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New building units (unimers) for metallo-supramolecular polymers 2,5-bis(2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine-4′-yl)-thiophene, M, and 5,5′-bis(2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine-4′-yl)(2,2″-bithiophene), B, with ionic groups attached to thiophene rings are prepared by the modification of corresponding bromo-precursors and assembled with Zn$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions into alcohol-soluble conjugated constitutional-dynamic polyelectrolytes (polyelectrolyte dynamers). Ionization of side groups only slightly affects the absorption spectra of unimers as well as dynamers but dramatically changes their solubility. Cyclic conformations of unimer molecules resulting from intramolecular interactions between tpy end-groups and cationic or polar (–CH$_2$Br) side groups are proposed to explain the spectral conformity of the M- and B-type unimers and their dynamers and also inhibition of the ionization reaction with tpy end-groups. The absorption spectra and excitation profiles of Raman spectra show that mainly the red arm of the metal-to-ligand charge transfer band of Fe-dynamers is significantly contributed with transitions involving thiophene rings. The constitutional dynamics of Zn-dynamers is fast while that of Fe-dynamers is so slow that it allows effective separation of the dynamer to fractions in SEC columns. Electronic spectra and viscosity measurements proved that excess of Fe$^{2+}$ ions results in shortening of the dynamer chains and their end-capping by these ions.

As the field of dynamers is relatively new, the related terminology has not yet been established, which brings about ambiguity of the term oligomer. Under the dynamics promoting conditions, dynamer molecules are typically composed of only less number of assembled units, most often oligomeric molecules. Such a dynamer is a “superior oligomer” of hierarchically lower oligomer(s). Ambiguity of the term oligomer is thus obvious. Therefore, we use throughout this paper the term unimer for an oligomer utilized as a “monomer” in the preparation of a dynamer, as proposed by Ciferri et al.3

The MSPs composed of conjugated unimers are of interest as potential materials for devices with applications based on the light/electricity inter-conversion and non-linear optical phenomena (light-emitting devices, photovoltaic cells, etc.).4–22 High attention has been paid to MSPs derived from conjugated oligomers with tridentate 2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine-4′-yl (tpy) end units that prefer facial and meridian coordination to metal ions such as Ru$^{3+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$, thus giving well defined linear chains.20,23–25 However, conjugated MSPs as well as their unimers suffer from poor solubility in solvents suitable for their solution processing. MSPs constituted of bis(tpy)oligoarylenes are poorly soluble or insoluble in overwhelming majority of solvents. They are not very easily soluble in solvents such as dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), acetonitrile (AN), and dimethylformamide (DMF), which are not very favorable for preparing good MSP layers.

Introduction

Metallo-supramolecular polymers (MSP) are an important sub-class of dynamers.1,2 A molecule of a linear MSP is composed of low-molar-mass or oligomeric units with two chelating end-groups (metal-ion receptors) that enable metal-ion induced reversible self-assembly of the units into chains. Metal ions that facilitate this self-assembly are usually referred to as ion couplers. Depending on the strength of interactions between the end-groups and ion couplers and solubility, molecules of MSPs exhibit constitutional dynamics either at increased temperature, or in solution, or both. The constitutional dynamics gives to MSPs (i) processing advantages, (ii) responsiveness to external stimuli (adaptability), (iii) possibility of tuning the properties or healing structure defects by post-synthesis exchanges of oligomer molecules and/or ion-couplers. The dynamics of MSPs is controlled by the rates of opposite reactions underlying coordination equilibria, i.e., by the rate of chemical relaxation.
Poor solubility of conjugated linear MSPs originates from rather high stiffness of their chains that strongly supports the inter-chain interactions and suppresses the intra-chain ones. The stiffness of conjugated MSP chains is a logical consequence of their chemical constitution. It mainly stems from two effects: (i) increased rigidity of conjugated chains of inbuilt unimers, and (ii) ionene structure causing extension of MSP chains due to the electrostatic repulsion between main-chain cations.

An increase in the solubility of conjugated MSPs in medium-polar solvents achieved by introducing pendant alkyl groups to unimeric units is insufficient. Future technologies require materials soluble in “green solvents” such as alcohols and aqueous solutions and in solvents providing good polymer films. Such solubility of conjugated MSPs can be potentially achieved by introducing pendant ionic groups into unimers. In the present paper, we report on the preparation and basic properties of the ionic unimers of the bis(tpy)thiophene type and their transformation into conjugated MSPs by means of coordination to Zn\(^{2+}\) and Fe\(^{2+}\) ion couplers. These compounds might be classified as conjugated polyelectrolyte dynamers though, according to the molecular structure they are hybrids of polyelectrolytes and ionenes since their chains contain pendent ionic groups as well as charged main chain atoms.

Results and discussion

The unimers prepared and studied as well as their abbreviations are shown in Chart 1. Metallo-supramolecular dynamers prepared from these unimers are denoted with the prefix \(\text{PZn}\) (dynamers with \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) ion couplers) or \(\text{PFe}\) (\(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) ion couplers) before the unimer label: for example \(\text{PZnM-Br}\) denotes the dynamer formed from \(\text{M-Br}\) and \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) ions; \(\text{PFeB-N}^+\) the dynamer formed from \(\text{B-N}^+\) and \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) ions, etc.

Synthesis of unimers and dynamers

Bromohexyl unimers \(\text{M-Br}\) and \(\text{B-Br}\) carrying 6-bromohexyl groups were prepared using the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling strategy (Scheme 1) and conditions applied earlier. The key monomer: 3-(6-bromohexyl)thiophene, \(\text{BrHexTh}\), was prepared by the dropwise addition of 3-thienyllithium to a four-fold stoichiometric excess of 1,6-dibromohexane in hexane and purified by vacuum fractionation. A part of \(\text{BrHexTh}\) was transformed into corresponding 2,5-bis(borolane) which was then reacted with \(\text{Brtpy}\) to give unimer \(\text{M-Br}\). Another portion of \(\text{BrHexTh}\) was brominated with NBS to give 2-bromoderivative, which in the reaction with diborolane gave 3,3-bis(6-bromohexyl)-2,2'-bithiophene. The latter was then transformed to bis(boronate) which, in the reaction with \(\text{Brtpy}\), gave unimer \(\text{B-Br}\).

