ChemComm

Chemical Communications

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ISSN 1359-7345

COMMUNICATION

Felipe García *et al.*

Steric C–N bond activation on the dimeric macrocycle $[(P(\mu-NR)_2)(\mu-NR)_2]$

Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 16468

Received 20th July 2015,
Accepted 28th August 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06034f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Steric C–N bond activation on the dimeric macrocycle $\{[P(\mu\text{-NR})]_2(\mu\text{-NR})\}_2^\dagger$

Yan X. Shi,^a Rong Z. Liang,^a Katherine A. Martin,^a Daniel G. Star,^b Jesús Díaz,^c Xin Y. Li,^a Rakesh Ganguly^a and Felipe García^{*a}

Dimeric cyclophosphazanes $\{[P(\mu\text{-NR})]_2(\mu\text{-NR})\}_2$ [R = ^tBu (1) and ⁱPr (3)] were oxidized with elemental selenium. During these reactions an unexpected C–N bond cleavage and N–H bond formation occurred. Compound 1 produced $P_4(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_3(\mu\text{-NH})_3\text{Se}_4$ (2) where three ^tBu groups were lost in the form of isobutylene. In contrast, during the oxidation of the less sterically hindered 3, the resulting product, $P_4(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Pr})_5(\mu\text{-NH})\text{Se}_4$ (4), showed only one substituent loss. Theoretical studies confirmed the steric nature of the driving force underlying the different outcomes.

Cyclophosphazanes, with a variety of substituents, have been extensively studied over the past decades.¹ One of their main features is that they can be found in a myriad of topological arrangements spanning from simple dimeric units to cage² and macrocyclic arrangements.^{3–5} Moreover, the facile functionalization of dimeric rings of the type $[ClP(\mu\text{-NR})]_2$ with a variety of nucleophiles⁶ lends these species unprecedented versatility as building blocks towards the synthesis of a wide range of multidentate ligands to transition metals.^{7,8} However, the intrinsic bond lability of these species renders them incapable of withstanding standard reaction conditions required for many simple organic transformations. Furthermore, an increased stability of the P–N framework upon oxidation of the phosphorus center has been reported.⁹ For example, Balakrishna *et al.* reported the synthesis of a series of cyclophosph(v)azanes showing enhanced air and moisture stability.¹⁰ These results prompted us to investigate the stability of cyclic cyclophosphazane cage frameworks of the type $\{[P(\mu\text{-NR})]_2(\mu\text{-NR})\}_2$ [R = ^tBu (1) and ⁱPr (3)] upon oxidation. Herein, we report the unexpected C–N bond

activation that occurs upon oxidation of the dimeric cyclophosphazanes $\{[P(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})\}_2$ (1) with elemental Se. Compound 1, was previously synthesized *via* the condensation reaction between $[ClP(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2$ and $[LiN^t\text{Bu}P(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2$, and was isolated as co-crystals of $[LiN^t\text{Bu}P(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2$ 1 (1 : 1 ratio) by Chivers *et al.*¹¹ Our initial work focused on the purification of 1, which was successfully crystallized in THF at room temperature.

Oxidation of 1 with elemental Se (molar ratio of 1 : 4.4) was performed in refluxing toluene for 72 hours. *In situ* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopic studies were used to monitor the reaction mixture. The expected fully oxidized and symmetrical product, $\{[P(\text{Se})(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})\}_2$ (2'), would display a single resonance within the range of δ 0–50 ppm (*cf.*, 117.2 ppm in 1) consistent with previously reported cyclophosph(v)azane selenide derivatives (*e.g.*, $\{[P(\text{Se})(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2[1,3\text{-(O)}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4]\}_2$ and *cis*- $[\text{Cy}(\text{H})\text{NP}(\text{Se})(\mu\text{-NCy})]_2$, δ 32.7 and δ 35.4 ppm, respectively).^{12,13} To our surprise, after 36 hours of reflux, the *in situ* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum showed a neat incipient set of signals composed of two groups of multiple resonances at $\delta \sim 24$ and ~ 22 ppm, respectively. *In situ* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum taken after refluxing for a further 36 hours confirmed reaction completion. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate dried off under vacuum. Crystallization of the residue in toluene afforded crystals of compound 2 suitable for X-ray crystallographic studies (Scheme 1).

