ChemComm



View Article Online

COMMUNICATION



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 15596

Received 4th August 2015, Accepted 27th August 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06423f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

The mechanism of CO₂ hydration: a porous metal oxide nanocapsule catalyst can mimic the biological carbonic anhydrase role[†][‡]

Nuno A. G. Bandeira,^a Somenath Garai,^b Achim Müller^b and Carles Bo*^{ac}

The mechanism for the hydration of CO_2 within a Keplerate nanocapsule is presented. A network of hydrogen bonds across the water layers in the first metal coordination sphere facilitates the proton abstraction and nucleophilic addition of water. The highly acidic properties of the polyoxometalate cluster are crucial for explaining the catalysed hydration.

Concerns about global warming, together with the incoming necessity to find alternative feedstocks to fossil fuels,¹ have boosted interest in the capture and use of CO₂ as a chemical starting material.²⁻⁵ Living organisms having the carbonic anhydrase enzyme carry out the simplest CO₂ transformation, *i.e.* hydration to carbonic acid, in an easy manner. The presence of an electrophilic Zn center together with a network of water molecules in the proximity of the enzyme site makes the hydration reaction possible, which is rather slow in the absence of a catalyst. The exploration of carbonic anhydrase⁶⁻⁹ and related analogues¹⁰ has afforded major bio-inspired catalytic routes for CO₂ fixation over the past few decades. On the other hand, synthetic chemistry afforded new transition metal based catalysts that can convert CO₂ into other chemical entities, for instance CO₂ reduction to methanol,¹¹ coupling with oxiranes to produce cyclic carbonates,¹²⁻¹⁴ or other value added chemicals.^{15,16}

Some of us reported recently¹⁷ a novel way to sequestrate and transform CO_2 into carbonate by encapsulation within unique molybdenum oxide nanocapsules. This novelty hinges on the fact that the approach uses only aqueous, room temperature and open air chemistry. These capsules, belonging to the Keplerate family, are nano-sized molecular metal oxide spheres with



Fig. 1 The pictorial representation of the {Mo₁₃₂} Keplerate capsule.

the general formula $[{(M^{VI})M_5^{VI}O_{21}(H_2O_6)_{12}}M'_2^VO_2X_2(\mu^2-Y)_{30}]^{n-1}$ $(M = Mo, W; M' = Mo; X = O, S; Y = bridging ligand, e.g. RCOO^{-},$ $SO_4^{(2-)}$.¹⁸ This sort of capsule contains 12 pentagonal { MO_6^{VI} } units placed at the vertices of an icosahedron and linked by 30 binuclear $\{Mo_2^V\}$ units. This arrangement leads to the formation of capsules (Fig. 1) with twenty {M₃Mo₆O₉}-type pores and a cavity where a large quantity of water molecules, anions or other species can be confined.^{19,20} By bubbling CO₂ in an aqueous solution of $(NH_4)_{42}[\{(Mo^{VI})Mo_5^{VI}O_{21}(H_2O)_6\}_{12}\{Mo_2^VO_4(\mu^2-CH_3COO)\}_{30}]$ ca. 10 CH_3COONH_4 ca. 300 $H_2O \equiv (NH_4)_{42}$ Anion 1a ca. 10 CH_3COONH_4 ca. 300 H₂O \equiv Compound 1²¹ at pH 7 the carbonate derivative (NH₄)₇₂ $[\{(Mo^{VI})Mo_5^{VI}O_{21}(H_2O)_6\}_{12}\{Mo_2^{V}O_4(\mu^2-CO_3)\}_{30}]$ ca. 260 H₂O \equiv (NH₄)₇₂ anion $2a \cdot ca$. 260 H₂O \equiv Compound 2 was obtained.¹⁷ The pictorial representation of the {MO132} Keplerate capsule is displayed in Fig. 1. The characterisation of Compound 2 prompted the major question of whether the carbonate anion formed in solution (in minute amounts at pH 7) was captured by the Keplerate cluster by diffusion into the inner cavity or, more interestingly, whether the carbonate anion formation took place *in situ* inside the capsule, either at the Mo^V or Mo^{VI} coordination sites, by a metal catalysed nucleophilic addition of water to a solubilised CO2 molecule, likewise the accepted mechanism of carbonic anhydrase.