Chart 1  Structures and codes of prepared unimers and the schematic structure of the metallo-supramolecular dynamer.
Modification of bromohexyl to ionic unimers has surprisingly met serious difficulties. Originally we wanted to replace Br atoms with \(\text{N-methylimidazolium groups – current cations of ionic liquids, since such modification took place easily with poly[3-(2-bromoethoxy)-4-methylthiophene],}^{31,32} \text{poly(BrHexTh),}^{33}\) and also on \text{BrHexTh} even in the presence of free terpyridine in our preliminary experiments. However, in sharp contrast, the reaction of bromo-unimers with \(\text{N-methylimidazole did not proceed at all at laboratory temperature and, at increased temperatures (about 50 °C or higher), significant elimination of HBr took place that gave a considerable fraction of unsaturated hex-5-en-1-yl side groups. The HBr elimination appeared even under the solvent-free conditions: in solutions of M-Br or B-Br in \(\text{N-methylimidazole at 70 °C.}\) Therefore, we decided to modify M-Br or B-Br with a stronger agent: trimethylamine (Me_3N), which has one important advantage: its stoichiometric excess is easy to remove by evaporation from the resulting reaction mixture.

The difficulties accompanying the ionization indicate that the reaction of bromohexyl unimers with \(\text{N-methylimidazole is inhibited by terpyridine end-groups. The reason for it might be seen in the increased basicity of terpyridine due to donation of electrons from neighboring thiophene rings. Interactions between terpyridine and polar \(-\text{CH_2Br groups can be strong enough to keep these groups in close proximity. This suggests preferred macrocyclic conformations of B-Br and M-Br molecules since intramolecular cycles are much more resistant to the strain induced by thermal motion compared to linear supramolecular chains. Nevertheless, the intermolecular inhibition with terpyridine end-groups of other molecules is not excluded.}\)

The \(^1\text{H NMR spectra evidenced the transformation of bromo-}
\text{to trimethylammonium-unimers by disappearance of the signal of the CH_2(-Br) group (3.38 ppm for M-Br; 3.42 ppm for B-Br) and appearance of the signal of nine protons of the CH_3 group in Me_3N^+ \text{ions of the CH}_2\text{ unit linked to the \(-\text{NMe}_3\) group was not seen being overlaid by the solvent signal (the spectrum taken in methanol). Neither the IR nor the off-resonance Raman spectra provided an additional clear evidence for modification of side groups since, as observed earlier,}^{24}\text{ the bands of thiophene rings and terpyridine end-groups unambiguously dominate in the spectra of unimers.}\)

Metallo-supramolecular dynamers were simply prepared by mixing solutions of a given unimer and zinc(II) or iron(II) perchlorate in the stoichiometric ratio of 1:1 and subsequently evaporating the solvent. Bromohexyl unimers (M-Br and B-Br) were assembled in the acetonitrile/chloroform (1/1 by vol) mixed solvent while ionic unimers (M-N^+ and B-N^+) in methanol. The ionic unimers as well as dynamers are well soluble in alcohols and sparingly soluble in water wherein, however, the dissolving is a long-lasting process accompanied by fractionation (see ESI,† Fig. S1). This process is the subject of further study.

Optical spectra of unimers and dynamers
The UV/vis absorption spectra of all unimers are very similar each showing a flat band at 280–284 nm, contributed with transitions in terpyridine end-groups due to donation of electrons from neighboring thiophene rings. Interactions between terpyridine and polar \(-\text{CH_2Br groups can be strong enough to keep these groups in close proximity. This suggests preferred macrocyclic conformations of B-Br and M-Br molecules since intramolecular cycles are much more resistant to the strain induced by thermal motion compared to linear supramolecular chains. Nevertheless, the intermolecular inhibition with terpyridine end-groups of other molecules is not excluded.}\)

The \(^1\text{H NMR spectra evidenced the transformation of bromo-}
\text{to trimethylammonium-unimers by disappearance of the signal of the CH_2(-Br) group (3.38 ppm for M-Br; 3.42 ppm for B-Br) and appearance of the signal of nine protons of the CH_3 group in Me_3N^+ \text{ions of the CH}_2\text{ unit linked to the \(-\text{NMe}_3\) group was not seen being overlaid by the solvent signal (the spectrum taken in methanol). Neither the IR nor the off-resonance Raman spectra provided an additional clear evidence for modification of side groups since, as observed earlier,}^{24}\text{ the bands of thiophene rings and terpyridine end-groups unambiguously dominate in the spectra of unimers.}\)

Metallo-supramolecular dynamers were simply prepared by mixing solutions of a given unimer and zinc(II) or iron(II) perchlorate in the stoichiometric ratio of 1:1 and subsequently evaporating the solvent. Bromohexyl unimers (M-Br and B-Br) were assembled in the acetonitrile/chloroform (1/1 by vol) mixed solvent while ionic unimers (M-N^+ and B-N^+) in methanol. The ionic unimers as well as dynamers are well soluble in alcohols and sparingly soluble in water wherein, however, the dissolving is a long-lasting process accompanied by fractionation (see ESI,† Fig. S1). This process is the subject of further study.