The X-ray solid state structure of $P_4(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_3(\mu\text{-NH})_3\text{Se}_4$ (2) shows a tetraselenide-oxidized product where three *tert*-butyl groups, two on the ring-bridging nitrogen atoms and one on the P_2N_2 ring, were cleaved off as is shown in Fig. 1.

^a School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Division of Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, Nanyang Technological University, 21 Nanyang Link, 637371, Singapore. E-mail: fgarcia@ntu.edu.sg

^b Chemistry Department, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK

^c Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Extremadura, Cáceres 10071, Spain

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data. CCDC 1407770–1407773. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06034f

Scheme 1 Synthesis of compound 2.

Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram for **2**. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [deg]: N(1)–P(2) 1.717(5), N(1)–P(1) 1.682(5), N(2)–P(2) 1.723(5), N(2)–P(1) 1.700(5), N(3)–P(3) 1.716(4), N(3)–P(4) 1.675(5), N(5)–P(2) 1.604(5), N(5)–P(3) 1.613(5), Se(1)–P(1) 2.085(16), Se(2)–P(2) 2.102(16), N(1)–P(1)–Se(1) 121.8(18), N(2)–P(1)–Se(1) 118.6(17), N(6)–P(1)–Se(1) 109.3(17), N(1)–P(2)–Se(2) 115.1(17), N(2)–P(2)–Se(2) 116.3(18), N(5)–P(3)–Se(3) 109.3(18), N(6)–P(4)–Se(4) 107.5(17). Ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The cleavage of N–^tBu bonds resulted in the formation of three new N–H bonds. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of **2** shows two second order multiplets centred at δ 24.68 and 22.08 ppm, respectively, corresponding to the two distinct phosphorus environments present. The three remaining ^tBu groups in **2** can be observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum as two singlet resonances with an intensity ratio of 1:2 at δ 1.31 and 1.62 ppm (*cf.* 1.57 and 1.53 ppm in **1**). Furthermore, the ^1H NMR spectrum displays signals resulting from the newly formed N–H bonds in the form of two broad singlets at δ 5.64 and 4.28 ppm, with an intensity ratio of 2:1, which correspond to the protons on the ring-bridging nitrogen and the P_2N_2 ring, respectively. This observation is also consistent with typical NH absorptions bands observed at 3192 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum.

The mean P=Se bond distance in **2**, 2.0897(16) Å, is comparable with previously reported analogues (*cf.* 2.0913 in *cis*-[(μ -N^tBu)₂(P(Se)-NC₄H₈NMe)₂]). The P–N average bond distances within the P_2N_2 ring and the P–N bridging groups are 1.700(5) and 1.651(5) Å (*cf.* **1**, 1.791 and 1.763 Å, respectively).¹¹ Upon oxidation, the P_2N_2 rings exhibit less acute angles around the nitrogen atoms as seen in analogous oxidized cyclophosphazane derivatives. These observations may be rationalized by the absence of sterically demanding lone pairs and greater inductive effect of the P(v) atoms, and the presence of fewer *tert*-butyl groups.

