



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2015, 17, 17471

Exceptional adsorption-induced cluster and network deformation in the flexible metal–organic framework DUT-8(Ni) observed by *in situ* X-ray diffraction and EXAFS†

Volodymyr Bon,^a Nicole Klein,^{‡a} Irena Senkowska,^a Andreas Heerwig,^{§a}
Jürgen Getzschmann,^a Dirk Wallacher,^b Ivo Zizak,^c Maria Brzhezinskaya,^c
Uwe Mueller^d and Stefan Kaskel^{*a}

The “gate opening” mechanism in the highly flexible MOF Ni₂(2,6-ndc)₂dabco (DUT-8(Ni), DUT = Dresden University of Technology) with unprecedented unit cell volume change was elucidated in detail using combined single crystal X-ray diffraction, *in situ* XRD and EXAFS techniques. The analysis of the crystal structures of closed pore (cp) and large pore (lp) phases reveals a drastic and unique unit cell volume expansion of up to 254%, caused by adsorption of gases, surpassing other gas-pressure switchable MOFs significantly. To a certain extent, the structural deformation is specific for the guest molecule triggering the transformation due to subtle differences in adsorption enthalpy, shape, and kinetic diameter of the guest. Combined adsorption and powder diffraction experiments using nitrogen (77 K), carbon dioxide (195 K), and *n*-butane (272.5 K) as a probe molecules reveal a one-step structural transformation from cp to lp. In contrast, adsorption of ethane (185 K) or ethylene (169 K) results in a two-step transformation with the formation of intermediate phases. *In situ* EXAFS during nitrogen adsorption was used for the first time to monitor the local coordination geometry of the metal atoms during the structural transformation in flexible MOFs revealing a unique local deformation of the nickel-based paddle-wheel node.

Received 14th April 2015,
Accepted 9th June 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cp02180d

www.rsc.org/pccp

Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks represent nowadays the record materials in terms of specific surface area and pore volume among all known porous solids.^{1,2} The simplicity of the guest exchange within the framework suggests a wide range of application fields.^{3–6} A feature of MOFs that is unique as compared to

other porous crystalline materials is reversible structural switchability between non porous (closed pore structure) and porous (open pore structure) polymorphs.⁷ This novel (also termed 3rd) generation of coordination polymers⁸ is capable to perform a step-wise structural transformation as a response to external stimuli, such as guest molecules, electromagnetic radiation, temperature or pressure. Although more than 20.000 MOFs are known today only less than 100 of such switchable 3rd generation MOFs are structurally characterized and the theoretical elucidation of flexibility phenomenon is still in its infancy. Nevertheless, such MOFs already find a wide interest as switchable or highly selective gas adsorbents,^{9–12} threshold sensors,^{13,14} stimuli responsive drug delivery agents,¹⁵ switchable catalysts¹⁶ etc.

In order to establish a rational design, a detailed understanding of linker-cluster hinge deformation energies and atom rearrangement in the cluster nodes responsible for a ferroelastic deformation of the framework during the switching process is essential, as it represents a prerequisite for the effective prediction and recognition of the potential application fields as well as for understanding of driving forces, may it be derived from internal (adsorption induced) or external pressure. For such an understanding it is essential to elucidate crystal structures forming during the transformation induced by gas adsorption.

^a *Inorganic Chemistry I, Technische Universität Dresden, Bergstrasse 66, 01062 Dresden, Germany. E-mail: stefan.kaskel@chemie.tu-dresden.de; Fax: +49 (351) 463 37287*

^b *Department Sample Environments, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Hahn-Meitner Platz 1, Berlin, Germany*

^c *Institute of Nanometer Optik and Technology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Albert-Einstein-Str. 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

^d *Macromolecular Crystallography Group, Institute Soft Matter and Functional Materials, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Albert-Einstein-Str. 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1034317, 1034322, 1056823 and 1034320. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5cp02180d

‡ Present address: Fraunhofer Institute for Material and Beam Technology, IWS, Winterbergstraße 28, 01277 Dresden, Germany.

§ Present address: Physical Chemistry, Measurement and Sensor Technology, Technische Universität Dresden, Eisenstückstr. 5, 01069 Dresden, Germany.



However, the monitoring of the structural evolution during the “gate opening” *in situ* is challenging. So far only few groups have realized the parallelized collection of diffraction and adsorption data.^{17–21} In general, single crystal data during adsorption are very rare and sophisticated and thus powder diffraction is used giving good insight into structural framework deformation.^{22–24} For example, breathable MIL-53 family materials were successfully studied by *in situ* adsorption.^{21,25–27} However, the visualization of small local changes from Rietveld refinement even in combination with simulation is sometimes ambiguous. In this context the parallelized use of local probes is essential to confirm important structural details.

