The reactions of \(N\)-methylformamide and \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide with OH and their photo-oxidation under atmospheric conditions: experimental and theoretical studies\(^\dagger\)
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The reactions of OH radicals with CH\(_3\)NHCHO (\(N\)-methylformamide, MF) and (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NCHO (\(N,N\)-dimethylformamide, DMF) have been studied by experimental and computational methods. Rate coefficients were determined as a function of temperature (\(T = 260–295\) K) and pressure (\(P = 30–600\) mbar) by the flash photolysis/laser-induced fluorescence technique. OH radicals were produced by laser flash photolysis of 2,4-pentanedione or tert-butyl hydroperoxide under pseudo-first order conditions in an excess of the corresponding amide. The rate coefficients obtained show negative temperature dependences that can be parameterized as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OH} + \text{MF} &= (1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12} \exp(3.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/(R T)) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \\
\text{OH} + \text{DMF} &= (5.5 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-15} \exp(6.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/(R T)) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

The rate coefficient \(k_{\text{OH}+\text{DMF}}\) shows very weak positive pressure dependence whereas \(k_{\text{OH}+\text{DMF}}\) was found to be independent of pressure. The Arrhenius equations given, within their uncertainty, are valid for the entire pressure range of our experiments. Furthermore, MF and DMF smog-chamber photo-oxidation experiments were monitored by proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Atmospheric MF photo-oxidation results in 65% CH\(_3\)NCO (methylisocyanate), 16% (CHO)\(_2\)NH, and NO\(_x\)-dependent amounts of CH\(_2\)=NH and CH\(_3\)NHNO\(_2\) as primary products, while DMF photo-oxidation results in around 35% CH\(_3\)N(CHO)\(_2\) as primary product and 65% meta-stable (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)N(OC)OONO\(_2\) degrading to NO\(_x\)-dependent amounts of CH\(_2\)=N=CH\(_2\) (N-methylmethanimine), (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NNO (N-nitroso dimethylamine) and (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NNO\(_2\) (N-nitro dimethylamine). The potential for nitramine formation in MF photo-oxidation is comparable to that of methylamine whereas the potential to form nitrosamine and nitramine in DMF photo-oxidation is larger than for dimethylamine. Quantum chemistry supported atmospheric degradation mechanisms for MF and DMF are presented. Rate coefficients and initial branching ratios calculated with statistical rate theory based on molecular data from quantum chemical calculations at the CCSD(T)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory show satisfactory agreement with the experimental results. It turned out that adjustment of calculated threshold energies by 0.2 to 8.8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) lead to agreement between experimental and predicted results.

1. Introduction

\(N\)-methylformamide (MF) and \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide (DMF) are High Production Volume (HPV) chemicals on the US HPV Challenge Program Chemical List along with around 2800 other compounds.\(^1\) MF and DMF have also been reported as important products in the atmospheric degradation of dimethylamine and trimethylamine, respectively.\(^2\) The toxicology of dimethyl and monomethyl derivatives of acetamide and formamide was recently reviewed;\(^3\) DMF is handled under the OECD HPV Screening Information Data Set (SIDS) Program\(^4\) while the test plan for MF has not yet been implemented.\(^1\)

Barnes et al.\(^5\) reviewed the scarce information on amides, presented new kinetic and product information, and outlined the photo-oxidation mechanisms for formamides and acetamides. Recently, Borduas et al.\(^6\) reported photo-oxidation products and kinetic data for OH reactions with five amides and presented additional mechanistic insight from quantum chemistry calculations. In short: the atmospheric gas phase chemistry of amides is not yet fully understood. The amide + OH and amide + NO\(_x\)
reactions are relatively fast (rate coefficients in the order of $10^{-11}$ and $10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, respectively),$^{2}$ and the average global lifetimes of amides with respect to reactions with OH and NO$_3$ radicals will be less than a few days; reactions with Cl atoms and O$_3$ do not constitute important sinks for amides. The gas phase UV spectra of amides are structureless and show low absorption cross-sections ($<10^{-20}$ cm$^2$) above 270 nm.$^7$ Consequently, tropospheric photolysis of amides is not an important loss process. Due to their solubility in water, uptake into droplets and subsequent deposition may be an important sink.

The present communication reports on experimental kinetic studies of MF and DMF reactions with OH radicals at atmospherically relevant temperatures and from product studies in photo-oxidation experiments of MF and DMF. The results are corroborated by high-level quantum chemical calculations and statistical rate theory.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Laser photolysis experiments

The kinetic experiments were performed by using the flash photolysis/laser-induced fluorescence technique in a coolable slow-flow reactor with helium as bath gas. The experimental setup was described in detail elsewhere,$^8$ so only a brief description will be given here.

The reaction cell has an inner volume of ca. 640 cm$^3$ and is enclosed in a steel housing that can be evacuated to prevent ice formation on the windows and to improve thermal insulation. The gas flows were controlled by calibrated mass flow controllers, and accumulation of reaction products is avoided by choosing appropriate flow rates. OH radicals were produced by pulsed photolysis of 2,4-pentanedione$^9$ (for OH + DMF) or tert-butyldihydroperoxide$^{10}$ (t-BuOOH, for OH + MF) with a KrF excimer laser at 248 nm. The OH concentration-time profiles were monitored by recording the laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) in a wavelength range of $(308 \pm 7.5)$ nm after excitation at 281.9 nm. For excitation a frequency-doubled dye laser was used that was operated with rhodamine 6G and pumped with a Nd:YAG laser. The fluorescence signal was detected with a photomultiplier oriented perpendicularly to the antiparallel excimer and dye laser beams. A variation of the pulse energy of the photolysis laser between 60 and 300 mJ corresponding to fluences of 20–100 mJ cm$^{-2}$ had no significant effect on the rate coefficient, which indicates that unwanted radical–radical reactions are unimportant under our experimental conditions.

A bath gas flow with a well-known concentration of the corresponding amide was produced by flowing helium through a saturator that consisted of a conventional gas washing bottle with a fritted disc. The washing bottle containing the pure amide was kept in a water bath at 294 K, and the possibility of evaporative cooling was checked by monitoring the temperature at the highest flow rates for 90 minutes. No change in temperature was observed. In general, one bottle (height of the liquid column: ca. 10 cm, helium flow: 5–50 sccm) was found to be sufficient for saturation under our experimental conditions.

Test measurements with a second bottle in a two-stage arrangement gave identical results. The amide concentrations were calculated with the ideal gas law from the measured vapor pressures by assuming complete saturation.