^a Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Avda. Països Catalans,

^{16. 43007} Tarragona, Spain. E-mail: cbo@iciq.cat

^b Fakultät für Chemie, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

^c Department Química Física i Inorgànica, University Rovira i Virgili, Marcel li Domingo s/n, 43007 Tarragona, Spain

[†] Dedicated to the memory of Tom Ziegler (1945–2015).

Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Computational details

and methodology. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06423f

The CO₂ transformation is also reversible *via* acidification of the aqueous solution of Compound 2.¹⁷ The results of the theoretical study presented herein suggest that this transformation of CO₂ to carbonate is actually the third example^{22,23} known to date of a catalytic process occurring inside the {Mo₁₃₂} capsule, where the Mo^V and also the Mo^{VI} sites play a role.

The mechanism of the hydration of CO_2 to form the carbonic acid has been a subject of theoretical studies over the past few decades.^{24,25} The challenge lies in the accurate description of the explicit water molecules participating in the reaction as was shown by the latest work of Yamabe and Kawagishi.²⁶ The uppermost energy barrier of carbon dioxide hydration is always the initial step of water addition.²⁷ The arrangement of this initial transition state^{24–26,28} is a cyclic three water molecular arrangement as depicted in Fig. 2. We will adopt this model as a benchmark to compare with our own calculations on the catalytic sequestration of CO_2 and its conversion into the carbonate form.

In a recent study we demonstrated that by using a cluster model of the {Mo₁₃₂} nanocapsule, the reaction pathway of the reversible cleavage of methyl-*tert*-butyl ether²² was successfully unravelled. The model assembly was defined to mimic the nature of the active sites of the Keplerate and it was formulated as [{(Mo^{VI})Mo₅^{VI}O₁₃(OH)₈}₂{Mo₂^{VO}O₄}]⁶⁺ containing two pentagonal {(Mo^{VI})Mo₅^{VI}}-units and one linker unit of the type {Mo₂^{VO}O₄}.

It fully retained the essential characteristics of the {Mo₁₃₂} reactive sites and therefore we have selected that model for the present study. Since the formation of the carbonate anion takes place in aqueous media, the presence of water molecules inside the Keplerate sphere must play an essential role in the reaction and therefore it is essential that the cluster model should incorporate a sufficiently large number of water molecules. Thus we included 13 additional water molecules explicitly in this study, so the model used is formulated as $[\{(Mo^{VI})Mo_5^{VI}O_{13}(H_2O)_6(OH)_8\}_2\{Mo_2^VO_4(H_2O)\}]^{6+}$, which leaves one vacant coordination site reserved for the incoming CO₂ molecule at one of the two Mo^V sites, while the second one bears a water molecule which is supposed to undergo nucleophilic addition.

As expected the CO_2 molecule, being nonpolar, does not coordinate in the initial stage to an Mo^V centre either in an η^1 or η^2 fashion. Notwithstanding, we could characterize a weakly bound stationary point structure in which CO_2 is hydrogenbonded to the water molecule in one Mo^V centre and to a water molecule on Mo^{VI} , thus located in the vicinity of the reactive centre. This will be our starting point (named Reactants) for the reaction path studies defining the zero of energies.

The highest energy reaction path explored **TS1** (Fig. 2) is perhaps the most intuitive pathway involving a concerted nucleophilic addition of an aqua ligand to CO_2 followed by the subsequent proton rejection and formation of a local Zundel cation



Fig. 2 Several mechanistic pathways for CO_2 hydration: in red the uncatalysed reaction is presented; in green the iso-charge pathway leading to the formation of a local H_3O^+ cation; in blue is the route with direct Mo^{VI} intervention in the formation of bicarbonate; in black the proposed catalytic pathway. Electronic energies and Gibbs free energies in parentheses are evaluated using a partial Hessian. All energies in kJ mol⁻¹.

 $(H_5O_2^+)$ sponsored by the hydrogen bonding of the neighbouring aqua ligands. Note that the neighbouring water ligands coordinated to Mo^{VI} centres contribute to stabilizing the rejected proton and the concomitant formation of bicarbonate. Although we explored multiple conformational possibilities, a coordinated adduct of the type $\{O_2C-OH_2\}$ could not be obtained.

Owing to the accumulation of positive charge closer to the metal centres, **TS1** transition state is shown to be too excessively high in energy (+89 kJ mol⁻¹) to become a competitive pathway vis-à-vis the unassisted **TSw** transition state for hydration of CO₂.