In order to gain insights into the reaction mechanism, the reaction was performed in a sealed Young's tap NMR tube. The *in situ* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra show the initial formation of a series of partially oxidized intermediates before the formation of compound **2**. During the course of the reaction, the ^1H NMR spectra show the appearance of two new signals with intensity ratio 1:3 at δ 4.76 and 1.64 ppm respectively, as the only detectable by-product. These two resonances were found to be in accordance with ^1H NMR spectrum of isobutylene (Fig. 2, compound **a**).¹⁴

Several unsuccessful attempts were made to isolate the partially oxidized transient species (*i.e.*, **1a**, **1b** and **1c** in Scheme 2, see ESI†); however a singlet at ~ 25 ppm observed during the course of

Fig. 2 ^1H NMR spectrum of the reaction of $\text{P}_4(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_6$ (**1**) with elemental Se in a sealed NMR tube under reflux in toluene- d_8 .

the reaction can be attributed to the fully-oxidized non-cleaved compound **2'**. We observed that only once **2'** is detected in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra the signal set corresponding to isobutylene commence to appear in the ^1H NMR spectra (see ESI†). To further evaluate the influence of stoichiometry and reaction conditions upon the obtainment of **2** and/or observed intermediates in isolatable form, **1** was oxidized in a 1:2.2 ratio under identical experimental conditions. Further to this, the use of alternative solvents such as THF and ACN was explored under reflux and at room temperature in both 1:4.4 and 1:2.2 molar ratios. In all cases a mixture of compound **2** and the di-oxidized derivative (**1b**) were observed in the *in situ* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum after prolonged reaction times, suggesting that the cleaved product **2** is the most thermodynamically stable fully-oxidized product.

Since the P_4N_6 framework contracts upon oxidation (*vide supra*), we presume that steric strain release is the driving force for the observed C–N bond cleavage. In order to validate this observation, the less sterically hindered dimeric phosphazane [$\{\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Pr})\}_2(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Pr})\}_2$ (**3**) was synthesized. In this context, is important to note that frameworks of the type [$\{\text{P}(\mu\text{-NR})\}_2(\mu\text{-NR})\}_2$ are thermodynamically unstable with respect of their adamantoid isomeric forms [$\text{P}_4(\text{NR})_6$]. In the case of compound **1**, isomerization to an adamantoid structure is prevented by its highly sterically encumbered nature.¹⁵ Since compound **3** is less thermodynamically

Scheme 2

Fig. 3 Structural representation of the two species present in **4**. See ESI† for crystallographic data and ORTEP diagram.

stable towards isomerization than **2**, milder reaction conditions were explored, hence, compound **3** was refluxed in ACN for 24 h. The *in situ* $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum showed a mixture of products corresponding to partially oxidized species (*i.e.*, **3^a**, **3^b** and **3^c**), minor products, and a signal pattern for $\text{P}_4(\mu\text{-N}^i\text{Pr})_5(\mu\text{-NH})\text{Se}_4$ (**4**) consistent with one ^iPr group having been cleaved. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ and ^1H NMR spectra show complex signals at 55.25 and 30.65 ppm and at 6.06 and 5.34 ppm (ratio 1:2, respectively) consistent with the proposed structure. The 1:2 ratio found for the NH groups in the ^1H NMR spectrum (*cf.* **2**, 2:1 ratio) equal to the relative ratio of ring-bridging and internal P_2N_2 ring nitrogen atoms present in **3**, indicates that a mixture of both terminal and P_2N_2 mono-cleaved structures are present. This was further corroborated by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies that show that one ^iPr group is cleaved off and is disordered over the six nitrogen atoms present within the P_4N_6 backbone of **4** (Fig. 3).

Similarly to **2**, the mean P–N and P=Se bond distances in **4** are 1.700(10) and 2.075(4) Å, respectively. The symmetric P_2N_2 rings are almost planar (puckered by 4.7°) and are virtually perpendicular to the macrocyclic plane (*ca.* 89°). Unfortunately, compound **4** readily decomposes upon isolation prohibiting any further characterization. Furthermore, despite several attempts, it was not possible to achieve the synthesis of analogues to **1** and **3** containing less bulky substituents (*e.g.*, ^nPr , Et or Me). However, to rationalize the energetics of the reaction and further elucidate the observed C–N bond cleavage, DFT calculations were performed. An assessment of the relative thermodynamic stabilities the compounds can be obtained by directly comparing the enthalpies of reactants and products that share the same degree of oxidation (*i.e.*, **2'** and **4'** vs. **2** and **4**, respectively). Our studies indicate that in the case of ^tBu substituents, the loss of three substituents is largely favoured ($-82.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) followed by the instance in which two groups are cleaved ($-81.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). In contrast, for the less bulky ^iPr substituent only the C–N bond is energetically favoured ($-0.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). To further illustrate the steric nature of the observed C–N bond activation we performed parallel studies for the hypothetical ^nPr substituted analogue. In this case, the loss of any number of substituents would result in an overall energy cost making it the most thermodynamically unfavourable of modelled systems. Considering solely thermodynamic control over the reaction products we can establish that the C–N bond cleavage is due to steric factors and is generated to ease tensions within the ring upon oxidation of the phosphorus centres. The DFT calculated trends are in agreement with the experimental data obtained for compounds