To assess the measured values, the vapor pressure of DMF was calculated from Antoine equation, $\log(P [\text{bar}]) = A - \frac{B}{(TK + C)}$,$^{11}$ with the constants from ref. 12: $A = 3.93068$, $B = 1337.716$, and $C = -82.648$ (determined in the temperature range 303–363 K).$^{12,13}$

For $T = 294$ K, one obtains a vapor pressure of $P = 3.99$ mbar. For MF the Antoine constants in ref. 12, $A = 4.99796$, $B = 2134.031$, and $C = -45.071$, were obtained in the temperature range 370–472 K.$^{12,14}$ Because this is much higher than the temperature in our saturator, we determined the vapor pressure of MF at 294 K in separate experiments. We used a 200 cm$^3$ stainless steel vacuum line connected to a turbo pump and a capacitance manometer. The leak rate of this vacuum line was determined to be 0.002 mbar min$^{-1}$. The MF was purified by first purging it with helium in a gas washing bottle for 3 hours and then thoroughly degassing it in repeated freeze–pump–thaw cycles. The gas washing bottle was connected to the vacuum line, and the pressure rise was measured until the change in pressure reached the leak rate determined before. In this way, we obtained a vapor pressure of $P = 0.33$ mbar at $T = 294$ K. This value is somewhat higher than the value of 0.266 mbar obtained with the above Antoine parameters. However, we note that extrapolations with the Antoine equation outside the temperature range, where the parameters were determined, have to be considered with great caution.

The mixtures of 2,4-pentanedione and t-BuOOH in helium were manometrically prepared in gas cylinders and allowed to homogenize for at least 16 hours before use.

2,4-Pentanone (99%, Sigma Aldrich), t-BuOOH (80% in H$_2$O, Sigma Aldrich), MF (99% Sigma Aldrich), and DMF (99% Alfa Aesar) were purified by repeated freeze–pump–thaw cycles, and the gas washing bottles containing the amides were purged before each experiment by a helium flow for at least 30 minutes. The purity of the helium was $>99.999$% (Air Liquide).

In our kinetic experiments pseudo-first order conditions were ensured by keeping the amide concentrations in large excess of the initial OH concentration. Typical amide concentrations were in the range $10^{15}$–$10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ whereas OH concentrations can be estimated from absorption cross-sections of our precursors as being typically in the order of $10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$. The decay of the OH concentration, of which an example is shown in Fig. 1, is then given by a first-order rate law, $\frac{d[OH]}{dt} = -k_{\text{pseudo}}[OH]$, where $k_{\text{pseudo}} = k_{\text{bim}}[\text{amide}] + k_d$, with $k_{\text{bim}}$ being the bimolecular rate coefficient of the OH + amide reaction and $k_d$ characterizing other first-order loss processes of the OH radicals (diffusional loss, reaction with precursor etc.). If $k_d$ is assumed to be constant for a given temperature and pressure, the bimolecular rate coefficient $k_{\text{bim}}$ is given as the slope of $k_{\text{pseudo}}$ plotted vs. amide concentration. An example plot is given in Fig. 2. We note that in some experiments we observed biexponential decays. However, because the scattering of the low LIF intensities at longer times was considerable, we refrained from performing biexponential analyses in these
cases and determined the rate coefficients $k_{\text{pseudo}}$ always from the initial, linear part of the semi-logarithmic plots.

The experimental conditions for the OH + MF and OH + DMF experiments are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 of the ESI.† Due to the multitude of parameters that influence the uncertainty of the experiments, and which are difficult to quantify exactly, a maximum error of 30% for the rate coefficients was estimated. We note, however, that the statistical error is smaller (see below).

2.2. Photo-oxidation studies

OH + amide reaction product studies were conducted in a 480 l Teflon coated reaction chamber made of glass and surrounded by 18 UV/Vis lamps ($\lambda \geq 300$ nm) to simulate sunlight. Experiments were performed in dry synthetic air at an absolute pressure of 970 mbar and temperatures in the range between 302 K and 306 K. OH radicals were produced by photolysis of isopropyl nitrate. The initial reaction conditions were 250 ppbV of the reagent amide, 100 ppb of NO and 250 ppbV of isopropyl nitrite. The reagent mixture was irradiated for 15 minutes. During photo-oxidation, NO was continuously added to the chamber at a rate of 6.8 ppb min$^{-1}$. Synthetic air was continuously added to the chamber at a flow rate of 3.26 slpm to replenish instrumental sampling flows. A large amount of NO was injected into the chamber 10 minutes after the lamps had been turned off for identification of rapidly decaying thermally unstable acylperoxynitrate compounds. Duplicate experiments were carried out for each reagent.

The chemicals and gases used in the experiments and their stated purities were as follows: N-methylformamide (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), N,N-dimethylformamide (99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich), synthetic air (5.0, Messer Austria), nitrogen oxide in nitrogen (401 mg m$^{-3}$ NO$_2$; Linde). Isopropyl nitrite was synthesized from sodium nitrite in water, isopropanol and sulfuric acid.

Analytical instrumentation coupled to the chamber included a high-resolution proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF 8000, Ionicon Analytik GmbH) for amide and amide photo-oxidation product measurements, a chemiluminescence NO-detection instrument (CLD770 AL ppt, ECO PHYSICS) combined with a photolytic converter (PLC 760 MH, ECO PHYSICS) for NO$_2$ detection, a UV photometric ozone analyzer (49i, Thermo Scientific) and a temperature/relative humidity sensor (UFT75-AT, MELTEC). The PTR-ToF-MS was interfaced to the chamber via a PEEK capillary tube (temperature: 50 °C; flow: 0.15 slpm). The PTR-ToF-MS was operated at a reduced electric field of 52 Td and 85 Td, respectively, during the duplicate experiments.

Chemical ionization reagent ions were H$_3$O$^+$ and H$_3$O$^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})$, respectively, for the two PTR-ToF-MS operating modes. For PTR-ToF-MS data analysis, we used the “PTR-TOF Data Analyzer” software. Instrumental response factors for amides and amide photo-oxidation products were derived from ion-molecule collision theory using calculated molecular properties.

3. Computational methods

3.1. Electronic structure calculations

Stationary points on the potential energy surfaces for reactions of the amides with OH were characterized using second-order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) as well as BHHandHLYP density functional theory. Pre- and post-reaction adducts were located by following the reaction path (IRC) from the saddle points. In addition, reaction enthalpies were calculated using G3 model chemistry, while dipole moments and isotropic polarizabilities (employed in calculations of ion-molecule reaction rate coefficients, see above) were obtained in B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. The G3 results are summarized in Table S3 (ESI†). DFT and MP2 calculations are often capable of giving very good geometries for minima on reactive potential energy surfaces, but may be less suitable for transition states as these may have significant multi-reference character. We have therefore re-optimized the geometries of the transition states using...
CASPT2 with an active space consisting of the bonding and anti-bonding orbital of the bond being broken in the amide and the unpaired electron in the OH radical, i.e., 3 electrons in 3 orbitals.