Optical spectra of unimers and dynamers
The UV/vis absorption spectra of all unimers are very similar each showing a flat band at 280–284 nm, contributed with transitions in terpyridine end-groups due to donation of electrons from neighboring thiophene rings. Interactions between terpyridine and polar \(-\text{CH_2Br groups can be strong enough to keep these groups in close proximity. This suggests preferred macrocyclic conformations of B-Br and M-Br molecules since intramolecular cycles are much more resistant to the strain induced by thermal motion compared to linear supramolecular chains. Nevertheless, the intermolecular inhibition with terpyridine end-groups of other molecules is not excluded.}\)

The \(^1\text{H NMR spectra evidenced the transformation of bromo-}
\text{to trimethylammonium-unimers by disappearance of the signal of the CH_2(-Br) group (3.38 ppm for M-Br; 3.42 ppm for B-Br) and appearance of the signal of nine protons of the CH_3 group in Me_3N^+ \text{ions of the CH}_2\text{ unit linked to the \(-\text{NMe}_3\) group was not seen being overlaid by the solvent signal (the spectrum taken in methanol). Neither the IR nor the off-resonance Raman spectra provided an additional clear evidence for modification of side groups since, as observed earlier,}^{24}\text{ the bands of thiophene rings and terpyridine end-groups unambiguously dominate in the spectra of unimers.}\)
**Table 1** Spectroscopic characteristics of the prepared unimers and dynamers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>UV/vis absorption</th>
<th>Luminescence</th>
<th>Stokes shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\lambda_a$ (nm)</td>
<td>$\lambda_f$ (nm) ($\phi$, %)</td>
<td>$\nu$ (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>Film</td>
<td>Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-Br</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>406 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-N$^+$</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>404 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-Br</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>452 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-N$^+$</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>450 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-H$^+$</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>400–420</td>
<td>450 (3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zn-dynamers

| PZnM-B-Br | 380 | 407 | 440 | 460 (3%) | 3600 | 2850 |
| PZnM-N$^+$ | 370 | 393 | 444 | 473 (1%) | 4500 | 4300 |
| PZnB-Br   | 385 | 396 | 550 | 525 (4%) | 7800 | 6200 |
| PZnB-N$^+$ | 375 | 392 | 550 | 538 (3%) | 8500 | 6900 |
| PZnB-H$^+$ | 390 | 391 | 533 | 545 | 6950 | 7230 |

Fe-dynamers

| PF-M-B-Br | 325, 373 | 394 | (590) | (608) |
| PF-M-N$^+$ | 325, 370 | 388 | (588) | (601) |
| PF-B-Br   | 322, 370 | 386 | (594) | (598) |
| PF-B-N$^+$ | 320, 366 | 380 | (591) | (598) |

$^a$ Data for solutions measured in THF taken from ref. 24.

from main chains. On the other hand, close similarity of the absorption spectra of M- and B-unimers is not obvious regarding the different numbers of thiophene rings in their chains. The reason for it is a high dihedral angle (ca. 67°) of the thiophene-to-thiophene bond in B-molecules (due to steric hindrances of side chains – see Chart 1), which greatly reduces the delocalization of electrons in B chains. Therefore, B-type molecules absorb light like two almost independent 4’-(thiophen-2-yl)terpyridine species.

The tiny difference (6 to 13 nm) between absorption maxima observed for solutions and films proves a negligible effect of the molecular packing on the light absorption by the studied unimers. Particularly interesting is the conformity of UV/vis spectra taken from solutions (ca. 338 nm) and films (345 ± 1 nm) of B-Br and B-N$. The parent unimer, B-H$, namely shows considerable red shift when going from solution (339 nm) to film (410 nm with a shoulder at 450 nm), which proves substantial planarity of B-H$ molecules in the film. Thus the conformity of the solution and solid-state absorption spectra proves to non-planar conformations of B-Br and B-N$. molecules in films. This can be explained by the above-proposed supramolecular macrocyclic conformations of polar and ionic B-type molecules, which stabilizes the crossing thiophene ring planes. As mentioned above, the disorder owing to analogous intermolecular interactions cannot be excluded. Anyway, these spectral features are consistent with the suggested explanation of the inhibitive effect of $tpy$ end groups in ionization of bromo-precursors.

Unlike the UV/vis absorption, the luminescence emission of B-unimers ($\lambda_f = 450$ nm) in solutions is significantly red shifted compared to M-unimers ($\lambda_f = 405$ nm, ESI, Table S1), obviously due to the transition of excited B-molecules to lowered-energy conformations with coplanar quinoidal rings and thus more delocalized $\pi$-electrons, from which the light emission takes place. The extent of the excited state relaxation of molecules in the solid state is higher than that observed for dissolved molecules as evidenced by the Stokes shift values (Table 1). The lowered extent of relaxation observed for the ionic N$^+$-type unimers can be attributed to limitations originating from more intense electrostatic interactions.

Absorption spectra of dynamers show the longest wavelength bands red shifted about 30 to 65 nm with respect to the corresponding free unimer, the shift being higher for Br-compared to N$^+$-dynamers and for Zn-compared to Fe-dynamers. Spectra of Fe-dynamers show an extra band at ca. 600 nm (wavelength $\lambda_{MLCT}$), which is typical of ($tpy$)Fe$^{2+}$ grouping and is contributed by electronic transitions within the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) complex. As usual, Zn-dynamers derived from ($tpy$) oligomers show luminescence emission while Fe-dynamers do not. The absence of luminescence for Fe($n$)-dynamers (complexes) is attributed to the fact that their lowest excited state is a d–d triplet state that is very close to the ground state. As the potential d–d phosphorescence is spin forbidden, the d–d triplet state easy depletes the upper excited states and decays by unambiguously preferred non-radiative transitions in accord with the energy gap law.

The luminescence emission bands of the solid PZnM-dynamers (467 ± 7 nm) are located close to each other as well as the bands of PZnB-dynamers (532 ± 7nm). Interestingly, the bands of dissolved dynamers: 442 ± 2 nm for PZnM- and 550 nm for PZnB-dynamers are not too distant from the bands of solid dynamers. This indicates that the conformational disorder of the dynamer chains in a film is comparable to their disorder in solution.