In light of these results we explored a different route that yielded a bicarbonate coordinated intermediate resulting from the nucleophilic addition of a hydroxo group to CO₂. Given that the Mo^{VI} centres are more Lewis acidic than Mo^V (*e.g.* on average 0.1 pK_a units lower in Mo^{VI} for the Keggin structure²⁹) the likely candidate for a good reactant would be **2b** bearing the {Mo^V(OH₂)–O–Mo^{VI}(OH)} unit rather than **2a** ({Mo^V(OH)–O–Mo^{VI}(OH₂)}). This is borne out by the relative energetics of the two isomers, which favour **2b** by some 5 kJ mol⁻¹. The mechanism should expectedly involve a proton relay from the aqua-ligand in the Mo^V centre concerted with the nucleophilic addition of the hydroxo group to CO₂. The ΔG estimate for the **2a** \rightarrow **2b** conversion is further widened to 17 kJ mol⁻¹ in favour of **2b**. The reason for this will be discussed below.

An *in vacuo* fragment analysis shows that the interaction energy in **2b** between the CO_2 molecule and the metalate cluster is -39.3 kJ mol⁻¹ showing a weak interaction between them.

It should be mentioned that throughout the process the local Mo^V character of the linker units is retained throughout the process, *i.e.* the 4d orbital contribution in the HOMO rests mostly in the $4d_{\sigma}$ - $4d_{\sigma}$ interaction localised on the linker unit.

The bicarbonate intermediate undergoes further deprotonation resulting in 2d. The release of a proton from 2d to 2e has a negligible energy barrier (for TS2d, 2 kJ mol⁻¹ in electronic or + 8 kJ mol⁻¹ in free energy). The carbonate intermediate 2e is approximately iso-energetic with its parent bicarbonate 2d but can be easily converted to 2f with lower free energy. The intermediate 2f has one non-coordinated water molecule which stabilises the carbonate ligand *via* hydrogen bonding. The Mocarbonate bond lengths in 2e are 2.392 and 2.329 Å, which are within the error limits of the experimentally determined values.¹²

The higher acidity of the Mo^{VI} centre prompted us to explore another possible mechanistic route in which the direct nucleophilic addition to the CO_2 molecule takes place directly by the hydroxo group coordinated to the Mo^{VI} sites while the vacant coordination site of Mo^V is utilized to stabilize the transition state. A subsequent backflip of bicarbonate or carbonate to the $\{Mo_2^V\}$ -linker would be necessary to be consistent with the final carbonate adduct. The initial steps of this pathway are sketched in blue as shown in Fig. 2. The transition state **TS3** has a similar energy value to **TSw** (the uncatalysed transition state) but intermediate **3a** is not sufficiently stable to be considered a viable route (see ESI,‡ for these additional structures).

There are structural differences between the catalysed and uncatalysed systems namely with regard to each transition state



Fig. 3 Transition state structures for the uncatalysed $CO_2 + 3H_2O$ (**TSw**) and for the catalysed reaction (**TS2b**). Selected distances in Å and angles in degrees.

which are summarised in Fig. 3. The Mayer-Mulliken bond orders³⁰ (MBOs) were also analysed in the present case which reflect the bond strength between the different atoms in any given system. The most striking difference between TSw and TS2b is that the latter is a slightly "lesser bound" transition state with a reaction coordinate (C-O) bond order 0.377 whereas in **TSw** it is 0.557 in line with Hammond's postulate. The \angle (O–C–O) angles are also considerably different between TSw (139°) and TS2b (152°) consistent with a larger electron cloud of the incoming O(-C) and consequently a lower angular distortion of CO_2 . The leaving proton is also more bound to the oxygen atom in TSw (MBO = 0.430) than in TS2b (MBO = 0.250). In the latter case the outgoing proton from the aqua ligand is already at a large distance (1.535 Å, see Fig. 3). Interestingly the Mo^V-OH bond in 2a (α -hydroxo isomer) is stronger (MBO = 0.450) than the Mo^{VI}-OH bond in 2b (MBO = 0.219). This causes a vibrational stiffness in the 2a isomer decreasing its entropy and increasing the free energy difference with respect to 2b.

