

Showcasing research from the group of Guillaume Vives, Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France.

Mechanical switching of magnetic interaction by tweezers-type complex

An original approach to control magnetic interaction by using a mechanical motion is presented. The conversion from an open to a closed conformation of a $\text{terpy}(\text{Cu-salphen})_2$ complex triggers a large distance change between the two Cu(II) centers causing a switch from a paramagnetic to an antiferromagnetically coupled system.

As featured in:



See Bernold Hasenknopf,
Guillaume Vives *et al.*,
Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 12916.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Registered charity number: 207890



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 12916

Received 16th June 2015,
Accepted 7th July 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc04980f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Mechanical switching of magnetic interaction by tweezers-type complex†

Benjamin Doistau,^{ab} Jean-Louis Cantin,^c Lise-Marie Chamoreau,^{ab}
Valérie Marvaud,^{ab} Bernold Hasenknopf^{*ab} and Guillaume Vives^{*ab}

A control of the interaction between two spin centers was achieved by using a mechanical motion in a terpy(Cu–salphen)₂ complex. Upon coordination a conformation change and switching from a paramagnetic to an antiferromagnetically coupled system was observed by EPR and SQUID measurements.

The development of the field of molecular machines¹ has enabled a fine control of mechanical motion at the molecular scale. Inspired by biological systems or macroscopic counterparts a large variety of molecular machines have been designed by synthetic chemists.² However, using the motion in these machines to control physical properties at the molecular level remains a challenge. In particular, controlling magnetic interaction is of importance for molecular-scale information processing and memory devices.³ While temperature or light have been used to switch the total spin of a molecule in spin crossover,⁴ photomagnetic⁵ or photochromic⁶ systems, controlling magnetic interactions by a mechanical motion remains largely unexplored. In the latter approach the external stimulus is not focused directly on the electronic state of the site responsible for the magnetic properties but on a remote site leading to a conformational rearrangement that results in modification of magnetic interactions. Thus, the two processes of switching and property change are distinguished, so that a given conformational switch can be combined with different functional units. To the best of our knowledge, only few such examples are reported in the literature. An electronic rearrangement from a diamagnetic to a paramagnetic state was obtained by Herges *et al.* using a photo-controlled

coordination of a tethered pyridine ligand in a Ni-porphyrine based complex.⁷ Reversible through space control of magnetic interaction has been described by Kaneko *et al.* using polymer folding⁸ and by Feringa *et al.* using a photoswitchable molecular motor functionalized by TEMPO radical moieties.⁹ Molecular tweezers¹⁰ that have already been used for the control of luminescence properties and molecular recognition¹¹ are an alternative architecture to achieve this objective. In particular, we have recently developed switchable molecular tweezers¹² based on a terpyridine ligand substituted by metal–salphen complexes that can switch upon metal coordination from a “W” shaped open form to a “U” closed form bringing the two salphen moieties in close spatial proximity (Fig. 1). We propose to use this modular design to control the magnetic interaction between paramagnetic Cu(II)–salphen ($d^9 S = 1/2$) complexes *via* a mechanical motion. This system based on metal coordination is promising as it presents benefits over photochemical switches, giving total conversion and offering thermal stability.

Herein we describe a dinuclear terpy(Cu–salphen)₂ tweezer-type complex composed of a terpyridine ligand as switching unit and two copper salphen spin bearing moieties (Fig. 1). We expect taking advantage of the tunable distance to achieve a reversible control of magnetic interaction between two spins by exchange and dipolar interactions. The synthesis, switching and magnetic studies by EPR and SQUID of the tweezers are reported here.




Fig. 1 Principle of molecular tweezers **1** mechanical motion.

^a Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 8232, Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, 4 place Jussieu, 75005, Paris, France.

E-mail: bernold.hasenknopf@upmc.fr, guillaume.vives@upmc.fr

^b CNRS, UMR 8232, Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, 4 place Jussieu, 75005, Paris, France

^c Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, INSP, 4 place Jussieu, 75005, Paris, France

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures, spectroscopic, titration and crystallographic data. CCDC 1052163–1052165. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5cc04980f






Fig. 2 Crystal structure of open tweezers **1** side and top view.