Energies of the stationary points were improved using coupled cluster singles and doubles with explicitly correlated wave functions using the F12a approximation with perturbative triples scaled as recommended in the MOLPRO manual, denoted CCSD(T*)-F12a.20,21 Dunning’s correlation consistent basis sets were used in all calculations.7

Unrestricted wave functions were used for the open-shell calculations. The G3, BHandHLYP, B3LYP and MP2 calculations were performed using Gaussian09,24 while the explicitly correlated coupled cluster calculations and CASPT2 calculations were performed using Molpro 2012.1.25

3.2. Statistical rate theory calculations

The reactions of amides with OH radicals proceed via pre-reaction complexes and the overall kinetics and may therefore be governed by forward reactions and back dissociation of the complex. Specific rate coefficients for the unimolecular forward reactions were calculated using RRKM theory based on energies and molecular data from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations. Rate coefficients for back dissociation of the complex were obtained via detailed balancing from capture rate coefficients of complex formation. The capture rate coefficients were calculated with long-range transition state theory (LRTST).26 Spin–orbit coupling in the OH radical (139.7 cm\(^{-1}\)) was accounted for in the model by lowering the energy of the OH radical by half of the splitting and including the \(^{1}P_{3/2}\) and \(^{3}P_{1/2}\) spin–orbit states in the electronic partition function. It was assumed that spin–orbit coupling could be neglected in the pre-reaction adduct and in the transition states.

Lennard-Jones parameters of MF and DMF were approximated by the corresponding values for methyl acetate (\(\epsilon/k_B = 469.8\) K, \(\sigma = 4.94\) Å)20 and for collisional energy transfer an exponential-down model was assumed. The energy transfer parameter for collisions with He was set to \(\langle \Delta E_{\text{down}} \rangle = 200\) cm\(^{-1}\). Variation of this value between 100 and 300 cm\(^{-1}\) lead only to minor changes (<2%) in the calculated rate coefficients.

The temperature and pressure dependence of the phenomenological rate coefficients for the bimolecular overall reactions was obtained from the eigenvalues of a corresponding master equation by employing the Bartis–Widom method29,30 as implemented in the MESMER code.31

4. Results

There are three possible product channels in the MF + OH reaction:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NHCO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -100\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (1a)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NCHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -40\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (1b)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{NCHCO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -104\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (1c)
\]

and also three possible product channels in the DMF + OH reaction:

\[
\text{(CH}_3)_2\text{NCHO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow [(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -98\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (2a)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{trans-CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3)_2\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -100\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (2b)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{cis-CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3)_2\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -100\text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (2c)
\]

The reaction enthalpies listed refer to 298 K and to the lowest energy conformations of the species involved; they stem from G3 calculations.19 We note that the barrier for cis–trans isomerization of \(\text{CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3)_2\text{CHO}\) is 80 kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

4.1. Structures and energies of stationary points

Stationary points on the amide + OH potential energy surfaces (PES) were located in MP2 and BHandHLYP calculations employing different basis sets. The results of the calculations are summarized in Tables S4 and S5 (ESI†) while Fig. 3 and 4 show the energies of the stationary points calculated using CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ/MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ. Cartesian coordinates of the stationary points calculated using MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ are collected in Tables S6 and S7 (ESI†). The values from G3X-K32 model chemistry reported by Borduas et al.6 for MF are similar to the present results. The reactions of both amides with OH radicals are characterized by post- and pre-reaction van der Waals adducts and submerged barriers. To locate the pre- and post-reaction complexes, IRCs were followed in both BHandHLYP and MP2 calculations using the aug-cc-pVDZ basis set.

The transition states of H abstraction from the methyl (TS\(_{\text{CH}_3}\)) and the carbonyl (TS\(_{\text{CHO}}\)) groups in MF are very similar in energy, and it is therefore difficult to calculate the branching ratio accurately as even small changes in the barrier heights have a large impact on the calculated branching ratio. It is intuitively clear that abstraction from the NH group (TS\(_{\text{NH}}\)) will constitute only a minor route.

\[\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NHCO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{CH}_2\text{NCHCO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{trans-CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3)_2\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{CH}_3\text{NCHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{cis-CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3)_2\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}\]

Fig. 3 Potential energy diagram (including zero-point energies) for the OH + MF reaction from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations; energies are relative to reactant energies.
For DMF, the barrier of H abstraction from the carbonyl group is significantly lower than for the methyl groups (TS\textsubscript{cis} and TS\textsubscript{trans}), and it is therefore expected that it will be the dominating reaction channel. However, it is not possible to rule out H abstraction from the methyl groups from the present calculations.

The results from the MP2 and BHandHLYP calculations (see ESI† Tables S4 and S5) are generally in good agreement, although the methods predict different conformers of the DMF post reaction adducts as the most stable, but with very similar energies. A comparison of important transition state geometry parameters is shown in Fig. S1 (ESI†). The transition state geometries obtained with MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ and BHandHLYP/aug-cc-pVTZ are very similar; the largest differences in bond lengths for the bonds being formed and broken is 5 pm, and the largest difference in the improved (CCSD(T*)-F12a, see above) barrier heights is 4 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). BHandHLYP calculations predict slightly earlier transition states and lower barriers for all reactions. The CASPT2(3,3) calculations give geometries very similar to the MP2 results except for abstraction from the carbonyl group in DMF where CASPT2 gives a much later transition state, but a very similar improved barrier height (−14.8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) for CASPT2 vs. −15.5 for MP2). The largest deviation between the barrier heights on the basis of the CASPT2 and the MP2 and BHandHLYP geometries is 3.2 and 6.5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively.

4.2. Rate coefficients of the OH + MF and OH + DMF reactions

Fig. 5 shows experimental and calculated rate coefficients of the OH + MF reaction as a function of temperature. The experimental values exhibit a weak negative temperature dependence that can be parameterized as follows: \(k_{\text{lim}}(\text{OH} + \text{MF}) = (1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12} \text{ exp}(3.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/(RT)) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\). The detailed experimental conditions for the individual data points along with the measured rate coefficients are collected in Table S1 (ESI†). We also note that our experiments in the pressure range 30–600 mbar seem to indicate a very weak positive pressure dependence (increase of \(\sim 20\%\) in going from 30 to 600 mbar), which is illustrated in Fig. S2 (ESI†).

The rate coefficients calculated using the CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ results are about a factor of 5 higher than the experimental values. The calculated capture rate coefficient is rather large, \(k_{\text{cap}} = 7.59 \times 10^{-10} \text{ (T/298 K)}^{-1/6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\) (ESI† Table S8), but reducing it by a factor of 2 lowers the phenomenological rate coefficient only by less than 8% in the 250–300 K range. The relative branching fractions for H abstraction at the CHO and CH\(_3\) group hardly varies with a change in the capture rate coefficient and are calculated to be 91.5% and 8.5%, respectively, at \(T = 300 \text{ K}\) and 89.8% and 10.2%, respectively, at \(T = 250 \text{ K}\). The contribution of H abstraction from the NH group is negligible.

Lowering the threshold energy for abstraction from the NH group by 4 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and simultaneously increasing the threshold energies for abstraction from the CHO and the CH\(_3\) group by 4 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) changes the relative branching fractions merely to 89.7%, 10.2% and 0.1% for H abstraction from CHO, CH\(_3\), and NH, respectively, but results in a 75% reduction of the overall rate coefficient (at \(T = 300 \text{ K}\)). Therefore, we conclude that the overall reaction is governed by the inner transition states and that abstraction from the NH group is not important at atmospheric conditions.