In the following we will for the first time show how a combination of single crystal and powder XRD with EXAFS elucidates precisely the structural changes of a highly flexible MOF, DUT-8(Ni), showing unprecedented unit cell volume expansion of up to 254% upon “gate opening”. Not only the structures of the terminal states of the compounds (so called large pore (**lp**) phase and the closed pore (**cp**) phase) could be visualized on the atomistic level, but also the gas filled structural phases of the material were solved from *in situ* data collected during the adsorption of gases. We have focused especially on gases being important in the fields of energy storage, separation and environmental applications, namely carbon dioxide (195 K), ethane (185 K), ethylene (169 K), *n*-butane (273 K), and nitrogen (77 K). The measurements reveal essential mechanistic insights and may have a high impact for the development of 3rd generation MOFs for technical applications.

Results and discussions

DUT-8(Ni) is unique and anomalous among the pillar-layered compounds because of the huge pore volume expansion unattained in other pillared layer systems.²⁸ The layers constructed of Ni paddle-wheels interconnected by 2,6-ndc linkers are pillared by dabco molecules, yielding a 3D framework with the α -Po topology. The as-made compound crystallizes in $P4/n$ space group with 2 formula units per unit cell and contains square channels with limiting pore diameter of 9.0 Å (Fig. 1c left) filled with DMF and MeOH molecules. The analysis of crystallographic porosity reveals that 66.6% of the unit cell volume is accessible for solvent. Porosity calculations using Poreblazer 3.0 program²⁹ on the crystal structure without solvent molecules results in the geometrical surface area of 2646 m² g⁻¹ and pore volume of 1.00 cm³ g⁻¹.

During the desolvation, however, a transformation from the as made to the **cp** phase, takes place associated with a distinct color change from green to yellow, and the dense structure without nitrogen accessible porosity is formed (Fig. 1c right).

The crystal structure of the closed structure, DUT-8(Ni) **cp**, is described here for the first time, as it could only be solved combining single crystal XRD, powder X-ray diffraction and computational simulations. The poor diffraction data, collected on weakly scattering single crystals of DUT-8(Ni) **cp** phase revealed triclinic unit cell and the structure solution in the

$P1$ space group provided the coordinates of the Ni atoms (see ESI,† Table S1). This initial model was used for the structure simulation, performed using the modelling and simulation software Material Studio 5.0.³⁰ Subsequently, obtained structure was successfully refined against the powder X-ray diffraction data (ESI,† Fig. S1 and S2). The analysis of the crystal structure of **cp** DUT-8(Ni) shows a changing orientation of the pillaring ligand: dabco molecules, located along [001] direction in as-made phase, are located along [110] in the **cp** phase. The Ni₂(2,6-ndc)₂ layers parallel to the (110) plane in the as-made structure are parallel to (101) plane in the **cp** one.

Analysis of the atoms connectivity in both structures shows that no bond dissociation occurs during the phase transition but, unprecedented, within the paddle-wheel unit the coordination geometry of the Ni atom changes drastically during the evacuation: thus, in the as-made structure (space group $P4/n$), the N–Ni–Ni–N atoms of paddle-wheel and dabco molecules are positioned on a common axis forming a linear chain, with N–Ni–O angles of 95.43° (Fig. 1a). In the **cp** phase, however, the above mentioned angles are strongly distorted: the Ni–Ni–N angle is 154° causing the formation of Ni–Ni–N–N zigzag chains and the values of N–Ni–O angle vary significantly from 82.1° to 106.8° (Fig. 1a). The adjacent O–Ni–O angles deform even more dramatic from 89.5° in the solvent filled form to a wide region of 52.1–128.6° in the closed phase. However, the distance between two nickel atoms increases only slightly from 2.64 to 2.74 Å in the closed form.

Another soft feature of the structure is the interplanar angles between carboxylates and Ni paddle-wheel that vary from 3.73° to 47.59° (Fig. 1b). Thus, in contrast to MIL-53 (MIL – Matériaux de l’Institut Lavoisier), where breathing mechanism is associated purely with changes of the dihedral angle at the carboxylate hinge, structural transformation in DUT-8(Ni) is finally a synergetic effect of hinge deformation (knee-cap dihedral angle deformation) and a unique strong distortion of the square planar paddle-wheel node. Only the combination of cluster and hinge deformation can cause such an enormous change of the pore volume. More intriguing, such a combined mechanism of cluster and hinge deformation is challenging in terms of quantum chemical modelling due to the subtle interplay of van der Waals interactions, bond deformation, and changes of the electronic structure within the cluster. The contraction of the structure leads to drastic changes of the pore window: the channel aperture, measured as a diagonals between oppositely located Ni-paddle-wheels changes from 18.43 × 18.43 Å in the as-made phase to 23.66 × 6.95 Å in the **cp** phase. Such drastic changes of the pore system cause an extensive influence on the porosity. The PLATON provides no accessible void for the guest molecules that is confirmed by Poreblazer 3.0 showing zero surface area and pore volume for the **cp** structure.