The tweezers **1** were synthesized in 4 steps following a building block strategy using as a key step a double Sonogashira coupling between alkyne substituted Cu-salphen moieties and 6,6'' dibromoterpripyridine. Complete synthesis and characterizations are detailed in the ESI†. Open tweezers **1** were characterized by mass spectrometry and X-ray diffraction on single crystals obtained by slow solvent evaporation (Fig. 2). Tweezers **1** crystallize in an orthorhombic space group *Pbcn* with a unit cell of 8831.0(2) Å³ (*a* = 11.3594(2) Å, *b* = 40.7108(6) Å, *c* = 19.0962(3) Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$). The molecule adopts an almost planar geometry with a small torsion angle between the Cu-salphen planes of 19°. The copper atoms are in a square planar geometry with average Cu-O and Cu-N distances of respectively 1.898(4) and 1.940(4) Å characteristic of Cu-salen complexes.¹³ The terpyridine adopts an *s-trans* conformation as expected from the repulsion between the nitrogen lone pairs leading to a large intramolecular Cu-Cu distance of 21.39 Å.

The tweezers mechanical motion of closing and opening was investigated by UV-Visible spectroscopy in solution. Titration of **1** by Zn(ClO₄)₂ (Fig. 3) showed a single step evolution until addition of one equivalent of zinc with isosbestic points (at 356, 398, and 474 nm), indicating the exclusive formation of the [Zn(**1**)]²⁺ complex. Titration with ZnCl₂ displayed the same behavior demonstrating no dependency on the counter ions (Fig. S2, ESI†). The 1:1 stoichiometry of the [Zn(**1**)]²⁺ complex was confirmed by mass spectrometry with a signal at *m/z* 1582.52 corresponding to




Fig. 3 (a) UV-Vis titration of tweezers **1** (5.0×10^{-6} mol L⁻¹) with Zn(ClO₄)₂ in CHCl₃. (b) Absorption at 530 nm and fitting with a 1:1 binding model.

[Zn(**1**)Cl]⁺ (Fig. S4, ESI†). The titration curves were fitted by a 1:1 binding model and revealed a strong binding constant ($\log K > 8$).¹⁴

The structure of the closed tweezers was determined in the solid state by X-ray diffraction on single crystal of [Zn(**1**)Cl]₂ complex (Fig. 4). [Zn(**1**)Cl]₂ crystallizes in a monoclinic space group *C2/c* in a unit cell of 19942.9(14) Å³ (*a* = 69.071(3) Å, *b* = 14.7327(6) Å, *c* = 19.7966(8) Å, $\alpha = \gamma = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 98.123(2)^\circ$). The molecule adopts a helical folded geometry with the two *P* and *M* enantiomers in the crystal as a racemate, like previously observed for platinum analogues.¹² Since Zn(II) and Cu(II) have too similar electron density to be unambiguously attributed by XRD, the assignment was confirmed by analysis of the geometry and bond distances. Indeed, the square planar geometry and average M-O and M-N distances in the M-salphen units, of 1.891(3) and 1.938(3) Å respectively, are characteristic of Cu-salphen,¹³ and very different from Zn-O (1.95 Å) and Zn-N (2.07 Å) distances and pyramidal geometry described in literature for Zn-salphen complexes.¹⁵ The zinc is penta-coordinated to the three nitrogen atoms of terpy and two chlorides that complete the coordination sphere. The intramolecular Cu-Cu distance of 4.03 Å is drastically shorter than in the open form and should lead to significant modification in the magnetic interaction between the two unpaired electrons of the copper ions.

Despite such a high complexation constant with zinc, a reopening was obtained without modification of the Cu complexation by addition of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren) as competitive ligand (Fig. S3, ESI†). Indeed the open tweezers spectrum was recovered after addition of around one equivalent of tren, with the same isosbestic points as for the closing, demonstrating the reversibility of the mechanical motion. The addition of an excess of tren did not lead to copper decoordination, indicating the larger stability of Cu-salphen compared to Zn-terpy or Cu-tren complexes. This is consistent with no observed scrambling between zinc and copper during the tweezers closing.

Having demonstrated the reversible mechanical motion, the metal-metal interactions between the two paramagnetic centers in the open and closed form were first investigated by EPR spectroscopy in frozen solution. Fig. 5a shows the EPR spectrum of the open tweezers **1** in CHCl₃, which is characteristic




Fig. 4 Crystal structure of closed tweezers [Zn(**1**)Cl]₂.




