ChemComm

Enantio- and diastereoselective synthesis of γ-amino alcohols†

Jorge M. M. Verkade,‡[a] Peter J. L. M. Quaedflieg,[b] Gerard K. M. Verzijl,[b]
Laurent Lefort,[b] Floris L. van Delft,‡[a] Johannes G. de Vries[c] and
Floris P. J. T. Rutjes*[a]

The γ-amino alcohol structural motif is often encountered in drugs and natural products. We developed two complementary catalytic diastereoselective methods for the synthesis of *N*-PMP-protected γ-amino alcohols from the corresponding ketones. The *anti*-products were obtained through Ir-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation, the *syn*-products *via* Rh-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation.

The growing number of enantio- and diastereomerically pure drug candidates has driven the advancement of stereoselective synthetic strategies.[1,2] The γ-amino alcohol moiety is often encountered in biologically relevant molecules and hence, general procedures are desired to selectively prepare all of its possible diastereoisomers. Examples of molecules containing the γ-amino alcohol structural motif include the drugs Ritonavir and Lopinavir (both anti-HIV)[3] and several 4-hydroxyleucine derivatives (anti-obesity) (Fig. 1).[4]

Despite the abundance of the γ-amino alcohol structure in synthetically relevant targets, relatively few generally applicable stereoselective methods are available for the construction of such a moiety. Undoubtedly the most straightforward route involves diastereoselective reduction of a β-amino ketone Mannich product by employing a suitable hydride donor. Besides several methods for the reduction of α-chiral β-amino ketones,[5–7] a number of reports on the stoichiometric reduction of β-branched β-amino ketones (with a methylene adjacent to the amine function) have been disclosed.[8–11] These include the diastereoselective

Fig. 1 Pharmaceutically relevant γ-amino alcohols.

reduction of *N*-sulfonyl-protected γ-hydroxyimines,[12] *syn*-selective reductive amination of β-hydroxy ketones with *p*-anisidine and polymethylhydrosiloxane,[13] and dynamic kinetic resolution of *N*-Boc-protected γ-amino ketones.[14] As an alternative, amino alcohols can be prepared through transition metal-catalyzed hydrogenation of β-amino ketones,[15] although these methodologies have more generally been reported for the hydrogenation of substances without β-chirality.[16]

We envisaged that robust enantioselective access to γ-amino alcohols may proceed *via* a proline-catalyzed Mannich reaction to yield *N*-PMP-protected amino ketones, diastereoselective reduction of the keto function, and subsequent removal of the PMP protecting group.[17] In this report, we describe that *N*-PMP-protected β-amino ketones can be efficiently converted into each of the corresponding *syn*- and *anti*-γ-amino alcohols in a highly diastereoselective manner. Both hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation have found many applications in stereoselective reduction of alkynes, alkenes, imines and ketones.[18] Surprisingly, no literature precedence on the diastereoselective (transfer) hydrogenation of chiral β-amino ketones existed at the start of our research, while on the other hand β-hydroxy ketones have shown to be suitable hydrogenation substrates.[19,20] In transfer hydrogenations, 2-propanol or a formic acid/triethyl-amine mixture is used as the source of hydrogen, which is reversibly transferred to the substrate molecule. Due to this reversibility, a careful analysis of the reaction progress and selectivity is required. We started our investigations on asymmetric

[a] *Institute for Molecules and Materials, Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, NL-6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands. E-mail: f.rutjes@science.ru.nl*

[b] *Innovative Synthesis, DSM ChemTech R&D B.V., PO Box 18, NL-6160 MD Geleen, The Netherlands*

[c] *Leibniz-Institut für Katalyse, Universität Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Strasse 29a, 18059 Rostock, Germany*

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental data, characterization data and crystal data and structure refinements. CCDC 1400959. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c5cc04445f

‡ Present address: Synaffix BV, Pivot Park, Molenstraat 110, 5342 CC Oss, The Netherlands.

Scheme 1 Ru/(R,R)-TsDPEN-catalyzed ATH of ketone **1**.

transfer hydrogenation (ATH) of *N*-PMP-protected β-amino ketone **1**.