Thus, the structural transformation from the as-made to **cp** phase results in a very strong contraction of the unit cell volume from 1595 Å³ to 647.7 Å³ (both recalculated with $Z = 1$). Consequently the crystallographic density of the framework increases from 0.686 g cm⁻³ to 1.687 g cm⁻³ (if both frameworks without guest molecules are considered). To our knowledge,






Fig. 1 Structural transformation of DUT-8(Ni): the paddle-wheel chains pillared by dabco molecules (a); SBU environment (b); crystal structure along rectangular channels (c).

this is the largest cell volume change induced by the adsorption of gas molecules in “gate pressure” MOFs ever observed.

For example, the unit cell of MIL-53(Cr)³¹ changes from 1012.6 Å³ in the “narrow pore” phase to 1486.3 Å³ in the “large pore” one, corresponding to the 46.8%.³¹ The current record holder, MIL-88D³² shows a huge swelling effect during the liquid phase adsorption of solvent molecules, along with an increase of the unit cell volume in the “large pore” phase of 333% compared to the “closed pore” phase. However, MIL-88 materials exhibit this swelling only in liquid phase adsorption.

In order to explain the gating behavior during the adsorption of gases, parallelized adsorption and powder X-ray diffraction experiments were performed on DUT-8(Ni) at the Helmholtz Center Berlin.¹⁸ For this purpose, probe molecules with significant differences in polarity and kinetic diameter were chosen as adsorptives.

Adsorption of nitrogen at 77.4 K monitored *in situ* by XRD and EXAFS spectroscopy

Initially, *in situ* nitrogen physisorption at 77.4 K was studied, since the *ex situ* adsorption experiment shows a pronounced hysteresis in the adsorption isotherm (Fig. 2). The powder XRD of starting materials under vacuum shows predominately the presence of **cp** DUT-8 with a minor impurity of the **lp** phase (characteristic (110) reflection at $2\theta = 6.8^\circ$). As described earlier, the activation procedure has a strong influence on the adsorption behavior below the gate opening pressure³³ and on the phase purity of the compound. The **cp**:**lp** ratio determined from the powder XRD of activated DUT-8(Ni) is 98 : 2.

Interestingly, during the adsorption of small quantities of nitrogen below the “gate pressure”, the intensity of **lp** diffraction peaks decreases, enhancing the ratio of **cp**:**lp** phase from






Fig. 2 Adsorption N_2 isotherm at 77 K (top) on DUT-8(Ni) monitored *in situ* by powder X-ray diffraction (bottom).

98 : 2 in the evacuated state to 100 : 0 at $p/p_0 = 0.15$ (adsorption point 2, Fig. 2, see also ESI,† Table S4).

Such a phenomenon has been reported earlier and can be interpreted as a sort of re-equilibration in the presence of low gas concentrations indicating a dynamic equilibrium (for example for MIL-53(Cr) during the adsorption of water).³¹ At $p/p_0 = 0.2$, the “gate pressure” is reached accompanied by strong adsorption of nitrogen. The most characteristic (110) reflection

of $N_2@DUT-8(Ni)$ phase appears at $2\theta = 6.8^\circ$ in the diffraction patterns collected at relative pressures higher than 0.3. At the same time, the intensity of (100) reflection from the **cp** phase, continuously decreases with increasing pressure. The ratio of **lp** : **cp** phases derived from the PXRDs phase analysis at the highest measured relative pressure is 59 : 41 (ESI,† Table S4). The PXRD patterns of $N_2@DUT-8(Ni)$ collected at $p/p_0 = 0.95$ does not exactly match the theoretical pattern of the tetragonal “as made” phase (see ESI,† Fig. S17). The indexing of the PXRD pattern results in a monoclinic cell ($P2_1/m$ space group) with cell parameters quite similar to that of the as made phase, but with the monoclinic angle of 94.4° (Table 1, ESI,† Fig. S6). Interestingly, the unit cell volume of the $N_2@DUT-8(Ni)$ phase is slightly higher in comparison to the as-made tetragonal phase, making the unit cell expansion during the nitrogen adsorption even more drastic, reaching the level of 254%.