Fig. 5 X-band EPR spectrum of (a) open tweezers **1** and (b) closed tweezers $[\text{Zn}(\mathbf{1})](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ in frozen solution of CHCl_3 ($1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$) at 5 K (black); theoretical fit (red).

of an electronic spin 1/2 located on a copper atom in an axial symmetry.¹⁶ The spectrum is composed of an intense singlet corresponding to the perpendicular transition, and less intense quadruplet corresponding to the parallel transition with a hyperfine coupling with copper ($I = 3/2$) as determined from simulation (Table 1). This spectrum demonstrates the absence of coupling interactions in the open tweezers **1** which is expected from the large intramolecular Cu–Cu distance observed in the crystal structure.

However, the EPR spectrum of the tweezers closed with Zn^{2+} is drastically different (Fig. 5b). A doublet-like signal is observed, which is typical for a triplet state in axial geometry as confirmed by the presence of the half-field forbidden transition $\Delta M_s = \pm 2$ (Fig. S5, ESI†). This zero field splitting results from a dipolar coupling interaction between the two 1/2 spins located on each copper(II) ion in close spatial proximity.^{16b,17} In particular, four transitions are observed (Fig. S5, ESI†) indicating a predominant Zeeman effect ($B \gg h\nu$): a small septuplet corresponding to a parallel transition with hyperfine coupling with the 2 Cu; two unstructured perpendicular transitions; and a small septuplet parallel transition. This spectral analysis confirmed that the tweezers closing results in a through space exchange interaction leading to the formation of two new triplet and singlet levels. Simulation of the spectra permitted the determination of the hyperfine coupling constants (Table 1), as well as the zero field splitting parameter ($D = 314.5 \text{ G}$). Since the zero field splitting originates from a dipolar interaction that strongly depends on the spin–spin distance, the D value was utilized to evaluate the distance between the two interacting paramagnetic metal ions (eqn (1) in ESI†).¹⁸ The copper–copper intramolecular distance

Table 1 EPR data in CHCl_3 at 5 K

| Compound | g_{\perp} | g_{\parallel} | Cu | | N | | D^a | E^a |
|---|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| | | | A_{\perp}^a | A_{\parallel}^a | A_{\perp}^a | A_{\parallel}^a | | |
| 1 | 2.04 | 2.21 | 10 | 600 | 20 | 110 | — | — |
| $[\text{Zn}(\mathbf{1})](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ | 2.04 | 2.21 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 80 | 880 | 70 |

^a In MHz.




Fig. 6 (a) $\chi T = f(T)$ of open tweezers **1** (blue), and closed tweezers $[\text{Zn}(\mathbf{1})]\text{Cl}_2$ (red), in the solid state; experimental points, and theoretical fit (plain); (b) energy level diagram of the spin system.

was determined to be $\sim 4.4 \text{ \AA}$, which is in good agreement with the one measured in the solid state (4.0 \AA). In summary the close spatial proximity between the Cu centers generates a $S = 1$ spin state by through space magnetic coupling showing the interest of this approach to control magnetic interaction by the mechanical motion.

Since the spin ground state and the nature of the exchange coupling interaction is not directly accessible from the EPR spectra, SQUID experiments were carried out (Fig. 6a). The χT versus T curve for open tweezers **1** is characteristic of a paramagnetic system corresponding to non-interacting spins 1/2. χT is constant over a large temperature range, with an experimental value of $0.78 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ in agreement with two isolated Cu(II) (theoretical value $0.75 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$). Even if in the crystal structure the stacking results in a short intermolecular Cu–Cu distance (4.06 \AA), the tilted conformation ($\sim 45^\circ$ dihedral angle) between the two neighboring Cu–salphen might not allow the overlap between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ magnetic orbitals leading to the observed paramagnetic behavior. As the measurement was performed on a powder, the stacking of neighboring complexes might also be negligible. The $\chi T = f(T)$ data for single crystals of the closed tweezers $[\text{Zn}(\mathbf{1})]\text{Cl}_2$ shows a decrease starting below 15 K that reveals a weak antiferromagnetic coupling between the metallic centers. Since the intramolecular Cu–Cu distance (4.03 \AA) is much shorter than the intermolecular one (9.5 \AA) in the crystal structure, this exchange interaction can be attributed to an antiferromagnetic intramolecular interaction in a dinuclear copper complex. In this case, the stacked conformation between the two Cu–salphen allows a better overlap between the magnetic orbitals leading to an antiferromagnetic interaction. The experimental data were fitted by using the Heisenberg–Dirac–Van Vleck Hamiltonian and the exchange coupling value (J) was determined to be -1.4 cm^{-1} . Thus the ground state of the closed tweezers is a singlet and the EPR signal correspond to the excited triplet state thermally populated even at low temperature due to the low J value (Fig. 6b).

