It was confirmed with UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy before and after TA spectroscopy that the blue excitation did not cause any photochemical degradation of the samples within the measurement time. In TA experiments involving blue-light irradiated samples, 500 µl sample was irradiated with 10 mW 420 nm light (M420F2 fibrecoupled high-power LED from Thorlabs) for a minimum of 2 hours. The reaction was monitored with UV-Vis spectroscopy to control the reaction time until all 3^{2+} had converted to 4^{2+} , at which point the spectrum no longer evolved. PCCP

Proposes Article common the closure of the street on the term of the street of the street on 21:25 AM This article is licensed to the common common proposition of the street of the street on the street of the street

Photodissociation experiments with red light

Photodissociation experiments were conducted in a custombuilt setup (Fig. S8, ESI†). All optical parts were connected with FC-UVxxx-2 (xxx = 200, 400, 600) optical fibres from Avantes (Apeldoorn, The Netherlands), with a diameter of 200-600 μ m,

respectively, and that were suitable for the UV-Vis range (200–800 nm). 1.5 mL of the diluted liposome sample was deoxygenated by bubbling argon through the sample with a rate of \sim 2 bubbles per second for at least 30 minutes in an external ice-cooled pear-shaped flask. After this period, bubbling was stopped while maintaining the argon flow, and the sample was warmed in a water bath of approximately 40 \degree C for 10 minutes. Then, the sample was transferred by means of cannulation with argon pressure to a 111-OS macro fluorescence cuvette from Hellma in a CUV-UV/VIS-TC temperature-controlled cuvette holder from Avantes. The sample was allowed to equilibrate at 310 K for an additional 10 minutes while stirring. The sample was held under argon atmosphere at a constant temperature of 310 K and irradiated for 4 hours from the side with a 630 nm laser light beam from a clinical grade Diomed 630 nm PDT laser, set at a power of 30, 60, or 120 mW. The 630 nm light was filtered through a FB630- 10, 630 nm band pass filter (Thorlabs, Dachau/Munich, Germany) put between the laser and the sample. The excitation power was controlled using a NDL-25C-4 variable neutral density filter (Thorlabs), and measured using a S310C thermal sensor connected to a PM100USB power meter (Thorlabs). The laser was collimated to a beam of 4 mm diameter to reach an intensity of 0.24, 0.48, or 0.95 W cm^{-2} ; in such conditions, a cylinder of approximately 0.13 cm^3 was simultaneously excited by the laser (8% of the total sample volume). UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured using an Avalight-DHc halogen–deuterium lamp (Avantes) as light source and a 2048L StarLine spectrometer (Avantes) as detector, both connected to the cuvette holder at a 180° angle and both at a 90° angle with respect to the red laser irradiation direction. The filter holder between cuvette holder and detector was in a position without a filter (Fig. S8, ESI,† item 8). Luminescence emission spectra were measured using the same detector but with the UV-Vis light source switched off. To visualize the spectrum from 550 nm to 900 nm, while blocking the red excitation light, a Thorlabs NF-633 notch filter was used in the variable filter holder. To visualize the spectrum from 400 nm to 550 nm, an OD4 575 nm short pass filter (Edmund Optics, York, United Kingdom, part no. 84-709) was used. A UV-Vis absorption and two emission spectra (one for each filter) were measured every 15 min; each time the emission spectra were measured first by switching the filter holder to the appropriate position, then the laser was switched off, the halogen– deuterium lamp was turned on, the filter holder was switched to an open position, a UV-Vis absorption spectrum was recorded, the halogen–deuterium lamp was switched off, and the laser was switched on again. Each UV-Vis measurement took approximately 15 seconds in total. All spectra were recorded with Avasoft software from Avantes and further processed with Microsoft Office Excel 2010 and Origin Pro software. For each UV-Vis absorption spectrum, a baseline subtraction was performed followed by an evaporation correction to account for a slight loss of solvent as a result of the constant argon flow in the cuvette during the experiment. The emission spectra obtained from the two filters were stitched together at 550 nm to obtain a continuous spectrum from 400 to 900 nm. No correction was needed to seamlessly connect the spectra. Paper

Represently, and that were subtable for the CVVIs range. Differential scaning caloritary (150) was performed on a 7 for different on 22 September 2015. Downloads are the common to the common to the common to the co

Differential scanning calorimetry

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed on a TA Instruments (DE, USA) nano-DSC III instrument in the range of 278 to 333 K with a scanning rate of 1 K min^{-1} at 3 atm. The capillary cell ($V = 300 \mu L$) was filled with the liposome solution (lipid bulk concentration of 5 mM) containing different concentrations of 1, 2 and 3^{2+} . The reference cell was filled with the corresponding liposome-free buffer solution. A blank measurement was performed with PBS buffer. The liposome dispersions were degassed for 10–15 minutes prior to measurement on a Nalgene degassing station. For each sample, at least two cycles of heating and cooling were performed with 10 minutes of thermal equilibration between the ramps. The machine was cleaned beforehand with 50% formic acid and rinsed thoroughly with Milli-Q water. The thermograms were processed and analysed using NanoAnalyze software from TA Instruments.