Fig. 5, in connection with Table 1, illustrates that a very good agreement between calculated and experimentally determined rate coefficients can be achieved with only moderate adjustment of the barriers. We note that with the adjusted values also the branching fractions observed in our photo-oxidation experiments are very well reproduced (see below).
Table 1  Calculated and adjusted transition state energies for OH + MF, relative to the energy of the reactants (unit: kJ mol$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TS$_{OH}$</th>
<th>TS$_{CH}$</th>
<th>TS$_{NCH}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculated$^a$</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted$^b$</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ CCSD(T$^*$)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ results. $^b$ Adjusted barrier heights to reproduce experimental rate coefficients and relative product branching fractions from our photo-oxidation study (see below) with our master equation calculations.

The calculated capture rate coefficient for the DMF + OH reaction, $k_{cap} = 7.55 \times 10^{-10}$ (T/298 K)$^{-1/6}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, is very similar to that for the MF + OH reaction (Table S8, ESI†). But since the energy barrier for the lowest H abstraction step relative to the bimolecular reactants is considerably lower than in the case of MF + OH, a reduction of the capture rate coefficient by a factor of two also leads to a stronger decrease of the phenomenological rate coefficient by up to 27% (compared to up to 8% for MF + OH) in the temperature range 250–300 K.

The differences in the temperature dependence between the two reaction systems can be rationalized in terms of the potential energy surface. The pre-reaction complexes are nearly equally stable with respect to the bimolecular reactants, but the lowest energy barrier for hydrogen abstraction is somewhat larger for the OH + MF reaction than for the OH + DMF reaction (cf. Tables 1 and 2). This may lead to a somewhat stronger (negative) temperature dependence in the latter case. The differences in the pressure dependence are too small compared to our experimental uncertainty for a reasonable further analysis (cf. Fig. S2 and S3, ESI†).

Table 3 summarizes the currently available kinetic data for reactions of OH radicals with different amides. The rate coefficients reported by Solignac et al. are somewhat higher than those from both Koch et al. and the present work but agree within the combined error bars. An interesting feature of the data is that the values from relative measurements are consistently higher than those from absolute measurements. This is probably related to the nature of the experiments: in the relative rate measurements, the rate of amide removal is measured relatively to the removal rate of a reference compound, and any additional process that removes the amide will increase the observed rate. In the absolute measurements (this work and Koch et al.), the measured quantity is the removal rate of OH radicals in an excess of amide, and any process removing the amide will give a smaller observed rate. Despite all our efforts to detect and prevent any wall loss, we cannot completely rule out that some of the amide has been lost by other processes before passing the detection volume in our photolysis cell. The negative activation energies from this work nicely agree with the other available values for N-methylacetamide, N,N-dimethylacetamide, N-methylpropanamide, and N,N-dimethylpropanamide.

4.3. CH$_3$NHCHO photo-oxidation studies
Barnes et al. carried out OH and Cl atom initiated photo-oxidation experiments with MF employing long-path FTIR detection and reported (CHO)$_2$NH and CH$_2$NCO as the main products with
Table 3 Rate coefficients and Arrhenius activation energies for the reactions of OH with different amides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amide</th>
<th>$k/10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$E_r$/kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formamide</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.46$^a$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Methylformamide</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.6$^a$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.4$^b$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.7 ± 1.7$^c$</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylformamide</td>
<td>14 ± 3$^d$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetamide</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1$^e$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.5 ± 2.6$^d$</td>
<td>-6.6 This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Methylacetamide</td>
<td>11 ± 3$^f$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2$^g$</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.42 ± 0.19$^h$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylacetamide</td>
<td>19 ± 3$^i$</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.6$^f$</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propanamide</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.43$^e$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Methylpropanamide</td>
<td>7.6$^f$</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N-Dimethylpropanamide</td>
<td>20.7$^f$</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone</td>
<td>21.5 ± 3.6$^f$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 ± 4$^h$</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Methyl-2,2-pyrrolidinedione</td>
<td>14 ± 3$^i$</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Oxo-1-pyrrolidinocarboxaldehyde</td>
<td>6 ± 1$^e$</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $T = 298$ K, $P = 1$ bar (air), the errors given represent the standard deviation of multiple experiments, the authors estimate a total uncertainty closer to 20%. $^b$ $T = 298$ K, $P = 1$ bar (air). $^c$ Measurements at $T = 294$ K, $P = 600$ mbar (helium). $^d$ Measurements at $T = 294$ K, $P = 30–600$ mbar (helium). $^e$ $T = 298$ K, $P = 1$ bar (air). $^f$ $T = 300$ K, $P = 130$ mbar (helium). $^g$ $T = 296$ K, $P = 1$ bar (air). $^h$ $T = 300$ K and $P = 1$ bar (air).

yields of roughly 50% and 30%, respectively, with variable amounts of the peroxy-nitrate-type compound, CH$_3$NHC(O)OONO$_2$, depending on the NO$_2$-level in the experiment. Unfortunately, the lack of calibrated reference spectra prevented the authors from making a proper quantification of the product yields. Borduas et al. recently carried out NO$_2$-free OH-initiated photo-oxidation experiments with MF employing PTR-MS detection and reported a CH$_3$NCO yield around 40%; they further reported formamide (~15% yield) and HNCO as oxidation products. Diformamide, (CHO)$_2$NH, was only detected as a trace product and was not quantified.

Fig. 7 shows the time evolution of the main ion signals observed by PTR-ToF-MS during a photo-oxidation experiment. Fig. S4 and S5 (ESI†), respectively, illustrate the difference in PTR-ToF-MS mass spectra taken after and just before the photo-oxidation experiment, and the NO and NO$_2$ mixing ratios during the experiment. The NO level is nearly constant around 25 ppbv during the photo-oxidation, whereas the NO$_2$ level increases from a single-digit value to nearly 400 ppbv in the same period. Reacting compounds are MF (detected in its protonated form at m/z 60.042 and its $^{13}$C isotopologues at m/z 61.043) and isopropyl nitrite, which is detected at m/z 29.996 ($^{15}$N$^+$), m/z 43.055 (C$_3$H$_7^+$), m/z 61.066 (C$_5$H$_{10}^+$) and m/z 79.074 (C$_2$H$_5$O$_2^+$). Signals that increased during the photo-oxidation experiment are m/z 58.029 (C$_3$H$_7$NO$^+$, protonated methylisocyanate), m/z 74.024 (C$_4$H$_{12}^+$, protonated N-formylformamide), m/z 76.041 (C$_4$H$_{11}$NO$_2^+$, protonated methylisocyanate water cluster) and m/z 77.032 (CH$_3$NO$_2^+$, protonated methylisocyanate). We also observed an increase in the ion signals at m/z 43.018 (C$_3$H$_4$O$^+$), m/z 45.034 (C$_3$H$_9^+$), m/z 45.992 (NO$^+$), m/z 59.049 (C$_3$H$_4$O$_2^+$) and m/z 77.056 (C$_6$H$_{14}O^+$). These signals stem from compounds (acetaldehyde, acetone and nitric acid) typically formed in any smog chamber photo-oxidation experiment in the presence of isopropyl nitrite and NO$_2$, i.e. even in the absence of MF. In our product analysis, we only included signals with a larger than 1% increase relative to decrease in the protonated MF signal. In spite of every effort made no trace of CH$_3$NHC(O)OONO$_2$ was ever detected in any of the present experiments.