Using the well-established Ru/TsDPEN complex **3** as the catalyst, we observed a clean conversion into the desired γ-amino alcohols with a moderate dr (80:20), which irrespective of the existing chiral center depended on the catalyst chirality (Scheme 1). Encouraged by these initial results we also explored the use of iridium-based ATH catalysts. We prepared catalysts **4** by heating a solution of a suitable iridium precursor (*i.e.* [IrCp*Cl$_2$]$_2$) and an amino acid amide in the presence of an inorganic base (*e.g.* K$_2$CO$_3$) according to a modified protocol disclosed by Verzijl.[21] The inorganic base was removed by filtration to suppress possible elimination of *p*-anisidine prior to reduction. Preferably, α,α-disubstituted amino acids were employed to avoid the risk of catalyst racemization.

To our satisfaction, exposure of benchmark substrate **1** to these catalysts resulted in high diastereoselectivities. When D-α-Me-phenylglycine amide was used as the ligand, conversion of (*S*)-**1** into the corresponding *anti*-amino alcohol **2** proceeded in a diastereomeric ratio of 96:4 (Table 1, entry 1), while the (*R*)-aminoketone led to a 1:1 formation of amino alcohols (entry 2). This implies that during iridium-catalyzed reduction, the existing chiral center has a large impact on the stereochemical outcome of the transfer hydrogenation. The influence of the preexisting chirality in terms of a match and mismatch with the ligand was confirmed by employing achiral Aib-NH$_2$ as the ligand (entry 3). In the presence of this achiral catalyst, a diastereomeric ratio of 84:16 was observed for the products. Replacing substituent R^2 of catalyst **4a** with a Bn group (*i.e.* **4d**)

Table 2 Preparative ATH of β-amino ketones[a]

Entry	sm	R^1	pr	dr[b]	Yield[c]
1	(*S*)-**1**	3,4-(MeO)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$	**2**	96:4	100
2	(*S*)-**6**	4-FC$_5$H$_4$	**10**	95:5	88
3	(*S*)-**7**	2-MeC$_6$H$_4$	**11**	97:3	76
4	(*R*)-**8**	iBu	**12**	76:24[d]	99
5	(*S*)-**9**	CO$_2$Et	**13**	79:21	100

[a] Reaction conditions: ketone (1.0 equiv.), (IrCp*Cl$_2$)$_2$ (0.02 equiv.), α-Me-phenylglycine-NH$_2$ (0.20 equiv.), K$_2$CO$_3$ (3 equiv.), 2-propanol, rt, 1.5–20 h. [b] (2*R*,4*S*):(2*S*,4*S*) (determined by HPLC). [c] Isolated yield. [d] Absolute configuration = (2*R*,4*R*):(2*S*,4*R*).

resulted in decreased selectivity (entry 4), whereas nearly complete selectivity was obtained with the same catalyst **4d** for the (*R*)-substrate (entry 5). The combination of phenyl and benzyl substituents showed again a clear match (entry 6, diastereoselectivity of 0:100) and mismatch (entry 7).

Although slightly better results were obtained with α-benzylated phenylglycinamide as the ligand, we explored the substrate scope of the stereoselective ATH with the α-methyl-α-phenyl substituted glycinamide-based catalyst (**4a**) because of its straightforward accessibility. The β-amino ketone substrates were prepared *via* the asymmetric proline-catalyzed Mannich reaction.[22,23] The results in Table 2 led us to conclude that ATH of β-amino ketones is widely applicable. In all examples we observed a reasonable to good diastereoselectivity, with the best selectivities obtained for R^1 = Ar. In addition, it is worth mentioning that we have previously successfully deprotected both diastereoisomers of PMP-protected amino alcohol **2** using oxidative enzymatic conditions.[17b]

With an efficient method for the *anti*-selective preparation of γ-amino alcohols in hand, we realized that extensive screening of other metal/ligand combinations could possibly deliver

Table 1 Screening of Ir-based amino acid amide catalysts **4a–e** for ATH of aminoketone **1**[a]

Entry	sm	R^1	R^2	Cat	Ratio (**2**)
1	(*S*)-**1**	Me	Ph	**4a**	96:4[b]
2	(*R*)-**1**	Me	Ph	**4a**	50:50[c]
3	(*S*)-**1**	Me	Me	**4b**	84:16[b]
4	(*S*)-**1**	Me	Bn	**4d**	63:37[b]
5	(*R*)-**1**	Me	Bn	**4d**	2:98[c]
6	(*R*)-**1**	Bn	Ph	**4c**	0:100[c]
7	(*S*)-**1**	Bn	Ph	**4c**	47:53[b]

[a] Reaction conditions: 4–6 mol% catalyst, rt 25 min–25 h. [b] (2*R*,4*S*)/(2*S*,4*S*). [c] (2*R*,4*R*)/(2*S*,4*R*).