Raman spectra of dynamers

Because Fe-dynamers do not emit luminescence, it was possible to acquire their resonance as well as off-resonance Raman spectra using excitation wavelengths ($\lambda_{ex}$) across the whole visible region ($\lambda_{ex} = 445, 532, 633$ and $780$ nm). In contrast, the spectra of Zn dynamers were measurable only in the off-resonance mode ($\lambda_{ex} = 780$ nm) since luminescence dominated the spectra collected with excitations at all other $\lambda_{ex}$.

Off-resonance Raman spectra of Zn-dynamers (Fig. 1) are similar to each other. Each shows a medium to low intensity band of coordinated $tpy$ groups: (i) ring-stretching modes at 1610 to 1600 cm$^{-1}$, 1570 cm$^{-1}$ and 1535 to 1548 cm$^{-1}$, (ii) in plane deformation mode at 1288 cm$^{-1}$, and (iii) asymmetric ring breathing mode at about 1025 cm$^{-1}$. However, the strongest bands in these spectra are bands of thiophene-2,5-diyl units, which appeared at 1484, 1457 and 1411 to 1417 cm$^{-1}$ for PZnM-type dynamers, and at 1484, 1457 and 1411 to 1417 cm$^{-1}$ for PZnB-type dynamers. Different end-capping of hexyl-groups has very little impact on the spectral pattern. Thus it can be concluded that the spectral differences primarily stem from different numbers of thiophene rings in unimeric units.
Compared to the spectra of Zn-dynamers, the off-resonance Raman spectra ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 780$ nm) of Fe-dynamers (Fig. 2) show a more intense (and not split into doublet) band of coordinated tpy groups at 1610 cm$^{-1}$, blue-shift of the tpy ring-breathing mode band to 1039 cm$^{-1}$, relatively strong band at 1288 cm$^{-1}$ that should also be attributed to tpy groups$^{38}$ and somewhat different patterns of bands attributable to thiophene-rings (1478 to 1345 cm$^{-1}$). It should be mentioned here that the band at 1478 cm$^{-1}$ is most probably also contributed with transitions in tpy groups coordinated to Fe$^{2+}$ ions since Raman spectra of [Fe(terpyridine)$_2$]$^{2+}$ species show a strong band at 1472 cm$^{-1}$. As can be seen, the spectral differences between P$_n$M-type and P$_n$B-type dynamers are more pronounced compared to differences between their Zn counterparts.

Raman spectra of Fe-dynamers taken with different $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ are compared in Fig. 2. The spectra of the given dynamer differ from each other because each excitation line selectively, resonantly enhances Raman bands of chromophore(s) absorbing at $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ (ESI, Fig. S2). Thus the Raman spectra can help to identify chromophore(s) contributing to the respective absorption bands.

Raman spectra taken with $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 445$ nm showed strong peaks typical of thiophene rings but weak peaks characteristic of tpy groups. Moreover, the intensity difference between the tpy and thiophene Raman bands of P$_n$B-dynamers is much higher than in the case of P$_n$B-dynamers, which obviously reflects a higher number of thiophene rings in the B-type repeating units. Hence it follows that the broad UV/vis band at about 400 nm is mainly contributed with electronic transitions between orbitals spread over the axis of a unimer unit that comprises thiophene ring(s).

The excitation at $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 532$ nm matches the blue arm of the MLCT band (600 nm). Raman spectra of Fe-dynamers taken with this excitation are the only ones in which overtones and combination bands are observable in the region from 2200–3200 cm$^{-1}$. Peaks at 1610, 1562, 1537, 1470, 1347 and 1288 cm$^{-1}$ unambiguously dominate the fingerprint region of all these resonance Raman spectra, while bands typical of thiophene rings are weak (Fig. 2). Minor spectral differences between the M-type and B-type Fe-dynamers are seen in the position of a weak band at 1396 cm$^{-1}$ (M-type) vs. 1408 cm$^{-1}$ (B-type) and the presence of a triplet at 682, 673 and 652 cm$^{-1}$ (M-type) but only a doublet at 681 and 653 cm$^{-1}$ (B-type) in the corresponding spectra. Nevertheless, these differences are quite low and do not disturb the high similarity of spectral patterns. This extremely large consensus in the spectral patterns is in full concordance with the excitation into the MLCT band of –tpy-Fe$^{2+}$-tpy– linkages. However, these spectral patterns significantly differ from the spectral pattern of the resonance Raman spectrum of the [Fe(terpyridine)$_2$]$^{2+}$ complex taken with the same excitation: $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 532$ nm. This clearly proves that the MLCT state is localized not only on the directly coordinated tpy units but also on thiophene unit(s) that are more distant from the coordination centre.

Raman spectra taken with $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 633$ nm, despite that this line well matches the red arm of the MLCT band, show similar spectral patterns as off-resonance spectra taken with $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 780$ nm. Small differences are mainly (i) lowered intensity of the doublet (M-type) and triplet (B-type) in the range of 1345–1478 cm$^{-1}$ and (ii) increased intensity of the bands at 345 cm$^{-1}$ and in the region from 650 to 800 cm$^{-1}$. These spectra also differ in the intensity of the band of ring breathing mode (1039 cm$^{-1}$). However, this band shows rather exceptional trend for all excitations: continuous amplification with increasing $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$, the trend that has been reported for Raman spectra of various [Ru$^{II}$(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ complexes (bpy is 2,2’-bipyridine)$^{38,44-46}$ and has remained unexplained.

Important observation is that overtones and combined bands are absent in the spectra excited at 633 nm and their spectral patterns substantially differ from the pattern of spectra excited at 532 nm (Fig. 2). Regarding that both these excitation lines match the MLCT band, one can conclude that the MLCT electronic absorption band of dynamers consists of several different electron transitions of different symmetry.

**Assembly of unimers to metallo-supramolecular dynamers**

Assembly of dynamers from unimers and metal ions (M$^{2+}$) in solutions (acetonitrile/chloroform 1/1 by vol. for Br-type and methanol for N-type unimers and dynamers) was studied more in detail using the UV/vis and fluorescence spectroscopy, viscometry and size exclusion chromatography (SEC).