2 and **4** which underwent C–N cleavage of three and one substituents respectively (see ESI†).

Furthermore, geometry optimizations of the fully oxidized uncleaved product **2'** and **4'**, reveal noticeable differences between the C–N bond distances for internal P_2N_2 ring and bridging nitrogen atoms (1.53 and 1.60 Å for **2'**; 1.52 and 1.50 Å for **2'**; respectively). Whilst bond distances within **1** and **3** follow the same trend, there is a greater discrepancy between P_2N_2 ring and bridging C–N bond lengths for the oxidized products than their unoxidized counterparts (0.07 Å in **2** versus 0.04 Å in **1**). Calculation of the energy differences associated with the cleavage of the nitrogen substituent at each of these two distinct positions showed that, as expected, the loss of substituents in bridging positions is more favourable than those bonded directly to the P_2N_2 rings (see ESI†). Our theoretical studies only account for relative stabilities of compounds **2** and **4** (and their subsequent cleavages) when compared with their corresponding uncleaved species **2'** and **4'**. We acknowledge that this approach does not account for other important factors involved in this process such as entropy or thermal conditions of the reaction, Gibbs energies, *etc.* Such studies are currently underway. However, for the purpose of this work, our approach provided results that were consistent with our experimental observations with a feasible computational cost.

In summary, we have shown that the oxidation reaction of $[\{\text{P}(\mu\text{-NR})\}_2(\mu\text{-NR})]_2$, $\text{R} = \mathbf{1}$ (^tBu) and **3** (^iPr), with elemental Se prompts the activation of C–N bonds due to ring contraction resulting in their cleavage under mild experimental conditions. Our experimental and theoretical studies demonstrate that steric factors, most probably steric strain release, play a crucial role in the activation of the C–N bonds. The work reported here highlights the potential of steric bond activation and its implications with a broader scope for application to main group frameworks in general. The rational design of synthetic approaches, which fully exploit this approach in a wider range of main group frameworks, is an exciting challenge in the area of metal-free bond activation.

We would like to thank NTU (grant number: M4080552) for financial support. J. D. thanks COMPUTAEX for granting access to LUSITANIA supercomputing facilities.

Notes and references

- (a) T. Roth, H. Wadepohl, D. S. Wright and L. H. Gade, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 13823–13837; (b) K. C. K. Swamy, G. Gangadhararao, V. Srinivas, K. N. N. Bhuvan, E. Balaraman and M. Chakravarty, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **372**, 374–382; (c) M. S. Balakrishna, D. Suresh and J. T. Mague, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **372**, 259–265; (d) M. S. Balakrishna, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2010, **695**, 925–936.
- (a) A. Bashall, E. L. Doyle, F. Garcia, G. T. Lawson, D. J. Linton, D. Moncrieff, M. McPartlin and A. D. Woods, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 5723–5731; (b) F. Garcia, R. A. Kowenicki, L. Riera and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 2495–2496.
- P. Kommana and K. C. K. Swamy, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4384–4385.
- (a) K. W. Muir and J. F. Nixon, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1971, 1405–1406; (b) H. J. Chen, R. C. Haltiwanger, T. G. Hill, M. L. Thompson, D. E. Coons and A. D. Norman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 4725–4730; (c) P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert and M. Layh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, **529**, 243–255.
- (a) F. Garcia, R. A. Kowenicki, I. Kuzu, L. Riera, M. McPartlin and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 2904–2909; (b) F. Dodds, F. Garcia, R. A. Kowenicki, M. McPartlin, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Chem.*