Table 3 Preparative AH of β-amino ketones[a]

Entry	sm	R^1	t (h)	pr	dr[b]	Yield[c]
1	(*S*)-**1**	3,4-(MeO)$_2$C$_6$H$_3$	19[d]	**2**	>95:5	77
2	(*S*)-**6**	4-FC$_5$H$_4$	44[e]	**10**	>95:5	76
3	(*S*)-**7**	2-MeC$_6$H$_4$	44[e]	**11**	>95:5	81
4	(*R*)-**8**	iBu	15[d]	**12**	>95:5[f]	77
5	(*S*)-**9**	CO$_2$Et	17[d]	**13**	>95:5	56

[a] Reaction conditions: substrate (1.0 equiv.), Rh(COD)$_2$BF$_4$ (0.05 equiv.), (*R*)-BINAP (0.05 equiv.), r.t., 15–44 h or substrate (1.0 equiv.), Rh(COD)$_2$BF$_4$ (0.30 equiv.), (*R*)-BINAP (0.030 equiv.), 50 °C, 15–44 h. [b] (2*S*,4*S*):(2*R*,4*S*) (determined by HPLC). [c] Isolated yields. [d] 50 °C. [e] rt. [f] (2*S*,4*R*):(2*R*,4*R*) (determined by HPLC).

Fig. 2 Crystal structure representation of (2S,4S)-**2** (ORTEP probability level 50%).[24]

γ-amino alcohols with *syn*-selectivity. We nevertheless resorted to hydrogenation with molecular hydrogen for the synthesis of the *syn*-congeners. We discovered that hydrogenation of β-amino ketones in the presence of a catalyst *in situ* prepared from Rh(COD)$_2$BF$_4$ and a C_2-symmetric ligand such as (*R*)-BINAP (**5**) (Table 3), produced the desired *syn*-γ-amino alcohols with excellent diastereoselectivity.

Again we observed a strong effect of the existing chiral center on the diastereoselectivity. Upon hydrogenation of (*S*)-**1** with Rh/(*R*)-BINAP, pure (2*S*,4*S*)-**2** was obtained, whereas with Rh/(*S*)-BINAP the ratio (*R*,*S*) *vs.* (*S*,*S*) was 70:30. Dichloromethane appeared to be the most suitable solvent with respect to solubility of the starting material, diastereoselectivity and reaction rate. To investigate the scope and limitations, we subsequently hydrogenated a number of aromatic, aliphatic and carboxylic β-aminoketones on preparative scale (Table 3).

In some cases, the reactions proceeded somewhat slowly, despite the use of higher catalyst loadings (entries 2 and 3). In all cases, however, nearly exclusive formation of the desired *syn*-diastereoisomer was observed in combination with good yields.

Finally, to verify the assigned stereochemical outcome, we prepared (2*S*,4*S*)-**2** on a larger scale, after which X-ray crystallographic analysis of the product proved that Rh/(*R*)-BINAP (**5**) hydrogenation of (*S*)-**1** indeed led to formation of the *syn*-product ((2*S*,4*S*)-**2**, Fig. 2).

We have developed two complementary methods for the hydrogenation of β-amino ketones to the corresponding γ-amino alcohols. The *anti*-products can be obtained through ATH, in which 2-propanol is employed as the hydrogen donor and an Ir/α-substituted-amino acid amide complex as the catalyst. *syn*-Products are accessible by asymmetric hydrogenation under hydrogen pressure in the presence of a Rh-based BINAP catalyst. In combination with the proline-catalyzed Mannich reaction, these methods provide powerful tools for the enantio- and diastereoselective synthesis of all four diastereomers of γ-amino alcohols.