For spectroscopic studies, a set of solutions of the constant unimer concentration ($2 \times 10^{-5}$ M) and stepwise increasing of the ions-to-unimer mole ratio ([M$^{2+}$]/[U] = r from 0 to 3) was prepared for each unimer – ions couple. The solutions were
Fig. 2  Resonance and off-resonance Raman spectra of Fe-dynamers.
Fig. 3 Changes in UV/vis and photoluminescence spectra accompanying titration of B-Br (left) and B-N⁺ (right) unimers with Zn²⁺ ions. Initial unimer concentration 2 x 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³; chloroform/acetonitrile (Br⁻-unimers), methanol (N⁺-unimers), room temperature.
allowed to equilibrate for 24 hours prior to measuring of their UV/vis and luminescence spectra. Changes in the spectra with the stepwise increasing composition ratio \( r \) are shown in Fig. 3 and 4 and ESI,† Fig. S5 and S6. The UV/vis and luminescence spectral sets are each presented in two figures (except for luminescence spectra of systems with Fe \( ^{2+} \) ions) in order to show breaks in the development trend, which indicates existence of different stages of assembling. The first break in the trend appeared at \( r \) slightly above 0.5, the second one, if present, at \( r \approx 1.0 \).

The first stage of assembling (\( r \) from 0 to \( \approx 0.6 \)) is reflected in the UV/vis spectra by: (i) a small change of the band shape at about 280 nm resulting in the shift of its apex to \( \approx 287 \) nm, (ii) the attenuating band at about 336 nm that is typical of the free unimer, and (iii) the appearance of a new band at 370–380 nm. The spectral set of each \( \text{Mt}^{2+}/\text{U} \) system shows two to three isosbestic points (IP), the presence of which indicates transformation of defined free unimer species into another defined species. The stoichiometry says that the newly formed species should be “butterfly dimer” species \( \text{U–Mt}^{2+}–\text{U} \).

Complementary luminescence spectra of \( \text{Zn}^{2+}/\text{U} \) systems (\( r \) from 0 to \( \approx 0.6 \), Fig. 3, ESI,† Fig. S5) show a gradually attenuating band of free unimers and a simultaneously growing...
new band of coordinated unimer species. Each spectral set shows one IP, thus supporting the idea on the prevailing formation of dimers. On the other hand, luminescence spectra of Fe\(^{2+}/U\) systems exhibit only gradually attenuated luminescence to its complete quenching at \(r > 0.6\). However, it clearly demonstrates disappearance of free unimer species from solution at \(r > 0.6\), and thus as well suggests their preferred conversion to butterfly dimers.

Electronic spectra monitored at \(r \) above 0.6, do not pass through IPs characteristic of the first stage, which indicates transition to the second stage of assembly where dynamer chains should grow. Unlike the first stage of assembly, the development of spectral patterns during the second stage is strongly influenced by the structure of unimers. Differences are mainly seen in: (i) the extent of amplification of the UV/vis band at 370–380 nm, (ii) the presence or absence of new IPs, and (iii) spectral patterns in the region from 300 to 400 nm. A direct correlation of the observed differences to the structure of dynamers is not apparent. Nevertheless, all these spectra show either a change in the development trend or almost conservation of the spectral pattern at ratios \(r\) above 1.25, which indicates occurrence of the third assembly stage (see Fig. 5 showing the dependence of \(\lambda_{\text{MLCT}}\) on \(r\)).

The third stage of assembly should consist of the end-capping of dynamer chains with Mt\(^{2+}\) ions and depolymerization of the chains to the shorter, also end-capped ones. The reaction of a bis(tpy)\(^{2+}\) species with a free Zn\(^{2+}\) ion yielding two (tpy)\(^{2+}\) species is well known.\(^{47-49}\) A similar reaction of bis(tpy)Fe\(^{2+}\) species, particularly species with monotopic ligands, is not so obvious,\(^{47,50}\) however, it was reported to take place in the case of metallo-supramolecular polymers.\(^{48,51}\)

In order to examine whether this process takes place in solutions of our conjugated dynamers we carried out a viscometric study on the Fe\(^{2+}/M\)-Br system (see results in Fig. 6). As can be seen, the dependence of the relative viscosity \((\eta_r)\) of M-Br solutions (0.5 mM) in CHCl\(_3/\)CH\(_3\)CN (1:1) on the ratio \(r\) passes through maximum at \(r = 1\) and then decreases. This indicates that the longest P\(_m\)M-Br chains are obtained at \(r = 1\) and that these chains most probably depolymerize at \(r > 1\). Depolymerization should give the chains end-capped with Fe\(^{2+}\) ions.

Assembling of unimers to dynamers was further studied using the SEC instrument equipped with a diode-array UV/vis detector (DAD). Mixed solutions of M-Br (0.5 mM) and Zn\(^{2+}\) or Fe\(^{2+}\) ions \((r\) from 0 to 2.0) in CHCl\(_3/\)/CH\(_3\)CN (1:1) mixed solvent were allowed to equilibrate for one day and then injected into the SEC system. The same mixed solvent containing Bu\(_4\)N\(^+\)PF\(_6\)\(^-\) (to suppress aggregation) was used as a mobile phase.

The SEC records of the Zn\(^{2+}/M\)-Br systems showed peaks of only free unimer M-Br, which proves a rapid complete dissociation of P\(_m\)M-Br chains due to multifold dilution of the solution inside columns (injected 20 \(\mu\)L, elution volume of 37 mL). This proves that P\(_m\)M-Br chains are supramolecular systems with rapid constitutional dynamics. In contrast, the Fe\(^{2+}/M\)-Br systems provided the SEC records typical of polymers, with the resolution decreasing with increasing ratio \(r\) (Fig. 7a). The DAD (i.e., UV/vis) spectra of SEC fractions showed the dependence of the spectral patterns on the elution time \((t_{el})\); the patterns typical of long dynamer chains for short \(t_{el}\) and typical of butterfly dimers for long \(t_{el}\) (Fig. 7b). This proves that P\(_m\)M-Br chains are the metallo-supramolecular systems with slow constitutional dynamics. As can be seen, well resolved SEC records were obtained only for systems with \(r < 1\). The systems with \(r \geq 1\) gave poorly resolved SEC records with the area below the elution curve decreasing with increasing value of \(r\), which proves to retention of chains in SEC columns. The obtained P\(_m\)M-Br chains are obviously the chains end-capped with Fe\(^{2+}\) ions; they had to be additionally washed out with 2,2′-bipyridine.