- Commun.*, 2005, 3733–3735; (c) F. Dodds, F. Garcia, R. A. Kowenicki, M. McPartlin, L. Riera, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 5041–5043; (d) F. Dodds, F. Garcia, R. A. Kowenicki, S. P. Parsons, M. McPartlin and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 4235–4243; (e) A. Bashall, E. L. Doyle, C. Tube, S. J. Kidd, M. McPartlin, A. D. Woods and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2542–2543; (f) F. García, R. A. Kowenicki, I. Kuzu, M. McPartlin, L. Riera and D. S. Wright, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1060–1062.
- 6 (a) R. Keat, D. S. Rycroft and D. G. Thompson, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1979, 1224–1230; (b) G. S. Ananthnag, S. Kuntavalli, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 5919–5930; (c) A. D. Woods and M. McPartlin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 90–93; (d) M. Rastätter, P. W. Roesky, D. Gudat, G. B. Deacon and P. C. Junk, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2007, 13, 7410–7415; (e) R. Kuzora, A. Schulz, A. Villinger and R. Wustrack, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 9304–9311; (f) Z. Zak and T. Glowiak, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 1991, 47, 445–446.
- 7 (a) A. Bashall, A. D. Bond, E. L. Doyle, F. García, S. Kidd, G. T. Lawson, M. C. Parry, M. McPartlin, A. D. Woods and D. S. Wright, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2002, 8, 3377–3385; (b) O. J. Scherer, R. Anselmann, R. T. Paine and S. Karthikeyan, *Inorganic Syntheses*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2007, pp. 7–12; (c) M. Vijulatha, K. C. K. Swamy, J. J. Vittal and L. L. Koh, *Polyhedron*, 1999, 18, 2249–2254; (d) K. V. Axenov, V. V. Kotov, M. Klinga, M. Leskelä and T. Repo, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 695–706.
- 8 M. S. Balakrishna, R. Venkateswaran and J. T. Mague, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 1398–1406.
- 9 Y. X. Shi, R. Z. Liang, K. A. Martin, N. Weston, S. Gonzalez-Calera, R. Ganguly, Y. Li, Y. Lu, A. J. M. Ribeiro, M. J. Ramos, P. A. Fernandes and F. Garcia, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 6423–6432.
- 10 (a) A. Nordheider, T. Chivers, R. Thirumoorathi, I. Vargas-Baca and J. D. Woollins, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 6346–6348; (b) P. Chandrasekaran, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 2264–2272; (c) M. S. Balakrishna, D. Suresh and J. T. Mague, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 4201–4210; (d) T. Chivers, M. Krahn, M. Parvez and G. Schatte, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 40, 2547–2553; (e) P. Kilian, P. Pazdera, J. Marek, J. Novosad and J. Touzin, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1998, 624, 1497–1502.
- 11 J. K. Brask, T. Chivers, M. L. Krahn and M. Parvez, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 38, 290–295.
- 12 G. S. Ananthnag, S. Kuntavalli, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 5919–5930.
- 13 P. Chandrasekaran, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 2264–2272.
- 14 A. J. Kell, A. Alizadeh, L. Yang and M. S. Workentin, *Langmuir*, 2005, 21, 9741–9746.
- 15 (a) O. J. Scherer, K. Andres, C. Krüger, Y.-H. Tsay and G. Wolmerhäuser, *Angew. Chem.*, 1980, 92, 563–564 (*Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1980, 19, 571–572); (b) T. G. Hill, R. C. Haltiwanger, M. L. Thompson, S. A. Katz and A. D. Norman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, 33, 1770–1777.