Results and discussion

Liposome preparation and characterization

PEGylated liposomes were prepared as shown in Table 1 from a mixture of 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC), 4 mol% sodium N-(carbonyl-methoxypolyethylene glycol-2000)- 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DSPE-MPEG-2000), and compound 1, 2, and/or $3(PF_6)_2$, using a standard hydration–extrusion protocol in Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS). PEGylation prevented liposomes fusion and/or aggregation that would interfere with the experiments. The true concentration of 3^{2+} in all liposome samples was determined experimentally by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) to be on average 89% of the expected value (Fig. S1, ESI†). The average vesicle diameter and polydispersity index were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS); typical values were 130–170 nm and 0.05–0.20, respectively.

Because on the one hand, molecular diffusion plays an important role in TTA-UC, and on the other hand, phase separation of one of the dyes could impair the efficiency of the system, the gelto-liquid phase transition temperature of a series of PEGylated DMPC liposomes was determined by differential scanning calorimetry (Fig. S2, ESI†). The transition temperature for PEGylated DMPC liposomes without any chromophores $(L0)$ is 25.2 \degree C, with a pretransition peak at 14 °C. Functionalizing these liposomes with 0.05 mol% 1 and 0.5 mol% 2 (L12) caused a small decrease

Table 1 Lipid formulations of the PEGylated DMPC liposomes used in this work. The amount of 3^{2+} used for L123 and L23 varied; hence a concentration range is given here. The true ruthenium concentrations were determined with ICP-OES

Sample	[DMPC] (mM)	$[$ DSPE-PEG-2000 $]$ (μM)	$\lceil 1 \rceil$ (µM)	$\left[2\right]$ (µM)	$\left[3^{2+}\right] (\mu M)$
L ₁₂₃	5.0	200	2.5	25	$50 - 220$
L12	5.0	200	2.5	25	
L23	5.0	200		25	$10 - 290$
L2	5.0	200		25	
L3	5.0	200			200
L ₀	5.0	200			

in the main transition peak height, but the main features of the thermogram remained, which indicates that the membrane was only slightly perturbed by the presence of the TTA-UC dyes and that the dyes are buried into the lipid bilayer. Next, a series of liposomes L123 was measured, which in addition to 0.05 mol% 1 and 0.5 mol% 2 also contained 1 to 4 mol% of the ruthenium complex. A progressive yet small decrease in the main transition temperature was observed compared to L12: from 25.1 \degree C for 0 mol% to 23.2 °C for 4 mol% 3^{2+} . Additionally, the main transition peak broadened and its intensity decreased upon increasing Ru concentration, while the pretransition peak disappeared already after inclusion of 1 mol% of the 3^{2+} complex. These observations are compatible with the expected interaction of complex 3^{2+} with the zwitterionic polar heads of the lipids. For this range of ruthenium concentrations however, no evidence was found that suggested phase separation of either 1, 2, or 3^{2+} . Overall, these DSC results show that at 20 \degree C and at 37 \degree C, all liposome formulations are in the gel phase and liquid crystalline phase, respectively.

Photodissociation experiments using red light

Red-light irradiation experiments were first conducted to evaluate whether L123 liposomes, which contained both dyes for TTA-UC plus the ruthenium complex 3^{2+} , would achieve higher photodissociation rates than a mixture of L12 and L3, in which the upconversion and the photosubstitution on the ruthenium complex are physically separated on two different liposomes.⁸ For all red light irradiation experiments the liposome samples were diluted with isotonic buffer so that the optical density due to the MLCT band of the Ru complex stayed low ($A_{450-500} \leq 0.25$ with a 10 mm path length). Under such conditions, radiative energy transfer between the blue emitting perylene and the blue absorbing ruthenium complex is minimized, while the solution absorbance remains high enough for monitoring the experiments using UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The bulk concentrations of 1, of 2, and/or 3^{2+} were kept equal in all experiments. Red light irradiation was realized for three hours at physiological temperature (310 K) and under anoxic conditions using a 630 nm clinical grade PDT laser set at low power (30, 60, or 120 mW, for an intensity of 0.24, 0.48, or 0.95 W cm^{-2}). UV-Vis absorption and luminescence emission spectra were measured during irradiation every 15 minutes.

The UV-Vis absorption spectrum of a L123 sample containing 3.5 mol% of 3^{2+} evolved during red light irradiation at 120 mW power as shown in Fig. 2a. A band between 450 and 600 nm, typical of the aqua complex 4^{2+} , rises, while two isosbestic points are observed at 370 and 456 nm. The only photochemical reaction occurring in such conditions is thus the hydrolysis reaction of 3^{2+} to 4^{2+} . The evolution of the absorbance at 490 nm (Fig. 2c) clearly showed that the reaction was finished after two hours of irradiation. For comparison, a 1:1 volume mixture of L12 and L3 was irradiated under the same conditions, where only radiative energy transfer may occur. Slower photodissociation kinetics was observed (Fig. 2c). Apparently, the optical density of the sample was high enough so that 3^{2+} in L3 could reabsorb a significant amount of the blue photons upconverted by L12. When liposomes

L23 were irradiated that contained only 2 and 3^{2+} , no TTA-UC could occur and very slow photodissociation was observed due to the low but non-zero molar absorption coefficient of 3^{2+} at 630 nm $(\varepsilon_{630} < 100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$. Overall, our results clearly show that **L123** achieved a higher photodissociation rate than a mere physical mixture of L12 and L3, and of course of L23.