The DAD spectra of SEC-fractions \((t_{el} = 1350\ s)\) of the systems with \(r < 1\) (Fig. 8) are identical with the dilute solution (0.02 mM) spectrum of dimers. If this SEC peak is assigned to dimers, peak at 1273 s to trimers, etc. (Fig. 7a), the peak assignment provides linear semi-log dependence of the degree of polymerization \((X)\) of P\(_m\)M-Br chains on \(t_{el}\) for systems with \(r < 1\) (ESI† Fig. S7). Average values of \(X\) calculated from the SEC records using this calibration are listed in Table 2. The used peak assignment is supported by the DAD spectra of higher-X SEC-fractions, which correspond to dilute solution spectra of higher oligomers.

The presence of higher-X fractions in Fe\(^{2+}/M\)-Br solutions with fairly understoichiometric ratios, \(r = 0.2\) and 0.5, should be
ascribed to the effect of transiently locally increased concentration during mixing of more concentrated solutions of $M$-Br and Fe$^{2+}$ ions. Formation of dynamer chains is surely a kinetically controlled process which, when mixing twenty five times more concentrated solutions (0.5 mM instead 0.02 mM) should be ca. 625 times faster, thus giving rise to a high number of longer chains. As the constitutional dynamics of $P_{Fe}M$-Br is slow, the initially formed longer chains do not dissociate within one day. On the other hand, the dynamics slowness is lucky since it at all allows SEC analysis of Fe-dynamers (in contrast to Zn-dynamers).

**Experimental section**

**Materials**

$n$-Butyllithium ($n$-BuLi, 2.5 M in hexane), 3-bromothiophene, $N$-bromosuccinimide (NBS), bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)di-$η^6$-methoxy-diiridium($η^6$) ([In(OMe)(COD)]$_2$), 4,4-di-tert-butyl-2,2-dipyridyl (dtbpy), 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (HBpin), $[1,3$-bis(2,6-disopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene]$[3$-chloropyridyl]$palladium(II)$ dichloride (Peppsi-IPr), bis(pinacolato)diboron (B$_2$pin$_2$), trimethylamine (4.2 M solution in ethanol), zinc perchlorate hexahydrate and iron(II) perchlorate hydrate (all Aldrich), K$_2$CO$_3$, MgSO$_4$ (Lachner) and 4-bromo-2,2:6$2$-terpyridine (TCI) were used as received. Hexane (Lachner) was stored over a molecular sieve, tetrahydrofuran (Aldrich) was distilled from LiAlH$_4$ before use, toluene (Lachner) was distilled from sodium/benzophenone before use, methanol (Aldrich) was bubbled with argon before use, dichloromethane, chloroform (Lachner) and acetonitrile were used as obtained.

**Measurements**

$^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian UNITY INOVA 400 or Varian SYSTEM 300 instruments in $d_8$-THF, $d_2$-CD$_2$Cl$_2$, $d_3$-CDCl$_3$ or $d_4$-CD$_3$OD and referenced to the solvent signal: 7.25 ppm ($d_3$-CDCl$_3$), 5.32 ppm ($d_2$-CD$_2$Cl$_2$), 3.58 ppm ($d_8$-THF) or 3.31 ppm ($d_4$-CD$_3$OD) for $^1$H and 77.0 ppm ($d_2$-CDCl$_3$), 53.84 ppm ($d_3$-CDCl$_3$), 67.57 ppm ($d_8$-THF) or 49.15 ppm ($d_4$-CD$_3$OD) for $^{13}$C spectra. Coupling constants, $J$ (in Hz), were obtained by the first-order analysis. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet 7600 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a Spectra Tech InspectIR Plus microscopic accessory using KBr-diluted samples and a diffuse reflectance technique (DRIFT) (128 or more scans at resolution 4 cm$^{-1}$). Raman spectra of solid samples were recorded on a DXR Raman microscope (Thermo Scientific) using excitations across the whole visible region ($λ_{ex} = 445, 532, 633$ and 780 nm) and usual laser power at the sample from 0.1 to 0.4 mW. UV/VIS spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2401PC instrument and photoluminescence spectra on a Fluorolog 3-22 Jobin Yvon Spex instrument, using a four-window quartz cuvette (1 cm) for solutions and highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (NT-MDT Co., Russia) as substrate for films. The emission spectra were recorded with excitation wavelength, $λ_{ex}$, matching the absorption maximum of the measured compound. Quantum yields, $Φ_P$, of photoluminescence were determined by means of a comparison of the integrated spectrum of the compound in
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**Fig. 7** The SEC records of Fe$^{2+}$/M-Br systems of different composition (a) and DAD spectra at different elution time $t_{el}$ (b).

![Fig. 8](image2.png)

**Fig. 8** The UV/vis spectra of SEC fractions ($t_{el} = 1350$ s) of Fe$^{2+}$/M-Br systems of different composition.

**Table 2**  Number-average ($X_n$) and weight-average ($X_w$) degrees of polymerization of $P_{Fe}M$-Br in solution calculated from SEC records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$X_n$</th>
<th>$X_w$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>6.62</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
question with that of the standard: quinine sulfate diluted solution in 0.5 M H2SO4 (pH = 0.54; λex = 380 nm). Absolute quantum yields for solid samples were measured using integration sphere Quanta-F F-3029. Fluorescence decay was monitored using a Fluorolog Single photon counting controller on a Fluorolog 3-22 Jobin Yvon Spex instrument with excitation at λex = 378 nm for solutions and λex = 472 nm for films. Viscometric measurements were done on a Lovis 2000 M/Me microviscometer (Anton Paar). SEC analyses were done on a Spectra Physics Analytical HPLC instrument fitted with two SEC columns Polymer Labs (Bristol, UK) Mixed-D, Mixed-E and THERMO UV6000 DAD detector. CHCl3 + CH3CN (1/1 by vol) (CHROMOSOLV, Riedel-deHaen) containing Bu4NPF6 (0.05 mol dm−3) was used as an eluent (0.7 mL min−1).