Wall loss of MF was significant in the experiments. Additional loss was inflicted by synthetic air that was constantly added to compensate for instrumental sampling flows ($k_{\text{duration}} = 1.13 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$); analysis of the MF loss curve before and after the 15 min photo-oxidation period suggested an MF wall loss rate coefficient $k_{\text{wall,loss}} \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$.

There is apparently a mismatch in Fig. 7 between the amount of N-methylformamide reacted and the amounts of products formed in the experiment. However, the apparent mismatch is deceptive: N-nitro methylamine, CH$_3$NNO$_2$, formed with a ~5% yield in the experiment shown, can only be formed in the reaction between CH$_3$NH radicals and NO$_2$, and even under conditions with high NO$_2$ levels, as in the present case, the major loss of CH$_3$NH radicals is due to reaction with O$_2$ resulting in methanilic acid, CH$_3$H$_2$NCO, which is extremely difficult to detect and to quantify due to polymerization and surface-induced reactions.

It is highly significant that there is no discernable change in the N-nitro methylamine volume mixing ratio upon addition of excess NO to titrate peroxy radicals present in the chamber. This indicates that either very little CH$_3$NHC(O)OONO$_2$ was ever formed during the experiment or that the lifetime of the compound is very short, or a combination of both of the above. FTIR-spectroscopic evidence for formation of CH$_3$NHC(O)OONO$_2$ in N-methylformamide photo-oxidation experiments is rather limited, and the weak bands reported as characteristic of
CH$_3$NHCHO + OH → CH$_3$NHCHO + H$_2$O \[ \Delta H^\circ = -100 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (1a)

CH$_3$NHCHO → CH$_3$NCO + H \[ \Delta H^\circ = +116 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (3a)

→ HNCO + CH$_3$ \[ \Delta H^\circ = +29 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (3b)

→ CH$_3$NH + CO \[ \Delta H^\circ = +75 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (3c)

CH$_3$NHCO + O$_2$ → CH$_3$NHC(O)OO \[ \Delta H^\circ = -157 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (4)

The barriers to the N–H and N–C bond scission reactions (3a) and (3b) are around 168 and 117 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively, which place both substantially above the entrance energy of the reactants in (1a); the barrier to reaction (3c) leading to CH$_3$NH + CO is barely 1 kJ mol$^{-1}$ above the entrance energy of the reactants. Considering the amount of internal energy available in the CH$_3$NHCO radical upon its formation and the energy partitioning between CH$_3$NHCO and H$_2$O, it can be concluded that these routes will not be important at atmospheric conditions. CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ results for reactions (3a)–(3c) are illustrated in Fig. S6 and documented in Tables S9 and S10 (ESI$^\dagger$).

The initially hot, chemically activated CH$_3$NHOC(O)OO$^\ast$ radical ($^\ast$ denotes rovibrational activation) may initiate two H transfer reactions followed by radical dissociations; the corresponding net reactions are:

CH$_3$NHC(O)OONO$_2$ actually have their counterparts in the IR spectrum of CH$_3$NHNO$_2$.$^{37}$

Given the scarcity of well-documented mechanistic information on amide photo-oxidation chemistry, a first principles mapping of the reaction thermochemistry was undertaken and additional mechanism elucidating calculations carried out. The present quantum chemistry results have many similarities with those reported by Borduas et al. $^{6}$ there are, however, significant differences in approach, results and, consequently, in the mechanistic conclusions.

The CH$_3$NHCHO reaction with OH radicals may, as already mentioned, proceed via hydrogen abstraction from either the CHO group, the NH group or the CH$_3$ group. The N–H abstraction route is calculated to have a medium-sized barrier of around 12 kJ mol$^{-1}$, while the C–H abstraction routes have barriers well below the entrance energy of the reactants. The quantum chemistry calculations therefore unambiguously show that the N–H abstraction route is of no importance in the CH$_3$NHCHO + OH reaction at atmospheric conditions, and it will not be addressed any further here.

Route (1a), hydrogen abstraction from the CHO group, is expected to be followed by either radical dissociation leading to isocyanates or to the CH$_3$NH radical, or by addition of O$_2$:

CH$_3$NHC(O)O + O$_2$ → CH$_3$NCO + HO$_2$ \[ \Delta H^\circ = -81 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (9)

The barrier to H transfer from the NH group is found to be far below the entrance energy of the reactants, and an IRC calculation links a post-reaction adduct between CH$_3$NCO and HO$_2$ on the exit side. The barrier to H transfer from the CH$_3$ group is also below the initial entrance energy of the reactants; subsequent dissociation of the resulting CH$_3$NHC(O)OOH radical to CH$_3$–NH + CO$_2$ + OH proceeds via another barrier below the entrance energy of the reactants. Fig. 8 illustrates the energetics of the CH$_3$NHCO + O$_2$ reaction (quantum chemistry results collected in Tables S11 and S12, ESI$^\dagger$).

The branching between reactions (4)–(6) at atmospheric pressure was examined using a master equation model based on the potential energy surface shown in Fig. 8 and including the CH$_3$NHC(O)OO + NO reaction as a bimolecular sink with a rate coefficient of 2 × 10$^{-11}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, which is a typical value for RC(O)OO + NO reactions. $^{38}$ With a rate coefficient for the CH$_3$NHC(O) + O$_2$ reaction of around 5 × 10$^{-12}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (typical value for RC(O) + O$_2$ reactions), $^{38}$ the CH$_3$NHCO radicals will be thermalized before reaction with O$_2$ at atmospheric conditions. The calculations show that reaction (6) is of no importance and that ca. 90% of the CH$_3$NHCO + O$_2$ reaction results in CH$_3$NCO. Increasing the energy of the saddle point TS5 by 4 kJ mol$^{-1}$, decreases the CH$_3$NCO yield to around 80%.