Finally to predict the potential reactivity of related systems, additional calculations were carried out on model analogues of the { $W_{72}Mo_{60}$ } and { W_{132} } nanocapsules. The former nanocapsule has been characterised³¹ experimentally although the latter is still unknown. Since the key point in the mechanism is the generation of the nucleophilic hydroxo species coordinated to the star-shaped M^{VI} moieties, the relative thermodynamic stability of **2a** and **2b** species was determined. The calculated $\Delta E(2a \rightarrow 2b)$ is -65 kJ mol⁻¹ for the mixed W/Mo oxo-cluster model and -85 kJ mol⁻¹ for the hypothetical full W system. This points to a likely enhanced reactivity of the heavier metal Keplerates in the order { Mo_{132} } < { $W_{72}Mo_{60}$ } < { W_{132} }. These results also indicate that W^V centres are less (Lewis) acidic with respect to W^{VI} than Mo^V in relation to Mo^{VI} .

DFT based calculations enabled unravelling the CO_2 hydration reaction pathway as evidenced involving Compound **1** by considering the known mechanism in the aqueous solution.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

en Access Article. Published on 28 August 2015. Downloaded on 7/24/2025 11:28:11 PM.

Communication

The *in situ* bicarbonate formation, promoted by the Mo^V centres, inside the capsule is kinetically more favourable than direct carbonate uptake from aqueous solution. Three trials were performed in the present work, which can be summarised as follows:

(i) A neutral charge pathway with aqua ligand nucleophilic addition to CO_2 results in a high kinetic barrier $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = +81 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and a product of exceedingly high energy.

(ii) A hydroxo ligand pathway in which the nucleophilic attack takes place on a Mo^{VI} site. This is a high energy process requiring +44 kJ mol⁻¹ at the calculation level to form a product.

(iii) A hydroxo ligand pathway where the hydroxo group in an Mo^{VI} centre will act as a proton acceptor in tandem with the nucleophilic addition of CO₂ to an aqua ligand at the Mo^V sites. The activation energy $\Delta E^{\ddagger} = +36$ kJ mol⁻¹ is the lowest of all the trials, even lower than the uncatalysed hydration reaction, and the ensuing product assembly is 28 kJ mol⁻¹ more stable than the reactant assembly.

Therefore the most plausible mechanism for the formation of Compound 2 will be the latter based on comparison of computed energies with respect to a comparable micro-solvated CO₂ hydration. The resemblance of the mechanism with that operating in the carbonic anhydrase enzyme is remarkable. The subtle differences lie in the first steps of the latter mechanism: the rate-limiting step is the protolysis of the aqua ligand in $(His)_3Zn-OH_2^{3b,4}$ which is then followed by a lower energy nucleophilic addition to CO₂ whereas the Keplerate acts in a concerted single step for both. These results pave the way for defining a new application of Keplerate anionic capsules as CO₂ storage nanodevices.

While the Mo^V sites still remain the active catalytic host in Keplerate catalysis there is a clear involvement of the adjacent Mo^{VI} centres as promoters of Brønsted acidity and proton relay.

This work was funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad (MINECO) through project CTQ2014-52824-R, by the Generalitat de Catalunya project 2014SGR409, and by the ICIQ Foundation. The Severo Ochoa Excellence Accreditation (SEV-2013-0319) and the COST Action CM1203 "Polyoxometalate Chemistry for Molecular Nanoscience (PoCheMoN)" are gratefully acknowledged. NAGB gratefully acknowledges COFUND/Marie Curie action 291787-ICIQ-IPMP for funding. A.M. acknowledges continuous financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the ERC (Brussels) for an Advanced Grant.

Notes and references

- 1 M. Aresta, Carbon Dioxide as Chemical Feedstock, Wiley, 2010.
- 2 M. E. Boot-Handford, J. C. Abanades, E. J. Anthony, M. J. Blunt, S. Brandani, N. Mac Dowell, J. R. Fernández, M.-C. Ferrari, R. Gross, J. P. Hallett, R. S. Haszeldine, P. Heptonstall, A. Lyngfelt, Z. Makuch,