Complexation experiments

In a typical complexation experiment a measured volume of a solution of Zn2+ or Fe2+ perchlorate (2 × 10−3 M) in CHCl3 + CH3CN (1/1 by vol) or MeOH was added into a solution of particular unimer in (2 × 10−5 M) in the same solvent. The metal to unimer (Mt 2+/U) composition ratio varied from 0 to 3. Hexagon (silica, CH2Cl2 + hexane 1/1). Yellowish oil (0.34 g, 11.68 mmol, 72%) was used in following reaction as obtained without further purification. (2.3 g, 4.6 mmol, 55%).

The tube was filled with argon and toluene (16 mL) and methanol (16 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 88 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature the mixture was diluted with CH2Cl2 (50 mL) and washed with water (3 × 150 mL). The organic phase was dried with MgSO4, filtered off and evaporated. Crude product was dissolved in the smallest amount of THF and hexane was added. After precipitation of white solid the mixture was filtered and the powder was collected. The residual solution was evaporated, dissolved in THF and precipitated again. White powder (0.46 g, 0.65 mmol, 29%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, δ/ppm): 8.79–8.68 (m, 12H, A6 + A3 + B3), 7.94–7.89 (m, 4H, A3), 7.79 (s, 1H, C4), 7.42–7.37 (m, 4H, A5), 3.38 (t, J = 6.9, 2H, Hex2), 2.95–2.91 (m, 2H, Hex2), 5.54–5.49 (m, 2H, Hex2 + Hex3), 1.49–1.46 (m, 2H, Hex3 + Hex4).

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3, δ/ppm): 155.76, 149.14, 143.85, 139.64, 129.72, 129.85, 126.56, 34.38, 33.91, 32.71, 31.60, 28.95, 27.93. FT-IR (cm−1): 3056 (m), 3011 (m), 2932 (s), 2854 (s), 1597 (w), 1526 (m), 1460 (s), 1371 (w), 1294 (w), 1257 (m), 1232 (m), 1090 (w), 1049 (w), 879 (w), 831 (m), 803 (w), 774 (w), 723 (m), 693 (m), 645 (m), 561 (m). HRMS found m/z: 709.1746 [M + H]+, C40H38N4BrS requires: 709.1746.

3,3'-Bis(6-bromo-hexyl)-2,2'-bithiophene. 2-Bromo-3-(6-bromo-hexyl)-thiophene (1 mL, 1.25 g, 3.84 mmol) and dtbpy (150 mg, 0.97 mmol) were placed in the Schlenk tube and vacuum was applied. After filling the tube with argon, toluene (15 mL) and methanol (15 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was heated at 90 °C for 18 hours. The mixture was cooled down and diluted with CH2Cl2 (50 mL). The solution was washed with water (3 × 150 mL), dried with MgSO4, filtered off and evaporated. The crude product was purified on column chromatography (silica, CH2Cl2 + hexane 1/1). Yellowish oil (0.34 g, 0.69 mmol, 36%).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, δ/ppm): 7.37 (d, J = 5.5, 2H, Th1), 6.99 (d, J = 5.5, 2H, Th3), 3.38 (t, J = 6.8, 4H, Hex2), 2.52 (t, J = 7.8, 4H, Hex2), 1.82–1.75 (m, 12H, 4H, Hex2), 1.41–1.34 (m, 8H, Hex3 + Hex4). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3, δ/ppm): 143.04, 129.72, 129.85, 126.56, 34.38, 33.91, 32.71, 31.60, 28.95, 27.93. FT-IR (cm−1): 3101 (w), 3052 (w), 2932 (s), 2854 (s), 1519 (w), 1460 (m), 1437 (m), 1409 (w), 1371 (w), 1294 (w), 1257 (m), 1232 (m), 1090 (w), 1049 (w), 879 (w), 831 (m), 803 (w), 774 (w), 723 (m), 693 (m), 645 (m), 561 (m). HRMS found m/z: 491.0072 [M + H]+, C28H25Br2S2 requires: 491.0072.