The CH$_3$NHC(O)OO$^\ast$ radicals formed in reaction (4) and subsequently thermalized by collisions are expected to react with NO$_2$ resulting in the formation of a meta-stable peroxy nitrate, and with NO leading to CH$_3$NH radicals:

CH$_3$NHC(O)OO + NO$_2$ ⇌ CH$_3$NHC(O)OOONO$_2$ \[ \Delta H^\circ = -118 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (7)

CH$_3$NHC(O)OO + NO → CH$_3$NHC(O)O + NO$_2$ \[ \Delta H^\circ = -35 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (8)

CH$_3$NHC(O)O → CH$_3$NH + CO$_2$ \[ \Delta H^\circ = -81 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \] (9)

The enthalpy of reaction (7) is calculated to be $-118$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, which compares to $-130$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the corresponding

![Fig 8 Relative energies of stationary points on the potential energy surface of the CH$_3$NHCO + O$_2$ reaction. Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations (including zero-point energies).](image-url)
(CH₂)₂NC(O)OONO₂ reaction (see later). The lifetime of (CH₂)₂NC(O)OONO₂ is around 14 minutes at 298 K and 1 bar.⁴⁰ Even allowing for a considerable uncertainty in the G3 results, the 12 kJ mol⁻¹ difference in reaction enthalpies for the two peroxynitrates in question suggests a much shorter lifetime for CH₂NH(C(O)O)ONO₂ than for (CH₂)₂NC(O)OONO₂. The G3 results imply a lifetime of CH₃NHC(O)OONO₂ of around only 1 s at 298 K and 1 bar. In conclusion, the theoretical results suggest that not only will a minor amount of CH₃NHC(O)OONO₂ be formed during N-methylformamide photo-oxidation, but also that the lifetime of the corresponding peroxynitrite, CH₃NHC(O)OONO₂, will be very short.

The atmospheric fate of the CH₃NH radical is well established from experimental and theoretical studies of the CH₃NH + OH reaction:⁴⁰⁻⁴²

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH₃NH + O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CH₂NH} + \text{HO}_2 \quad \Delta H^r = -81 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
\text{CH₃NH + NO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CH₂NH} + \text{HONO} \quad \Delta H^r = -199 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
& \quad \rightarrow \text{CH₂NHNO}_2 \quad \Delta H^r = -213 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

(10) (11a) (11b)

The other important abstraction route (1c) leading to the CH₂NHCHO radical is expected to be followed by O₂ addition forming a vibrationally excited peroxy radical that may initiate internal H abstraction reactions before reacting with NO (RO₂ + HO₂ reactions can be neglected with around 25 ppbV NO present in the chamber experiments):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH₂NHCHO} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{CH₂NHCHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^r = -104 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
\text{CH₂NHCHO} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{OOCH₂NHCHO}^* \quad \Delta H^r = -126 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
\text{OOCH₂NHCHO}^* & \rightarrow \text{CH₂=NCHO} + \text{HO}_2 \quad \Delta H^r = +119 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
& \quad \rightarrow \text{HOOCCH₂NHCO}^* \quad \Delta H^r = +32 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \\
\text{OOCH₂NHCHO} + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{ÔOCH₂NHCHO} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \Delta H^r = -53 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

(12) (13a) (13b) (14)

Reaction (13a) proceeds via a saddle point 0.6 kJ mol⁻¹ below the entrance energy of the reactants and a post-reaction complex on the exit side. The competing H transfer reaction from the CHO group has an around 18 kJ mol⁻¹ lower barrier towards formation of the intermediate HOOCH₂NHCO radical, which in turn has a barrier around 32 kJ mol⁻¹ above the entrance energy of the reactants towards dissociation to HNCO via another intermediate radical that can be described as a HNCO·CH₂OOH complex:

\[
\text{HOOCH₂NHCO}^* \rightarrow \text{HNCO} + \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\overset{\cdot}{\text{O}} \quad \Delta H^r = -96 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}
\]

(15)

CCSD(T)⁴⁻¹¹-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ/MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ results for reactions (13) and (15) are illustrated in Fig. 9 and documented in Tables S13 and S14 (ESI†).

The branching between reactions (13a) and (14) at atmospheric pressure was examined using a master equation model based on the potential energy surface shown in Fig. 9 and including a bimolecular reaction between OOCH₂NHCHO and NO with a rate coefficient of 9 × 10⁻¹² cm³ s⁻¹ (typical value for RO₂ + NO reactions).³⁸ The results show that less than 1% CH₂=NCHO is formed in the reaction of O₂ with thermalized CH₂NHCHO radicals at 298 K (NO level assumed >1 ppbV). The CH₂NHCHO radicals may, however, not be thermalized at the time of reaction with O₂; reaction (1c) is exothermic by 104 kJ mol⁻¹ and part of the reaction enthalpy could be available to CH₂NHCHO in subsequent reactions. The master equation calculations show that with an additional 10, 20 or 30 kJ mol⁻¹ available to reaction, the yield of CH₂=NCHO increases to respectively 6.6%, 26% and 56%. The actual amount of additional energy available to CH₂NHCHO in reaction with O₂ will implicitly depend on the reaction rate coefficient of the reaction and explicitly on the energy partitioning in the preceding CH₂NHCHO + OH reaction. Assuming equipartitioning of the reaction enthalpy, the CH₂NHCHO radical will be formed with an additional internal energy of around 60 kJ mol⁻¹ available for reaction. Assuming that the water molecule formed in reaction (1c) has one quantum of OH stretching vibration (~3000 cm⁻¹) and that the remaining reaction enthalpy is divided according to the equipartitioning principle then the CH₂NHCHO radical will be formed with an additional internal energy of around 43 kJ mol⁻¹. A typical rate coefficient for the reaction of a medium-sized alkyl radical with O₂ is around 10⁻¹¹ cm³ s⁻¹,³⁸ corresponding to a CH₂NHCHO radical lifetime ~20 ns at atmospheric conditions. Assuming an average energy transfer in collisions with N₂ of ⟨ΔE_down⟩ = 250 cm⁻¹, the initially 60 kJ mol⁻¹ hot CH₂NHCHO radicals will be thermalized in less than 3 ns at atmospheric conditions. Decreasing the barrier height of reaction (13a) by 4 kJ mol⁻¹ increases the CH₂=NCHO yield to 2.6%. A conservative
estimate is therefore that less than 5% of the $\text{CH}_2\text{NHCHO} + \text{O}_2$ reaction leads to $\text{CH}_2\text{NCHO}$.