When the red light-induced photodissociation reaction of L123 was followed by luminescence emission spectroscopy (Fig. 2b), a peak at 800 nm, corresponding to the phosphorescence of 1, and a broad structured emission band ranging from 400 to 600 nm, corresponding to the upconverted emission of 2, could clearly be identified.⁸ Interestingly, the upconverted emission intensity evolved a lot during irradiation. It was initially very weak compared to the upconversion emission of L12 alone (Fig. S3, ESI†), but increased roughly 15-fold during the course of the reaction. No further evolution was observed after two hours of irradiation, which closely matches the reaction time observed by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The low initial upconversion emission intensity, combined with the observation by UV-Vis spectroscopy that the photosubstitution clearly occurred, suggested that the upconverted energy may be transferred nonradiatively from 2 to 3^{2+} . As the reaction proceeded, and 3^{2+} was progressively liberated from the membrane to diffuse in solution, non-radiative energy transfer from perylene to the ruthenium complex becomes less efficient, thus explaining the recovery of the blue emission when all ruthenium complex were detached from the membrane. To prove this hypothesis, we studied the non-radiative energy transfer between 2 and 3^{2+} by direct excitation of perylene with violet or blue light, and studied the evolution of the system with time-dependent absorption and emission spectroscopy. PCCP

In the main transform peak beight but the correlation cost of exception and they are considered to the common

in the main transformation of the main transformation of the main transformation of the common and the m

Is there non-radiative energy transfer from 2 to 3^{2+} ?

Assuming that the same singlet excited state of 2 is reached by blue light excitation of 2 in absence of 1 and by red-light excitation of 1 in presence of 2, a series of L23 liposomes was prepared with a fixed amount of 2 (0.5 mol%), a varying amount $(0.4-3.5 \text{ mol})\%$ of 3^{2+} , but no red photosensitizer (1). The aim of this study was to determine with time-dependent spectroscopy whether the singlet excited state of 2 could transfer its energy non-radiatively to the ruthenium complex. Control liposomes were also prepared that contained only perylene (L2) or only 3.3 mol% of 3^{2+} (L3). All liposomes were first studied by ultrafast transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy with 400 nm excitation (40 fs pulse duration, 20–60 nJ per pulse) while recording the transient absorption spectrum from 430 to 730 nm in the ps to ns range. The TA data were globally fitted using the software package Glotaran.⁵⁰ Full data set and analysis is detailed in Fig. 3, Table 2, and in the ESI.† In short, the TA spectrum of L2 1.0 ns after a 400 nm excitation pulse (Fig. S4, ESI†) closely matched literature reports for perylene in cyclohexane. It features negative signals from 430 to 550 nm due to ground state bleach and stimulated emission, and a strong positive band centred at 700 nm due to excited state absorption. 51 Global analysis using Glotaran gave an excited state lifetime of 6.00 ± 0.10 ns for 2 in L2, which also corresponds to literature values.^{51,52} For L3 the

Fig. 2 Absorption (a) and luminescence emission (b) spectra of L123 during red-light irradiation (630 nm) of liposomes functionalized with 3²⁺. Dashed line: spectrum at $t = 0$; black solid line: spectrum at $t = 180$ minutes; grey lines: spectra measured every 15 minutes. (c) Difference in absorbance at 490 nm, after baseline correction, during red-light irradiation (630 nm) of L123 (black filled circles), a 1:1 volume mixture of L12 and L3 (dark-grey filled squares), and L23 (light-grey filled diamonds). Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments. (d) Integrated upconversion emission (400–600 nm, black filled circles) and integrated sensitizer emission (750–900 nm, empty circles) during red-light irradiation of L123. Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent experiments. Irradiation conditions: power 120 mW, beam diameter 4 mm, intensity 0.95 W cm⁻², $T = 310$ K, sample volume 1.5 ml, 8% of sample volume simultaneously irradiated. Liposome dispersions used in these experiments were prepared as in Table 1, and then diluted with PBS buffer prior to measurement so that every time $[1] = 0.25 \mu$ M, and $[2] = 2.5 \mu$ M. The bulk concentration of 3^{2+} was experimentally determined with ICP-OES and was $19 \pm 1 \,\mu$ M, $21 \pm 3 \,\mu$ M, and $20 \pm 2 \,\mu$ M for **L123**, **L23**, and **L3**, respectively.

transient absorption spectrum 1.0 ps after the excitation pulse at 400 nm showed a negative band ranging from 400 to 500 nm and a weaker featureless positive band from 500 nm to 800 nm. The former coincides with the MLCT absorption band in the steady state absorption spectrum (Fig. 1c) and can be attributed to ground state bleaching of the ruthenium complex. The latter is attributed to excited state absorption. The time evolution of the transient spectrum was best fitted with Glotaran to give an excited state lifetime of 0.52 ns for 3^{2+} . UV-Vis spectroscopy before and after the TA experiment showed that in such conditions negligible photodissociation occurred (see ESI†).