The crystallographic data collected in whole range of relative pressures above the “gate pressure”, indicate a step-like transition from **cp** phase to the $N_2@DUT-8(Ni)$ phase. Peaks belonging to an intermediate (partially opened) structure are not detected. It is remarkable that the nitrogen uptake of $480 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$, obtained at $p/p_0 = 0.95$ during the *in situ* experiment (and consistently the corresponding pore volume of $0.743 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$) amount to 71.4% of the theoretical values calculated for “empty” as-made structure (pore volume $1.040 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$). In this case, the nitrogen adsorption uptake can to some extent be used as an indicator for the degree of crystal structure transformation.

The changes in the local Ni coordination geometry during the adsorption of nitrogen at 77 K, especially bond lengths in the first coordination shell, were monitored by *in situ* EXAFS measurements. In the Fig. 3, the fits of the first coordination shell of the Ni scatterers for **cp** and **lp** phases are shown. For the **cp** phase the fit shows a good correlation for the Ni–Ni single scattering (SS) path. Thus, according to the crystallographic data the Ni–Ni distance in the **cp** phase is 2.736 Å, whereas EXAFS fit suggests 2.759 Å. Because of the low symmetry of the **cp** structure, as well as a very small spread in Ni–O and Ni–N distances, it becomes complicated from the physical point of view to fit each SS path separately because of drastically increasing number of parameters. Therefore three Ni–O SS paths with the similar lengths were fitted as one with degeneracy parameter of 3. The fourth Ni–O SS path with smallest distance as well as Ni–N SS path were fitted separately. Even in this case, the Debye–Waller factors for these paths refine to the negative

Table 1 Unit cell parameters, cell volume, and accessible void volume of DUT-8(Ni) phases studied

| | SG | <i>a</i> (Å) | <i>b</i> (Å) | <i>c</i> (Å) | α (deg) | β (deg) | γ (deg) | <i>V</i> (Å ³) | <i>Z</i> | Void (Å ³) (% of as made lp phase) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------|---|
| DUT-8(Ni) cp | <i>P1</i> | 6.947(1) | 8.181(1) | 12.172(1) | 91.14(1) | 103.87(1) | 104.55(1) | 647.7(1) | 1 | 0 (0) |
| $C_2H_6@DUT-8(Ni)$ IP1 184.6 K | <i>P1</i> | 9.478(1) | 11.066(1) | 12.694(1) | 101.54(1) | 92.06(1) | 100.59(1) | 1278.5(1) | 1 | 729.2 (57.0) |
| $C_2H_4@DUT-8(Ni)$ IP2 169.4 K | <i>P1</i> | 9.611(1) | 11.254(1) | 12.556(1) | 103.50 (1) | 94.53(1) | 98.32(1) | 1296.6(1) | 1 | 736.2 (56.8) |
| DUT-8(Ni) as made 298 K | <i>P4/n</i> | 18.431(1) | 18.431(1) | 9.391(1) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 3190.0(1) | 2 | 2124.6 (66.6) |
| $N_2@DUT-8(Ni)$ lp 77 K | <i>P2_1/m</i> | 18.657(1) | 18.736(1) | 9.433(1) | 90 | 94.42(1) | 90 | 3287.5(1) | 2 | 2196.0 (66.8) |
| $CO_2@DUT-8(Ni)$ lp 195 K | <i>P2_1/m</i> | 18.475(1) | 18.604(1) | 9.431(1) | 90 | 95.45(1) | 90 | 3226.9(1) | 2 | 2156.4 (66.8) |
| $C_4H_{10}@DUT-8(Ni)$ lp 273 K | <i>P2_1/m</i> | 18.514(1) | 18.179(1) | 9.409(1) | 90 | 95.62(1) | 90 | 3151.0(8) | 2 | 2080.3 (66.0) |
| $C_2H_4@DUT-8(Ni)$ lp 169.4 K | <i>P2_1/m</i> | 20.405(1) | 16.497(1) | 9.347(1) | 90 | 94.12(1) | 90 | 3138.2(1) | 2 | 2070.8 (66.0) |






Fig. 3 EXAFS data analysis of DUT-8(Ni) **cp** (bottom) and N_2 @DUT-8(Ni) **lp** (top) phases in R -space showing modulus and imaginary part. Blue lines – measured data, red line – fit.

values indicating the low reliability of the data. The EXAFS spectrum of the nitrogen filled sample was fitted to the corresponding structural model. Due to the higher symmetry of the Ni-paddle-wheel, only one Ni–O path was used with the degeneracy parameter of 4. Both Ni–N and Ni–Ni single scattering paths were fitted in the same manner. In addition, the high symmetry of the SBU as well as high quality of the spectrum allows to involve Ni–C_{carboxylate} path in the fit. As a result, the Ni–O SS path was fitted with the distance of 2.017 Å that is 0.158 Å higher in comparison with crystallographic data, reported for as made phase. Opposite, the Ni–Ni, Ni–N and Ni–C SS paths are fitted with the values very close to the crystallographic ones (ESI,† Table S2).