The $\text{OCH}_2\text{NHCHO}$ radicals may, in principle, either dissociate or undergo $\text{H}$ abstraction by $\text{O}_2$; the dissociation reaction is, however, highly endothermic ruling out this route at atmospheric conditions. Consequently, at atmospheric conditions the by far dominating primary product resulting from $\text{H}$ abstraction from the $\text{CH}_3$ group will be $N$-formylformamide:

$$\text{OCH}_2\text{NHCHO} \rightarrow \text{NHCHO} + \text{CH}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ = +90 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

(16)

$$\text{OCH}_2\text{NHCHO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CHONHCHO} + \text{HO}_2 \quad \Delta H^\circ = -179 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

(17)

In summary: the theoretical study shows that OH radicals initiate MF photo-oxidation by $\text{H}$ abstraction from the CHO and $\text{CH}_3$ groups, and that $\text{H}$ abstraction from the NH group is not important at atmospheric conditions. Abstraction from the $\text{CH}_3$ group leads, almost exclusively, to $N$-formylformamide although minor amounts of $\text{CH}_2\text{NCHO}$ cannot be ruled out. Abstraction from the CHO group is followed by a branching in the $\text{O}_2$ reaction with the $\text{CH}_2\text{NHCO}$ radical leading to either $\text{CH}_3\text{NCO}$ or via the $\text{CH}_2\text{NHCOO}$ radical to $\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ and $\text{CH}_2\text{NHNNO}_2$. Each route results in different products, and the branching ratios can therefore be quantified from the product distribution shown in Fig. 7. The major routes in the OH-initiated photo-oxidation of MF are outlined in Scheme 1 together with the branching ratios derived from the reactant and product time profiles shown in Fig. 7. The possible formation of $\text{CH}_2\text{NCHO}$, which is an isomer of the major photo-oxidation product, $\text{CH}_3\text{NCO}$, could not be confirmed in the present experiments. It should be noted that the conservative upper estimate of its yield is $0.85\% (0.05 \times 0.17)$.

$\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ was observed in traces. $\text{CH}_2\text{O}$ and HCN were also observed in traces, but both compounds are difficult to quantify by PTR-ToF-MS. Our recent theoretical study on the atmospheric chemistry of $\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ concludes unambiguously that HCN is the main product of methanimine photo-oxidation. However, no firm conclusion concerning $\text{CH}_2\text{NCHO}$ formation and subsequent reaction to HCN can be made from the available experimental data. Assuming branching in the $\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ radical reactions with $\text{O}_2$ and $\text{NO}_2$ to be the same as in the corresponding $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{N}$ radical reactions, the observed amounts of $\text{CH}_2\text{NCO}$, $(\text{CHO})_2\text{NH}$, $\text{CH}_3\text{NHNNO}_2$, and the modeled amount of $\text{CH}_2\text{NH}$ accounts for $>90\%$ of MF reacted.

4.4. $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCHO}$ photo-oxidation studies

Barnes et al. carried out both OH and Cl atom initiated photo-oxidation studies of DMF and reported $\text{CH}_3\text{N(CHO)}_2$ as the major product in the degradation ($\sim70\%$ yield) and unquantified amounts of $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NC(O)OONO}_2$, depending on the NOX level in the experiment. The lack of calibrated reference spectra prevented the authors from making a proper quantification of the product yields.

Fig. 10 shows the time evolution of the main compounds detected by PTR-ToF-MS during a DMF photo-oxidation experiment, while Fig. S7 and S8 (ESI†), respectively, show the difference in PTR-ToF-MS mass spectra taken after and before the photo-oxidation experiment, and the NO and NO2 mixing ratios during the experiment. The NO level is nearly constant.

![Scheme 1](image-url)  
Scheme 1 Reaction scheme for OH initiated photo-oxidation of $N$-methylformamide (MF). Compounds in boldface colored type have been detected in photo-oxidation experiments. The grey colored $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NC(O)OONO}_2$ is predicted to have a short lifetime and was not detected in the experiments. The branching ratios given have estimated uncertainties $\pm 0.05$.
around 25 ppbv during the photo-oxidation, whereas the NO2 level increases from single-digit ppbv values to nearly 500 ppbv in the same period. Reacting compounds are DMF (detected in its protonated form at m/z 74.059 and its 13C isotope at m/z 75.068) and isopropyl nitrite which is detected at m/z 29.996 (NO), m/z 43.054 (C2H7), m/z 61.064 (C4H7O) and m/z 79.074 (C5H11O). Signals that increased during the photo-oxidation experiment are m/z 44.049 (C3H7N2O+, 8% of total signal from protonated N-methylmethanimine), m/z 75.055 (C5H7N2O2+, protonated N-nitroso dimethylamine), m/z 88.037 (C6H8O3N2+, protonated N-formylN-methylformamide), m/z 91.050 (C6H8N2O2+, protonated N-nitro dimethylamine) and m/z 151.035 (C11H15N3O5+, protonated (CH3)2NC(O)OONO2). The signals at m/z 44.049 (C4H7H2N+), ~92% of total signal), m/z 72.044 (C4H7NO2), m/z 89.043 (C5H9O2N2) and m/z 105.044 (C6H7NO3) are produced from (CH3)2NC(O)OONO2. Signals from N-formylN-methylformamide oxidation are m/z 105.029 (C7H15O4N2+, protonated HC(O)N(CH3)NCO) and m/z 165.015 (C7H15O6N2+, protonated HC(O)(CH3)C(O)NCO). We also observed an increase in the ion signals at m/z 31.017 (CH3O), m/z 43.017 (C2H7O), m/z 45.033 (C2H7O2), m/z 45.991 (NO2), m/z 59.049 (C2H7O), m/z 60.049 (13C12C2H7O), m/z 77.022 (C2H7O3) and m/z 77.056 (C2H4O2). As mentioned above, these signals stem from compounds (formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetonitrile, nitric acid and peroxyacetyl nitrate) typically formed in any smog chamber photo-oxidation experiment.

In our product analysis, we only included signals with >1% increase relative to decrease in the protonated N,N-dimethylformamide signal.

The wall loss of DMF was significant in the experiments. Additional loss was caused by instrumental sampling flows that were compensated for by constantly adding synthetic air (k_{sample} = 1.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}); analysis of the MF loss curve before and after the photo-oxidation period gave a wall loss rate coefficient k_{wall} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}. Also in the DMF experiments there is apparently a mismatch between the amount of DMF reacted and the amount of products formed, and, again, the apparent mismatch is deceptive. N-Nitro dimethylamine, (CH3)2NNO2, formed with a ~5% yield in the experiment shown, can only be formed in the reaction between (CH3)2N radicals and NO2, and even under conditions with high NO2 levels, as in the present case, the major reaction of (CH3)2N radicals is with O2 resulting in N-methyl methanimine, CH3N=CH2, which is difficult to quantify due to polymerization and surface induced reactions.

Fig. 10 shows a clear change in the (CH3)2NC(O)OONO2, CH3N=CH2, (CH3)2NNO2 and (CH3)2NNO volume mixing ratios upon addition of excess NO titrating peroxy radicals present in the chamber. This will be addressed later.

Hydrogen abstraction from the CHO group in (CH3)2NCHO is expected to be followed by either O2 addition or dissociation of the (CH3)2NCO radical:

\[(CH3)2NCHO + OH \rightarrow (CH3)2NCO + H2O \quad \Delta H^\circ = -98 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{2a} \]

\[(CH3)2NCO + O2 \rightarrow (CH3)2NC(O)OO* \quad \Delta H^\circ = -136 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{18} \]

\[(CH3)2NCO \rightarrow CH3NCO + CH3 \quad \Delta H^\circ = +49 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{19} \]

The barrier to C-C scission is high, around 23 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) above the entrance energy of the reactants in (2a), and this route will therefore not be of importance at atmospheric conditions. Results from CCSD(T*)-F12a/aug-cc-pVTZ//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ calculations of reaction (19) are collected in Tables S15 and S16 (ESI†) and the energetics are illustrated in Fig. S9 (ESI†).