The TA data of liposomes L23 were qualitatively very similar to that of L2, and global fitting did not allow detecting any feature reminiscent of 3^{2+} as in L3. However, the average excited state lifetime (τ) of 2 decreased strongly as a function of the mole fraction of 3^{2+} (Fig. 3c and Table 2): from $\tau = 6.0$ ns without any ruthenium complex in the membrane (L2) addition of up to 3.3 mol% ruthenium complex (L23) lowered the lifetime of 2 down to 0.3 ns, which shows non-radiative quenching of the excited state of 2 by 3^{2+} . These results were confirmed by steady state fluorescence spectroscopy and time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC). Because 3^{2+} is not emissive at all, 2 is observed selectively using fluorescence techniques. The steady-state fluorescence spectra of the series of liposomes

L23 clearly showed a decrease in fluorescence intensity of 2 with increasing concentration of 3^{2+} in the membrane (Fig. 3a). TCSPC was performed with 440 nm excitation (0.6 pJ per pulse), while monitoring the emission at 474 nm on the nanosecond scale. The TCSPC results were very similar to TA data: the singlet excited state lifetime of 2 was found to be τ = 6.2 ns in absence of 3^{2+} , and decreased to τ = 0.9 ns in presence of 3.5 mol% of 3^{2+} (see Fig. 3b and Table 2). Clearly, the singlet state of 2 is quenched by the nearby ruthenium complex. Although no rising of a Ru-based excited state could be detected by TA spectroscopy in L23, probably due to its low and rather broad features as observed in L3, the increased rate of the photosubstitution reaction in liposomes L123 irradiated with red light, the recovery of the upconverted emission when the ruthenium aqua complex leaves the membrane, and the quenching of 2 by 3^{2+} observed in L23, conclude to non-radiative energy transfer occurring from 2 to 3^{2+} both in L23 and in L123.

From TA, steady state fluorescence, and TCSPC data, the non-radiative energy transfer efficiency (E_{ET}) was calculated for each composition of the liposomes L23 following eqn (1) (see also Fig. 3d, Table 2, and ESI†):

$$
E_{\text{ET}} = 1 - \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} = 1 - \frac{I}{I_0} \tag{1}
$$

PCCP Paper

Fig. 3 Steady-state fluorescence spectra (a) and excited state time decays of 2 (b and c) for a series of PEGylated (4 mol%) DMPC liposomes containing a fixed amount of compound 2 (0.5 mol%) and 0 to 4 mol% of compound 3^{2+} at 293 K. (a) Steady-state fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{\rm exc}$ = 400 nm, [2] = 1 μ M). (b) Fluorescence decay curves from time-correlated single photon counting ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 440$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{emi}} = 474$ nm, [2] = 1 µM). (c) Normalized transient absorption kinetic traces at 700 nm. Best-fit curves drawn as solid lines for samples with addition of $3²⁺$ according to a three-dimensional Förster decay model (see ESI†). For the sample without addition of 3^{2+} , the fit curve represents a bi-exponential decay model. (d) Energy transfer efficiency (E_{ET}) as a function of the mole fraction of 3^{2+} at 293 K, calculated from time-correlated single photon counting data (empty squares), transient absorption data (grey filled circles), and steady state fluorescence spectroscopy (black filled diamonds). Best-fit curve according to a Stern–Volmer model (see ESI†).

Table 2 Best-fit average lifetimes (τ in ns) and energy transfer efficiencies (E_{ET} , calculated from eqn (1)) at 293 K and 310 K for the excited state quenching of 2 by 3^{2+} at different 3^{2+} mole fractions in PEGylated (4 mol%) DMPC vesicles, as measured with transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy and time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC). All liposomes had a fixed amount of compound 2 (0.5 mol%). Transient absorption spectroscopy data was globally fitted using the software package Glotaran.⁵⁰ Full datasets are given in ESI, as well as detailed information on data analysis

	Molar percentage of 3^{2+} in PEGylated DMPC liposomes										
	0.0	0.4	0.8	1.7	2.5	3.3					
TA spectroscopy at 293 K											
E_{ET} (%)	6.00 ± 0.10 $\bf{0}$	3.03 ± 0.02 50	1.52 ± 0.01 75	1.04 ± 0.01 83	0.40 ± 0.00 93	0.29 ± 0.00 95					
	$32+$ molar percentage in PEGylated DMPC liposomes										
	$0.0\,$	0.5	0.9	1.7	2.4	3.5					
TCSPC at 293 K E_{ET} (%)	6.17 ± 0.01 $\bf{0}$	4.67 ± 0.01 24	$2.50 + 0.05$ 60	$1.29 + 0.02$ 79	1.25 ± 0.05 80	0.85 ± 0.06 86					
TCSPC at 310 K $E_{\rm ET}$ (%)	5.69 ± 0.01 $\bf{0}$	4.00 ± 0.01 30	2.37 ± 0.07 58	1.14 ± 0.00 80	0.84 ± 0.00 85	0.45 ± 0.00 92					

where τ and τ_0 are the averaged lifetimes of 2 in presence and absence, respectively, of 3^{2+} in the membrane, and I and I₀ are the corresponding fluorescence intensities. A plot of E_{ET} as a function of the experimental mole fraction of 3^{2+} in the membrane (Fig. 3d) shows that the energy transfer efficiency rises up to 80% or more for concentration of the ruthenium complex above 2.5 mol%. Additionally, these data could be fitted with a modified Stern–Volmer curve (see ESI†), and the rate of quenching of the singlet state of 2 by 3^{2+} was determined to be 5.2×10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹. When performing TCSPC experiments at biological temperature ($T = 310$ K), at which the photodissociation experiments were conducted, the results were very similar