Adsorption of CO_2 at 195 K monitored *in situ* adsorption by powder XRD

Compared to nitrogen, the kinetic diameter of carbon dioxide molecules is smaller and the quadrupole moment is higher. This usually results in stronger interaction with the host framework reflected in a higher adsorption enthalpy.

Tuning the adsorption behavior of MOFs for specific gases such as CO_2 is essential for the application in separation units. Switchable MOFs show typically guest specific gate opening pressures with significant differences in magnitude for CO_2 and N_2 .³⁴ Such selectivity is also characteristic for DUT-8(Ni). The relative gate opening pressure for CO_2 is *ca.* 0.4 at 195 K and for N_2 *ca.* 0.2 (at 77 K). In the high pressure region (up to 50 bar at 298 K), only CO_2 is able to induce the framework opening (gate opening pressure 30 bar).²⁸ The adsorption of

CO_2 on DUT-8(Ni) at high pressure was investigated earlier by *in situ*- ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy.³⁵ A certain degree of ordering was observed for all the CO_2 molecules adsorbed inside DUT-8(Ni) and a tilt angle of 49° between the symmetry axis and the rotation axis of the molecules adsorbed in the flexible DUT-8(Ni) was postulated.

Surprisingly, from the structural point of view, the DUT-8(Ni) framework shows at 195 K carbon dioxide adsorption behavior very similar to that observed during the adsorption of nitrogen at 77 K (ESI,† Fig. S11). The evacuated sample contains predominantly **cp** DUT-8 (ESI,† Table S5). After adsorbing of small CO_2 amount in the pre-gate region, the (110) peak belonging to **lp** phase at $2\theta = 6.8^\circ$ disappears indicating an increase of the **cp** phase amount in the bulk material. The (110) reflection of the CO_2 @DUT-8(Ni) phase appears at $p/p_0 = 0.61$ and constantly grows in intensity with increasing CO_2 pressure. At the same time the intensity of (100) peak of **cp** decreases steadily. According to the powder XRD pattern at $p/p_0 = 0.99$, the majority of the sample exist in the large pore CO_2 @DUT-8(Ni) phase (ESI,† Table S5). However both, evaluation of the pore volume at $p/p_0 = 0.99$ as well as quantitative phase analysis of PXRD, suggest the presence of nearly 25% of closed phase in the sample. The indexing of the CO_2 @DUT-8(Ni) PXRD pattern collected at $p/p_0 = 0.99$ results in a monoclinic cell (space group $P2_1/m$) with monoclinic angle of 95.4° , close to that of N_2 @DUT-8(Ni) phase (Table 1, ESI,† Fig. S7). Thus, the structural changes during the adsorption of CO_2 at 195 K occur in one step and in the similar way as compared to the adsorption of N_2 at 77 K.

Adsorption of *n*-butane at 273 K monitored *in situ* by powder XRD

In order to prove the interaction of non-polar hydrophobic adsorptive with the DUT-8 framework, the pore opening process was studied during the adsorption of *n*-butane at 273 K. At low relative pressures no significant adsorption of *n*-butane was detected (Fig. 4).

The predominating phase in activated sample, according to X-ray diffraction patterns, is the close pore phase. At $p/p_0 = 0.25$, the “gate opening” pressure is reached and DUT-8(Ni) the adsorption starts, accompanied by the corresponding structural changes. Thus, powder XRD patterns, measured in p/p_0 range from 0.3 to 0.4 indicate the presence of both phases (**cp** and butane@DUT-8(Ni)) with different ratios (ESI,† Table S3). Even at relative pressure, close to the condensation point, weak (100) reflection belonging to the **cp** phase is still distinguishable in the powder XRD pattern. As in both previous cases, indexing of the XRD pattern, measured from the *n*-butane filled material results in the monoclinic cell with similar unit cell constants (ESI,† Fig. S8). In order to evaluate the conversion of **cp** to **lp**, the pore volume in the point 7 of the isotherm ($p/p_0 = 0.95$) was compared with the result of the phase analysis at the same point. The pore volume of $0.71 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (67.9% of the theoretical value) indirectly indicates that not all crystallites undergo the phase transition to the **lp** phase. As in the case of nitrogen adsorption, the pore volume of the sample strongly correlates with the ratio of **lp**:**cp**, obtained from the quantitative






Fig. 4 Adsorption of *n*-butane (272.5 K) on DUT-8(Ni) monitored *in situ*-XRD.

phase analysis of the powder XRD pattern (**lp** : **cp** = 67 : 33 (ESI,† Table S3)).