In conclusion, the control of the exchange interaction between two paramagnetic centers was achieved in solution by using a mechanical motion. The choice of a chemical stimulus instead of light or temperature opens the perspective to include this



switch in a multicomponent system with chemical messengers. Upon tweezers closing the two isolated 1/2 spins located on each Cu(II) become antiferromagnetically coupled through space leading to a singlet ground state. This system is a rare example of mechano-induced modification of magnetic properties. Conversion between both states is quantitative, and each state is stable at room temperature due to the high binding constant of the terpyridine moiety. These are notable features of such a mechanical switch with a chemical stimulus. Future work will focus on changing the nature of the metal ion and intercalating bridging ligands. This is expected to yield allosteric control of substrate binding and tunable coupling interactions through variation of the bridging ligand.

BD thanks the Ecole Normale Supérieure de Cachan for a PhD Fellowship. Dr Sébastien Blanchard is warmly acknowledged for fruitful discussions. Geoffrey Gontard is thanked for the XRD measurements. Financial support from the ANR Switch (2010-Blan-712) and ANR E-storic (14-CE05-0002) are acknowledged.

Notes and references

- (a) E. R. Kay, D. A. Leigh and F. Zerbetto, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 72–191; (b) V. Balzani, A. Credi, F. M. Raymo and J. F. Stoddart, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 3348–3391; (c) V. Balzani, M. Venturi and A. Credi, *Molecular Devices and Machines: Concepts and Perspectives for the Nanoworld*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008.
- (a) R. Eelkema, M. M. Pollard, J. Vicario, N. Katsonis, B. S. Ramon, C. W. M. Bastiaansen, D. J. Broer and B. L. Feringa, *Nature*, 2006, **440**, 163; (b) G. Vives and J. M. Tour, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 473–487; (c) U. G. E. Perera, F. Ample, H. Kersell, Y. Zhang, G. Vives, J. Echeverria, M. Grisolia, G. Rapenne, C. Joachim and S. W. Hla, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 46–51; (d) T. Kudernac, N. Ruangsapapichat, M. Parschau, B. Macia, N. Katsonis, S. R. Harutyunyan, K. H. Ernst and B. L. Feringa, *Nature*, 2011, **479**, 208–211; (e) B. Lewandowski, G. De Bo, J. W. Ward, M. Papmeyer, S. Kuschel, M. J. Aldegunde, P. M. E. Gramlich, D. Heckmann, S. M. Goldup, D. M. D'Souza, A. E. Fernandes and D. A. Leigh, *Science*, 2013, **339**, 189–193; (f) N. Zigon, A. Guenet, E. Graf and M. W. Hosseini, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 3637–3639.
- G. A. Timco, S. Carretta, F. Troiani, F. Tuna, R. J. Pritchard, C. A. Muryn, E. J. L. McInnes, A. Ghirri, A. Candini, P. Santini, G. Amoretti, M. Affronte and R. E. P. Winpenny, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2009, **4**, 173–178.
- (a) P. Gutlich, Y. Garcia and H. A. Goodwin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2000, **29**, 419–427; (b) P. Güthlich, A. Hauser and H. Spiering, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1994, **33**, 2024–2054; (c) S. Bonnet, G. Molnár, J. Sanchez Costa, M. A. Siegler, A. L. Spek, A. Bousseksou, W.-T. Fu, P. Gamez and J. Reedijk, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1123–1136.
- (a) O. Sato, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2003, **36**, 692–700; (b) S. Ohkoshi and H. Tokoro, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 1749–1758; (c) A. Bleuzen, V. Marvaud, C. Mathoniere, B. Sieklucka and M. Verdager, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 3453–3466; (d) N. Bridonneau, J. Long, J. L. Cantin, J. von Bardeleben, S. Pillet, E. E. Bendeif, D. Aravena, E. Ruiz and V. Marvaud, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 8229–8232.
- (a) M. Irie, T. Fukaminato, K. Matsuda and S. Kobatake, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 12174–12277; (b) K. Matsuda and M. Irie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 7195–7201; (c) K. Takayama, K. Matsuda and M. Irie, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 5605–5609; (d) G. Cosquer, B. K. Breedlove and M. Yamashita, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 2936–2942.