(see Table 2): τ was found to be 5.7 ns when no ruthenium complex was present, went down to 0.45 ns at 3.5 mol% of 3^{2+} in the membrane, and E_{ET} increased to slightly higher values at all complex concentrations when compared to TCSPC data at 293 K. Overall, all data conclude that at human body temperature energy transfer from the singlet excited state of 2 to the ruthenium complex 3^{2+} is very efficient above 2-2.5 mol% of 3^{2+} in the membrane, and is responsible for the efficient activation of 3^{2+} under red light irradiation of L123 liposomes.

Does the aqua photoproduct quench the perylene excited state as well?

Theoretically, when the ruthenium complex hydrolyses to the aqua species it is no longer attached to the cholesterol anchor and as the membrane is made of neutral lipids 4^{2+} will diffuse away from the membrane.¹⁸ After photodissociation 2 should thus regain its unquenched lifetime of ca. 6 ns. To probe this hypothesis, liposomes L23 with 4 mol% of 3^{2+} (2.5 mM DMPC bulk concentration) were irradiated with blue light (420 nm) until full conversion of 3^{2+} to 4^{2+} was detected by UV-Vis spectroscopy. No change in size or polydispersity of the liposomes was observed as judged by dynamic light scattering, indicating that the liposomes were unaffected by the light treatment. The lifetime of 2 was then measured with TA spectroscopy again, and indeed increased from 0.3 ns prior to irradiation to 3.9 ns after irradiation, owing to the decreased concentration of the energy acceptor 3^{2+} in the membrane. However, the lifetime did not rise to the unquenched value of 6.0–6.2 ns found in L2. To explain this observation, new L23 samples were prepared using the same relative composition of the membrane, but with an 8 times higher lipid bulk concentration. In such concentrated liposomes the lifetime after the photoreaction rose to only 1.7 ns, which clearly demonstrated that the excited state lifetime of compound 2 depends on the bulk concentration of the aqua complex 4^{2+} . Our interpretation of this observation is that at higher concentrations the chance of finding 4^{2+} in proximity of the membrane, and thus of 2, increases and that non-radiative energy transfer can occur as well. Overall, the rise in the lifetime of 2 upon blue light irradiation of the L23 samples confirms the results from the red light photodissociation experiments on L123: when the energy acceptor leaves the membrane, 2 no longer performs as much non-radiative energy transfer as when the complex is bound to the membrane, but instead loses its energy radiatively, which explains the increased blue emission at the end of the photoreaction (Fig. 2b).

What is the mechanism of energy transfer?

In photochemistry, non-radiative energy transfer such as that between 2 and 3^{2+} is either attributed to a Dexter or to a Förster mechanism. The Förster mechanism relies on long-range dipole–dipole interactions and can be efficient up to a distance of 10 nm for spectrally well-matching donor–acceptor pairs. In the Dexter mechanism, direct orbital overlap is required between donor and acceptor, and energy transfer efficiency decays quickly beyond distances of 1 nm between the donor and the acceptor. For Dexter type energy transfer, high mobility

of both the donor and acceptor is imperative to realize energy transfer within the nanosecond timeframe in which 2 is in its singlet excited state. However, the DMPC bilayer at room temperature does not support such mobility: diffusion coefficients for fluorescent probes in DMPC membranes are typically 0.01 μ m² s⁻¹ at 292 K,⁵³ which leads to a negligible displacement of 5×10^{-3} \AA^2 in the 6 ns excited state lifetime of 2. In other words, in the timeframe in which 2 is in the singlet excited state the lipid bilayer is practically frozen. Also, below $(293 K)$ and above $(310 K)$ the phase transition temperature at which the lipid bilayer transforms from a gel-like to liquid crystalline structure, there is no significant difference in quenching efficiency (vide supra), which advocates for a diffusion-independent quenching mechanism. Finally, 2 is located in the hydrophobic interior of the lipid bilayer, while the positively charged centre of 3^{2+} , responsible for accepting the energy, is dangling at the bilayer–water interface.⁵⁴ Therefore, it is very unlikely that 2 and 3^{2+} can come in close contact to realize orbital overlap. For these reasons, we conclude that the most likely mechanism of energy transfer is Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET). Based on this assumption, and following the work of Holmes et al ,⁵⁵ the decay curves from TA and TCSPC data were satisfactorily fitted with a three-dimensional Förster decay function (see ESI[†]). From the fitting parameters, the experimental Förster distance R_0 , for which FRET occurs with 50% efficiency, was calculated to be 29 Å. This value fits rather well the theoretical value (41 Å) that can be calculated form the spectra overlap shown in Fig. 1c (see ESI†). Both values also match the thickness of a DMPC lipid bilayer (36 Å),⁵³ which explains why FRET between 2 and 3^{2+} is so efficient in this system. Paper