5,5'-[3,3'-Bis(6-bromo-hexyl)-2,2'-bithiophene-5,5'-diylbis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)]-3,3'-Bis(6-bromo-hexyl)-2,2'-bithiophene. 0.34 g, 0.69 mmol, dtbpy (23 mg, 0.08 mmol) and [Ir(OMe)(COD)]2 (30 mg, 0.04 mmol) were placed in the flask. The flask was flushed with argon and THF (7 mL) and hexane (7 mL) were added. HBPn (0.4 mL, 0.35 g, 2.76 mmol) was added through a septum and the reaction mixture was heated at 55 °C for 48 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and stirred in an open vessel for an hour. Then the solution was extracted with CH2Cl2 (50 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO4, filtered off and evaporated to get the product as a brownish oil. (0.48 g, 0.64 mmol, 93%).
1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3, δ/ppm): 7.46 (s, 2H, Th3), 3.37 (t, J = 7.0, 4H, Hex4), 2.51 (m, 4H, Hex4), 1.83–1.80 (m, 4H, Hex5), 1.57–1.53 (m, 12H, Hex2–Hex5), 1.33 (s, 32H, CH3). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3, δ/ppm): 144.10, 135.67, 135.40, 135.03, 134.67, 134.27, 133.90, 133.53, 133.15, 132.77, 132.40, 132.02, 131.65, 131.28, 130.91, 130.54, 126.29, 125.92, 125.55, 125.18, 124.81, 124.44, 124.07, 123.70, 123.33, 122.96, 122.59, 122.22, 121.85, 121.48, 121.11, 120.74, 120.37, 119.99, 119.62, 119.25, 118.88, 118.51, 118.14, 117.77, 117.40, 117.03, 116.66, 116.29, 115.92, 115.55, 115.18, 114.81, 114.44, 114.07, 113.70, 113.33, 112.96, 112.59, 112.22, 111.85, 111.48, 111.11, 110.74, 110.37, 109.99, 109.62, 109.25, 108.88, 108.51, 108.14, 107.77, 107.40, 107.03, 106.66, 106.29, 105.92, 105.55, 105.18, 104.81, 104.44, 104.07, 103.70, 103.33, 102.96, 102.59, 102.22, 101.85, 101.48, 101.11, 100.74, 100.37, 100.00, 99.99, 99.62, 99.25, 98.88, 98.51, 98.14, 97.77, 97.40, 97.03, 96.66, 96.29, 95.92, 95.55, 95.18, 94.81, 94.44, 94.07, 93.70, 93.33, 92.96, 92.59, 92.22, 91.85, 91.48, 91.11, 90.74, 90.37, 90.00, 89.62, 89.25, 88.88, 88.51, 88.14, 87.77, 87.40, 87.03, 86.66, 86.29, 85.92, 85.55, 85.18, 84.81, 84.44, 84.07, 83.70, 83.33, 82.96, 82.59, 82.22, 81.85, 81.48, 81.11, 79.92, 79.55, 79.18, 78.81, 78.44, 78.07, 77.70, 77.33, 76.96, 76.60, 76.23, 75.86, 75.49, 75.12, 74.75, 74.38, 74.01, 73.64, 73.27, 72.90, 72.53, 72.16, 71.79, 71.42, 71.05, 69.68, 69.31, 68.94, 68.57, 68.20, 67.83, 67.46, 67.09, 66.72, 66.35, 65.98, 65.61, 65.24, 64.87, 64.50, 64.13, 63.76, 63.39, 63.02, 62.65, 62.28, 61.91, 61.54, 61.17, 59.80, 59.43, 59.06, 58.69, 58.32, 57.95, 57.58, 57.21, 56.84, 56.47, 56.10, 55.73, 55.36, 54.99, 54.62, 54.25, 53.88, 53.51, 53.14, 52.77, 52.40, 52.03, 51.66, 51.29, 50.92, 50.55, 50.18, 49.81, 49.44, 49.07, 48.70, 48.33, 47.96, 47.59, 47.22, 46.85, 46.48, 46.11, 45.74, 45.37, 44.99, 44.62, 44.25, 43.88, 43.51, 43.14, 42.77, 42.40, 42.03, 41.66, 41.29, 40.92, 40.55, 40.18, 39.81, 39.44, 39.07, 38.70, 38.33, 37.96, 37.59, 37.22, 36.85, 36.48, 36.11, 35.74, 35.37, 34.60, 33.23, 31.86, 31.49, 31.12, 29.75, 29.38, 28.91, 28.54, 28.17, 27.80, 27.43, 27.06, 26.69, 26.32, 25.95, 25.58, 25.21, 24.84, 24.47, 24.10, 23.73, 23.36, 22.99, 22.62, 22.25, 21.88, 21.51, 21.14, 20.77, 20.40, 19.03, 18.66, 18.29, 17.92, 17.55, 17.18, 16.81, 16.44, 16.07, 15.70, 15.33, 14.96, 14.59, 14.22, 13.85, 13.48, 13.11, 12.74, 12.37, 12.00, 11.63, 11.26, 10.89, 10.52, 10.15, 9.78, 9.41, 9.04, 8.67, 8.30, 7.93, 7.56, 7.19, 6.82, 6.45, 6.08, 5.71, 5.34, 4.97, 4.60, 4.23, 3.86, 3.49, 3.12, 2.75, 2.38, 2.01, 1.64, 1.27, 0.90, 0.53, 0.16.

Conclusions

The here exploited route to alcohol-soluble ionic unimers includes preparation of their α-bromoalkyl precursors, which is not too easy but already well mastered procedure, and replacing terminal bromine atoms with ammonium type groups. An inverse approach, coupling of ionic thiophenes with Brppy, is difficult owing to diverse solubility of reactants, the catalyst and the auxiliary base. Though the modification of bromo-unimers to the ionic ones seems trivial, it is inhibited by tpy end-groups and, therefore, is well feasible only with highly reactive amines.

A spectroscopic study of unimer's assembly with metal ions in dilute solutions provided the UV/vis and luminescence spectral patterns characteristic of the U-Mt2+ type dimers, dynamer chains with free tpy end-groups and dynamer chains capped with metal ions. Absorption spectra of SEC fractions of non-ionic Fe-dynamer PpBr-MBr well agree with the dilute solution spectra of the systems with corresponding composition ratios r. The SEC study further proved that the constitutional dynamics of Zn-dynamers is fast while that of Fe-dynamers is slow. It also provided a good calibration dependence, which allowed determining the average values of the degree of polymerization of the dynamer in solutions; this characteristic of dynamers is otherwise almost not accessible and is the subject of the question after almost every conference presentation. Unfortunately, the ionic Fe-dynamers were not effectively separated in SEC columns due to the strong interference of the adsorption mode.

Relative stability of Fe-dynamer chains in solutions (changes lasting for two months are reported in the literature) should be attributed to the MLCT within the tpy-Fe2+/tpy linkages. The here presented UV/vis spectra as well as the spectra presented
earlier\(^47,50,52\) confirm that the MLCT bands are contributed also with transitions within central blocks of unimeric units. The resonance Raman spectra obtained in this study indicate that the transitions within the central block significantly affect the longer-wavelength part of the MLCT band while its shorter-wavelength arm is mainly contributed with transitions within tp'y end-groups.
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