- S. Venkataramani, U. Jana, M. Dommaschk, F. D. Sönnichsen, F. Tuzek and R. Herges, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 445–448.
- T. Kaneko, H. Abe, M. Teraguchi and T. Aoki, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 2583–2589.
- J. Wang, L. Hou, W. R. Browne and B. L. Feringa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 8162–8164.
- (a) J. Leblond and A. Petitjean, *ChemPhysChem*, 2011, **12**, 1043–1051; (b) M. Hardouin-Lerouge, P. Hudhomme and M. Salle, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 30–43; (c) F.-G. Klärner and B. Kahlert, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2003, **36**, 919–932; (d) S. Zimmerman, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1993, **165**, 71–102.
- (a) Y. Tanaka, K. M.-C. Wong and V. W.-W. Yam, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 14117–14120; (b) M. Barboiu, L. Prodi, M. Montalti, N. Zaccaroni, N. Kyritsakas and J.-M. Lehn, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 2953–2959; (c) J. Leblond, H. Gao, A. Petitjean and J.-C. Leroux, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8544–8545; (d) M. Linke-Schaetz, C. E. Anson, A. K. Powell, G. Buth, E. Palomares, J. D. Durrant, T. S. Balaban and J.-M. Lehn, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 1931–1940; (e) F. Marchioni, A. Juris, M. Lobert, U. P. Seelbach, B. Kahlert and F.-G. Klärner, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 780–784; (f) A. Petitjean and J.-M. Lehn, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2007, **360**, 849–856.
- (a) B. Doistau, A. Tron, S. A. Denisov, G. Jonusauskas, N. D. McClenaghan, G. Gontard, V. Marvaud, B. Hasenknopf and G. Vives, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 15799–15807; (b) B. Doistau, C. Rossi-Gendron, A. Tron, N. D. McClenaghan, L.-M. Chamoreau, B. Hasenknopf and G. Vives, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 8543–8551.
- (a) F. Thomas, O. Jarjays, C. Duboc, C. Philouze, E. Saint-Aman and J.-L. Pierre, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 2662–2669; (b) M. J. MacLachlan, M. K. Park and L. K. Thompson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 5492–5499.
- P. Thordarson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 1305–1323.
- (a) A. L. Singer and D. A. Atwood, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **277**, 157–162; (b) E. C. Escudero-Adán, J. Benet-Buchholz and A. W. Kleij, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 4233–4237; (c) A. Decortes, M. Martinez Belmonte, J. Benet-Buchholz and A. W. Kleij, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 4580–4582.
- (a) K. Butsch, T. Günther, A. Klein, K. Stirnat, A. Berkessel and J. Neudörfl, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **394**, 237–246; (b) G. Verquin, G. Fontaine, E. Abi-Aad, E. Zhilinskaya, A. Aboukais and J.-L. Bernier, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2007, **86**, 272–278; (c) I. Caretti, E. Carter, I. A. Fallis, D. M. Murphy and S. Van Doorslaer, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 20427–20434; (d) S. Deshpande, D. Srinivas and P. Ratnasamy, *J. Catal.*, 1999, **188**, 261–269; (e) D. M. Murphy, I. Caretti, E. Carter, I. A. Fallis, M. C. Göbel, J. Landon, S. V. Doorslaer and D. J. Willock, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 6944–6955; (f) M. M. Bhadbhade and D. Srinivas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 6122–6130.
- (a) G. E. Selyutin, A. A. Shklyav and A. M. Shul'ga, *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci.*, 1985, **34**, 1218–1223; (b) R. Chitta, L. M. Rogers, A. Wanklyn, P. A. Karr, P. K. Kahol, M. E. Zandler and F. D'Souza, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 6969–6978; (c) C. J. Chang, E. A. Baker, B. J. Pistorio, Y. Deng, Z.-H. Loh, S. E. Miller, S. D. Carpenter and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 3102–3109.
- (a) M. S. Anders Lund and S. Shimada, *Principles and Applications of ESR Spectroscopy*, Springer, Netherlands, 2011; (b) S. S. Eaton, K. M. More, B. M. Sawant and G. R. Eaton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 6560–6567.