Specific at present, we can complete the complete on 24 September 2015. The complete was provided the complete article is likely expecific and the particle is likely the complete one of the simulation-different is

Overall efficiency of the sequential combination of TTA-UC and **FRET**

Based on the evidence presented above, we propose that the enhanced photosubstitution rate when the three photoactive components 1, 2, and 3^{2+} are present in the same membrane (L123) and irradiated with red light, results from the combination of TTA-UC between 1 and 2, and FRET from 2 to 3^{2+} . To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the rare system in which TTA-UC and FRET are combined to activate a photosensitive molecule.⁵⁶ Fig. 4 shows a qualitative Jablonski diagram of this system: instead of realizing upconverted blue emission, the energy that is stored in the singlet excited perylene molecule is primarily transferred to the ruthenium complex by FRET, to generate the singlet MLCT excited state. This excited state in turn leads to the selective substitution of the thioether ligand by a water molecule via the classical mechanism involving intersystem crossing to the ³MLCT state followed by thermal promotion of a triplet metal-centered state $(^{3}$ MC). In such a sequence, the photosubstitution quantum efficiency E_{total} under red light irradiation, defined as the total number of photosubstitution reactions divided by the total number of red photons absorbed, is the product of the individual efficiencies, see eqn (2):

$$
E_{\text{total}} = \Phi_{\text{UC}} E_{\text{ET}} \Phi_{\text{Ru}} \tag{2}
$$

where Φ_{UC} is the upconversion quantum yield, also defined as the quantum yield of generation of the singlet excited state of $2, E_{ET}$ is the efficiency of the non-radiative energy transfer (FRET) from 2 to 3^{2+} , and Φ_{Ru} is the quantum yield of photosubstitution under blue light irradiation. This expression is only valid at the beginning of the reaction $(t = 0)$, *i.e.* when the influence of radiative energy transfer is low and the non-radiative energy transfer efficiency is more or less constant. Φ_{UC} was experimentally measured at 310 K in absence of 3^{2+} and a value of 1.5% was found (see ESI†). Note that such a measurement intrinsically takes into account any energy transfer from excited singlet 2 to ground state 1. Φ_{Ru} has a value of 0.52%.18 Estimating an energy transfer efficiency of 90 \pm 5% at 3.5 mol% 3²⁺, the theoretical value of E_{total} should be 0.007%. Experimentally, a value can be determined directly from the UV-Vis spectroscopy data in Fig. 3c, by taking the slope at $t = 0$ of a plot of the amount of moles of 3^{2+} as a function of the amount of photons absorbed since $t = 0$ (see Fig. S10, ESI†). E_{total} was found to be 0.027%, which is in reasonable agreement with the theoretical value. The difference between theory and experiment is attributed to radiative energy transfer⁸ and direct absorption of the red light by 3^{2+} , which have both been neglected in eqn (2).

In principle, at such power densities the quantum efficiency of photodissociation reaction under red light irradiation should not depend on the photon flux. It was indeed shown that the TTA upconversion quantum yield does not depend on light intensity above a certain intensity threshold I_{TH} ,⁵⁷ For the 2-component liposomes L12 we demonstrated recently⁵⁸ that indeed the TTA-UC intensity linearly scales with irradiation intensity above I_{TH} = 0.05 W cm^{-2} , while a quadratic dependence of the upconversion intensity on irradiation power is observed at lower intensities, i.e., between 50 and 8 mW cm^{-2} (see also Fig. S11, ESI†). When 3-component liposomes L123 were irradiated with 30, 60, or 120 mW power, i.e., 0.24, 0.48, and 0.95 W cm^{-2} , respectively, the decrease in photosubstitution reaction rate was found almost proportional to light intensity (Fig. S12, ESI†). In other words, at these intensities the overall efficiency of the photosubstitution reaction was indeed found, within experimental errors, independent on the red light intensity (Fig. S13, ESI†). Clinical PDT frequently reports light intensities of 1 W cm^{-2} , and recent work even used intensities as high as 79 W cm^{-2} ,⁵⁹ which underlines

the biological applicability of TTA-UC in liposomes as a prodrug activation strategy.

Conclusion

This work is one of the first demonstrations that TTA-UC can be combined with FRET.⁵⁶ By putting the three photochemically active components 1, 2, and 3^{2+} inside a single lipid bilayer highly efficient non-radiative energy transfer between the upconverted perylene excitation and the ground state of complex 3^{2+} occurs. Under red light excitation from a commercial PDT laser the photosubstitution reaction of 3^{2+} to 4^{2+} was much faster in the combined liposomes L123 than using radiative energy transfer, $i.e.,$ in a physical mixture of L12 and L3. In principle, this strategy can be generalized to any blue-light absorbing (pro)drug with good spectral overlap with the perylene emission. Light activation triggered by TTA-UC upconversion and FRET is especially attractive for the treatment of hypoxic tumours, in which classical PDT lose efficacy. For example, a system combining TTA-UC and PACT would function optimally. In the field of anticancer prodrug activation lanthanide-doped upconverting nanoparticles have shown promising results, $13,46,60,61$ but the upconversion is poorly efficient in water and it requires laser powers in the multi-Watt regime that can be detrimental to cell viability.⁶² Adding TTA-UC in the palette of the medicinal photochemistry offers a significant alternative to the upconverting strategy: more light is absorbed, higher upconversion efficiencies are achieved at intensities as low as 10 mW cm^{-2} , and the molecular nature of the photoactive components allows for studying the mechanism of energy transfer in great detail. Recent work from our lab has shown that the problem of the sensitivity of TTA-upconversion schemes to dioxygen can be addressed using for example sodium sulfite.⁵⁸ These approaches may be combined to achieve red-to-blue TTAupconversion and prodrug activation in cellulo.