Adsorption of C₂H₆ at 185 K and C₂H₄ at 169 K monitored *in situ* by powder XRD

Alkene/alkane separations are of high economic importance for chemical industry. In order to understand selectivity of DUT-8(Ni) for ethane *vs.* ethylene, adsorption studies were carried out. The *in situ* experiments were performed at the saturation temperature for each gas. The changes in the structure start for both gases at nearly the same relative pressure around $p/p_0 = 0.2$. But the network transformation induced by ethane adsorption proceeds along a different structural trajectory in comparison with the probe molecules discussed above. Increasing the pressure, the peaks of the **cp** phase decrease in intensity and simultaneously a new reflection at $2\theta = 7.1^\circ$ characteristic for the open structure emerges instead of peaks at $2\theta = 6.8^\circ$. Obviously, increasing kinetic diameter of the probe molecule leads to changes in the opening mechanism of DUT-8(Ni) and an intermediate phase C₂H₆-**IP1** forms first.

The formation of intermediate MOF phases and associated multistep adsorption was also recognized previously.^{36,37}




Fig. 5 Adsorption of C₂H₆ (184.6 K) on DUT-8(Ni) monitored *in situ* by powder XRD.

Indexing of the powder XRD pattern measured at $p/p_0 = 0.61$ (point 8 on the adsorption isotherm in Fig. 5) leads to a triclinic unit cell with commensurate DUT-8(Ni) cell axes and angles (Table 1, ESI,† Fig. S3). The lattice parameters were used for the geometric optimization of the **cp** structure using Material Studio 5.0. The resulting model, containing nine ethane molecules per unit cell, was subjected to Rietveld refinement (ESI,† Fig. S3). The number of ethane molecules was estimated from the adsorption isotherm.

The refinement of the crystal structure revealed, that **IP1** is based on a distorted paddle-wheel SBU (Fig. 6a). The O-Ni-O angles lie in the narrower range from $79.6(1)^\circ$ to $98.8(1)^\circ$ in comparison with **cp** of DUT-8. The Ni-Ni-N angle (characteristic for the linearity of paddle-wheel – dabco axis) evolves from $153.9(1)^\circ$ (bent) in the **cp** to nearly linear ($173.3(1)^\circ$) in **IP1**. It should be mentioned that hinges connected with the paddle-wheel unit play a key role in the pore opening mechanism. The dihedral angles between carboxylate groups and O-Ni-Ni-O fragments of the paddle-wheel cover a range from 121.1 to 152.9° (Fig. 6a).

During the adsorption, the aperture of the rectangular channels changes from $6.9 \times 23.7 \text{ \AA}$ in **cp** phase *via* $15.1 \times 18.4 \text{ \AA}$ in the






Fig. 6 (a) Coordination geometry of the cluster in **IP1** (top). (b) Evolution of the crystal structure and paddle-wheel distortion in DUT-8 **cp**, **IP1** and **lp**; (c) Superposition of the paddle-wheels: **cp** (red), **IP1** (blue) and **lp** (black).

IP1 up to $18.1 \times 18.6 \text{ \AA}$ in $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6@\text{DUT-8}(\text{Ni})$ structure (Fig. 6b and c). According to the powder XRD data, the intermediate phase **IP1** is stable at ethane relative pressures from 0.31 to 0.99. Applying the Poreblazer 3.0 Software on **IP1** a geometrical surface area of $1888 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ is estimated, a pore volume of 0.524 g cm^{-3} , and a pore limiting diameter of 4.91 \AA .

The characteristic peak of the **lp** $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6@\text{DUT-8}(\text{Ni})$ phase appears in the powder XRD at $p/p_0 = 0.61$ increasing in intensity with increasing relative pressure. It should be mentioned that even at $p/p_0 = 0.99$ the phase analysis of powder XRD patterns shows that only 36.1% of **lp** is detected in the sample (ESI,† Table S6), indicating the weak host-guest interactions in the $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6@\text{DUT-8}(\text{Ni})$ system. The direct comparison of PXRDs of **cp**, **IP1** and **lp** is given in ESI,† Fig. S12.

Since the ethylene adsorption isotherm at 185 K is, at a first glance, very similar to the ethane adsorption at 169 K, we assumed the existence of the similar intermediate phase during the gate opening.

However, the analysis of the powder diffraction patterns measured during the adsorption indicates the appearance of a new peak starting at $p/p_0 = 0.202$. At the same time, the positions of the new peaks do not match neither the position of the peaks of the **lp** phases nor the position of the peaks corresponding to the **IP1** phase (ESI,† Fig. S13 and S16).