The hot (CH3)2NC(O)OO* radical may initiate H transfer reactions from the CH3 groups followed by dissociation and OH regeneration:

\[(CH3)2NC(O)OO \rightarrow CH2(CH3)NC(O)OOH \quad \Delta H^\circ = -11 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{20} \]

\[CH2(CH3)NC(O)OOH \rightarrow CH2=CH(NCH3) + CO2 + OH \quad \Delta H^\circ = -125 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{21} \]

The barrier to H-transfer (20) is found well below the entrance energy of the reactants. However, the path towards dissociation of the intermediate CH2(CH3)NC(O)OOH radical to CH2=CH(NCH3) + CO2 + OH proceeds via a barrier well above the entrance energy of the reactants. The internal H transfer route is consequently of no importance at atmospheric conditions. Fig. 11 illustrates the energetics of the (CH3)2NCO + O2 reaction (quantum chemistry results collected in Tables S17 and S18, ESI†).

The thermalized peroxy radical may react with NO2 and NO resulting in the formation of a meta-stable peroxy nitrate and eventually in the (CH3)2N radical:

\[(CH3)2NC(O)OO + NO2 \rightarrow (CH3)2NC(O)OONO2 \quad \Delta H^\circ = -130 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{22} \]
Kirchner et al.\textsuperscript{39} investigated the thermal stabilities of peroxy-nitrates and reported \( k_{-21} = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at 298 K and 1 bar, and \( E_a = (111.5 \pm 5.8) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \). An analysis of the \((\text{CH}_3)_2NCO(O)O\)O decay upon the addition of excess NO, see Fig. 10, gave a loss rate coefficient \( k_{-21} = 2.86 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \) at 304 K and 1 bar, which is in excellent agreement with the results of Kirchner et al.\textsuperscript{39} for the same temperature.

The atmospheric reactions of the \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{N}^+\) radical are well established from previous studies of dimethylamine photo-oxidation:\textsuperscript{40–42}

\[
\text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{N} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{N} = \text{CH}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \quad \Delta H^\circ = -71 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{25}
\]

\[
\text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{N} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{N} = \text{CH}_2 + \text{HONO} \quad \Delta H^\circ = -190 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{26a}
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{NNO}_2 \quad \Delta H^\circ = -203 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{26b}
\]

\[
\text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{N} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{NNO} \quad \Delta H^\circ = -192 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{27a}
\]

\[
\text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{NNO} \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{N} + \text{NO} \quad \Delta H^\circ = +192 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{27b}
\]

Hydrogen abstraction from one of the CH\textsubscript{3} groups in \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NCHO}\) is expected to be followed by \(\text{O}_2\) addition. The cis-trans rotational barrier in \(\text{CH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CHO}\) is quite high (around 100 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}) from BHandHLYP/aug-cc-pVDZ calculations), which may prevent thermal equilibrium to be established before further reaction takes place; the energy difference between the two rotamers is, however, small. We will not make a distinction between the radical cis- and trans-rotamers here as they eventually end up as the same product.

\[
\text{(CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{NCHO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{trans-CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ = -100 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{28b}
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{cis-CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ = -100 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{29c}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{OOCH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} \quad \Delta H^\circ = -129 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{28c}
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{OOCH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} \quad \Delta H^\circ = +34 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{29a}
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{HOOCH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} \quad \Delta H^\circ = +36 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{29b}
\]

The barriers to the H transfer reactions (29) are found well below the entrance energy of the reactants in the \(\text{O}_2\) addition reaction (28), but the paths towards dissociation of the intermediates proceeds via barriers well above, Fig. 12 (quantum chemistry results are documented in Tables S19 and S20, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). These H transfer initiated routes are therefore of no importance at atmospheric conditions.

With around 25 ppbV NO present in the chamber peroxy radical reactions with other peroxy radicals can be neglected: the oxyradical may either undergo H abstraction by \(\text{O}_2\) or dissociate:

\[
\text{OOCH}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CHO} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OOCH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \Delta H^\circ = -48 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{30}
\]

\[
\text{OOCH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CHON(CH}_3\text{)CHO} + \text{HO}_2 \quad \Delta H^\circ = -183 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{31}
\]

\[
\text{OOCH}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{NCHO} + \text{CH}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^\circ = +76 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \tag{32}
\]

The rate of \(\text{O}_2\text{N(CH}_3\text{)CHO}\) dissociation was estimated to be \(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}\) employing the Méreau et al.\textsuperscript{45} structure–activity relationship.
relationship for alkoxy radical decomposition reaction. Assuming a typical value for \( k_{30} = 1 \times 10^{-14} \) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), the O\(_2\) abstraction reaction will therefore completely dominate the atmospheric fate of the O\(\cdot\)CH\(_2\)N(CH\(_3\))CHO radical.

The major routes in the OH initiated photo-oxidation of \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide is outlined in Scheme 2 together with relative branching fractions derived from analysis of the time profiles presented in Fig. 10. The observed amounts of CH\(_3\)NCO, (CHO)\(_2\)NCH\(_3\), (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NNO, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NNO\(_2\), and the modelled amount of CH\(_2\)=NCH\(_3\) accounts for >90% of DMF reacted.

### 5. Summary and conclusions

The rate coefficients of the reactions of OH radicals with \(N\)-methylformamide and \(N,N\)-dimethylformamide have been experimentally determined at \(T = 294\) K to be \((5.7 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) and \((8.5 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Taking \([OH]_{24h} = 10^6\) cm\(^{-3}\), one obtains atmospheric lifetimes with respect OH reaction of 1.5 to 2 days. The major MF photo-oxidation product is methyl isocyanate, CH\(_3\)NCO, which is not a benign compound in the environment. Methylnitramine, CH\(_3\)NHNO\(_2\), is only a minor product. However, the potential to nitramine formation (18% yield of CH\(_3\)NH radicals in photo-oxidation of MF) is almost as high as for methylamine (25% yield of CH\(_3\)NH radicals).\(^{40,42}\) For DMF the situation is perhaps even more worrisome; we find the potential to formation of dimethylnitrosamine, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NNO, and dimethylnitramine, (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)NNO\(_2\), in DMF photo-oxidation actually being larger than for dimethylamine, 65% yield of (CH\(_3\))\(_2\)N radicals in DMF photo-oxidation versus ~40% in dimethylamine.\(^{40,42,44}\)

Considering the recent recommendation by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health that the total amount of nitrosamines and nitramines should not exceed 0.3 ng m\(^{-3}\) in air and 4 ng L\(^{-1}\) in drinking water to ensure minimal or negligible risk of cancer for the public from exposure to these substances,\(^{46}\) immediately raises the question if emission regulations of MF and DMF should be implemented.
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