- E. Mangano, R. T. J. Porter, M. Pourkashanian, G. T. Rochelle, N. Shah, J. G. Yao and P. S. Fennell, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 130–189.
- 3 J. J. Vericella, S. E. Baker, J. K. Stolaroff, E. B. Duoss, J. O. Hardin IV, J. Lewicki, E. Glogowski, W. C. Floyd, C. A. Valdez, W. L. Smith, J. H. Satcher Jr, W. L. Bourcier, C. M. Spadaccini, J. A. Lewis and R. D. Aines, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 6124.
- 4 V. Bhola, F. Swalaha, R. Ranjith Kumar, M. Singh and F. Bux, *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **11**, 2103–2118.
- 5 A. Sanna, M. Uibu, G. Caramanna, R. Kuusik and M. M. Maroto-Valer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 8049–8080.
- 6 K. D'Ambrosio, G. De Simone and C. T. Supuran, in *Carbonic Anhydrases as Biocatalysts*, ed. G. De Simone and C. T. Supuran, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2015, pp. 17–30.
- 7 D. N. Silverman and R. McKenna, Acc. Chem. Res., 2007, 40, 669–675.
- 8 C. Greco, V. Fourmond, C. Baffert, P.-h. Wang, S. Dementin, P. Bertrand, M. Bruschi, J. Blumberger, L. de Gioia and C. Leger, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 3543–3573.
- 9 J. K. J. Yong, G. W. Stevens, F. Caruso and S. E. Kentish, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2015, 90, 3-10.
- 10 G. Parkin, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 699-768.
- 11 A. Goeppert, M. Czaun, J.-P. Jones, G. K. Surya Prakash and G. A. Olah, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 7995–8048.
- 12 K. R. Roshan, B. M. Kim, A. C. Kathalikkattil, J. Tharun, Y. S. Won and D. W. Park, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 13664–13667.
- 13 F. Castro-Gómez, G. Salassa, A. W. Kleij and C. Bo, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 6289–6298.
- 14 C. J. Whiteoak, A. H. Henseler, C. Ayats, A. W. Kleij and M. A. Pericàs, *Green Chem.*, 2014, 16, 1552–1559.
- 15 Q. Liu, L. Wu, R. Jackstell and M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 5933.
- 16 A. T. Najafabadi, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2015, 41, 1515–1545.
- 17 S. Garai, E. T. K. Haupt, H. Bögge, A. Merca and A. Müller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 10528–10531.
- 18 A. Müller and P. Gouzerh, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 7431-7463.
- 19 T. Mitra, P. Miró, A.-R. Tomsa, A. Merca, H. Bögge, J. B. Ávalos, J. M. Poblet, C. Bo and A. Müller, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 1844–1852.
- 20 C. Schäffer, A. M. Todea, H. Bögge, O. A. Petina, D. Rehder, E. T. K. Haupt and A. Müller, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2011, 17, 9634–9639.
- 21 A. Müller, E. Krickemeyer, H. Bögge, M. Schmidtmann and F. Peters, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 3360–3363.
- 22 S. Kopilevich, A. Gil, M. Garcia-Ratés, J. Bonet-Ávalos, C. Bo, A. Müller and I. A. Weinstock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 13082–13088.
- 23 C. Besson, S. Schmitz, K. M. Capella, S. Kopilevich, I. A. Weinstock and P. Kögerler, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 9852–9854.
- 24 C. S. Tautermann, A. F. Voegele, T. Loerting, I. Kohl, A. Hallbrucker, E. Mayer and K. R. Liedl, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2002, 8, 66–73.
- 25 M. T. Nguyen, M. H. Matus, V. E. Jackson, V. T. Ngan, J. R. Rustad and D. A. Dixon, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2008, 112, 10386–10398.
- 26 S. Yamabe and N. Kawagishi, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2011, 130, 909-918.
- 27 M. J. Welch, J. F. Lifton and J. A. Seck, J. Phys. Chem., 1969, 73, 3351–3356.
- 28 G. A. Gallet, F. Pietrucci and W. Andreoni, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2012, 8, 4029–4039.
- 29 G. M. Maksimov, Russ. Chem. Rev., 1995, 64, 445.
- 30 I. Mayer, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 1986, 29, 73-84.
- 31 C. Schäffer, A. Merca, H. Bögge, A. M. Todea, M. L. Kistler, T. Liu, R. Thouvenot, P. Gouzerh and A. Müller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 149–153.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

pen Access Article. Published on 28 August 2015. Downloaded on 7/24/2025 11:28:11 PM.