According to the ethylene adsorption isotherm, an unexpected, novel $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{-IP2}$ (intermediate phase 2) is present in the mixture with **cp** at $0.20 \leq p/p_0 \leq 0.25$. In the range of $0.25 \leq p/p_0 \leq 0.55$, **IP2** co-exists in the equilibrium with large $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4@\text{DUT-8}(\text{Ni})$ (ESI,† Fig. S16). Because of the strong overlap of the reflections from all three phases, powder XRDs measured in this range of pressure were not suitable for indexing and further structural analysis. In contrast to all other experiments, complete structural transition from **cp** to **lp** phase is achieved at high relative pressures that confirmed by absence of characteristic reflection of **cp** at $2\theta = 7.6^\circ$. The $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4@\text{DUT-8}(\text{Ni})$ **lp** phase is solved in the $P2_1/m$ space group and shows slightly different cell parameters but very similar to the other guest loaded phases crystal structure (see ESI,† Fig. S5). Interestingly, the reverse structural transition from **lp** to **IP2** proceeds quantitatively and therefore, the powder XRDs, measured during the desorption in the range $0.006 \leq p/p_0 \leq 0.095$, contain mainly peaks from the **IP2**. The indexing of one powder XRD in this range resulted in a triclinic unit cell with the lattice parameters and cell volume, very close to that of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{-IP1}$ (Table 1, ESI,† Fig. S4 and S13). Further desorption of ethylene leads to the formation of **cp** phase (ESI,† Fig. S13). Thus, despite the similarities of ethane and ethene adsorption isotherms, subtle structural differences are detected regarding the intermediates formed indicating significant differences in the packing of the small molecules and pore-wall interactions.

Conclusions

Summarizing, we have shown the importance of combined EXAFS, single crystal and powder XRD measurements parallelized with adsorption methods to reveal the complex structural changes and dynamics of the switchable coordination polymer DUT-8(Ni) in the presence of probe molecules differing in kinetic diameter, polarity and adsorption enthalpy. Especially the strong cluster deformation during N_2 and *n*-butane adsorption is unprecedented and has so far only been observed in



one case.²⁴ For nitrogen and carbon dioxide adsorption, DUT-8(Ni) shows comparable direct structural transformations from **cp** to **lp** phase with a huge expansion of the unit cell volume during the gas adsorption as high as 250%. In the case of *n*-butane, the complete structural transformation to the open phase is hindered. In contrast, ethane and ethene lead to a stepwise adsorption behavior, confirmed by the structural transformations, where intermediate phases could be clearly identified and solved from the powder XRDs, measured at well-defined pressure. Certainly this extent of complex switchability is unique for MOFs. The structural complexity described here for only one MOF (DUT-8(Ni)) demonstrates that through the eye of highly developed *in situ*-analytical methods there is much more structural chemistry and physics to discover in future. Recognizing soft porous solids as systems in which the structure of the solid cannot be considered without specifying the continuous surrounding phase opens a new way of interpreting structure property relationships.

Acknowledgements

BMBF (German Federal Ministry of Education and Research) is acknowledged for the financial support (Projects 05K10OD3 and 05K13OD3). We acknowledge the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin for provision of travel grants and synchrotron radiation beamtime at beamlines MagS, KMC-2 and MX BL14.2 of BESSY II.