Notes and references

1 M. Breuer, K. Ditrich, T. Habicher, B. Hauer, M. Keßeler, R. Stürmer and T. Zelinski, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 788.
2 H.-J. Federsel, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2005, **4**, 685–697.
3 E. dos Santos Pinheiro, O. Augusto Ceva Antunes and J. M. D. Fortunak, *Antiviral Res.*, 2008, **79**, 143.
4 L. Jette, P. McNicol, M. Gill and A. Marette, WO2007107008 A12007, compounds and compositions for use in the prevention and treatment of disorders of fat metabolism and obesity.
5 G. Bartoli, M. Bartolacci, M. Cortese, E. Marcantoni, M. Massaccesi, R. Pela and L. Sambri, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 2359.
6 J. Barluenga, E. Aguilar, S. Fustero, B. Olano and A. L. Viado, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 1219.
7 Y. Hayashi, T. Urushima, M. Shin and M. Shoji, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 11393.
8 R. A. Pilli, D. Russowsky and L. A. Dias, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1990, 1213.
9 D. Berkeš, A. Kolarovič and F. Považanec, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 5257.
10 G. Keck and P. Truong, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 3131.
11 F. A. Davis, P. M. Gaspari, B. M. Nolt and P. Xu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 9619.
12 T. Kochi, T. P. Tang and J. A. Ellmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 11276.
13 D. Menche, F. Arikan, J. Li and S. Rudolph, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 267.
14 R. Millet, A. M. Träff, M. L. Petrus and J.-E. Bäckvall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15182.
15 Q. Hu; Z. Zhang, Y. Liu, T. Imamoto and W. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2260.
16 (*a*) K. Mashima, K.-h. Kusano, N. Sato, Y.-i. Matsumura, K. Nozaki, H. Kumobayashi, N. Sayo, Y. Hori, T. Ishizaki, S. Akutagawa and H. Takaya, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1994, **59**, 3064; (*b*) T. Ohkuma, D. Ishii, H. Takeno and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 6510; (*c*) T. Ohkuma, M. Koizumi, K. Muiz, G. Hilt, C. Kabuto and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 6508; (*d*) D. G. Genov and D. J. Ager, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2816; (*e*) H. L. Ngo and W. Lin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 1177; (*f*) Q. Jing, X. Zhang, J. Sun and K. Ding, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2005, **347**, 1193; (*g*) D. Liu, W. Gao, C. Wang and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 1687; (*h*) H. Takahashi, S. Sakuraba, H. Takeda and K. Achiwa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 5876; (*i*) S. Sakurabi and K. Achiwa, *Synlett*, 1991, 689; (*j*) M. Devocelle, F. Agbossou and A. Mortreux, *Synlett*, 1997, 1306.
17 (*a*) J. M. M. Verkade, L. J. C. van Hemert, P. J. L. M. Quaedflieg, P. L. Alsters, F. L. van Delft and F. P. J. T. Rutjes, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2006, **47**, 8109; (*b*) J. M. M. Verkade, L. J. C. van Hemert, P. J. L. M. Quaedflieg, H. E. Schoemaker, M. Schürmann, F. L. van Delft and F. P. J. T. Rutjes, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1332.
18 *Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation*, ed. J. G. de Vries and C. J. Elsevier, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007.
19 For a review on the stereoselective synthesis of 1,3-diols, including (transfer) hydrogenation of 3-hydroxyketones, see: S. E. Bode, M. Wolberg and M. Müller, *Synthesis*, 2006, 557–558.
20 (*a*) O. Labeeuw, C. Roche, P. Phansavath and J.-P. Genêt, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 105; (*b*) C. Roche, O. Labeeuw, M. Haddad, T. Ayad, J.-P. Genet, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal and P. Phansavath, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 3977.
21 G. K. M. Verzijl, NL1009346 (C2), 1998, Asymmetrische transferhydrogering.
22 B. List, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 9336.
23 It should be noted that in some instances, Mannich ketones were prone to partial racemization over time. We hypothesize that racemization occurs *via* catalysis by trace impurities in the Mannich product samples, because ketones **6–9** were oils and purified by troublesome column chromatography, while **1** was purified through crystallization and the resulting crystals appeared more stable.
24 xyz file generated with Mercury 3.5.1 (http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/Solutions/CSDSystem/Pages/Mercury.aspx). Picture generated with CYLview, 1.0b; C. Y. Legault, Université de Sherbrooke, 2009 (http://www.cylview.org). CCDC 1400959.