Acknowledgements

Prof. Dr Lies Bouwman is kindly acknowledged for support and scientific discussion. NWO (The Netherlands Organization for

Scientific Research) is acknowledged for a VENI grant to M.K., a VIDI grant to S.B., a VICI grant to J.T.M.K., and a Middelgroot investment grant to J.T.M.K. The European Research Council is acknowledged for an ERC starting grant to S.B., G. B. acknowledges the FNRS (FRFC 2010: 2.4592.10F) and the Van Buuren Foundation for the funding of the microcalorimetry equipment. COST action CM1005 (Supramolecular Chemistry in Water) is acknowledged for an STSM travel grant to S.H.C.A. COST action CM1105 (Functional metal complexes that bind to biomolecules) is acknowledged for stimulating scientific discussion. Paper

Science Article 2015. Article 2015. Article 2015. Article 2015. Downloaded on 22 September 2015. Downloaded to the Creation Commons Commons Article 2015. Downloaded to the Creation Commons Account 2015. Are Clearly

References

- 1 L. Zayat, C. Calero, P. Alborés, L. Baraldo and R. Etchenique, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 882–883.
- 2 P. J. Bednarski, F. S. Mackay and P. J. Sadler, Adv. Anticancer Agents Med. Chem., 2007, 7, 75–93.
- 3 N. J. Farrer, L. Salassa and P. J. Sadler, Dalton Trans., 2009, 10690–10701.
- 4 U. Schatzschneider, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2010, 1451–1467.
- 5 S. L. H. Higgins and K. J. Brewer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 11420–11422.
- 6 B. S. Howerton, D. K. Heidary and E. C. Glazer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8324–8327.
- 7 M. A. Sgambellone, A. David, R. N. Garner, K. R. Dunbar and C. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11274–11282.
- 8 S. H. C. Askes, A. Bahreman and S. Bonnet, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1029–1033.
- 9 A. Master, M. Livingston and A. Sen Gupta, J. Controlled Release, 2013, 168, 88–102.
- 10 S. G. Bown, J. Natl. Compr. Cancer Network, 2012, 10(suppl 2), S69–S74.
- 11 A. M. Bugaj, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2011, 10, 1097.
- 12 D. W. Felsher, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2003, 3, 375–379.
- 13 E. Ruggiero, A. Habtemariam, L. Yate, J. Mareque Rivas and L. Salassa, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 1715–1718.
- 14 R. R. Sawant and V. P. Torchilin, Soft Matter, 2010, 6, 4026–4044.
- 15 R. Weijer, M. Broekgaarden, M. Kos, R. van Vught, E. A. J. Rauws, E. Breukink, T. M. van Gulik, G. Storm and M. Heger, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2015, 23, 103–131.
- 16 M. Ferrari, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2005, 5, 161–171.
- 17 Y. Matsumura and H. Maeda, Cancer Res., 1986, 46, 6387–6392.
- 18 S. Bonnet, B. Limburg, J. D. Meeldijk, R. J. M. K. Gebbink and J. A. Killian, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 133, 252–261.
- 19 T. N. Singh-Rachford and F. N. Castellano, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 2560–2573.
- 20 J. Zhao, S. Ji and H. Guo, RSC Adv., 2011, 1, 937–950.
- 21 Y. C. Simon and C. Weder, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 20817–20830.
- 22 Y. Y. Cheng, B. Fückel, T. Khoury, R. L. G. C. R. Clady, N. J. Ekins-Daukes, M. J. Crossley and T. W. Schmidt, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 1047–1053.
- 23 Y. Murakami, T. Ito and A. Kawai, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2014, 118, 14442–14451.
- 24 C. Wohnhaas, K. Friedemann, D. Busko, K. Landfester, S. Baluschev, D. Crespy and A. Turshatov, ACS Macro Lett., 2013, 2, 446–450.
- 25 R. R. Islangulov, J. Lott, C. Weder and F. N. Castellano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 12652–12653.
- 26 P. B. Merkel and J. P. Dinnocenzo, J. Lumin., 2009, 129, 303–306.
- 27 A. Monguzzi, R. Tubino and F. Meinardi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 1171–1174.
- 28 T. N. Singh-Rachford, J. Lott, C. Weder and F. N. Castellano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12007–12014.
- 29 P. C. Boutin, K. P. Ghiggino, T. L. Kelly and R. P. Steer, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 4113–4118.
- 30 S.-H. Lee, A. Sonseca, R. Vadrucci, E. Giménez, E. J. Foster and Y. Simon, J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater., 2014, 24, 898–903.