References

- O. K. Farha, I. Eryazici, N. C. Jeong, B. G. Hauser, C. E. Wilmer, A. A. Sarjeant, R. Q. Snurr, S. T. Nguyen, A. Ö. Yazaydin and J. T. Hupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15016–15021.
- H. Furukawa, N. Ko, Y. B. Go, N. Aratani, S. B. Choi, E. Choi, A. Ö. Yazaydin, R. Q. Snurr, M. O’Keeffe, J. Kim and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2010, **329**, 424–428.
- M. P. Suh, H. J. Park, T. K. Prasad and D.-W. Lim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 782–835.
- J.-R. Li, J. Sculley and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 869–932.
- K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 724–781.
- L. E. Kreno, K. Leong, O. K. Farha, M. Allendorf, R. P. Van Duyne and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 1105–1125.
- A. Schneemann, V. Bon, I. Schwedler, I. Senkowska, S. Kaskel and R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6062–6069.
- S. Horike, S. Shimomura and S. Kitagawa, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 695–704.
- K. Nakagawa, D. Tanaka, S. Horike, S. Shimomura, M. Higuchi and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4258–4260.
- R. El Osta, A. Carlin-Sinclair, N. Guillou, R. I. Walton, F. Vermoortele, M. Maes, D. de Vos and F. Millange, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2781–2791.
- J. Kim, W. Y. Kim and W.-S. Ahn, *Fuel*, 2012, **102**, 574–579.
- F. Zhang, X. Zou, X. Gao, S. Fan, F. Sun, H. Ren and G. Zhu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 3583–3590.
- Q. Chen, Z. Chang, W.-C. Song, H. Song, H.-B. Song, T.-L. Hu and X.-H. Bu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 11550–11553.
- R. Lyndon, K. Konstas, B. P. Ladewig, P. D. Southon, P. C. J. Keperter and M. R. Hill, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3695–3698.
- A. C. McKinlay, J. F. Eubank, S. Wuttke, B. Xiao, P. S. Wheatley, P. Bazin, J. C. Lavalley, M. Daturi, A. Vimont, G. De Weireld, P. Horcajada, C. Serre and R. E. Morris, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1592–1599.
- R. K. Das, A. Aijaz, M. K. Sharma, P. Lama and P. K. Bharadwaj, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 6866–6872.
- S. Bureekaew, H. Sato, R. Matsuda, Y. Kubota, R. Hirose, J. Kim, K. Kato, M. Takata and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 7660–7664.
- V. Bon, I. Senkowska, D. Wallacher, A. Heerwig, N. Klein, I. Zizak, R. Feyerherm, E. Dudzik and S. Kaskel, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2014, **188**, 190–195.
- T. R. Jensen, T. K. Nielsen, Y. Filinchuk, J.-E. Jorgensen, Y. Cerenius, E. M. Gray and C. J. Webb, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2010, **43**, 1456–1463.
- M. Lange, M. Kobalz, J. Bergmann, D. Lassig, J. Lincke, J. Mollmer, A. Moller, J. Hofmann, H. Krautscheid, R. Staudt and R. Glaser, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 8075–8085.
- P. L. Llewellyn, P. Horcajada, G. Maurin, T. Devic, N. Rosenbach, S. Bourrelly, C. Serre, D. Vincent, S. Loera-Serna, Y. Filinchuk and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 13002–13008.
- S. R. Miller, P. A. Wright, T. Devic, C. Serre, G. R. Férey, P. L. Llewellyn, R. Denoyel, L. Gaberova and Y. Filinchuk, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 3618–3626.
- P. K. Allan, B. Xiao, S. J. Teat, J. W. Knight and R. E. Morris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3605–3611.
- J. Seo, C. Bonneau, R. Matsuda, M. Takata and S. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 9005–9013.
- C. Serre, S. Bourrelly, A. Vimont, N. A. Ramsahye, G. Maurin, P. L. Llewellyn, M. Daturi, Y. Filinchuk, O. Leynaud, P. Barnes and G. Férey, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 2246–2251.
- P. L. Llewellyn, G. Maurin, T. Devic, S. Loera-Serna, N. Rosenbach, C. Serre, S. Bourrelly, P. Horcajada, Y. Filinchuk and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12808–12814.
- L. Hamon, P. L. Llewellyn, T. Devic, A. Ghoufi, G. Clet, V. Guillerm, G. D. Pirngruber, G. Maurin, C. Serre, G. Driver, W. V. Beek, E. Jolimaître, A. Vimont, M. Daturi and G. R. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17490–17499.
- N. Klein, C. Herzog, M. Sabo, I. Senkowska, J. Getzschmann, S. Paasch, M. R. Lohe, E. Brunner and S. Kaskel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 11778–11784.
- L. Sarkisov and A. Harrison, *Mol. Simul.*, 2011, **37**, 1248–1257.
- Material Studio*, Accelrys Software Inc., San Diego, Release 5.0 edn, 2009.



- 31 C. Serre, F. Millange, C. Thouvenot, M. Noguès, G. Marsolier, D. Louër and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 13519–13526.
- 32 F. Štěpánek, M. Kubíček, M. Marek, M. Šoóš, P. Rajniak and R. T. Yang, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2000, **55**, 431–440.
- 33 H. C. Hoffmann, B. Assfour, F. Epperlein, N. Klein, S. Paasch, I. Senkowska, S. Kaskel, G. Seifert and E. Brunner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 8681–8690.
- 34 J.-R. Li, Y. Ma, M. C. McCarthy, J. Sculley, J. Yu, H.-K. Jeong, P. B. Balbuena and H.-C. Zhou, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 1791–1823.
- 35 H. Hoffmann, M. Debowski, P. Müller, S. Paasch, I. Senkowska, S. Kaskel and E. Brunner, *Materials*, 2012, **5**, 2537–2572.
- 36 F. Salles, G. Maurin, C. Serre, P. L. Llewellyn, C. Knofel, H. J. Choi, Y. Filinchuk, L. Oliviero, A. Vimont, J. R. Long and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 13782–13788.
- 37 V. Bon, I. Senkowska, D. Wallacher, D. M. Töbrens, I. Zizak, R. Feyerherm, U. Mueller and S. Kaskel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 1513–1520.