- 31 A. Turshatov, D. Busko, S. Baluschev, T. Miteva and K. Landfester, New J. Phys., 2011, 13, 083035.
- 32 J.-H. Kang and E. Reichmanis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 11841–11844.
- 33 J.-H. Kim and J.-H. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17478–17481.
- 34 Q. Liu, B. Yin, T. Yang, Y. Yang, Z. Shen, P. Yao and F. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5029–5037.
- 35 K. Tanaka, H. Okada, W. Ohashi, J.-H. Jeon, K. Inafuku and Y. Chujo, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2013, 21, 2678–2681.
- 36 C. Wohnhaas, V. Mailänder, M. Dröge, M. A. Filatov, D. Busko, Y. Avlasevich, S. Baluschev, T. Miteva, K. Landfester and A. Turshatov, Macromol. Biosci., 2013, 13, 1422–1430.
- 37 Y. C. Simon, S. Bai, M. K. Sing, H. Dietsch, M. Achermann and C. Weder, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2012, 33, 498–502.
- 38 O. S. Kwon, J.-H. Kim, J. K. Cho and J.-H. Kim, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 318–325.
- 39 M. Penconi, P. L. Gentili, G. Massaro, F. Elisei and F. Ortica, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2014, 13, 48–61.
- 40 J.-H. Kim and J.-H. Kim, ACS Photonics, 2015, 2, 633–638.
- 41 P. Duan, N. Yanai and N. Kimizuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 19056–19059.
- 42 Z. Jiang, M. Xu, F. Li and Y. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16446–16453.
- 43 R. S. Khnayzer, J. Blumhoff, J. A. Harrington, A. Haefele, F. Deng and F. N. Castellano, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 209–211.
- 44 R. E. Goldbach, I. Rodriguez-Garcia, J. H. van Lenthe, M. A. Siegler and S. Bonnet, Chem. – Eur. J., 2011, 17, 9924–9929.
- 45 A. Bahreman, B. Limburg, M. A. Siegler, R. Koning, A. J. Koster and S. Bonnet, Chem. – Eur. J., 2012, 18, 10271–10280.
- 46 Y. Dai, H. Xiao, J. Liu, Q. Yuan, P. a. Ma, D. Yang, C. Li, Z. Cheng, Z. Hou, P. Yang and J. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 18920–18929.
- 47 J. R. Lakowicz, Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, New York, NY, USA, 3rd edn, 2006.
- 48 R. Berera, R. Grondelle and J. M. Kennis, Photosynth. Res., 2009, 101, 105–118.
- 49 J. Ravensbergen, F. F. Abdi, J. H. van Santen, R. N. Frese, B. Dam, R. van de Krol and J. T. M. Kennis, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 27793–27800.
- 50 S. L. Joris J. Snellenburg, R. Seger, K. M. Mullen and I. H. M. van Stokkum, J. Stat. Softw., 2012, 49, 1–22.
- 51 Y. H. Meyer and P. Plaza, Chem. Phys., 1995, 200, 235–243.
- 52 A. S. Holmes, D. J. S. Birch and T. Salthammer, J. Fluoresc., 1993, 3, 77–84.
- 53 D. Marsh, Handbook of Lipid Bilayers, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2nd edn, 2013.
- 54 A. Bahreman, M. Rabe, A. Kros, G. Bruylants and S. Bonnet, Chem. – Eur. J., 2014, 20, 7429–7438.
- 55 A. S. Holmes, K. Suhling and D. J. S. Birch, Biophys. Chem., 1993, 48, 193–204.
- 56 W. Wang, Q. Liu, C. Zhan, A. Barhoumi, T. Yang, R. G. Wylie, P. A. Armstrong and D. S. Kohane, Nano Lett., 2015, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.1025b01325.
- 57 A. Haefele, J. Blumhoff, R. S. Khnayzer and F. N. Castellano, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2012, 3, 299–303.
- 58 S. H. C. Askes, N. L. Mora, R. Harkes, R. I. Koning, B. Koster, T. Schmidt, A. Kros and S. Bonnet, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 9137–9140.
- 59 S. G. Bown, A. Z. Rogowska, D. E. Whitelaw, W. R. Lees, L. B. Lovat, P. Ripley, L. Jones, P. Wyld, A. Gillams and A. W. R. Hatfield, Gut, 2002, 50, 549–557. PCCP

4D R. Berta, R. Grondelle and J. M. Kemiss, Photograph. Res., 89 W. Warg, Q. Liu, C. Zhan, A. Berbary, R. Say

4D R. R. This article. Published and Creative Commons Article. 2015. Downloaded and D. N. Commons Attribu
	- 60 Y. Min, J. Li, F. Liu, E. K. L. Yeow and B. Xing, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 1012–1016.
	- 61 E. Ruggiero, J. Hernández-Gil, J. C. Mareque-Rivas and L. Salassa, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 2091–2094.
	- 62 Y.-F. Wang, G.-Y. Liu, L.-d. Sun, J.-W. Xiao, J.-C. Zhou and C.-H. Yan, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 7200–7206.